

## Evaluation Report for Category A Subcategory 1.1 Application

Application Number: Application: Product: Registration Number: Active ingredients (a.i.): PMRA Document Number: 2008-5404 New Active Ingredient Cloquintocet-mexyl Technical 30527 Cloquintocet-mexyl 2367300

#### **Purpose of Application**

The purpose of this application was to register a manufacturing concentrate containing the safener, cloquintocet-mexyl.

#### **Chemistry Assessment**

Active substance	Cloquintocet-mexyl
Function	Herbicide Safener
Chemical name	
1. International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry (IUPAC)	1-methylhexyl (5-chloroquinolin-8-yloxy)acetate
2. Chemical Abstracts Service (CAS)	1-methylhexyl [(5-chloro-8-quinolinyl)oxy]acetate
CAS number	99607-70-2
Molecular formula	$C_{18}H_{22}CINO_3$
Molecular weight	335.8
Structural formula	

Purity of the active ingredient

96.7 %

) N

Physical and Chemical Properties of the Active Ingredient and End-Use



1

## Product

Technical Product — Cloquintocet-mexyl Technical

Property	Result
Colour and physical state	Colourless to light brown solid
Odour	Odourless
Melting range	61.4 - 69.0
Boiling point or range	Not applicable to solids
Density	1.05 g/cm <sup>3</sup>
Vapour pressure at 25°C	5.31 x 10 <sup>-3</sup> mPa
Henry's law constant at 20°C	2.279 X 10 <sup>-8</sup>
Ultraviolet (UV)-visible spectrum	Methanol / 1M HCl (90/10) $\lambda = 255.8 \text{ nm}$ $\epsilon = 39.7 \text{ x } 10^3$ $\lambda = 364.0 \text{ nm}$ $\epsilon = 2.56 \text{ x } 10^3$ Methanol
	$λ = 243.8 \text{ nm}$ $ε = 36.0 \text{ x } 10^3$ $λ = 317.6 \text{ nm}$ $ε = 4.04 \text{ x } 10^3$
	Methanol / 1M NaOH (90/10) $\lambda = 244.0 \text{ nm}$ $\epsilon = 36.4 \times 10^3$ $\lambda = 319.4 \text{ nm}$ $\epsilon = 4.18 \times 10^3$ Minimal absorbance above 370 nm in neutral and basic solution, considerable absorbance between 300 and 420 nm in acidic solution.
Solubility in water at 20°C	0.59 mg/L
Solubility in organic solvents at 25°C (g/100 mL)	
<i>n</i> -Octanol-water partition coefficient ( $K_{OW}$ )	$\log K_{ow} = 5.03$ at 25°C
Dissociation constant $(pK_a)$	pK <sub>a</sub> estimated to be 3.5–4
Stability (temperature, metal)	Stable on storage in glass at 54°C (3 months), at 35°C (24 months) and 20-25°C (36 months). Thermally stable in air to at least 150°C by DSC.
	Not corrosive to tin plate, steel and stainless steel.

## Methods for Analysis of the Active Ingredient

The methods provided for the analysis of the active ingredient and the impurities in cloquintocetmexyl technical have been validated and assessed to be acceptable for the determinations.

## Methods for Residue Analysis

High-performance liquid chromatography methods utilizing either ultraviolet (HPLC-UV) or tandem mass spectrometry (HPLC-MS/MS) detection were developed and proposed for data generation and enforcement purposes. These methods fulfilled the requirements with regards to selectivity, accuracy and precision at the respective method limit of quantitation. Acceptable recoveries (70–120%) were obtained in plant and animal matrices and environmental media. Methods for residue analysis are summarized in Appendix Table 1.

### **Health Assessments**

No new data were submitted. Previously established endpoints were revisited to ensure they met current Pest Control Products Act (PCPA) standards.

No new residue data were submitted to support the registration of Cloquintocet-mexyl Manufacturing Concentrate. Data on file support the use of cloquintocet-mexyl as a safener in the formulations of Horizon, Axial and Simplicity brand herbicides in/on wheat and barley. The proposed use of Cloquintocet-mexyl Manufacturing Concentrate will not result in the residues of cloquintocet-mexyl and metabolite exceeding the established maximum residue limits (MRLs) on wheat and barley. Therefore, the dietary exposure is not expected to increase and the use of cloquintocet-mexyl will not pose an unacceptable risk to any segment of the population, including infants, children, adults and seniors.

## **Environmental Assessment**

## What Happens When Cloquintocet-Mexyl Is Introduced Into the Environment?

Cloquintocet-mexyl enters the environment when used as an herbicide safener which protects wheat or barley from the phytotoxic effects of herbicides. Once in the terrestrial environment, cloquintocet-mexyl binds to soil particles and has a low potential for leaching. In aquatic systems, cloquintocet-mexyl will move from the water column into the sediment where it will degrade. Residues of cloquintocet-mexyl are not expected to be found in air due to low volatility. Cloquintocet-mexyl is non-persistent in soil and aquatic systems, and both sorption and biotransformation are contributing factors to this non-persistence.

Cloquintocet-mexyl is toxic to aquatic organims, however, based on the use of cloquintocetmexyl at low rates as a safener, the potential for effects on non-target organisms is expected to be low. Risks to both non-target terrestrial and aquatic organisms from the use of cloquintocetmexyl were found to be acceptable.

### Fate and Behaviour in the Environment

Based on its physical-chemical properties, cloquintocet-mexyl is sparingly soluble in water, is not likely to volatilize from moist soil or water surfaces under field conditions, and is likely to bioconcentrate or bioaccumulate in organisms. However, a laboratory study on bioaccumulation in fish shows the rapid metabolism of cloquintocet-mexyl to its major transformation product followed by rapid depuration.

The environmental fate data for cloquintocet-mexyl are summarized in Appendix Table 1. Biotransformation is a major route of dissipation of cloquintocet-mexyl in aerobic soil and aquatic systems. Cloquintocet-mexyl is non-persistent with one major transformation product (cloquintocet; CGA 153433) observed under aerobic conditions. Both sorption and biotransformation are contributing factors to this non-persistence. Although photodegradation in soil can occur, it is not expected to be a significant route of dissipation. Laboratory studies on adsorption/desorption indicate that cloquintocet-mexyl and its major degradation product strongly adsorb to soil and, therefore, have a low mobility in soil. Cloquintocet-mexyl was found to a soil depth of 30 cm in a field study in Saskatchewan. At other field sites, however, cloquintocet-mexyl and its transformation product could only be detected in the top 10 cm soil layer. The leaching potential of cloquintocet-mexyl in the field is expected to be limited.

Phototransformation in water is not expected to be a significant route of dissipation of cloquintocet-mexyl. Although cloquintocet-mexyl can undergo hydrolysis, it is not expected to be important routes of cloquintocet-mexyl transformation under most conditions. The only hydrolysis transformation product identified is the same as that from aerobic biotransformation. Cloquintocet-mexyl can enter the aquatic environment through spray drift or surface runoff.

## **Environmental Risk Characterization**

The environmental risk assessment integrates the environmental exposure and ecotoxicology information to estimate the potential for adverse effects on non-target species. This integration is achieved by comparing exposure concentrations with concentrations at which adverse effects occur. Estimated environmental concentrations (EECs) are concentrations of pesticide in various environmental media, such as food, water, soil and air. The EECs are estimated using standard models which take into consideration the application rate(s), chemical properties and environmental fate properties, including the dissipation of the pesticide between applications. Ecotoxicology information includes acute and chronic toxicity data for various organisms or groups of organisms from both terrestrial and aquatic habitats including invertebrates, vertebrates, and plants. Toxicity endpoints used in risk assessments may be adjusted to account for potential differences in species sensitivity as well as varying protection goals (i.e. protection at the community, population, or individual level).

For characterizing acute risk, acute toxicity values (e.g.  $LC_{50}$ ,  $LD_{50}$ , and  $EC_{50}$ ) are divided by an uncertainty factor. The uncertainty factor is used to account for differences in inter- and intraspecies sensitivity as well as varying protection goals (e.g. community, population, individual). Thus, the magnitude of the uncertainty factor depends on the group of organisms that are being evaluated (e.g. 10 for fish, 2 for aquatic invertebrates). The difference in value of the uncertainty factors reflects, in part, the ability of certain organisms at a certain trophic level (i.e., feeding position in a food chain) to withstand, or recover from, a stressor at the level of the population. When assessing chronic risk, the NOEC or NOEL is used and an uncertainty factor is not applied.

Initially, a screening level risk assessment is performed to identify pesticides and/or specific uses that do not pose a risk to non-target organisms, and to identify those groups of organisms for which there may be a potential risk. The screening level risk assessment uses simple methods, conservative exposure scenarios (e.g. direct application at a maximum cumulative application rate) and sensitive toxicity endpoints. A risk quotient (RQ) is calculated by dividing the exposure estimate by an appropriate toxicity value (RQ = exposure/toxicity), and the RQ is then compared to the level of concern (LOC = 1). If the screening level risk quotient is below the LOQ, the risk is considered negligible and no further risk characterization is necessary. If the screening level RQ is equal to or greater than the level of concern, then a refined risk assessment is performed to further characterize the risk. A refined assessment takes into consideration more realistic exposure scenarios (such as drift to non-target habitats) and might consider different toxicity endpoints. Refinements may include further characterization of risk based on exposure modelling, monitoring data, results from field or mesocosm studies, and probabilistic risk assessment methods. Refinements to the risk assessment may continue until the risk is adequately characterized or no further refinements are possible.

## **Risks to Terrestrial Organisms**

A risk assessment of cloquintocet-mexyl to terrestrial organisms was based upon an evaluation of toxicity data to earthworms (acute contact), bees (acute oral and contact), birds (two acute oral, two dietary, and two chronic) and mammals (acute oral and chronic). A summary of terrestrial toxicity data for cloquintocet-mexyl is presented in Appendix Table 2. For the assessment of risk, toxicity endpoints chosen from the most sensitive species were used as surrogates for the wide range of species that can be potentially exposed following treatment with cloquintocet-mexyl.

**Earthworms:** Cloquintocet-mexyl is not acutely toxic to earthworms (*Eisenia foetida*) up to the highest concentration tested (1000 mg a.i./kg soil). Earthworm survival was reduced in the presence of cloquintocet-mexyl. The screening level risk assessment was determined based on the EECs for the highest use rate scenario of cloquintocet-mexyl (44.1 g a.i./ha). The LOC was not exceeded for bees (Appendix Table 3).

**Bees (pollinators):** No adverse effects were observed when bees were exposed to cloquintocetmexyl on an oral or contact basis. The screening level risk assessment was determined based on the EECs for the highest use rate scenario of cloquintocet-mexyl (44.1 g a.i./ha). The LOC was not exceeded for earthworms (Appendix Table 3).

**Birds and small wild mammals:** Based on acute and dietary toxicity testing on bobwhite quail (*Colinus virginianus*) and mallard duck (*Anas platyrhynchos*), cloquintocet-mexyl is not toxic to birds up to the highest concentration tested. No clinical effects were observed in the reproduction study with cloquintocet-mexyl. The toxicity of cloquintocet-mexyl to rats was used to determine risk to small terrestrial mammals.

The screening level risk assessment was undertaken based on the EECs for the highest use rate scenario of cloquintocet-mexyl (44.1 g a.i./ha). The LOC was not exceeded for birds and mammals (Appendix Table 4).

## **Risks to Aquatic Organisms**

A risk assessment of cloquintocet-mexyl to freshwater aquatic organisms was based upon the evaluation of toxicity data on cloquintocet-mexyl to *Daphnia magna* (acute and chronic), four fish species for acute and one fish species for chronic effects, three algal (acute), and amphibian (using fish as a surrogate). A summary of the freshwater toxicity data for cloquintocet-mexyl is presented in Appendix Table 2. Toxicity endpoints from the most sensitive species were used in the assessment of risk for the wide range of aquatic species that can be potentially exposed following treatment with cloquintocet-mexyl.

The potential for adverse effects on aquatic organisms was assessed based on EECs from a direct application at the highest use rate scenario of cloquintocet-mexyl (44.1 g a.i./ha) to water. The result of the screening level risk assessment for aquatic organisms is presented in Appendix Table 5.

**Freshwater invertebrates:** Acute exposure of *Daphnia magna* to cloquintocet-mexyl resulted in significant mortality. Adverse effects on reproduction and mortality were also observed in *D. magna* upon chronic exposure to cloquintocet-mexyl. The screening level risk assessment shows no acute risk to freshwater aquatic invertebrates, but that the LOC was exceeded for a 21-day chronic exposure. It was, however, not exceeded for a 7-day chronic exposure. Considering the conservative nature of the screening level risk assessment (direct overspray) and that cloquintocet-mexyl is typically applied once and has an aquatic half-life of <1day, the 21-day chronic exposure endpoint is not considered relevant to the current use pattern. A 7-day chronic exposure scenario would be more relevant, and LOC is not exceeded for that scenario.

**Freshwater fish and amphibians:** The toxicity of cloquintocet-mexyl to four species of fish was assessed for acute exposure (rainbow trout, bluegill sunfish, common carp, and common catfish), while toxicity from chronic exposure was assessed using results from a study on rainbow trout. Cloquintocet-mexyl was acutely toxic to all four fish species in the range of concentrations tested (Appendix Table 2). Chronic exposure of cloquintocet-mexyl to rainbow trout resulted in significant reductions in survival and several growth parameters when compared to the corresponding controls. The screening level risk assessment was performed with the rainbow trout. The LOC for exposure to cloquintocet-mexyl was not exceeded for the acute and the chronic exposure to fish (Appendix Table 5).

The risk to aquatic life stages of amphibians was assessed using fish toxicity values as surrogate endpoints. Risk was based on results from the acute and chronic rainbow trout studies. The amphibian screening level risk quotients for both acute and chronic exposure to cloquintocet-mexyl did not exceed the LOC (Appendix Table 5).

**Freshwater algae:** The toxicity of cloquintocet-mexyl to three species of algae was assessed for acute exposure (green algae, blue algae and diatom). Cloquintocet-mexyl was acutely toxic to all three species in the range of concentrations tested (Appendix Table 2). The screening level LOC was not exceeded for all species (Appendix Table 5).

## **Toxic Substances Management Policy Considerations**

The Toxic Substances Management Policy (TSMP) is a federal government policy developed to provide direction on the management of substances of concern that are released into the environment. The TSMP calls for the virtual elimination of Track 1 substances [those that meet all four criteria outlined in the policy, i.e. persistent (in air, soil, water and/or sediment), bio-accumulative, primarily a result of human activity and toxic as defined by the Canadian Environmental Protection Act].

During the review process, cloquintocet-mexyl and its transformation products were assessed in accordance with the PMRA Regulatory Directive DIR99-03 and evaluated against the Track 1 criteria. The PMRA has reached the following conclusions:

- Cloquintocet-mexyl does not meet all Track 1 criteria, and is not considered a Track 1 substance.
- Cloquintocet-mexyl transforms into a product which is more soluble in water than cloquintocet-mexyl, therefore the log K<sub>ow</sub> value is expected to be lower than the parent. As such, the transformation products do not meet the Track 1 criteria.

## Formulants and Contaminants of Health or Environmental Concern

During the review process, contaminants in the technical and formulants and contaminants in the end-use products are compared against the List of Pest control Product Formulants and Contaminants of Health or Environmental Concern maintained in the Canada Gazette. The list is used as described in the PMRA Notice of Intent NOI2005-01 and is based on existing policies and regulations including: DIR99-03; and DIR2006-02, and taking into consideration the Ozone-depleting Substance Regulations, 1998, of the Canadian Environmental Protection Act (substances designated under the Montreal Protocol). The PMRA has reached the following conclusions:

Cloquintocet-mexyl does not contain any formulants of health or environmental concern identified in the Canada Gazette.

The use of formulants in registered pest control products is assessed on an ongoing basis through PMRA formulant initiatives and Regulatory Directive DIR2006-02.

### **Environmental Risk**

Cloquintocet-mexyl is non-persistent in most soils and aquatic systems. Cloquintocet-mexyl has a low potential to leach into ground water. As cloquintocet-mexyl is used as broadcast spray, it is subject to run-off or drift into surface water. Cloquintocet-mexyl does not pose a risk to nontarget aquatic and terrestrial organisms based on the current use pattern.

#### Value Assessment

A value assessment was not required for this application.

### Conclusion

The PMRA has reviewed the information provided in support to this application and has determined that it is acceptable to register a manufacturing concentrate containing the safener, cloquintocet-mexyl.

## Appendix

Matrix	Method ID	Analyte	Method Type	LOQ		Reference (PMRA Number)
Plant	REM 199.03/ 117-01	CGA- 153433	LC/MS/MS	0.1 mg/kg	barley fractions	714628 925617
				0.1 mg/kg	wheat grain	714634
				0.2 mg/kg	other wheat fractions	714638
Animal	REM138.15	parent	LC/UV	0.02	meat, liver, milk, eggs	1993963 1993965
	REM138.14	CGA- 153433	LC/UV	0.02	meat, milk, eggs	1993961 1993962
				0.05	beef liver	
Soil	REM138.01	parent	LC/MS/MS	0.5 ppb		717725
		CGA-		0.5 ppb		717726
		153433				717728
Water	2851-03	parent	LC/MS/MS	0.05 ppb		1993958
		CGA- 153433		0.05 ppb		1993959

## Table 1.Residue Analysis

## Table 2. Fate and Behaviour in the Terrestrial and Aquatic Environments

Study type	Test material	Study conditions	Value or Endpoint	Interpretation	Major transformation products	References (PMRA Number)
Abiotic transf	formation					
Hydrolysis	Cloquinto cet-mexyl	30min- 30d, pH 1, 5, 7, 9 and 13 at 25, 50 and 70°C	pH 5: $T^{1/2}=1606$ d pH 7: $T^{1/2}=134d$ pH 9: $T^{1/2}=6.6d$	Limited susceptibility to hydrolysis at relevant pH (5- 7)	CGA 153 433	<u>1128815</u>
	CGA1534 33	30min- 30d, pH 1 and 7 at 50°C	Stable	Not a major route of transformation	NA	<u>1128815</u>

Study type	Test material	Study conditions	Value or Endpoint	Interpretation	Major transformation products	References (PMRA Number)
Phototransfor mation - soil	Cloquinto cet-mexyl	Dry sterile soil, pH7.3, continuous lightning at 23.37W/m	$DT_{50} = 4.2d$	Not a major route of transformation	Bound residues	<u>1128771</u>
	CGA1534 33		Unknown	Unclear if it does transform or not	Bound residues	<u>1128771</u>
Phototransfor mation - water	Cloquinto cet-mexyl	Calculatio ns	DT <sub>50</sub> =30m in	NA	NA	<u>1157874</u>
	CGA1534 33	Calculatio ns	DT <sub>50</sub> =10m in	NA	NA	<u>1157874</u>
	Cloquinto cet-mexyl	pH 5.36, continuous artificial light	Apparent: $DT_{50}=9.3h$ Corrected <sup>a</sup> : $DT_{50}=4.62$ h With solvent: $DT_{50}=4.3h$	Significant route of transformation	Unidentified products of higher polarity	<u>1128772</u>
Biotransform	ation					
Soil -aerobic	Cloquinto cet-mexyl	336d, two soils; pH 6.2-7.4, %OC 1.12-1.79	DT <sub>50</sub> =1.25d to 2.8d	Non-persistent	CGA153433 Non- extractables CO <sub>2</sub>	<u>1128780</u>
		329d, one soil; 10 and 20°C, 38 and 60% moisture; pH 7.0, %OC 1.26	DT <sub>50</sub> =1.45d to 1.58d	Non-persistent	CGA153433 Non- extractables CO <sub>2</sub>	<u>1128782</u>
		350d, two soils; pH 6.5-7.3, %OC 2.3- 3.2	$DT_{50}=1.34d$ to 1.6d Sterile soil: $DT_{50}=2300d$	Persistent in		<u>1128779</u>

Study type	Test material	Study conditions	Value or Endpoint	Interpretation	Major transformation products	References (PMRA Number)
	CGA1534 33	336d, two soils; pH 6.2-7.4, %OC 1.12-1.79	DT <sub>50</sub> =97.4d to 312d	Moderately persistent to Persistent	Non- extractables CO <sub>2</sub>	<u>1128780</u>
		329d, one soil; 10 and 20°C, 38 and 60% moisture; pH 7.0, %OC 1.26	DT <sub>50</sub> =125d 185d	to Moderately persistent to persistent	Non- extractables CO <sub>2</sub>	<u>1128782</u>
		350d, two soils; pH 6.5-7.3, %OC 2.3- 3.2	$DT_{50}=144d$ 170d Sterile soil: $DT_{50}=2300c$	persistent		<u>1128779</u>
	Total Residues	336d, two soils; pH 6.2-7.4, %OC 1.12-1.79	$DT_{50} = 117$ 243 d	to Persistent	NA	<u>1128780</u>
		329d, one soil; 10 and 20°C, 38 and 60% moisture; pH 7.0, %OC 1.26	DT <sub>50</sub> =894d 1230d	to Persistent	NA	<u>1128782</u>
Soil - anaerobic	Cloquinto cet-mexyl	350d, two soils; pH 6.5-7.3, %OC 2.3- 3.2	Degraded before test initiation	Unknown	Unknown	<u>1128779</u>
Water/sedim ent - aerobic	Cloquinto cet-mexyl	280d, water:pon d sediment, 20°C; pH 6.8, %OC 9.4	$DT_{50} = 0.49$ (whole system <sup>b</sup> )	9d Non-persisten	t CGA153433 Non- extractables CO <sub>2</sub>	<u>1128783</u>

Study type	Test material	Study conditions	Value or Endpoint	Interpretation	Major transformation products	References (PMRA Number)
	CGA1534 33	280d, water:pon d sediment, 20°C; pH 6.8, %OC 9.4	$DT_{50} = 120c$ (whole system <sup>b</sup> )	Moderately persistent	NA	<u>1128783</u>
	Total Residues	280d, water:pon d sediment, 20°C; pH 6.8, %OC 9.4	$DT_{50} = 1836$ (whole system <sup>b</sup> )	d Persistent	NA	<u>1128783</u>
Water- sediment - anaerobic	Cloquinto cet-mexyl	280d, water:pon d sediment, 20°C; pH 6.8, %OC 9.4	Degraded before test initiation	Unknown	NA	<u>1128783</u>
	CGA1534 33	280d, water:pon d sediment, 20°C; pH 6.8, %OC 9.4	$DT_{50} = 94d$ (whole system <sup>b</sup> )	Moderately persistent	NA	<u>1128783</u>
Mobility					·	
Adsorption/d esorption	Cloquinto cet-mexyl	Five soils (pH 6.5- 7.2, 0.7- 19.6%OC)	Koc = 10 557 to 25 685	7 Low mobility	NA	<u>1128775</u>
Soil column leaching	Cloquinto cet-mexyl	Eight soils (fresh and aged)	Parent and transformation product not found below 6 cm.		CGA153433	<u>1128778</u> <u>1128776</u> <u>1128777</u>
Bioconcentra	tion/Bioaccu	mulation				

Study type	Test	Study		ie or	In	terpretation	Major	References
	material	conditions	End	point			transformation products	(PMRA Number)
Bioconcentra tion	Cloquinto cet-mexyl			BCF = 123 (edible tissue) BCF = 621 (whole fish)	e   =	High potential to bioconcentrate	CGA153433	<u>1128793</u>
	CGA1534 33			Log K <sub>OW</sub> <0 DT <sub>50</sub> < .6 d		Bioconcentra- tion is unlikely rapidly depurated	; NA	<u>1128793</u>
Field studies	01	<b>D:</b> 14 3		DT	< 14	- 1 NI	00 4 1 5 2 4 2 2	112(122
Field dissipation	Cloquinto cet-mexyl	Eight sites relevant to Canadian conditions (Saskatchew Manitoba an Alberta)	-	radioa	ctiv , ex	5 days. No vity found below cept for one site 0 cm.		<u>1136133</u> <u>1136132</u>
	CGA1534 33	Eight sites relevant to Canadian conditions (Saskatchew Manitoba an Alberta)	,		ctiv	) days. No vity found below	, NA	<u>1136133</u> <u>1136132</u>

<sup>a</sup>Corrected for adsorption-desorption to glass <sup>b</sup>58% of total radioacticvity was in the water phase at test initiation; 0.57% at test termination. NA – not applicable

Organism	Study type	Species	Test material	Endpoint	Value (effect )	Classification *	Reference (PMRA #)				
Freshwater (	Organisms	5									
Invertebrate s	Acute	Daphnia magna	Cloquintocet- mexyl	48-h EC <sub>50</sub>	>8.7 mg a.i./L	Slightly to highly toxic	<u>1807685</u>				
			CGA153433	48-h EC <sub>50</sub>	>9.7 mg a.i./L	Moderately toxic	<u>1157877</u>				
	Chronic	Daphnia magna	Cloquintocet- mexyl	7-d NOEC	0.27 mg a.i./L	Highly to very highly toxic	<u>1807686</u>				
				14-d NOEC	0.070 mg a.i./L						
				21-d NOEC	0.002 mg a.i./L						
			Cloquintocet- mexyl	7-d NOEC	0.27 mg a.i./L	Highly to very highly toxic					
				14-d NOEC	0.003 mg a.i./L						
				21-d NOEC	0.002 mg a.i./L						
Fish	Acute	Acute	Acute	Acute	Acute	Rainbow trout ( <i>Oncorky</i>	Cloquintocet- mexyl	96-h LC <sub>50</sub>	>0.59 mg a.i./L	Slightly to highly toxic	<u>1807679</u>
		nchus mykiss)	CGA153433	96-h LC <sub>50</sub>	90 mg a.i./L	Slightly toxic	<u>1128789</u>				
		Bluegill sunfish ( <i>Lepomis</i>	Cloquintocet- mexyl	96-h LC <sub>50</sub>	>0.59 mg a.i./L	Moderately to highly toxic	<u>1807681</u>				
		macrochi rus)	CGA153433	96-h LC <sub>50</sub>	83 mg a.i./L	Slightly toxic	<u>1128791</u>				
		Catfish ( <i>Ictalurus</i> <i>punctatus</i>	Cloquintocet- mexyl	96-h LC <sub>50</sub>	>0.59 mg a.i./L	Slightly to highly toxic	<u>1807678</u>				
		)	CGA153433	96-h LC <sub>50</sub>	>100 mg a.i./L	Practically non-toxic	<u>1128788</u>				

## Table 3.Toxicity to Non-target Species

Organism	Study type	Species	Test material	Endpoint	Value (effect )	Classification *	Reference (PMRA #)
		Common carp ( <i>Cyprinus</i>	Cloquintocet- mexyl	96-h LC <sub>50</sub>	>0.59 mg a.i./L	Slightly to highly toxic	<u>1807680</u>
		carpio)	CGA153433	96-h LC <sub>50</sub>	>100 mg a.i./L	Practically non-toxic	<u>1128790</u>
	Chronic (Early Life Stage)	Rainbow trout (Oncorky nchus mykiss)	Cloquintocet- mexyl	21-d NOEC	>1.26 mg a.i./L	Moderately to highly toxic	1807682
Algae	Acute	Green algae	Cloquintocet- mexyl <sup>a</sup>	72-h EC <sub>50</sub>	0.19 mg /L	Highly toxic	<u>1807687</u>
		(Scenedes mus		96-h EC <sub>50</sub>	0.24 mg/L	Highly toxic	<u>1128795</u>
		subspicat us)	CGA153433		>100 mg/L	Practically non-toxic	<u>1128798</u>
		Blue algae	Cloquintocet- mexyl	96-h EC <sub>50</sub>	2.5 mg a.i./L	Moderately to highly toxic	<u>1128797</u>
		(Microcys tis aeruginos a)	CGA153433	120-h EC <sub>50</sub>	1.9 mg/L	Moderately toxic	<u>1128800</u>
Diatom	Acute	Diatom (Navicula pelliculos	Cloquintocet- mexyl <sup>b</sup>	96-h EC <sub>50</sub>	0.86 mg a.i./L	Moderately to very highly toxic	<u>1128796</u>
		<i>a</i> )	CGA153433		5.3 mg/L	Moderately toxic	<u>1128799</u>

\*The classification takes into account the reported endpoint as well as the measured concentrations when appropriate.

<sup>a</sup> The measured concentration of cloquintocet-mexyl decreased over test duration to undetected amounts by 96 h.

<sup>b</sup> The measured concentration of cloquintocet-mexyl decreased over test duration to 0.01 - 0.05 mg/L by 96 h.

# Table 4.Screening Level Risk to Terrestrial Organisms Other Than Birds and Small<br/>Wild Mammals

Organism	Exposure	Test	Endpoint value	EEC	$\mathbf{RQ}^2$
		substance			
<b>Terrestrial inv</b>	rertebrates				
Earthworm	Acute	Cloquintocet	$\frac{1}{2}$ LC <sub>50</sub> : > 500 mg	0.0196 mg	< 0.001
		-mexyl	a.i./kg dw	a.i./kg <sup>1</sup>	
			NOEC: 60 mg		< 0.001
			a.i./kg dw		
Bees	Contact/Oral	Cloquintocet	LD <sub>50</sub> : > 112 000 g	44.1 g	< 0.001
		-mexyl	a.i./ha	a.i./ha	

<sup>1</sup>Estimated Environmental Concentration (Soil: calculated based on a soil density of  $1.5 \text{ g/cm}^3$ , soil depth of 15 cm and the maximum label rate for potatoes.

<sup>2</sup>Risk Quotient (RQ) = exposure/toxicity. RQ > 1 indicates exceedance of LOC (Level of Concern)

	Toxicity (mg ai/kg bw/d)	Feeding Guild (food item) <sup>a</sup>	EDE <sup>b</sup> (mg ai/kg bw)	RQ <sup>c</sup>
Small bird (0.	02 kg)	•	-	
Acute	200.00	Insectivore (small insects)	2.22	0.01
Reproduction	28.00	Insectivore (small insects)	2.22	0.08
Medium sized	bird (0.1 kg)			
Acute	200.00	Insectivore (small insects)	1.73	0.01
Reproduction	28.00	Insectivore (small insects)	1.73	0.06
Large sized bi	ird (1 kg)			
Acute	200.00	Herbivore (short grass)	1.81	0.01
Reproduction	28.00	Herbivore (short grass)	1.81	0.06
Small mamma	al ( <b>0.015 kg</b> )			
Acute	200.00	Insectivore (small insects)	1.28	0.01
Reproduction	36.4	Insectivore (small insects)	1.28	0.04
Medium sized	mammal (0.035 kg)		•	
Acute	200.00	Herbivore (short grass)	4.00	0.02
Reproduction	36.4	Herbivore (short grass)	4.00	0.11
Large sized m	ammal (1 kg)			
Acute	200.00	Herbivore (short grass)	2.14	0.01
Reproduction	36.4	Herbivore (short grass)	2.14	0.06

#### Table 5. **Screening Level Risk for Birds and Small Wild Mammals**

<sup>a</sup> Large insects not considered to be a relevant food source for small birds and mammals.

<sup>b</sup> EDE = Estimated dietary exposure. It is calculated using the following formula: (FIR/bw) x EEC. At the screening level, food items representing the most conservative EEC are used. FIR is the Food Ingestion Rates (Nagy, 1987). For generic birds with body weight less than or equal to 200 g, the "passerine" equation was used; for generic birds with body weight greater than 200 g, the "all birds" equation was used:

Passerine Equation (body weight < or =200 g): FIR (g dry weight/day) =  $0.398(BW \text{ in g})^{0.850}$ All birds Equation (body weight > 200 g): FIR (g dry weight/day) =  $0.648(bw \text{ in g})^{0.651}$ . For mammals, the "all birds" equation was used: FIR (g dry weight/day) =  $0.235(bw \text{ in g})^{0.822}$ 

<sup>c</sup> Risk quotient (RQ) = exposure/toxicity. Shaded cells indicate that the RQ exceeds the level of concern (LOC = 1)

Organism	Exposure	Endpoint value <sup>1</sup>	EEC <sup>2</sup>	RQ <sup>3</sup>
	_	(mg a.i./L)	(mg a.i./L)	
<b>Cloquintocet-mexyl Te</b>	chnical			
Freshwater crustacean	Acute	$\frac{1}{2}$ EC <sub>50</sub> : > 4.4	0.006	< 0.001
	Chronic	21-d NOEC: 0.002	0.006	3
		7-d NOEC: 0.27	0.006	0.02
Rainbow trout	Acute	$^{1}/_{10}LC_{50}$ : 0.059	0.006	< 0.10
	Chronic	21-d NOEC: 1.26	0.006	0.005
Amphibian	Fish Acute	$^{1}/_{10}LC_{50}$ : 0.059	0.029	< 0.5
	Fish chronic	21-d NOEC: 1.3	0.029	0.05
Freshwater Alga	Acute	<sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> EC <sub>50</sub> : 0.095	0.006	0.06
Major transformation product (CGA153433)				
Freshwater crustacean	Acute	$\frac{1}{2}$ EC <sub>50</sub> : >4.8	0.006	0.001
Bluegill	Acute	$^{1}/_{10}EC_{50}$ : 8.3	0.006	0.0007
Amphibian	Fish Acute	$^{1}/_{10}LC_{50}$ : 8.3	0.029	0.004
Shaded cells indicate that the RQ exceeds the level of concern (LOC = $1$ )				

#### **Screening Level Risk for Aquatic Organisms** Table 6.

<sup>1</sup>Endpoints used in the acute exposure risk assessment are derived by diving the  $EC_{50}$  or  $LC_{50}$  from the appropriate laboratory study by a factor of 2 for aquatic invertebrates and plants, and by a factor of 10 for fish and amphibians.

<sup>2</sup>Estimated Environmental Concentration (EEC) based on a 15 cm water body depth for amphibians and a 80 cm water depth for all other aquatic organisms.

<sup>3</sup>Risk Quotient (RQ) = exposure/toxicity. RQ > 1 indicates exceedance of LOC (Level of Concern)

TSMP Track 1 Criteria	TSMP Track 1 Criterion Value		Active Ingredient Endpoints	
CEPA toxic or CEPA toxic equivalent <sup>1</sup>	Yes		Yes	
Predominantly anthropogenic <sup>2</sup>	Yes		Yes	
Persistence <sup>3</sup> :	Soil	Half-life $\geq 182$ days	Half-life < 15 days	
	Water	Half-life $\geq 182$ days	Half-life < 1 day	
	Sediment	Half-life $\geq$ 365 days	Half-life < 1 day	
	Air	Half-life ≥ 2 days or evidence of long range transport	Half-life or volatilisation is not an important route of dissipation and long-range atmospheric transport is unlikely to occur based on the vapour pressure (5.31 X 10 <sup>-6</sup> Pa) and Henry's law constant (2.279 X 10 <sup>-8</sup> ).	
Bioaccumulation <sup>4</sup>	$\begin{array}{c} Log \ K_{OW} \geq 5 \\ \hline BCF \geq 5000 \\ \hline BAF \geq 5000 \end{array}$		5.03 621 Not available	
Is the chemical a TSMP Track 1 substance (all four criteria must		No, does not meet TSMP		
be met)?			Track 1 criteria.	

# Table 7.Toxic Substances Management Policy Considerations-Comparison to TSMP<br/>Track 1 Criteria

<sup>1</sup>All pesticides will be considered CEPA-toxic or CEPA toxic equivalent for the purpose of initially assessing a pesticide against the TSMP criteria. Assessment of the CEPA toxicity criteria may be refined if required (i.e., all other TSMP criteria are met).

<sup>2</sup>The policy considers a substance "predominantly anthropogenic" if, based on expert judgement, its concentration in the environment medium is largely due to human activity, rather than to natural sources or releases.

<sup>3</sup> If the pesticide and/or the transformation product(s) meet one persistence criterion identified for one media (soil, water, sediment or air) than the criterion for persistence is considered to be met. <sup>4</sup>Field data (e.g., BAFs) are preferred over laboratory data (e.g., BCFs) which, in turn, are preferred over chemical properties (e.g., log K<sub>OW</sub>).

## List of Abbreviations

$\mu \mathbf{g}$	micrograms
a.i.	active ingredient
BAF	bioaccumulation factor
BCF	bioconcentration factor
bw	body weight
CEPA	Canadian Environmental Protection Act
cm	centimetres
d	day(s)
DT <sub>50</sub>	dissipation time 50% (the time required to observe a 50% decline in
	concentration)
DSC	differential scanning calorimetry
dw	dry weight
$EC_{25}$	effective concentration on 25% of the population
$EC_{50}$	effective concentration on 50% of the population
EEC	estimated environmental concentration
EDE	estimated dietary exposure
ELS	early life stage
FIR	food ingestion rate
g	gram
ĥ	hour
ha	hectare(s)
HC1	hydrochloric acid
HPLC	high-performance liquid chromatography
kg	kilogram
K <sub>oc</sub>	organic-carbon partition coefficient
K <sub>ow</sub>	octanol-water partition coefficient
L	litre
$LC_{50}$	lethal concentration 50%
$LD_{50}$	lethal dose 50%
LOC	level of concern
$LR_{50}$	lethal rate 50%
mg	milligram
mL	millilitre
MRL	maximum residue limit
MS	mass spectrometry
NA	not applicable
NOEC	no observed effect concentration
NOEL	no observed effect level
NOER	no observed effect rate
OC	
	organic carbon content dissociation constant
pKa DCDA	
PCPA DMD A	Pest Control Products Act
PMRA	Pest Management Regulatory Agency
ppb	parts per billion
RQ	risk quotient

t <sub>1/2</sub>	half-life
TSMP	Toxic Substances Management Policy
USEPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency
UV	ultraviolet spectrometry

## References

## 1.0 Chemistry

PMRA Document Number	Reference
1527043	1997, Technical Chemistry file CFP-NVTM-M. Index, Material Safety Data Sheet, Chemistry Summary, Manufacturing Process and Description, Formation of Impurities, Beginning Materials, Certification of Limits, Control Methodology and Batch Data, Preliminary Analysis, Analytical Method, Validation, Mass Spectroscopy, Spectra, Composition, HPLC/Thermal Energy Analyzer, Nitrosamines, Residue Method, Chemical and Physical Properties, Melting Point, Water Solubility, Solubility in Organic Solvents, Octanol/Water Partition Coefficient, Vapour Pressure, DACO 2.9.9 CBI
1993948	2009, FORMATION OF BY-PRODUCTS: CGA185072 - CLOQUINTOCET- MEXYL, DACO: 2.11.4 CBI
1830331	2008, CLOQUINTOCET-MEXYL: Analysis of ten representative production batches produced at [CBI removed], DACO: 2.13.3 CBI
1993950	2009, CLOQUINTOCET-MEXYL: Validation of Analytical Method AK-140/6 FINAL REPORT, DACO: 2.13.1 CBI
1527002	1993, Technical Chemistry file CFP-NVTM-M. Manufacturing Process, Purity and By-products, Chemical Composition, Analytical Method, Infra-Red Spectrum, Ultra Violet Spectroscopy, Mass Spectrum, NMR Spectrum, Method Validation, DACO 2.9.9 CBI
1527010	1993, Technical Chemistry file CFP-NVTM-M. Properties of the Pure Active Ingredient, Vapour Pressure Curve, Water Solubility, Dissociation Constant in Water, OctanollWater Partition Coefficient, Properties, Physicochemical Properties, Density of Solids, Solubility in Organic Solvents, Surface Tension, Flammability, Oxidizing, Explosive Properties, Thermal Stability, Corrosion, Storage Stability, Dioxine Statement, DACO 2.9.9 CBI
717725	2003, Analytical Method 35-01 for the Determination of NOA-407855 and Its Degradates NOA-407854 and NOA-447204 and CGA-185072 (Safener) and Its Degradate CGA-153433 in Soil by High Performance Liquid Chromatography with Mass Spectrometric Detection Amendment 1, DACO 8.2.2.1
717726	2003, Analytical Method 35-01 for the Determination of NOA-407855 and Its Degradates NOA-407854 and NOA-447204 and CGA-185072 (Safener) and Its Degradate CGA-153433 in Soil by High Performance Liquid Chromatography with Mass Spectrometric Detection Amendment 2, DACO 8.2.2.1
717728	2003, Independent Laboratory Validation: Syngenta Residue Analytical Method No. 35-01 "Analytical Method for the Determination of NOA-407855 and its Degradates NOA-407854 and NOA-447204 and CGA-185072 (Safener) and its Degradate CGA-153433 in Soil by High Performance Liquid Chromatography with Mass Spectrometric Detection", DACO 8.2.2.1

1002050	
1993958	2005, Analytical Method 2851-03 for the Determination of NOA-407855 and its Degradates NOA-407854 and NOA-447204 and CGA-185072 (Safener) and its Degradate CGA-153433 in Water by Direct Injection High Performance Liquid
	Chromatography with Mass Spectrometric Detection, DACO 8.2.2.3
1993959	Pinoxaden and Cloquintocet-mexyl Independent Laboratory Validation: Analytical Method 2851-03 for the Determination of NOA407855 and its Degradates NOA407854 and NOA447204 and CGA185072 (Safener) and its Degradate CGA153433 in Water by Direct Injection High Performance Liquid Chromatography with Mass Spectrometric Detection Validation, DACO 8.2.2.3
714628	2004, Residue Method for the Determination of Residues of NOA 407854, SYN 505164, SYN 502836, SYN 505887 (Metabolites of NOA 407855) and CGA 153433 (Metabolite of CGA 185072) in Cereal Samples, and Cereal Process Fractions. Final Determination by LC-MS/MS, DACO: 7.2.1
925617	2003, NOA 407854, SYN 505164, SNY 502836, SYN 505887 and CGA 153433: Independent Laboratory Validation of REM 199.03 Analytical Method for the Determination of Residues in Cereal Whole Plant and Grain, DACO: 7.2.1
714634	2003, Analytical Method for Determination of NOA 407855, NOA 407854, SYN 505164, SYN 502836 and CGA 153433 in Crops by LC/MS/MS Including Validation Data, DACO: 7.2.2
714638	2004, Independent Laboratory Validation of Syngenta Method 117-01, "Analytical Method for Determination of NOA 407855, NOA 407854, SYN 505164, SYN 502836 and CGA 153433 in Crops by LC/MS/MS including Validation Data" On Wheat (Forage, Straw, Grain and Aspirated Grain Fractions) and Barley (Hay and Grain), DACO 7.2.3
1993961	1997, CLODINAFOP-PROPARGYL (CGA 184927) AND CLOQUINTOCET- MEXYL (CGA 185072): DETERMINATION OF METABOLITES CGA 193469 AND CGA 153433 BY HPLC. ANIMAL PRODUCE. RESIDUE METHOD: REM 138.14, DACO: 8.2.2.4
1993962	2001, VALIDATION OF METHOD REM 138.14 BY ANALYSIS OF SPECIMENS FORTIFIED WITH CGA 193469 AND CGA 153433 AND DETERMINATION OF RECOVERIES, DACO: 8.2.2.4
1993963	1997, CLODINAFOP-PROPARGYL (CGA 184927) AND CLOQUINTOCET MEXYL (CGA 185072): DETERMINATION OF PARENT COMPOUNDS BY HPLC. ANIMAL PRODUCE. RESIDUE METHOD REM 138.15, DACO: 8.2.2.4
1993965	1997, Validation of Method REM 138.15: Validation by Analysis of Fortified Specimens and Determination of Recoveries, DACO: 8.2.2.4

## 2.0 Human and Animal Health

1123425	1992, 18-MONTH CARCINOGENICITY STUDY IN MICE, Test No. 861153,
	CGA 185072 tech. FINAL REPORT, DACO: 4.4.2
1128792	1991, Acute Oral Toxicity in the Mouse, Test No. 911300, CGA 185072 tech.
	Report, DACO: 4.2.1
1128804	1992, 24-Month Carcinogenicity and Chronic Toxicity Study in Rats, CGA
	185072 Technical, DACO: 4.4.1, 4.4.2
1156319	1991, DETERMINATION OF THE CONCENTRATIONS AND THE
	HOMOGENEITY OF CGA185072 TECH. IN DOG FEED 52-WEEK ORAL
	TOXICITY (FEEDING) STUDY IN THE DOG, DACO: 4.3.2
1169380	1987, FINAL REPORT: CGA 185072 TECH. ACUTE ORAL TOXICITY IN
	THE RAT, CGA 185072 tech., DACO: 4.2.1
1169390	1987, FINAL REPORT CGA 185072 TECH. ACUTE DERMAL TOXICITY
	IN THE RAT, CGA 185072 tech., DACO: 4.2.2
1169391	1987, CGA 185072 TECHNICAL: ACUTE INHALATION TOXICITY
	STUDY IN RATS - DETERMINATION OF THE LC(50) 4-HOUR SNOUT
	ONLY EXPOSURE, DACO: 4.2.3
1169392	1987, FINAL REPORT CGA 185072 TECH. ACUTE EYE
	IRRITATION/CORROSION STUDY IN THE RABBIT, DACO: 4.2.4
1169393	1987, FINAL REPORT CGA 185072 TECH. ACUTE DERMAL
	IRRITATION/CORROSION STUDY IN THE RABBIT, DACO: 4.2.5
1169394	1987, FINAL REPORT CGA 185072 TECH. SKIN SENSITIZATION TEST
	IN THE GUINEA PIG OPTIMIZATION TEST, DACO: 4.2.6
1807645	1989, The Metabolism of [3-14C] Quinoline CGA-185072 in the Rat After Oral
	Administration, DACO: 4.5.9
1807646	1987, CGA-185072: Structure elucidation of metabolites isolated from rat urine
	and faeces, DACO: 4.5.9
1807647	1990, The Metabolite Profiles in Urine, Bile and Feces Extracts of Rats After
	Administration of [3-14C] Quinoline CGA-185072, DACO: 4.5.9
1807648	1990, [3-14C] Quinoline CGA-185072: Absorption, Distribution and Excretion
	in the Rat: Volumes I and II, DACO: 4.5.9
1807652	1988, FINAL REPORT CGA-185072 tech.: 28-DAY ORAL CUMULATIVE
	TOXICITY STUDY IN RATS (GAVAGE), DACO: 4.3.3
1807653	1989, CGA-185072 Technical: 3-Month Oral Toxicity Study in Rats
	(Administration in Food) - Final Report Test No.: 861150, DACO: 4.3.1
1807655	1989, CGA-185072 Technical: 13-Week Feeding Study (Oral Toxicity) in Dogs
	- Project No.: 861151, DACO: 4.3.2
1807658	1988, CGA-185072 Technical: 28-Day Repeated Dose Dermal Toxicity in the
	Rat - Final Report Test No.: 861146, DACO: 4.3.3
1807661	1991, CGA-185072 Technical: Two-Generation Oral (Dietary Administration)
	Reproduction Toxicity Study in the Rat on CGA-185072 Technical - Final
	Report (One Litter Per Generation) - Report No.: 864-380-099, DACO: 4.5.1
1807663	1989, Developmental Toxicity (Teratogenicity) Study with CGA-185072
	Technical in Rabbits - Final Report - Test No.: 861157, DACO: 4.5.3

1807665	1989, Development Toxicity (Teratogenicity) Study with CGA-185072
	Technical in Rats - Final Report - Test No.: 861155, DACO: 4.5.2
1807666	1990, CGA-185072: Salmonella and Escherichia/Liver-Microsome Test - Test
	No. 891413, DACO: 4.5.4
1807667	1987, CGA-185072 Technical: Test System: Autoradiographic DNA-Repair
	Test on Human Fibroblasts (OECD-Conform) - Test No. 871002, DACO: 4.5.5
1807668	1987, CGA-185072 Technical: Test System: Autoradiographic DNA-Repair
	Test on Rat Hepatocytes (OECD-Conform) - Test No. 871001, DACO: 4.5.5
1807669	1987, CGA-185072 Technical: Salmonella Cobas Bact Pilot Test - Test
	No.:861162, DACO: 4.5.4
1807670	1987, CGA-185072 Technical: Micronucleus Test (Chinese Hamster) – Test
	No. 861159, DACO: 4.5.5
1807671	1987, CGA-185072 Technical: Point Mutation Test with Chinese Hamster Cells
	V79 (OECD-Conform) - Test No. 871003, DACO: 4.5.7
1807672	1987, CGA-185072 Technical: Chromosome Studies on Human Lymphocytes
	in Vitro - Test No. 861161, DACO: 4.5.7
2151689	1990, Oral Toxicity - 52-Week Feeding Study in Dogs, DACO: 4.8

## **3.0** Impact on the Environment

## **Environmental Fate**

PMRA #	
1128813	Reference
	1993, ASSESSMENT- CGA 185072 ENVIRONMENTAL BEHAVIOUR, DACO: 8.1
	1991, REPORT ON WATER SOLUBILITY (CGA 185072), DACO: 8.2.1
	1991, REPORT ON OCTANOL/WATER PARTITION COEFFICIENT (CGA
	185072), DACO: 8.2.1
	1992, REPORT ON VAPOUR PRESSURE CURVE (CGA 185072), DACO: 8.2.1
	Hydrolysis of CGA 185072 Under Laboratory Conditions (CGA 185072), DACO:
	8.2.1
	1993, Calculation of the Photolytic Half Life of CGA 185072 and its Hydrolysis
	Product CGA 153433 in Surface Waters Assuming Direct Phototransformation with
	Quantum Yields of 1.0 and 0.1, DACO: 8.2.1
1128784	1990, DETERMINATION OF RESIDUES OF PARENT COMPOUNDS BY
	LIQUID CHROMATOGRAPHY, DACO: 8.2.2.1
1128785	1992, Determination of Residues of Metabolites CGA 193469 and CGA 153433 by
•	Liquid Chromatography (HPLC), DACO: 8.2.2.1
1128786	1991, Determination of Residues of Metabolites CGA 193469 and CGA 153433 by
	Liquid Chromatography (HPLC), DACO: 8.2.2.1
	2003, EDDENET Template – Analytical Method 35-01 Amendment 2 (Soil) -
	Residues in Soil, DACO: 8.2.2.1
	2003, Analytical Method 35-01 for the Determination of NOA-407855 and Its
	Degradates NOA-407854 and NOA-447204 and CGA-185072 (Safener) and Its
	Degradate CGA-153433 in Soil by High Performance Liquid Chromatography with
	Mass Spectrometric Detection Amendment 2, DACO : 8.2.2.1
	2003, EDDENET Template – Independent Laboratory Validation for Analytical
	Method 35-01 Amendment 2 (Soil), DACO: 8.2.2.1
	2003, Independent Laboratory Validation: Syngenta Residue Analytical Method
	No.35-01 "Analytical Method for the Determination of NOA 407855 and its
	Degradates NOA 407854 and NOA 447204 and CGA 185072 (Safener) and its
	Degradate CGA 153433 in Soil by High Performance Liquid Chromatography with Mass Spectrometric Detection", DACO 8.2.2.1
	2003, Stability of NOA 407855, NOA 447204, CGA 185072 and CGA 153433 in
	Soil Under Freezer Storage Conditions, DACO: 8.2.2.1
	2005, Analytical Method 2851-03 for the Determination of NOA-407855 and its
	Degradates NOA-407854 and NOA-447204 and CGA-185072 (Safener) and its
	Degradate CGA-153433 in Water by Direct Injection High Performance Liquid
	Chromatography with Mass Spectrometric Detection, DACO 8.2.2.3
	2006, Pinoxaden and Cloquintocet-mexyl Independent Laboratory Validation:
	Analytical Method 2851-03 for the Determination of NOA407855 and its
	Degradates NOA407854 and NOA447204 and CGA185072 (Safener) and its
	Degradate CGA153433 in Water by Direct Injection High Performance Liquid
	Chromatography with Mass Spectrometric Detection, DACO 8.2.2.3

PMRA #	Reference
1993961	1997, CLODINAFOP-PROPARGYL (CGA 184927) AND CLOQUINTOCET-
	MEXYL (CGA 185072): DETERMINATION OF METABOLITES CGA 193469
	AND CGA 153433 BY HPLC. ANIMAL PRODUCE. RESIDUE METHOD: REM
	138.14, DACO: 8.2.2.4
1993962	2001, VALIDATION OF METHOD REM 138.14 BY ANALYSIS OF
	SPECIMENS FORTIFIED WITH CGA 193469 AND CGA 153433 AND
	DETERMINATION OF RECOVERIES, DACO: 8.2.2.4
1993963	1997, CLODINAFOP-PROPARGYL (CGA 184927) AND CLOQUINTOCET
	MEXYL (CGA 185072): DETERMINATION OF PARENT COMPOUNDS BY
	HPLC. ANIMAL PRODUCE. RESIDUE METHOD REM 138.15, DACO: 8.2.2.4
1993965	1997, VALIDATION of Method REM 138.15 – Validation by Analysis of Fortified
	Specimens and Determination of Recoveries, DACO: 8.2.2.4
1128772	1991, AQUEOUS PHOTOLYSIS OF CGA 185 072 UNDER LABORATORY
	CONDITIONS, DACO: 8.2.3.1
1128779	1992, DEGRADATION OF CGA 185072 IN SOIL UNDER AEROBIC,
	AEROBIC/ANAEROBIC AND STERILE/AEROBIC CONDITIONS AT 20°C,
	DACO: 8.2.3.1
1128782	1992, RATE OF DEGRADATION OF CGA 185072 IN AN AEROBIC SOIL AT
	VARIOUS CONDITIONS, DACO: 8.2.3.1
1128783	RATE OF DEGRADATION OF CGA 185072 UNDER AEROBIC, ANAEROBIC
	AND STERILE CONDITIONS IN AN AQUATIC SYSTEM AT TWO
	TEMPERATURES, DACO: 8.2.3.1
1128771	1993, SOIL PHOTOLYSIS OF CGA 185072 UNDER LABORATORY
	CONDITIONS, DACO: 8.2.3.3.1
1128780	1991, DEGRADATION OF CGA 185072 IN TWO SOILS UNDER AEROBIC
	CONDITIONS AT 20°C, DACO: 8.2.3.4.2
1128776	1991, LEACHING CHARACTERISTICS OF AGED RESIDUES OF <sup>14</sup> C-CGA
	185072 IN TWO SOILS AFTER 200 MM OF ARTIFICAL RAINFALL, DACO:
	8.2.4.1
1128778	1991, LEACHING MODEL STUDY WITH CGA 185072 IN FOUR SOIL TYPES,
	DACO: 8.2.4.1
1128775	1990, ADSORPTION/DESORPTION OF CGA 185072 IN VARIOUS SOIL
	TYPES, DACO: 8.2.4.2
1128777	1991, LEACHING CHARACTERISTICS OF AGED RESIDUES OF <sup>14</sup> C-CGA
	185072 IN TWO SOILS AFTER 508 MM OF ARTIFICAL RAINFALL, DACO:
	8.2.4.3.2

## Environmental Toxicology

PMRA #	Reference
1807673	1991, CGA-185072: ENVIRONMENTAL TOXICOLOGY AND FATE, DACO:
	9.1 CBI
1128803	1993, SUMMARY OF TOXICITY TO NON-TARGET INVERTEBRATES -
	Cloquintocet mexyl (CGA 185072), DACO: 9.2.1
1807684	1989, REPORT: EARTHWORM, ACUTE TOXICITY TEST of CGA-185072
	Technical to Earthworm (Elsenia foetida), DACO: 9.2.3.1

PMRA #	Reference
1807683	1987, THE ACUTE CONTACT AND ORAL TOXICITY TO HONEY BEES OF
	COMPOUND CGA-185072, DACO: 9.2.4.1, 9.2.4.2
1128794	1992, THE EFFECTS OF CGA 185072 ON SOIL RESPIRATION AND
	NITRIFICATION, DACO: 9.2.7
1182000	1993, Horizon 240EC (Topik 240EC): (CGA 184927 + CGA 185072 A-8588C
	Toxicity to Wildlife Assessment, DACO: 9.2.7, 9.4.1, 9.5.1, 9.8.1
1157877	1993, CGA 153433- ACUTE TOXICITY TO DAPHNIDS (DAPHNIA MAGNA)
	UNDER STATIC RENEWAL CONDITIONS, DACO: 9.3.2
1807685	1988, REPORT ON THE TEST FOR ACUTE TOXICITY OF CGA-185072
	TECHNICAL TO Daphnia magna, DACO: 9.3.2
1807686	1990, Report on the reproduction test of CGA-185072 to Daphnia (Daphnia magna
	Straus 1820), DACO: 9.3.3
1128801	1993, SUMMARY OF TOXICITY TO FISH, DACO: 9.5.1
1128789	1992, Report on the acute toxicity test of CGA 153433T to Rainbow Trout
	(CLOQUINTOCET-MEXYL), DACO: 9.5.2.1
1807679	1988, Report: Test for Acute Toxicity of CGA-185072 Technical to Rainbow Trout
	(Salmo gairdneri), DACO: 9.5.2.1
1128788	1982, Report on the acute toxicity test of CGA 153433 T to Catfish (Ictalurus
1100-00	punctatus), DACO: 9.5.2.2
1128790	1992, Report on the acute toxicity test of CGA 153433 T to Common Carp
1100701	(Cyprinus carpio), DACO: 9.5.2.2
1128791	1992, Report on the acute toxicity test of CGA 153433T to BLUEGILL (Lepomis
1007(01	macrochirus), DACO: 9.5.2.2
1807681	1988, REPORT ON THETEST FOR ACUTE TOXICITY OF CGA-185072
1007(70	TECHNICAL TO BLUEGILL (Lepomis macrochirus), DACO: 9.5.2.2
1807678	1989, REPORT: TEST FOR ACUTE TOXICITY of CGA-185072 technical to
1007600	Catfish (Ictalurus punctatus), DACO: 9.5.2.3
1807680	1988, Report: Test for Acute Toxicity of CGA-185072 technical to Common Carp
1807682	(Cyprinus carpio), DACO: 9.5.3.2
160/082	1990, Report on the prolonged toxicity test of CGA-185072 technical to rainbow trout, DACO: 9.5.3.2
1128793	1993, NOTE TO REVIEWER - BIOACCUMULATION IN FISH, DACO: 9.5.6
1807674	1993, NOTE TO REVIEWER - BIOACCOMOLATION IN TISH, DACO. 9.3.0 1988, ACUTE ORAL TOXICITY (LD50) OF CGA-185072 TO THE BOBWHITE
160/0/4	QUAIL, DACO: 9.6.2.1
1807675	1989, ACUTE ORAL TOXICITY (LD50) OF CGA-185072 TO THE MALLARD
1807075	DUCK, DACO: 9.6.2.2
1807676	1989, THE DIETARY TOXICITY (LC50) OF CGA-185072 TO THE BOBWHITE
1007070	QUAIL, DACO: 9.6.2.4
1807677	1990, THE SUBACUTE DIETARY TOXICITY (LC50) OF CGA-185072 TO THE
1007077	MALLARD DUCK, DACO: 9.6.2.5
1157876	1993, CGA 185072 BOBWHITE QUAIL DIETARY REPRODUCTION AND
110,010	TOLERANCE STUDIES Volume 1, DACO: 9.6.3.1
1157875	1993, CGA 185072 MALLARD DUCK DIETARY REPRODUCTION AND
	TOLERANCE STUDIES, DACO: 9.6.3.2
	/

PMRA #	Reference
1128805	1993, cga 185072 cloquintocet-mexyl SUMMARYOF TOXICITY TO ALGAE,
	DACO: 9.8.1
1128796	1993, Report on the growth inhibition test of CGA 185072 tech. to Diatoms
	(Navicula pelliculosa), DACO: 9.8.2
1128797	1993, Report on the growth inhibition test of CGA 185072 tech. to Blue Algae
	(Microcystis aeruginosa), DACO: 9.8.2
1128798	1993, Report on the growth inhibition test of CGA 153433T to Green Algae
	(Scenedesmus subspicatus), DACO: 9.8.2
1128799	1993, Report on the growth inhibition test of CGA 153433T to Diatoms (Navicula
	pelliculosa), DACO: 9.8.2
1128800	1993, Report on the growth inhibition test of CGA 153433T to Blue Algae
	(Microcystis aeruginosa), DACO: 9.8.2
1807687	1988, REPORT on the ALGA, GROWTH INHIBITION TEST with CGA-185072
	technical, DACO: 9.8.2
1128795	1993, Report on the growth inhibition test of CGA 185072 tech. to Green Algae
	(Scenedesmus subspicatus), DACO: 9.8.2, 9.8.3

ISSN: 1911-8082

## Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Canada, represented by the Minister of Public Works and Government Services Canada 2014

All rights reserved. No part of this information (publication or product) may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical photocopying, recording or otherwise, or stored in a retrieval system, without prior written permission of the Minister of Public Works and Government Services Canada, Ottawa, Ontario K1A 085.