

Evaluation Report for Category B, Subcategory 3.11 and 3.4 Application

Application Number: 2008-1610

Application: Changes to Product labels – New Pests and Application Method

Product: Aminopyralid Herbicide

Registration Number: 28137

Active ingredients (a.i.): Aminopyralid PMRA Document Number: 1829817

Purpose of Application

The purpose of this application was to amend the registration of Aminopyralid Herbicide (Registration No. 28137) label to include control or suppression claims for 15 new weed species to applications of 70, 90 and 120 g a.i./ha of aminopyralid. In addition, the registrant also requested the creation of a Special Use: Invasive Plant Management section on the Aminopyralid Herbicide label for invasive plant management. The Special Use: Invasive Plant Management section would list control claims for species which may or may not be present in Canada, however, were deemed to have the potential for invasion into Canada or for further spread to areas presently unaffected.

Chemistry Assessment

A chemistry assessment was not required for this application.

Health Assessments

The new uses of Aminopyralid Herbicide are not expected to result in an increase in potential occupational or bystander exposure over the currently registered uses of aminopyralid. No unacceptable risk is expected when workers follow label directions and wear personal protective equipment as recommended on the label.

No new residue data were submitted to support this application. Adding new pests and invasive plant management to the label does not have any impact on the magnitude of aminopyralid residues. Therefore, the dietary exposure is not expected to increase and does not pose an unacceptable risk to any segment of the population, including infants, children, adults and seniors.



Environmental Assessment

An environmental assessment had previously been conducted for Aminopyralid Herbicide. The addition of new weed claims does not result in a change in environmental exposure or risk to the environment.

Value Assessment

Efficacy data were submitted from 182 field trials conducted in locations in Canada, USA, UK, France, Austria, New Zealand, and Poland from the year 2000 through 2007 in which the formulation was applied at 70, 90 or 120 g a.i./ha in non-crop situations.

The submitted data support a claim of control for common ragweed, yellow star thistle, oxeye daisy, plumeless thistle, Canada fleabane, musk thistle, tall buttercup, perennial sow thistle, horsenettle for the application of 70 g a.i./ha aminopyralid. A claim of suppression of western ragweed and curly dock is supported for the application of 70 g a.i./ha aminopyralid. A claim of control of cudweed, western ragweed and curly dock for the application of 90 g a.i./ha is also supported. A control claim for prickly lettuce for the application of 120 g a.i./ha aminopyralid is supported from an efficacy perspective. Crop tolerance data are not required as the intended use site is for non-crop purposes.

The creation of a Special Use Section entitled "Invasive Plant Management" on the Aminopyralid Herbicide label can be supported from a value perspective based on the rationale provided by the registrant. The following control and/or suppression claims can be supported based on the data provided: control claims for common broomweed, hairy buttercup, tropic croton, tropical soda apple, bull thistle and suppression of sulfur cinquefoil for the application of 70 g a.i./ha aminopyralid; control claims for bitter sneezeweed, hairy fleabane and tansy ragwort for the application of 90 g a.i./ha aminopyralid. In addition, control claims for tall ironweed, Fuller's teasel and a claim of suppression for Russian knapweed for the application of 120 g a.i./ha aminopyralid can be supported from a value perspective based on the data provided.

Conclusion

Following an assessment of the data provided, the PMRA can support the addition of specific weed species and the creation of a Special Use Section entitled "Invasive Plant Management" on the Aminopyralid Herbicide label. Supported weed species and rates are as described in the Value Assessment section above.

References

1595449	2007, Value Summary, DACO: 10.1
1595452	2007, Small Scale Field Trial Summary, DACO: 10.2.3.1
1595453	2007, U.S. Weeds Master - Milestone: Individual Trials, DACO: 10.2.3.3
1595454	2007, Efficacy of XDE-750 Applied Alone and in Mixture Against CIRAR,
	RUMOB, URTDI and TAROF, DACO: 10.2.3.3
1595455	2007, Canada Thistle with XR-750 at Prebud, Glade Spring, VA, DACO: 10.2.3.3

1595456	2007, Efficacy of XDE-750 Applied Alone and in Mixture Against Senecio
	Jacobaea in Established Pasture, DACO: 10.2.3.3
1595457	2007, XR-750 (GF-389) Alone and in Combination for Roadside Weed Control,
	DACO: 10.2.3.3
1595458	2007, Efficacy of XR-750 as the Potassium and Amine Salts, DACO: 10.2.3.3
1727973	2009, Tansy Ragwort Rationale, DACO 10

ISSN: 1911-8082

Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Canada, represented by the Minister of Public Works and Government Services Canada 2010

All rights reserved. No part of this information (publication or product) may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical photocopying, recording or otherwise, or stored in a retrieval system, without prior written permission of the Minister of Public Works and Government Services Canada, Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0S5.