Agence de réglementation de la lutte antiparasitaire

# PRD2007-10

# PROPOSED REGISTRATION DECISION

# Streptomyces Iydicus strain WYEC 108

# **Actinovate SP**

(publié aussi en français)

K1A 0K9

**14 September 2007** 

Internet: pmra\_publications@hc-sc.gc.ca

www.pmra-arla.gc.ca

This document is published by the Health Canada Pest Management Regulatory Agency. For further information, please contact:

Publications
Pest Management Regulatory Agency
Health Canada
2720 Riverside Drive
A.L. 6605C
Ottawa, Ontario

Facsimile: 613-736-3758 Information Service:

1-800-267-6315 or 613-736-3799 pmra\_infoserv@hc-sc.gc.ca



ISBN: 978-0-662-46817-2 (978-0-662-46818-9)

Catalogue number: H113-9/2007-10E (H113-9/2007-10E-PDF)

# $\odot$ Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Canada, represented by the Minister of Public Works and Government Services Canada 2007

All rights reserved. No part of this information (publication or product) may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical photocopying, recording or otherwise, or stored in a retrieval system, without prior written permission of the Minister of Public Works and Government Services Canada, Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0S5.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

OVE		T						
	Propo	osed Registration Decision for Actinovate SP	1					
	What	Does Health Canada Consider When Making a Registration Decision?	1					
		Is Actinovate SP?						
	Healt	h Considerations	3					
	Envir	onmental Considerations	4					
	Value	e Considerations	5					
		ures to Minimize Risk						
		Steps						
		Information						
SCIE	NCE E	VALUATION	7					
1.0		Active Substance, its Properties and Uses	7					
	1.1	Identity of the Active Ingredient	7					
	1.2	Physical and Chemical Properties of the Active Ingredients and						
		End-Use Product	8					
	1.3	Directions for Use	9					
	1.4	Mode of Action	9					
2.0	Meth	Methods of Analysis						
	2.1	Characterization of the Microorganism and Manufacturing Methods						
	2.2	Methods for Identification of the Microorganism						
	2.3	Methods to Define the Content of the Microorganism in the Manufactured						
		Material Used for the Production of Formulated Products						
	2.4	Methods for Determination of Relevant Impurities in the						
		Manufactured Material	10					
	2.5	Methods to Determine Storage Stability, Shelf-life of the Microorganism						
3.0	Impo	ct on Human and Animal Health	11					
3.0	3.1	Toxicity and Infectivity Summary						
	3.1	Occupational and Bystander Exposure and Risk Assessment						
	3.2	1 ,						
		3.2.1 Occupational						
	2.2	3.2.2 Bystander						
	3.3	Dietary Exposure and Risk Assessment						
		3.3.1 Food						
		3.3.2 Drinking Water						
	2.4	3.3.3 Acute and Chronic Dietary Risks for Sensitive Subpopulations						
	3.4	Maximum Residue Limits						
	3.5	Aggregate Exposure						
	3.6	Cumulative Effects	16					

4.0	Impact	on the	Environment	. 16
	4.1	Fate ar	nd Behaviour in the Environment	. 16
	4.2	Effects	s on Non-Target Species	. 17
		4.2.1	Effects on Terrestrial Organisms	
		4.2.2	Effects on Aquatic Organisms	
5.0	Value			21
2.0	5.1		veness Against Pests	
	3.1	5.1.1	Acceptable Efficacy Claims	
			Fungicide Tank Mix Combinations	
		5.1.3	Crop Grouping	
	5.2		oxicity to Host Plants	
	5.3	•	t on Succeeding Crops	
	5.4		mics	
	5.5		nability	
	0.0	5.5.1	Survey of Alternatives	
		5.5.2	Compatibility with Current Management Practices Including	
		0.0.2	Integrated Pest Management	. 23
		5.5.3	Information on the Occurrence or Possible Occurrence of the	. 20
		0.0.0	Development of Resistance	. 24
		5.5.4	Contribution to Risk Reduction and Sustainability	
			·	
6.0	Formu		d Microcontaminants of Health or Environmental Concern	
	6.1		Substances Management Policy Considerations	
	6.2	Formu	lants of Health Concern	. 25
7.0	Summ	arv		25
7.0	7.1		ds for Analysis of the Micro-organism as Manufactured	
	7.2		1 Health and Safety	
	7.3		nmental Risk	
	7.4			
8.0	Propos	ed Reg	ulatory Decision	28
0.0	Tropos	ica Reg	ulatory Decision	. 20
List of	Abbrev	iations		. 29
Appen	dix I	Tables	and Figures	. 30
	Table		Toxicity and Infectivity of Streptomyces lydicus strain WYEC 108	
	Tol.1. /	,	and Its Associated End-Use Product (Actinovate SP)	
	Table 2	<b>L</b>	Toxicity to Non-Target Species	. 32
Refere	nces			. 36

# **OVERVIEW**

# **Proposed Registration Decision for Actinovate SP**

Health Canada's Pest Management Regulatory Agency (PMRA), under the authority of the <u>Pest Control Products Act</u> and Regulations, is proposing full registration for the sale and use of <u>Streptomyces lydicus</u> WYEC 108 and the end-use product Actinovate SP, both containing the active ingredient <u>Streptomyces lydicus</u> strain WYEC 108, to suppress Botrytis fruit rot and powdery mildew on field and greenhouse strawberries as well as powdery mildew on field and greenhouse peppers and Gerber daisies.

An evaluation of available scientific information found that, under the approved conditions of use, the end-use product has value and does not present an unacceptable risk to human health or the environment.

This Proposed Registration Decision is a consultation document<sup>2</sup> that summarizes the science evaluation of *Streptomyces lydicus* WYEC 108 and Actinovate SP and presents the reasons for the proposed decision. It also proposes additional risk-reduction measures that will be required to further protect human health and the environment.

This overview describes the key points of the evaluation, while the Science Evaluation section provides detailed technical information on human health, environmental and value assessment of *Streptomyces lydicus* WYEC 108 and Actinovate SP.

The PMRA will accept written comments on this proposal up to 45 days from the date of publication of this document. Please forward all comments to Publications (please see contact information indicated on the cover page of this document).

# What Does Health Canada Consider When Making a Registration Decision?

The key objective of the *Pest Control Products Act* is to prevent unacceptable risks<sup>3</sup> to people and the environment from the use of pest control products. Health or environmental risk is considered acceptable if there is reasonable certainty that no harm to human health, future generations or the environment will result from use or exposure to the product under its

As per subsection 28(1) of the *Pest Control Products Act*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> "Consultation statement" as required by subsection 28(2) of the *Pest Control Products Act*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> "Acceptable risks" as defined by subsection 2(2) of the *Pest Control Products Act*.

conditions or proposed conditions of registration. The Act also requires that products have value<sup>4</sup> when used according to the label directions. Conditions of registration may include special precautionary measures on the product label to further reduce risk.

To reach its decisions, the PMRA applies hazard and risk assessment methods as well as policies that are rigorous and modern. These methods consider the unique characteristics of sensitive subpopulations in humans (e.g. children) as well as organisms in the environment (e.g. those most sensitive to environmental contaminants). These methods and policies also consider the nature of the effects observed and the uncertainties present when predicting the impact of pesticides. For more information on how the PMRA regulates pesticides, the assessment process and risk-reduction programs, please visit the PMRA's website at <a href="https://www.pmra-arla.gc.ca">www.pmra-arla.gc.ca</a>.

Before making a registration decision on *S. lydicus* WYEC 108 and Actinovate SP, the PMRA will consider all comments received from the public in response to this consultation document<sup>5</sup>. The PMRA will then publish a Registration Decision document<sup>6</sup> on *S. lydicus* WYEC 108 and Actinovate SP, which will include the decision, the reasons for it, a summary of comments received on the proposed registration decision and the PMRA's response to these comments.

For more details on the information presented in this Overview, please refer to the Science Evaluation section of this consultation document.

#### What Is Actinovate SP?

Actinovate SP is a biological fungicide containing the bacteria *Streptomyces lydicus* strain WYEC 108 to suppress fungal diseases on field and greenhouse strawberries, peppers and Gerber daisies.

Streptomyces lydicus strain WYEC 108 works by invading and growing within the fungal pathogens, where it releases enzymes that break down the cell wall of fungi. It also readily grows on the tip of plant roots, which protects the plant's roots from plant pathogens by competing with and displacing fungi that may cause disease and by excreting metabolites that target disease fungi.

.

<sup>&</sup>quot;Value" as defined by subsection 2(1) of the *Pest Control Products Act*: "...the product's actual or potential contribution to pest management, taking into account its conditions or proposed conditions of registration, and includes the product's (a) efficacy; (b) effect on host organisms in connection with which it is intended to be used; and (c) health, safety and environmental benefits and social and economic impact".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> "Consultation statement" as required by subsection 28(2) of the *Pest Control Products Act*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> "Decision statement" as required by subsection 28(5) of the *Pest Control Products Act*.

# Health Considerations

# **♦** Can Approved Uses of *S. lydicus* strain WYEC 108 Affect Human Health?

Streptomyces lydicus strain WYEC 108 is unlikely to affect your health when used according to the label directions.

People could be exposed to *S. lydicus* strain WYEC 108 when handling and applying the product. The PMRA considers several key factors when assessing health risks: the microorganism's biological properties (e.g. production of toxic byproducts), reports of any adverse incidents, its potential for pathogenicity, infectivity and toxicity as determined in toxicological studies as well as the likely levels to which people may be exposed to this strain relative to exposures already encountered in nature to other strains of the microorganism.

Toxicology studies in laboratory animals describe potential health effects from large doses in hopes of identifying any potential pathogenicity, infectivity and toxicity concerns.

An alternate formulation of Actinovate SP was mildly irritating to the eyes of test animals. Consequently, the signal words "Caution—Skin Irritant" and "May irritate eyes. Avoid contact with eyes" are required on the label.

No other significant toxicity and no signs of pathogenicity or infectivity were observed when *S. lydicus* strain WYEC 108 was tested on laboratory animals.

#### **♦** Residues in Water and Food

#### Dietary risks from food and water are not of concern.

The *Food and Drugs Act* prohibits the sale of food containing a pesticide residue that exceeds the established maximum residue limit (MRL). Each MRL value determines the maximum concentration in parts per million (ppm) of a pesticide allowed in or on certain foods. Pesticide MRLs are established for the *Food and Drugs Act* purposes through the evaluation of scientific data under the *Pest Control Products Act*. Food containing a pesticide residue that does not exceed the established MRL does not pose an unacceptable health risk.

Streptomyces lydicus strains are common in soil, and application of Actinovate SP to strawberry, pepper and Gerber daisy in fields and commercial greenhouses is not expected to significantly increase the natural environmental background levels of this microorganism. No adverse health effects have been attributed to dietary exposure from natural populations of *S. lydicus*, and none were observed during acute oral toxicity testing. The establishment of a MRL is, therefore, not required for *S. lydicus* strain WYEC 108. Furthermore, the likelihood of residues of *S. lydicus* strain WYEC 108

contaminating drinking water supplies is negligible to non-existent. Consequently, dietary exposure and risk are minimal to non-existent.

## **♦** Occupational Risks From Handling Actinovate SP

Occupational risks are not of concern when Actinovate SP is used according to the label directions, which include protective measures.

Potential exposure to workers and pesticide handlers from *S. lydicus* strain WYEC 108 is not expected to pose any undue risk. Pesticide applicators handling or applying Actinovate SP and field workers re-entering fields where crops were sprayed, can come into direct contact with *S. lydicus* strain WYEC 108 on the skin, in the eyes or by inhalation. For this reason, the label will specify that applicators and other handlers of Actinovate SP must wear personal protective equipment including waterproof gloves, a long-sleeved shirt, long pants and shoes and socks. Mixer/loaders and applicators must additionally wear a dust/mist filtering mask. Furthermore, early-entry workers will be restricted from entering fields or greenhouses treated with Actinovate SP for up to one hour after spraying or until the solution has dried, unless they are wearing the appropriate personal protective equipment.

Streptomyces lydicus strain WYEC 108 could cause hypersensitivity, especially in people exposed repeatedly to high concentrations of this microbe. The signal words "POTENTIAL SENSITIZER" and precautionary statement "May cause sensitization" are required on the product label to warn workers of this potential hazard. Personal protective equipment and the restricted-entry interval will further mitigate any potential risk to workers and handlers.

For bystanders, exposure is expected to be much less than that of field workers and is considered negligible. Therefore, health risks to bystanders are not of concern.

#### Environmental Considerations

#### **♦** What Happens When Actinovate SP Is Introduced Into the Environment?

# Environmental risks are not of concern.

There are no published reports of disease associated with *S. lydicus* in wild mammals, birds, earthworms, bees and other arthropods, aquatic invertebrates, fish, algae and aquatic plants. Furthermore, a study designed to examine the effects of *S. lydicus* strain WYEC 108 on fish reported no adverse effects. Therefore, *S. lydicus* strain WYEC 108 is expected to present a negligible risk to these non-target organisms.

While there were no reports in published literature of plant disease caused by *S. lydicus*, literature indicated that certain *Streptomyces* species, such as *S. scabies*, *S. acidiscabies* and *S. turgidiscabies* causing "potato scab" on potato, are known plant pathogens. The

applicant referred to growth chamber studies and small-scale field trials conducted with this microbial pest control agent, which demonstrated there were no deleterious effects on potato. Based on the lack of phytotoxicity reported in efficacy trials conducted with Actinovate SP on strawberry, Gerber daisy and bell pepper (see Section 5.2), there is reasonable certainty that no deleterious effects are anticipated on non-target plants.

# **Value Considerations**

#### **♦** What Is the Value of Actinovate SP?

The registration of Actinovate SP would result in an additional non-chemical fungicide for Canadian growers, particularly in the greenhouse industry. It could be used as a resistance management tool because the active ingredient has a multiple-site mode of action. Actinovate SP could also be used as an alternative fungicide in organic fruit production.

## Measures to Minimize Risk

Labels of registered pesticide products include specific instructions for use. Directions include risk-reduction measures to protect human and environmental health. These directions must be followed by law.

The PMRA is proposing key risk-reduction measures on the label of Actinovate SP to address the potential risks identified in this assessment.

#### **♦** Human Health

As a standard precaution, anyone handling or applying Actinovate SP must wear waterproof gloves, a long-sleeved shirt, long pants and shoes plus socks. In addition, mixers/loaders and applicators must wear a dust/mist filtering mask. Furthermore, early-entry workers will be restricted from entering fields and greenhouses treated with Actinovate SP for up to one hour after spraying or until solution has dried, unless the appropriate personal protective equipment is worn.

#### **♦** Environment

As a general precaution, handlers are advised not to contaminate irrigation or drinking water or aquatic habitats while cleaning equipment or disposing of waste.

# **Next Steps**

Before making a registration decision on *Streptomyces lydicus* WYEC 108 and Actinovate SP, the PMRA will consider all comments received from the public in response to this consultation document. The PMRA will then publish a Registration Decision document, which will include the decision, the reasons for it, a summary of comments received on the proposed decision and the Agency's response to these comments.

# **Other Information**

At the time the PMRA makes its registration decision, the test data on which the decision is based will be available for public inspection, upon application, in the PMRA's Reading Room (located in Ottawa).

# **SCIENCE EVALUATION**

# Streptomyces lydicus strain WYEC 108

# 1.0 The Active Substance, its Properties and Uses

## 1.1 Identity of the Active Ingredient

**Active micro-organism** Streptomyces lydicus strain WYEC 108

Suppression of Botrytis fruit rot (Botrytis cinerea) and powdery mildew (Sphaerotheca macularis) on field and

greenhouse strawberry, suppression of powdery mildew (*Erysiphe cichoracearum*) in field and greenhouse Gerber

daisy and for suppression of powdery mildew (Leveillula

taurica) on field and greenhouse peppers.

**Binomial name** Streptomyces lydicus strain WYEC 108

**Taxonomic designation** 

**Function** 

Kingdom Eubacteria

Phylum Actinobacteria

Class Actinobacteria

**Order** Actinomycetales

Family Streptomycetaceae

Genus Streptomyces

**Species** *lydicus* 

Strain WYEC 108

**Patent Status Information** United States patent Number 5,403,584.

**Minimum purity of Active**  $2.7 \times 10^8$  colony forming units (CFU)/g

Identity of relevant impurities of toxicological, environmental and/or significance.

The technical grade active ingredient does not contain any impurities or microcontaminants known to be TSMP Track-1 substances. The product must meet microbiological contaminants release standards and no mammalian toxins are

known to be produced by S. lydicus strain WYEC 108.

# 1.2 Physical and Chemical Properties of the Active Ingredients and End-Use Product

# Technical Product—Streptomyces lydicus WYEC 108

Property	Result		
Colour	pale white to cream		
Odour	slightly sweet		
Physical State	powder		
Formulation type	live organism		
Guarantee	$2.7 \times 10^8  \text{CFU/g}$		
Container material and description	plastic pouches (250 g)		
Density	0.2–0.8 g/cc		
pH of 1% dispersion in water	7		
Oxidizing or reducing action	not corrosive		
Storage Stability	Up to 14 months when stored at room temperature (21–26°C)		

# **End-Use Product—Actinovate SP**

Property	Result	
Colour	pale white to cream	
Odour	slight odour of rich soil	
Physical state	powder	
Formulation type	live organism	
Guarantee	$1.0 \times 10^7  \text{CFU/g}$	
Container material and description	plastic jars (562 mL <sup>1</sup> )	
Density	5.5 g/cc	
pH of 1% dispersion in water	7	
Oxidizing or reducing action	not corrosive	
Storage stability	up to 12 months when stored at room temperature $(21-26^{\circ}\text{C})^{1}$	
Flammability	not flammable	

Temporary storage stability based on storage stability data from the TGAI

#### 1.3 Directions for Use

Actinovate SP is an end-use product formulated as a powder containing 0.0371% w/w of the microbial pest control agent (MPCA) *S. lydicus* strain WYEC 108 (minimum guarantee of  $1.0 \times 10^7$  CFU/g) as the sole active ingredient.

Actinovate SP should be applied as a preventative foliar spray. It can be used both in the greenhouse and the field. Application of Actinovate SP at the approved rates at regular intervals throughout the growing season provides effective suppression of Botrytis gray mould and powdery mildew on certain crops. Growers should carefully read and follow label directions, use rates and restrictions.

#### 1.4 Mode of Action

The active ingredient, *S. lydicus* strain WYEC 108 has a multi-site mode of action. The organism produces extracellular chitinase and certain antifungal compounds which affect fungal pathogens.

# 2.0 Methods of Analysis

# 2.1 Characterization of the Microorganism and Manufacturing Methods

The data submitted in support of product characterization requirements for *S. lydicus* strain WYEC 108 were sufficient for the accepted use patterns of Actinovate SP. Description of the methods of manufacture and quality assurance of both the *Streptomyces lydicus* WYEC 108 technical product and Actinovate SP end-use product were acceptable and of sufficient detail to support registration.

#### 2.2 Methods for Identification of the Microorganism

Methods to uniquely identify *S. lydicus* strain WYEC 108 are a key component of manufacturing quality assurance.

S. lydicus strain WYEC 108 can be described as spiral-chained, reddish, smooth-surfaced conidia spores. The vegetative mycelium is filamentous without spores. The isolate also has the following characteristics: it does not produce melanin or H<sub>2</sub>S pigment when growing on peptone-yeast-iron agar, or peptone-iron agar, it grows between pH 5.6 and 8.0 with an optimal temperature of approximately 30°C (but will grow at 37°C) and white colonies initially form aerial mycelia which become gray. S. lydicus strain WYEC 108 is similar in biochemical characteristics to ATCC 25740 (S. lydicus), with a slight difference in sporulation (- or +) with glucose, sucrose, galactose, inositol, xylitol, arabinose and sodium pyruvate.

S. lydicus strain WYEC 108 can be identified from within natural actinomycete populations based on its antibiotic resistance profile. Viable plate counts on a selective media amended with carbenicillin (200  $\mu$ g/mL), spectinomycin (10  $\mu$ g/mL), streptomycin (10  $\mu$ g/mL), neomycin (10  $\mu$ g/mL), ampicillin (100  $\mu$ g/mL) and cyclohexamide (100  $\mu$ g/mL) have positively identified the MPCA from among soil microorganisms since most soil bacteria, including actinomycetes, are sensitive to these antibiotics.

Randomly amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) techniques were used to develop a strain specific probe to specifically identify *S. lydicus* strain WYEC 108 from other Streptomycetes. A RAPD fingerprint profile was generated for genomic DNA of *S. lydicus* strain WYEC 108 and clearly distinguishable products between 0.2 and 1.2 kDa were selected and radio-labelled. The radio-labelled probes were used to screen RAPD fingerprint blots of other *Streptomyces* and non-*Streptomyces* to determine the specificity of the probes. An intense signal at 0.3 kDa, with a less intense 0.8-kDa band which were specific to *S. lydicus* strain WYEC 108 were visualized by Southern blotting. The 0.3-kDa probe has been sequenced and assigned GenBank number AF239669. This probe can be used for strain-specific identification of the MPCA using polymerase chain reaction (PCR).

# 2.3 Methods to Define the Content of the Microorganism in the Manufactured Material Used for the Production of Formulated Products

The potency (CFU/mL) of the technical grade active ingredient (TGAI) is routinely checked throughout the manufacturing process using the plate count method on sporulation agar. Serial dilutions of spore preparations are plated and incubated and then counted for colony formation.

#### 2.4 Methods for Determination of Relevant Impurities in the Manufactured Material

The quality assurance procedures used to limit contaminating microorganisms during manufacture of Actinovate SP are acceptable. If any contamination is discovered the contaminated lot is autoclaved and discarded.

There are no impurities of toxicological concern associated with *Streptomyces lydicus* WYEC 108 and based on the processing and manufacturing methods the possibility of contamination and introduction of unintentional ingredients are minimal.

There are no reports of mammalian toxins produced by this MPCA. However, Streptomycetes are known for their production of biologically active secondary metabolites, some of which can cause genotoxic effects. There were no reports in published literature of genotoxic metabolites produced by *S. lydicus* and there is no evidence to suggest that *S. lydicus* strain WYEC 108 would produce any genotoxic compound.

# 2.5 Methods to Determine Storage Stability, Shelf-life of the Microorganism

Storage stability data for the technical grade active ingredient included two lots manufactured in 1999 stored at 21–26°C for 14 months and five lots manufactured in 2003 stored at 21–26°C for 14 months. Data showed that the technical grade active ingredient is stable for this duration under the conditions specified.

Storage stability data for the end-use product was not provided. The storage stability data on the TGAI is considered adequate to tentatively support registration of the end-use product for a period of up to 12 months.

However, storage stability data for the end-use product will be required as a condition of registration. The data must confirm the viability of the technical grade active ingredient in the end-use product on the day of manufacture and at subsequent time points thereafter.

# 3.0 Impact on Human and Animal Health

# 3.1 Toxicity and Infectivity Summary

The PMRA conducted a detailed review of the mammalian toxicological database for *S. lydicus* strain WYEC 108. The database is largely complete, consisting of laboratory animal (in vivo) toxicity studies (acute oral toxicity, acute pulmonary toxicity/pathogenicity and infectivity, intravenous injection infectivity, primary eye irritation and dermal irritation) currently required for health hazard assessment purposes which were carried out in accordance with currently accepted international testing protocols and Good Laboratory Practices. A waiver request was deemed acceptable to address the acute dermal toxicity of Actinovate SP in lieu of testing. The scientific quality of the data is high and the database is considered sufficient to characterize the toxicity and infectivity of this pest control agent and associated end-use product. In the acute pulmonary toxicity study and the intravenous infectivity study, the test substance was identical to the technical grade active ingredient proposed for registration. In the acute oral toxicity study, the eye irritation study and the dermal irritation study, the test substance was "WYEC 108-EP". The toxicity studies using WYEC 108-EP as the test substance are acceptable for assessing the toxicity potential of Actinovate SP.

In the acute oral toxicity study no mortalities and no significant toxicity other than minor clinical signs on Day 1 (soft faeces/very slight diarrhea, slight piloerection) were observed in Sprague-Dawley rats following oral gavage with  $5.4 \times 10^8$  CFU of *S. lydicus* strain WYEC 108 per animal. Infectivity was not assessed.

In the pulmonary toxicity/pathogenicity and infectivity study, no mortalities were observed in Sprague-Dawley rats following intratracheal instillation at doses ranging from 10<sup>6</sup> to 10<sup>8</sup> CFU of *S. lydicus* strain WYEC 108 per animal. No significant signs of toxicity were observed other than on Day 1 where one female test animal was observed with slight piloerection, raspy

breathing, dark crust on eyes and walking on tiptoes, as well as minor transient weight losses in some animals from the treatment and the heat-killed test substance control groups. The MPCA was detected in the kidney, liver, mesenteric lymph nodes and in the lungs of treatment test animals up to Day 21, but had cleared by Day 28 or earlier.

In the intravenous injection infectivity study, no mortalities or significant signs of toxicity were observed in Sprague-Dawley rats following injection with *S. lydicus* strain WYEC 108 at  $9.33 \times 10^8$  CFU per animal. *S. lydicus* strain WYEC 108 was detected in the blood, brain, kidney, mesenteric lymph nodes, lungs, liver and spleen up to Day 21, but had cleared from all test animals by Day 28 or earlier.

In the eye irritation study, slight opacity of the cornea, with some swelling of the conjunctivae, was observed in the eyes of animals dosed with 0.1g of WYEC 108-EP, but it was resolved or reduced by 24 hours. All signs of irritation were resolved within 72 hours and the end-use product was classified as mildly irritating. The signal words "CAUTION: EYE IRRITANT" and precautionary statements instructing users to avoid contact with eyes are required on the *Streptomyces lydicus* WYEC 108 and Actinovate SP label.

In the acute dermal irritation study, only very slight erythema was observed in one test rabbit following dermal exposure to 0.5 g of WYEC 108-EP (containing  $5.0 \times 10^8$  CFU *S. lydicus* strain WYEC 108).

A waiver request for an acute dermal toxicity/pathogenicity study with the Actinovate SP product was submitted in lieu of testing. The request was based on the results from the acceptable acute toxicity, acute pulmonary toxicity/pathogenicity, intravenous injection infectivity, primary eye irritation and primary dermal irritation studies that demonstrated the lack of toxicity, pathogenicity, infectivity and mild eye irritation for the active ingredient *S. lydicus* strain WYEC 108. As such, the data waiver request was found to be acceptable to fully assess the risks associated with the MPCA given the intended use pattern of Actinovate SP.

Although the applicant has reported that there have been no incidents of hypersensitivity during product development, production and testing of *S. lydicus* strain WYEC 108 or Actinovate SP, like all microbial agents, *S. lydicus* strain WYEC 108 is considered a potential sensitizing agent. The signal words "POTENTIAL SENSITIZER" and label statements indicating that *S. lydicus* strain WYEC 108 and Actinovate SP may cause sensitization and requirements for personal protective equipment (PPE), including a dust/mist filtering respirator meeting NIOSH standards of at least N–95, R–95 or P–95 and judicious handling to minimize exposure of workers are required.

The additional need for higher tier subchronic and chronic toxicity studies were not required because of the low acute toxicity of the MPCA and the lack of indications of infectivity, toxicity or pathogenicity in the test animals treated in the Tier I acute pulmonary toxicity/infectivity and intravenous injection infectivity studies.

A survey of published literature indicated that, in general, *Streptomyces* species are known for their production of antimicrobial substances and are not often the cause of infection. While there were no clinical cases involving *S. lydicus* in literature, clinical cases involving other *Streptomyces* species were reported. The more prominent reports include cases of mycetoma, a chronic subcutaneous infection in humans caused by *S. somaliensis*. Certain thermophylic actinomycetes, such as *S. thermohygroscopicus* and *S. albus*, have also been related to the development of an immunological disease known as allergic alveolitis. Allergic alveolitis, also known as Farmer's Lung or hypersensitivity pneumonitis, is an occupational disease of grain farmers that is characterized by lesions of the lung. Other rare cases of *Streptomyces* infections (brain abcesses, bacteremia, endcarditis, eye infection and chronic pericarditis) have also been reported.

Within the available scientific literature, there are no reports that suggest *S. lydicus* has the potential to cause adverse effects on the endocrine system of animals. The submitted toxicity/infectivity studies in the rodent indicate that, following the pulmonary and intravenous routes of exposure, the immune system is still intact and able to process and clear the MPCA. Based on the weight of evidence of available data, no adverse effects to the endocrine or immune systems are anticipated for *S. lydicus* strain WYEC 108.

# 3.2 Occupational and Bystander Exposure and Risk Assessment

## 3.2.1 Occupational

When handled according to the label instructions, the potential routes of handler exposure to *S. lydicus* strain WYEC 108 are pulmonary, dermal and to some extent, ocular.

Since unbroken skin is a natural barrier to microbial invasion of the human body, dermal absorption could occur only if the skin were cut, if the microbe were a pathogen equipped with mechanisms for entry through or infection of the skin, or if metabolites were produced that could be dermally absorbed. *S. lydicus* has not been identified as a wound pathogen and there is no indication that it could penetrate intact skin of healthy individuals. Based on the results of the dermal irritation study, the review of published literature and the formulation ingredients in Actinovate SP, the PMRA expects that dermal irritation from Actinovate SP will be minimal and that the potential for dermal toxicity is low.

The PMRA assumes that all microorganisms contain substances that can elicit positive hypersensitivity reactions and respiratory hypersensitivity could be expected to develop upon repeated exposure to microbial pest control products (MPCPs). Therefore all MPCAs are considered potential sensitizers. Label requirements for personal protective equipment and risk mitigation measures, such as a restricted-entry interval, are required to protect populations that are likely to be primarily exposed to the pesticide. Such exposure to mixer/loaders, applicators and early-entry workers can be minimized if they wear gloves, long-sleeved shirts, long pants, shoes and socks and a dust/mist filtering respirator meeting NIOSH standards of at least N–95,

R–95 or P–95. A restricted-entry interval (REI) of 1 hour or until the solution has dried will also minimize potential exposure. The signal words "POTENTIAL SENSITIZER" and precautionary statements that the products may cause sensitization are required on the *S. lydicus* strain WYEC 108 and Actinovate SP labels.

An eye irritation study conducted with the undiluted test substance, WYEC 108-EP, classified the product as mildly irritating to the eyes. To protect populations that are likely to be primarily exposed to the product, the signal words "CAUTION: EYE IRRITANT" and precautionary statements aimed at instructing workers to avoid contact with the eyes will be imposed on the technical grade active ingredient and end-use product labels.

# 3.2.2 Bystander

Overall the PMRA does not expect that bystander exposure will pose an undue risk on the basis of the low toxicity/pathogenicity and infectivity profile of *S. lydicus* strain WYEC 108 and the assumption that precautionary label statements will be followed in the use of Actinovate SP.

The end-use product label does not allow applications to turf, residential or recreational areas therefore non-occupational dermal exposure and risk to adults, infants and children are expected to be low. As the use sites are agricultural, exposure to infants and children in school, residential and daycare facilities is likely to be minimal to non-existent. Consequently, the health risk to infants and children is expected to be negligible.

## 3.3 Dietary Exposure and Risk Assessment

#### 3.3.1 Food

While the proposed use pattern may result in some dietary exposure with possible residues in or on agricultural commodities, negligible to no risk is expected for the general population, including infants and children because *S. lydicus* strain WYEC 108 did not demonstrate oral toxicity at the maximum dose tested in the Tier I acute oral toxicity study. A Tier I acute pulmonary toxicity/pathogenicity and infectivity study and an intravenous injection infectivity study also demonstrated no toxicity, pathogenicity or infectivity at the maximum dose tested. As such, higher tier subchronic and chronic dietary exposure studies were not required. Therefore, there is no concern for chronic risks posed by dietary exposure of the general population and sensitive subpopulations, such as infants and children.

#### 3.3.2 Drinking Water

Although *S. lydicus* strain WYEC 108 could enter neighbouring aquatic environments via spray drift or surface-water runoff, no risks are expected from exposure to this microorganism via drinking water because exposure will be minimal and because *S. lydicus* strain WYEC 108 showed no harmful effects in laboratory animals that were exposed orally in Tier I acute oral toxicity testing. Specific product labelling will be required to limit spray drift and surface water runoff. The potential for transfer of *S. lydicus* strain WYEC 108 to surface or ground water during run-off is considered minimal to non-existent due in part to its percolation through and

resulting capture in soil, where the organism can be found naturally. The Actinovate SP label instructs users not to allow the product to enter bodies of water during use or disposal. Furthermore, municipal treatment of drinking water will reduce the transfer of residues to drinking water. Therefore, potential exposure to *S. lydicus* strain WYEC 108 in surface and drinking water is negligible.

#### 3.3.3 Acute and Chronic Dietary Risks for Sensitive Subpopulations

Calculation of acute reference doses (ARfDs) and acceptable daily intakes (ADIs) is not usually possible for predicting acute and long term effects of microbial agents in the general population or to potentially sensitive subpopulations, particularly infants and children. The single (maximum hazard) dose approach to testing MPCAs is sufficient for conducting a reasonable general assessment of risk if no significant adverse effects (i.e., no acute toxicity, infectivity or pathogenicity endpoints of concern) are noted in acute toxicity and infectivity tests. Based on all the available information and hazard data, the PMRA concludes that S. lydicus strain WYEC 108 is of low toxicity, is not pathogenic or infective to mammals and that infants and children are likely to be no more sensitive to the MPCA than the general population. Thus there are no threshold effects of concern and, as a result, no need to require definitive (multiple dose) testing or apply uncertainty factors to account for intra- and interspecies variability, safety factors or margins of exposure. Further, factoring in consumption patterns among infants and children, special susceptibility in these subpopulations to the effects of this MPCA (i.e. neurological effects from pre- or post-natal exposures) and cumulative effects of the MPCA and other registered microorganisms that have a common mechanism of toxicity on infants and children, do not apply to S. lydicus strain WYEC 108. As a result, the PMRA has not used a margin of exposure (safety) approach to assess the risks of S. lydicus strain WYEC 108 to human health.

### 3.4 Maximum Residue Limits

The *Food and Drugs Act* (FDA) prohibits the sale of adulterated food, that is, food containing a pesticide residue that exceeds the established maximum residue limit (MRL). Pesticide MRLs are established for FDA purposes through the evaluation of scientific data under the *Pest Control Products Act* (PCPA). Each MRL value defines the maximum concentration in parts per million (ppm) of a pesticide allowed in/on certain foods. Food containing a pesticide residue that does not exceed the established MRL does not pose an unacceptable health risk.

As a product containing a naturally occurring soil microorganism, the use of Actinovate SP is not expected to significantly increase the natural environmental background levels of this microorganism. No adverse effects from dietary exposure have been attributed to natural populations of *S. lydicus*. Furthermore, no adverse effects were observed in the acute oral toxicity study and there are no reports of known mammalian toxins being produced by the MPCA. The establishment of MRLs is, therefore, not required for *S. lydicus* strain WYEC 108 under Section 4(d) of the *Food and Drugs Act* (adulteration of food) as defined under Division 15, Section B.15.002 of the Food and Drugs Regulation.

### 3.5 Aggregate Exposure

Based on the toxicity/pathogenicity and infectivity test data submitted and other relevant information in the PMRA's files, there is reasonable certainty no harm will result from aggregate exposure of residues of *S. lydicus* strain WYEC 108, to the general Canadian population, including infants and children, when the MPCP is used as labelled. This includes all anticipated dietary (food and drinking water) exposures and all other non-occupational exposures (dermal and inhalation) for which there is reliable information.

Since the product is to be applied to outdoor agricultural sites and is not allowed for use on turf, residential or recreational areas, dermal and inhalation exposure to the general public will be very low. Furthermore, no significant clinical signs were observed in laboratory animals exposed orally or by pulmonary instillation to *S. lydicus* strain WYEC 108 at maximum hazard doses and there are no adverse effects reported from exposure to other strains of *S. lydicus* encountered in the environment. Even if there is an increase in exposure to this microorganism from the use of Actinovate SP, it is anticipated that there will be no increase in potential human health risk.

#### 3.6 Cumulative Effects

The PMRA has considered available information on the cumulative effects of residues and other substances that have a common mechanism of toxicity on the total population as well as infant and children. Besides naturally occurring strains of *S. lydicus* in the environment, the PMRA is not aware of any other microorganisms or substances that share a common mechanism of toxicity with this active ingredient. No cumulative effects are anticipated if the residues of *S. lydicus* strain WYEC 108 interact with related strains of this microbial species.

# 4.0 Impact on the Environment

#### 4.1 Fate and Behaviour in the Environment

Environmental fate testing is intended to demonstrate whether an MPCA is capable of surviving or replicating in the environment to which it is applied and provide an indication of which non-target organisms may be exposed to the MPCA, as well as provide an indication of the extent of exposure. Environmental fate data (Tier II/III) are not required due to the absence of significant toxicological effects in non-target organisms in Tier I testing. No studies were submitted to address the environmental fate and behaviour of *S. lydicus* strain WYEC 108. There is however, information on the environmental fate of *S. lydicus* available in the published literature.

The ubiquitous nature of *S. lydicus* as a soil microorganism suggests that it will survive under field conditions. Typically, the level of spores of a given *Streptomyces* species in a rich organic soil will average  $10^3$  or  $10^4$  per gram of dry soil. When growth conditions are optimal, vegetative cells, reported as colony forming units per gram of dry soil (CFU/g) may rise to  $10^7$  to  $10^8$  CFU/g of dry soil. Most of the time *S. lydicus* are dormant spores in the soil and periods of growth are usually short-lived (e.g. a few hours to days in between months of dormancy).

Published studies indicate that *S. lydicus* can colonize the root system of many plants where it acts as a naturally occurring plant growth-promoting bacterium. Like many *Streptomyces* species, *S. lydicus* is quantitatively and qualitatively important in the rhizosphere of host plants where it influences plant growth and protects plant roots against invasion by root pathogenic fungi. Beneficial effects from *S. lydicus* in association with chickpea, pea, carrot and beets have been reported.

Although typically characterized as soil bacteria, *Streptomyces* species have been isolated from water and sediment samples from freshwater lakes and rivers. While *Streptomyces* species have been isolated from mud samples taken from the English Lake District of the United Kingdom, the inability of the isolates to grow in native and enriched mud in vitro suggests that the organisms are relatively inactive in that environment. Metabolically active *Streptomyces* species have, however, been isolated from freshwater streams and fish ponds in Denmark. *Streptomyces* species have also been isolated from submersed aquatic plants (*Sparganium americanum*, *Ranunculus pusillus* and *Microanthemum umbrosum*) from freshwater streams in North Carolina (USA) using various selective measures for Actinomycetes.

Streptomyces species have also been isolated from water and sediment samples from estuarine and marine environments. To investigate the ecological significance of these findings ribosomal ribonucleic acid (rRNA) extracted from coastal marsh sediments were hybridized with a Streptomyces-specific gene probe. The findings demonstrated that Streptomyces rRNA accounted for 2 to 5% of the sediment community rRNA and that the source of the hybridization signal could not have been spores. Streptomyces species have also been isolated from marine plants such as sea algae (Ulva lactura and U. Enteromorpha) and macro-algae (Gracilaria verrucosa) and from marine animals such as sea anemone (Actiniaria sp.), ground shark (Mustelus manazo), sea hare (Apylysia dactylomela) and marine sponge (Craniella australiensis).

In a submitted acute toxicity study on freshwater fish (see Section 4.2.2), rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) were exposed to *S. lydicus* strain WYEC 108 in the water at a series of concentrations ranging from  $3.0 \times 10^3$  to  $6.3 \times 10^4$  CFU/mL (mean measured) for 96 hours. However, viable plate counts of water samples collected throughout the test showed a decline in viable numbers in all test solutions to as low as 8.8% of the original measured concentration after 96 hours. These results would suggest that *S. lydicus* strain WYEC 108 is not well-adapted to survival in fresh water.

#### **4.2** Effects on Non-Target Species

#### **4.2.1** Effects on Terrestrial Organisms

No studies were submitted to address the effects of Actinovate SP on terrestrial organisms. Therefore, the potential risk of *S. lydicus* strain WYEC 108 to terrestrial organisms was assessed based on reports in the published literature on other strains of *S. lydicus* and related *Streptomyces* species, as well as information gathered under Part 3.0 Impact on Human and Animal Health.

For terrestrial vertebrates, no reports of adverse effects from *S. lydicus* in wild mammals or bird populations were found in the published literature. Since *S. lydicus* is ubiquitous in the environment, wild mammals and bird populations are considered to have been exposed to indigenous populations of the organism with no incidents of adverse effects reported. The laboratory animal studies on the rat submitted in support of this registration and reviewed in Section 3.1 indicate that there is no toxicity or pathogenicity to rodents from testing at maximum hazard dose levels. Based on these results, toxic or pathogenic effects from the MPCA in wild mammals or birds are not anticipated.

For terrestrial arthropods (including honeybees), there were no cases of adverse effects from *S. lydicus* to insects, including honeybees in published literature. Since *S. lydicus* is ubiquitous in the environment, terrestrial arthropods are considered to have been exposed to indigenous populations of the organism with no incidents of adverse effects reported. Published literature did reveal that other *Streptomyces* species have shown effects on lepidopteran insect species by the production of various secondary metabolites, such as avermectin, macrotetrolides and virginiamycins. This is not surprising given that *Streptomyces* species are known for their production of a vast array of biologically active compounds. There is no indication that the MPCA produces any of these insecticidal compounds.

Symbiotic interactions between *Streptomyces* species and the European beewolf (*Philanthus triangulum*, Hymenoptera, Crabronidae) have also been described in literature. The bacteria, which are located in the antennal glands of females, appear to protect the wasp offspring against pathogens. *Streptomyces* species have also been isolated from the termite (*Termitidae* sp.) gut as an apparent constituent of the normal gut microflora.

For earthworms and other soil macroorganisms, no studies were submitted to address the effects of Actinovate SP on earthworms or other nonarthropod invertebrates. Effects data for soil macroorganisms are not required because the product is not intended to control pest nonarthropod invertebrates or soil macroorganisms and the proposed use patterns are not likely to considerably increase natural populations of *S. lydicus* in the soil.

Nevertheless, published literature indicated that certain *Streptomyces* species have demonstrated toxicity to certain nematode species. The effects were most often related to the production of various secondary metabolites. This is not surprising given that *Streptomyces* species are known for their production of a vast array of biologically active compounds. Species of nematodes for which effects from *Streptomyces* species have been reported include non-parasitic roundworms (*Caenorhabditis elegans*), parasitic reniform nematode (*Rotylenchulus reniformis*), soybean cyst nematode (*Heterodera glycines*), parasitic cotton-root knot nematode (*Meloidogyne incognita*), army worm (*Pseudaletia unipuncta*), southern army worm (*Spodoptera eridania*) and pine wood nematode (*Bursaphelenchus lignicolus*). Other *Streptomyces* species have shown to inhibit larval development in parasitic nematode *Haemonchus contortus* and reduce egg hatch and motility in plant parasitic nematode *Heterodera glycines*. There is no evidence to suggest that the MPCA produces any nematocidal compounds.

No study was submitted to address the effects of Actinovate SP on other soil microorganisms. Although the product is intended to control pest microorganisms, effects data are not required since *S. lydicus* is a normal component of the soil and *S. lydicus* strain WYEC 108 is not expected to affect environmentally or economically important microbial species or microbiologically-mediated biogeochemical processes.

For terrestrial plants, published literature indicated that *S. lydicus* is ubiquitous in the environment and acts as a naturally occurring plant growth-promoting bacterium in the rhizosphere of various host plants. Beneficial effects from *S. lydicus* in association have been reported for chickpea, pea, carrot and beets.

However, certain *Streptomyces* species are also known plant pathogens. Among the most phytopathogenic are *S. scabies*, *S. acidiscabies* and *S. turgidiscabies* which cause a severe, necrotic disease of potato known as "potato scab". A single report in published literature also identified an *S. lydicus* isolate from a potato scab lesion. There were no other reports relating *S. lydicus* to potato scab disease and all other published studies indicate that *S. scabies*, *S. acidiscabies* and *S. turgidiscabies* are much more often associated with potato scab disease. Furthermore, the registrant indicated that growth chamber studies and small scale field tests with *S. lydicus* strain WYEC 108 have been carried out. Although the studies were not submitted for review, the registrant reported that no deleterious effects were observed. There were no cases of phytotoxicity reported in any of the thirteen efficacy trials conducted with Actinovate SP on strawberry, Gerber daisy and Bell pepper (see Section 5.2). Therefore, while there is reasonable certainty that no deleterious effects are anticipated on nontarget plants, the registrant is required to submit the growth chamber studies and small scale field tests to the PMRA for review to ensure that the MPCA is not pathogenic to potato.

Based on a review of existing scientific information and literature available on the effects of *S. lydicus* to terrestrial organisms, there is reasonable certainty that no harm will be caused to birds, wild mammals, arthropods, non-arthropod invertebrates, or to other microorganisms from the proposed use of Actinovate SP on field and greenhouse strawberry, Bell pepper and Gerber daisy. Therefore the PMRA has accepted the request to waive terrestrial non-target organism testing. However, to ensure that the MPCA is not pathogenic to potato, the registrant is required to submit the growth chamber studies and small scale field tests to the PMRA for review as a condition of registration.

#### **4.2.2** Effects on Aquatic Organisms

The only study submitted to address the risks of Actinovate SP to aquatic organisms was an acute toxicity study in the rainbow trout ( $Oncorhynchus\ mykiss$ ). In the study, rainbow trout were exposed to  $S.\ lydicus$  strain WYEC 108 in the water at concentrations ranging from  $3.0\times10^3$  to  $6.3\times10^4$  CFU/mL (mean measured) under static conditions. There were no mortalities and no adverse effects were reported in any of the test fish. It should be recognized that toxic or pathogenic effects often arise after infection and growth of an organism, which could occur beyond the 96-hour exposure scenario in the study. For this reason the appropriate toxicity test for MPCAs would have been a 30-day freshwater fish test. However, given the lack of infectivity demonstrated in the acute pulmonary toxicity/pathogenicity and infectivity study

and in the intravenous infectivity study conducted on the rat (see Section 3.1), the acute toxicity study on trout was considered acceptable. Microbiological assays conducted on test water showed that the MPCA declined in all test solutions over the 96-hour exposure period, suggesting that it is not adapted to survival in fresh water.

Additional information on the potential risks to aquatic organisms from *S. lydicus* was gathered from published literature. There were no cases of disease or adverse effects in fish or other aquatic organisms (including plants) from *S. lydicus*. However, certain other *Streptomyces* species have demonstrated toxicity to certain aquatic invertebrate organisms, such as brine shrimp (Arthropoda, *Artemia* sp.), sea monkeys (Arthropoda, *Artemia salina*) and freshwater snails (Mollusca, *Oncomelania* sp.). The effects were most often related to the production of secondary metabolites. This is not surprising given that *Streptomyces* are well-known for their production of a vast array of biologically active compounds. There is no evidence to suggest that the MPCA produces any of these compounds.

Use of Actinovate SP will be limited to a foliar application to strawberries, peppers and Gerber daisies in the field or commercial greenhouses. As the product is not approved for aerial application, the intended use pattern minimizes direct exposure to non-target aquatic organisms. However, while the product is not intended for direct application to water, spray drift and surface water runoff from treated fields may result in contamination of aquatic ecosystems. In any event, percolation through soil would most likely result in the MPCA settling in soil, where it is naturally found. In addition, despite reports in published literature which provide evidence for the growth and survival of *Streptomyces* species in water and sediment samples, both as spores and vegetative cells, a rapid decline in the concentration of viable cells in test water in an acute toxicity study with rainbow trout, suggests that the MPCA is not well-adapted to fresh water. Survival and growth in sediment has not been specifically investigated with this species.

The lack of evidence of acute toxicity in rainbow trout in vitro and the absence of reports of disease in aquatic organisms suggests that effects from *S. lydicus* strain WYEC 108 on aquatic organisms are unlikely. However, since other *Streptomyces* species have demonstrated toxicity to aquatic organisms and since effects on aquatic organisms from the MPCA have not been specifically investigated, the possibility that incidents may present themselves in the future cannot be ruled out. Nonetheless, without further testing, given the anticipated terrestrial use pattern of Actinovate SP, there is reasonable certainty that it will be of no harm to aquatic organisms and the requirement for non-target testing on aquatic invertebrates and aquatic plants is waived.

#### 5.0 Value

## **5.1** Effectiveness Against Pests

#### **5.1.1** Acceptable Efficacy Claims

# 5.1.1.1 Suppression of Botrytis Fruit Rot (Botrytis cinerea) on Field-Grown Strawberry

Two efficacy trials were evaluated for this claim. In one trial on strawberry, Actinovate SP treatments showed a consistent numerical difference between the treated and untreated plants with respect to fruit disease. With Actinovate SP used at the rate of 425 g/1100L water, 40–50% control of disease incidence was noted early in the season. A second trial testing for Botrytis gray mould on rose, also showed a reduction of gray mould (disease incidence). Since no explanation was provided on how disease was assessed, this study can only be considered as supplemental data. However, taken together, there was sufficient evidence to support the claim of suppression of Botrytis fruit rot on strawberries. These data are considered acceptable to extend the use to greenhouse grown strawberries since disease development is the same in both situations.

# **5.1.1.2** Suppression of Powdery Mildew (*Sphaerotheca macularis*) on Field Grown Strawberry

One trial was evaluated for this claim. Under light disease pressure, Actinovate SP applied at 425 g/1100 L water/ha showed early season suppression (40–60% control) of powdery mildew (disease incidence). It was noted that Actinovate SP performed as well as the standard chemical treatments used by US growers under light disease pressure. However, a consistent level of disease control under high disease pressure could not be confirmed. As a result, a claim of control could not be supported. There was, however, sufficient evidence to support the claim of suppression of powdery mildew on strawberries when applied at 425 g/1100 L water/ha. The data are considered acceptable to extend the use to greenhouse grown strawberries since disease development is the same in both situations.

# **5.1.1.3** Suppression of Powdery Mildew (*Erysiphe cichoracearum*) on Gerber Daisy grown in the Greenhouse

One trial was evaluated for this claim. Based on the mean number of mildew spots on the plants, Actinovate SP applied at 500 g/1100 L water/ha reduced the level of disease severity compared to the untreated control by 50–60%. The effects were comparable to the commercial standard. Without additional data to demonstrate consistent levels of disease control, the evidence is sufficient to support the claim of suppression only. The data are sufficient to extend the use to field grown Gerber daisy since disease development is the same in both situations.

## 5.1.1.4 Suppression of Powdery Mildew (Leveillula taurica) on Field-Grown Bell Pepper

One trial was evaluated for this claim. Actinovate SP performed as well as typical chemical spray programs used in the US in reducing disease incidence and severity compared to the untreated check (60–90% control) when applied at 425 g/1100 L water/ha. The effects were noted up to three weeks after the final application. However, without additional data to demonstrate consistent levels of control, a claim of suppression only can be supported at this time. The data are sufficient to extend the use to greenhouse peppers since disease development is the same in both situations. This includes all types of pepper since disease is similar on all varieties.

# **5.1.2** Fungicide Tank Mix Combinations

No data regarding tank-mixing of Actinovate with other registered pest control products were submitted. Compatibility with other products is, therefore, unknown.

#### 5.1.3 Crop Grouping

Little information is known of the biology of *S. lydicus* strain WYEC 108. The rates and effectiveness may change for different ornamentals or agricultural crops. As a result it is not appropriate to consider crop grouping at this time.

## **5.2** Phytotoxicity to Host Plants

Actinovate SP was tested on three different crops at one rate (425–500 g/ha) applied three to five times per season. No phytotoxicity symptoms were noted in any of the trials for strawberry, Gerber daisy or Bell pepper.

## 5.3 Impact on Succeeding Crops

No data on impact on succeeding crops were submitted or assessed.

#### 5.4 Economics

No market analysis was submitted for this submission.

#### 5.5 Sustainability

#### **5.5.1** Survey of Alternatives

The registration of Actinovate SP Fungicide will provide a new non-chemical means for managing diseases in strawberry, pepper and Gerber daisy. Several alternatives are available for these uses and are listed in the tables below.

Table 5.5.1.1 Alternative Fungicides/Biopesticides for Control/Suppression of Botrytis Fruit Rot and Powdery Mildew on Strawberry

Technical Grade Active Ingredient	Disease Claim	Fungicide Classification Group	
Iprodione	Botrytis Fruit Rot	2	
Chlorothalonil	Botrytis Fruit Rot	M	
Captan	Gray-Mould Rot	M	
Boscalid	Botrytis Gray Mould	7	
Vinclozolin	Fruit Rot / Gray Mould	2	
Boscalid + Pyraclostrobin	Powdery Mildew	7 and 11	

Table 5.5.1.2 Alternative Fungicides/Biopesticides for Control/Suppression of Powdery Mildew on Gerber Daisy

Technical Grade Active Ingredient	Disease Claim	Fungicide Classification Group	
Myclobutanil	Powdery Mildew	3	

Table 5.5.1.3 Alternative Fungicides/Biopesticides for Control/Suppression of Powdery Mildew on Pepper

Technical Grade Active Ingredient	Disease Claim	Fungicide Classification Group	
Myclobutanil	Powdery Mildew	3	
Potassium bicarbonate	Powdery Mildew	M	

# 5.5.2 Compatibility with Current Management Practices Including Integrated Pest Management

Actinovate SP Fungicide will fit well with current disease control strategies such as rotation with chemical fungicides. Rotation will also help defer the development of resistance of gray mould and powdery mildew.

# 5.5.3 Information on the Occurrence or Possible Occurrence of the Development of Resistance

No information is available on the development of pathogen resistance to *S. lydicus* WYEC 108. However, the multiple site mode of action not only creates an effective fungicide but also makes it very difficult for pathogens to develop resistance. Actinovate SP is, therefore, an important tool for growers in areas where resistance to conventional products has developed and it will help to prevent the development of resistant pathogens when it is rotated in a control program with other registered fungicides.

# 5.5.4 Contribution to Risk Reduction and Sustainability

The registration of Actinovate SP Fungicide will provide a new non-chemical means for managing diseases in strawberry, pepper and Gerber daisy. The multiple site mode of action not only creates an effective fungicide but also makes it very difficult for pathogens to develop resistance. Actinovate SP is therefore an important tool for growers in areas where resistance to conventional products has developed and it will help to prevent the development of resistant pathogens when it is rotated in a control program with other registered fungicides. Actinovate SP Fungicide will fit well with current disease control strategies such as alternation of chemical fungicides.

# **6.0** Formulants and Microcontaminants of Health or Environmental Concern

#### **6.1** Toxic Substances Management Policy Considerations

The management of toxic substances is guided by the federal government's Toxic Substances Management Policy, which puts forward a preventive and precautionary approach to deal with substances that enter the environment and could harm the environment or human health. The policy provides decision makers with direction and sets out a science-based management framework to ensure that federal programs are consistent with its objectives. One of the key management objectives is virtual elimination from the environment of toxic substances that result predominantly from human activity and that are persistent and bioaccumulative. These substances are referred to in the policy as Track 1 substances.

During the review process *S. lydicus* strain WYEC 108, was assessed in accordance with the PMRA Regulatory Directive <u>DIR99-03</u>, *The Pest Management Regulatory Agency's Strategy for Implementing the Toxic Substances Management Policy*. Substances associated with the use of *S. lydicus* strain WYEC 108, including major transformation products formed in the environment, microcontaminants in the technical product and formulants in the end-use product, Actinovate SP, were also considered. The PMRA has reached the following conclusions:

S. lydicus strain WYEC 108 does not meet the Track-1 criteria because the active ingredient is a biological organism and hence is not subject to the criteria used to define persistence, bioaccumulation and toxicity properties of chemical control products. There are also no

formulants, contaminants or impurities present in the end-use product that would meet the TSMP Track-1 criteria. Therefore, the use of Actinovate SP is not expected to result in the entry of Track-1 substances into the environment.

#### **6.2** Formulants of Health Concern

The technical grade active ingredient, *Streptomyces lydicus* WYEC 108, contains the formulant dairy whey which is identified in Canada Gazette Part II, Volume 139, Number 24, pages 2641–2643: *List of Pest Control Product Formulants of Health or Environmental Concern* as an allergen known to cause anaphylactic-type reactions. Therefore the label for *Streptomyces lydicus* WYEC 108 technical grade active ingredient will include the precautionary statement: "Warning: this product contains the allergen dairy whey" on the principal display panel.

The end-use product, Actinovate SP, contains the formulant dairy whey which is identified in Canada Gazette Part II, Volume 139, Number 24, pages 2641-2643: *List of Pest Control Product Formulants of Health or Environmental Concern* as an allergen known to cause anaphylactic-type reactions. Therefore the label for end-use product, Actinovate SP, will include the precautionary statement: "Warning: this product contains the allergen dairy whey" on the principal display panel.

# 7.0 Summary

#### 7.1 Methods for Analysis of the Micro-organism as Manufactured

The product characterization data for both the *Streptomyces lydicus* WYEC 108 technical product and Actinovate SP are adequate to assess their risks to human health and the environment. The technical material was fully characterized and the specifications were supported by the analysis of a sufficient number of batches. The quality assurance program is successful at limiting contaminating microorganisms.

Storage stability data were sufficient to support an expiration date of 14 months for *Streptomyces lydicus* WYEC 108 technical product when stored at 21–26°C. Storage stability data for the end-use product was not provided. Therefore, confirmatory storage stability data for Actinovate SP end-use product will be required as a condition of registration. In the interim, a storage interval based on the storage stability data for the Technical grade active ingredient of 12 months at 21–26°C will be specified on the EP label.

#### 7.2 Human Health and Safety

Acute toxicity and infectivity studies (acute oral toxicity, acute pulmonary toxicity/infectivity, intravenous injection infectivity, primary eye irritation and dermal irritation) submitted in support of the *Streptomyces lydicus* WYEC 108 technical product and Actinovate SP were determined to be acceptable. *S. lydicus* strain WYEC 108 was of low toxicity in the rat when administered via the oral route and was not toxic, pathogenic or infective via the pulmonary or intravenous routes. Mild ocular irritation was observed in the eye irritation study. Therefore, the

signal words "CAUTION: EYE IRRITANT" and precautionary statements aimed at instructing users to avoid contact with eyes will be required on the *Streptomyces lydicus* WYEC 108 and Actinovate SP labels. Slight dermal irritation was observed in the acute dermal irritation study.

A waiver request for an acute dermal toxicity/pathogenicity study, based on the results of the submitted toxicity and infectivity studies (including a dermal irritation) and on a comprehensive review of published literature, was considered acceptable to address the potential for dermal toxicity in lieu of testing.

Like all microbial agents, *S. lydicus* is considered a potential sensitizing agent and exposure to *S. lydicus* strain WYEC 108 may cause allergic reactions following repeated exposures at high concentrations. As a result, label statements indicating that *Streptomyces lydicus* WYEC 108 technical and the end-use product Actinovate SP is a potential sensitizer and the requirement for personal protective equipment, including a NIOSH approved respirator and judicious handling to minimize exposure in workers will be required.

When handled according to the label instructions, the potential routes of handler exposure to *S. lydicus* strain WYEC 108 are pulmonary, dermal and to some extent, ocular. Personal protective equipment, judicious handling and a restricted-entry interval of 1 hour will be specified on the *Streptomyces lydicus* WYEC 108 and Actinovate SP labels to minimize exposure in workers.

The label does not allow applications to turf, residential or recreational areas. As the use sites are agricultural, exposure to infants and children in school, residential and daycare facilities is likely to be minimal to non-existent. Consequently, the health risk to infants and children is expected to be negligible.

*S. lydicus* is a naturally occurring soil microorganism and there have been no adverse effects from dietary exposure attributed to natural populations of *S. lydicus*. Furthermore, there was no significant toxicity observed when *S. lydicus* strain WYEC 108 was administered orally to rats. The establishment of a MRL is therefore not required for *S. lydicus* strain WYEC 108 under Section 4(d) of the *Food and Drugs Act* (adulteration of food) as defined under Division 15, Section B.15.002 of the Food and Drugs Regulations.

#### 7.3 Environmental Risk

Since no studies were submitted to address the risks of Actinovate SP to terrestrial organism, potential risks to terrestrial organisms was assessed based on reports in the published literature, as well as information gathered under Part 3.0 Impact on Human and Animal Health which reported no signs of toxicity or infectivity from *S. lydicus* strain WYEC 108 in laboratory animals. Despite its ubiquitous nature as a common soil microorganism, there were no reports of adverse effects from *S. lydicus* to birds, wild mammals, arthropods (including honeybees) or non-arthropod invertebrates in the published literature. While other *Streptomyces* species have demonstrated toxicity to certain nematode and lepidopteran species by the production of various secondary compounds, there is no indication that *S. lydicus* strain WYEC 108 produces any of these compounds.

Published literature indicated that certain *Streptomyces* species (e.g. *S. scabies*, *S. acidiscabies* and *S. turgidiscabies*) are known to be the causative agents of potato scab. A single report in published literature identified an isolate from a potato scab as *S. lydicus*. Growth chamber studies and small field tests have been carried out with the MPCA and showed no deleterious effects to potato. However, the data was not submitted to the PMRA for review. Published literature indicated that, in general, *S. lydicus* is a natural plant growth promoting bacterium for which beneficial effects have been demonstrated for a range of host plants. In addition, there were no reports of phytotoxicity from the thirteen efficacy trials conducted with Actinovate SP on strawberry, Gerber daisy and Bell pepper. Based on this information, no adverse effects to terrestrial organisms are expected. However, to ensure that no deleterious effects from the MPCA are expected on potato, the growth chamber studies and field tests must be submitted to the PMRA for review as a condition of registration.

The potential risks to aquatic organisms was based on the lack of acute toxicity in rainbow trout exposed to the MPCA in water and on published literature. Published literature did not reveal any reports of adverse effects from *S. lydicus* to aquatic organisms. However, since other *Streptomyces* species have shown toxic effects on aquatic invertebrate organisms and since adverse effects on non-target aquatic organisms from *S. lydicus* have not been specifically investigated, it is possible that effects may present themselves in the future. Furthermore, published literature suggests that *Streptomyces* species may survive in fresh water and marine sediment. However, since the product is not intended for direct application to water, aquatic ecosystems would only be contaminated with Actinovate SP as a result of spray drift and surface water runoff from treated fields. In any event, percolation through soil would most likely result in the MPCA settling in soil where it is naturally found. Furthermore, a decline in concentration of viable cells in water in the rainbow trout study suggests that the MPCA is not well-adapted to survival in fresh water. Based on this assessment, it is not likely that the terrestrial use pattern would result in considerable aquatic exposure and therefore, there is reasonable certainty of no harm to aquatic organisms.

No studies were submitted to address the environmental fate and behaviour of *S. lydicus* strain WYEC 108. Environmental fate data (Tier II/III) are not required due to the absence of significant toxicological effects in non-target organisms in Tier I testing.

#### 7.4 Value

The registration of Actinovate SP would result in an additional non-chemical fungicide for Canadian growers, in particular the greenhouse industry. It could be used as a resistance management tool since the active ingredient has a multiple-site mode of action. Actinovate SP can be used in both in the greenhouse and the field for effective suppression of Botrytis fruit rot on strawberries and powdery mildew on strawberries, Gerber daisies and peppers.

# 8.0 Proposed Regulatory Decision

Health Canada's PMRA, under the authority of the *Pest Control Products Act*, is proposing full registration for the sale and use of the technical grade active ingredient *Streptomyces lydicus* WYEC 108 and the end-use product Actinovate SP for the suppression of Botrytis fruit rot and powdery mildew on field and greenhouse grown strawberry and powdery mildew on field and greenhouse peppers and Gerber daisies. An evaluation of current scientific data from the applicant, scientific reports and information from other regulatory agencies has resulted in the determination that, under the proposed conditions of use, the end-use product has value and does not present an unacceptable risk to human health or the environment.

#### List of Abbreviations

ADI acceptable daily intake ARfD acute reference dose

ATCC American Type Culture Collection

CFU colony forming units
DNA deoxyribonucleic acid
EP end-use product
FDA Food and Drugs Act
H<sub>2</sub>S hydrogen sulfide

KTG killed test substance group LC<sub>50</sub> lethal concentration 50%

LD<sub>50</sub> lethal dose 50%

mL millilitre

MPCA microbial pest control agent MPCP microbial pest control products

MRL maximum residue limit

MSH/NIOSH Mining Safety and Health/National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health

N/A not applicable

PCPA Pest Control Products Act
PCR polymerase chain reaction
PPE personal protective equipment

PMRA Pest Management Regulatory Agency RAPD randomly amplified polymorphic DNA

REI restricted-entry interval rRNA ribosomal ribonucleic acid

TGAI technical grade of the active ingredient TSMP Toxic Substances Management Policy

USEPA United States Environmental Protection Agency

# **Appendix I Tables and Figures**

Table 1 Toxicity and Infectivity of *Streptomyces lydicus* strain WYEC 108 and Its Associated End-Use Product (Actinovate SP)

Study Type	Species, Strain and Doses	Result	<b>Significant Effects and Comments</b>	Reference		
Acute Toxicity/Infectivity of Actinovate SP						
Acute Oral Toxicity	Rat- Sprague Dawley 5/sex treated with WYEC $108\text{-EP}^1$ , $5.4 \times 10^8$ CFU/animal	$\begin{array}{c} LD_{50} > 5.4 \ \times 10^8 \\ CFU/animal \end{array}$	No major clinical signs indicative of toxicity, no mortalities and no abnormalities on necropsy. Infectivity was not assessed.  NON- TOXIC	PMRA 1164642		
Acute Pulmonary Toxicity and Infectivity	Rat-Sprague-Dawley  TG: 18/sex treated with the MPCA, 9.1 × 10 <sup>8</sup> CFU/animal  KTG: 18/sex treated with 9.1 × 10 <sup>8</sup> CFU of heat-killed MPCA/animal  Untreated control group (6/sex) and shelf control group (6/sex).  Interim sacrifices at Days 3, 7, 14 and 21.	$LC_{50} > 9.1 \times 10^8$ CFU/animal	No major clinical sign indicative of toxicity, no mortalities and no abnormalities on necropsy.  The MPCA was detected in the liver and mesenteric lymph nodes until Day 3, in the kidney until Day 7 and in the lungs of treated animals until Day 21, but clearance was established in all organs by Day 28.  NON-TOXIC, NOT INFECTIVE	PMRA 1164643		
Acute Dermal Toxicity	WAIVER	WAIVER	Based on the lack of observable effects in the primary dermal irritation study conducted with WYEC 108-EP¹, on the lack of toxicity, pathogenicity and infectivity to test animals by the oral, pulmonary and intravenous routes and based on an absence of reports of dermal toxicity frm <i>S. lydicus</i> in the published literature, the data waiver request was found to be acceptable to fully assess the risks of dermal toxicity associated with the MPCA in lieu of toxicity testing.  WAIVER ACCEPTED	PMRA 1164644		

Study Type	Species, Strain and Doses	Result	<b>Significant Effects and Comments</b>	Reference
Intravenous Infectivity	Rat-Sprague-Dawley  TG: 18/sex injected with TGAI, 9.33 × 10 <sup>8</sup> CFU/animal  KTG: 18/sex injected with 9.33 × 10 <sup>8</sup> CFU of heat-killed TGAI/animal  Untreated control group (6/sex)  Interim sacrifies at Days 3, 7, 14 and 21.	$LD_{50} > 9.33 \times 10^8  CFU/animal$	No clinical sign indicative of toxicity, no mortalities and no abnormalities on necropsy for the treatment group.  The MPCA was detected in the blood, brain, kidney and mesenteric lymph nodes until Day 7, in the lungs until Day 14 and in the liver and spleen until Day 21, but clearance was established in all organs by Day 28.  NON-TOXIC, NOT INFECTIVE	PMRA 1164641
Primary Eye Irritation	Rabbit-New Zealand White  0.1g of undiluted WYEC 108-EP <sup>1</sup> (3 animals)	MAS: 0.67/110 (24, 48, 72 hrs.) MIS: 18.67/110 (1 hr.)	Slight opacity, with some swelling occurred at the 1-hour observation. All signs of irritation were resolved by the 72-hour observation.  Based on a MIS of 18.67 WYEC 108-EP is classified as "mildly irritating" to the eyes.  The signal words "CAUTION: EYE IRRITANT" will be required on the principal display panel of the TGAI and EP label and the precautionary statements "May irritate eyes.  Avoid contact with eyes" will be required under the section entitled "Precautions" on the TGAI and EP label.	PMRA 1164649
Dermal Irritation	Rabbit-New Zealand White (3 animals) 0.5g of WYEC 108-EP <sup>1</sup> (5.0 × 10 <sup>8</sup> CFU/g in 0.2 mL of deionized water)	MIS: 0.33/8 (1 hr)	WYEC 108-EP was determined to be "minimally irritating".  No signal words are required on the label.	PMRA 1164640

WYEC 108-EP is an alternate formulation of Actinovate SP

TG: Treatment group

KTG: Killed-test substance group

MAS = Mean Average Score; the average score from all animals at the 24-, 48- and 72-hour observation timepoints MIS = Maximum Irritation Score; the highest average score of all test animals at a single specified time point "

Table 2 Toxicity to Non-Target Species

Organism	Exposure	Test Substance	End Point Value	Significant Effects, Comments	Reference		
Terrestrial Organisms							
Terrestrial Vertebrates							
Birds	Oral	The waiver request of <i>S. lydicus</i> in sottest animals as disexposure which is		PMRA 1164644 <sup>1</sup>			
	Pulmonary, inhalation or injection  Based on the waiver request for avian oral toxicity testing and on the lack of toxicity, pathogenicity and infectivity in the acute pulmonary toxicity/infectivity and intravenous injection infectivity studies (Part 3.0²), no additional data are required.						
Wild Mammals	Based on informa on wild mammals	Not required					
	Te	rrestrial Invertebi	rates				
Terrestrial Arthropods (including honeybees)	Dietary	A waiver request was submitted in lieu of toxicity testing. The waiver request was based on the natural occurrence of <i>S. lydicus</i> in soil, the lack of toxicity and infectivity in test animals (as reported under Part 3.0²), the anticipated exposure which is not expected to significantly increase above natural levels of <i>S. lydicus</i> in soil and on the results from a literature search which showed no reports of adverse effects to insects, including honeybees, despite the ubiquitous nature of the organism.  While other <i>Streptomyces</i> species have demonstrated toxicity to certain lepidopteran insect species by the production of biologically active secondary metabolites, there is no indication that <i>S. lydicus</i> strain WYEC 108 produces any of these insecticidal compounds. Furthermore, despite the ubiquitous nature of <i>S. lydicus</i> strain WYEC 108 as a naturally occurring soil microorganism, there are no reports of insecticidal activity from <i>S. lydicus</i> strains in published literature.  WAIVER ACCEPTED.			The waiver request was based on the natural occurrence of <i>S. lydicus</i> in soil, the lack of toxicity and infectivity in test animals (as reported under Part 3.0²), the anticipated exposure which is not expected to significantly increase above natural levels of <i>S. lydicus</i> in soil and on the results from a literature search which showed no reports of adverse effects to insects, including honeybees, despite the ubiquitous nature of the organism.  While other <i>Streptomyces</i> species have demonstrated toxicity to certain lepidopteran insect species by the production of biologically active secondary metabolites, there is no indication that <i>S. lydicus</i> strain WYEC 108 produces any of these insecticidal compounds. Furthermore, despite the ubiquitous nature of <i>S. lydicus</i> strain WYEC 108 as a naturally occurring soil microorganism, there are no reports of insecticidal activity from <i>S. lydicus</i> strains in published literature.		PMRA 1164644 <sup>1</sup>

Organism	Exposure	Test Substance	End Point Value	Significant Effects, Comments	Reference
Terrestrial non-arthropod invertebrates (i.e. earthworms)	Acute	Test data are not required as the product is not intended to control pest nonarthropod invertebrates or soil macroorganisms and because the proposed use patterns are not likely to considerably increase natural populations of <i>S. lydicus</i> in soil.  While other <i>Streptomyces</i> species have demonstrated nematocidal activity related to the production of biologically active secondary metabolites, there is no indication that <i>S. lydicus</i> strain WYEC 108 produces any of these nematocidal compounds. Furthermore, despite the ubiquitous nature of <i>S. lydicus</i> strain WYEC 108 as a naturally occurring soil microorganism, there are no reports of nematocidal activity from <i>S. lydicus</i> strains in published literature.  No additional information is required.		Not required	
Microorganisms	Acute	is a naturally occuorganism is not execonomically imp	arring soil microc expected to affect cortant microbial -mediated biogeo	environmentally or species or ochemical processes.	Not required
		Terrestri			
Terrestrial Plants	Acute	The waiver reque of <i>S. lydicus</i> in so bacterium, the and to significantly in in soil and the lac trials. Although o a <i>S. lydicus</i> strain that this species is disease and the M to potatoes in gro	st was based on to a plant grow ticipated exposure crease above native of phytotoxicity of the report in publication of the potato season of the potato seas	e which is not expected ural levels of <i>S. lydicus</i> y was observed in field ished literature isolated ab, literature validated ociated with potato scab to be non-phytotoxic field studies.  That no deleterious VYEC 108 are l require that the	PMRA 1164644 <sup>1</sup>

Organism	Exposure	Test Substance	End Point Value	Significant Effects, Comments	Reference
Aquatic Organism	ns				
		Aquatic Vertebrat	tes		
Freshwater fish	Acute	In a 96-hour acute toxicity study, there were no mortalities and no adverse effects in rainbow trout exposed to <i>S. lydicus</i> strain WYEC 108 in the water at concentrations ranging from $1.5 \times 10^4$ to $6.3 \times 10^4$ CFU/mL. $LC_{50} > 6.3 \times 10^4$ CFU/mL		PMRA 1164653	
Estuarine/ marine fish	Acute		quired as estuari	ne and marine fish are MPCA.	Not required
	A	Aquatic Invertebra	ites		
Aquatic arthropods (Aquatic invertebrates)	Acute	The waiver requesinfectivity in test the lack of acute to the minimal antice environments.  While certain <i>Street</i> toxicity to brine so production of biodithere is no indicate produces these co	st was based on tanimals (as reportionals) (as reportionals) in freshwipated exposure the exposure of the expo	s have demonstrated da, <i>Artemia</i> sp.) by the econdary metabolites, as strain WYEC 108 ermore, there are no opod invertebrates from	PMRA 1164644 <sup>1</sup>
Aquatic non-arthropod invertebrates (i.e. molluscs)	Acute	Based on the lack animals (as report toxicity in freshw anticipated expost additional data is <i>S. lydicus</i> strain V invertebrates.  While certain <i>Stre</i> toxicity to freshw by the production metabolites, there WYEC 108 produ	of toxicity and in the dunder Part 3.0 atter fish in vitro atter fish in vitro atter for a quatic enterquired to assess a vyec 108 on a quatic enterptomyces species atter snails (Mollifo of biologically attentions in a single fisher composition of the second of the se	or of the secondary that <i>S. lydicus</i> strain bunds. Furthermore, aquatic non-arthropod	Not required

Organism	Exposure	Test Substance	End Point Value	Significant Effects, Comments	Reference
		Aquatio	c Plants		
Aquatic plants	Acute		the lack of report aquatic plants in	rts of adverse effects published literature,	Not required

A single report, addressing the data waiver request for the outstanding environmental toxicity studies, was submitted.

Information submitted in Part 3.0 (Impact on Human and Animal Health) includes an acute oral toxicity study, an acute pulmonary toxicity/pathogenicity and infectivity study, an intravenous injection infectivity study, a dermal irritation study and an eye irritation study.

## References

# A. List of Studies Submitted by Registrant

# 1.0 The Active Ingredient Its Properties and Uses

PMRA Number	Reference
PMRA 1164650	Product chemistry of Actinovate SP. Project No. 04-059. April 24, 2000. 28 pages. DACO 2.1-2.11
PMRA 1360736	University of Idaho. 1998. Thesis: Mechanism of biocontrol of fungal root pathogens in the rhizosphere by <i>Streptomyces lydicus</i> WYEC 108. Ph. D. Microbiology. Moscow, ID. 81 pages.
PMRA 1360737	University of Idaho. 2002. Plant growth promotion and siderophore production by selected root-colonizing nonpathogenic <i>Streptomyces</i> species. M. Sc.
PMRA 1348803	University of Idaho. 2006. Thesis: Characterization of novel members of the Streptomyces violaceusniger clade and characterization of antibiotic biosynthesis gene of <i>Streptomyces lydicus</i> WYEC 108. Ph. D. Microbiology. Moscow, ID. 113 pages.
PMRA 1348801	Locci, R. 1989. <i>Streptomycetes</i> and related genera. In: Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology. Vol. 4. 2451-2492.
PMRA 1164646	Biopesticide Regulatory Action Document. <i>Streptomyces lydicus</i> WYEC 108. USEPA. April 27, 2004. 41 pages.

## 2.0 Methods of Analysis

PMRA Number	Reference
PMRA 1164650	Product chemistry of Actionovate SP. Project No. 04-059. April 24, 2000. 28 pages. DACO 2.1-2.11
PMRA 1348800	Response to Clarifax. Dec. 20, 2000. 5 pages.
PMRA 1360731	Natural Industries Inc.: Summary of storage stability information. Jan. 18, 2007.
PMRA 1348805	Summary of physical and chemical properties: Actinovate SP (EP) and <i>Streptomyces lydicus</i> WYEC 108 (TGAI). 1 page.
PMRA 1360734	Goodfellow, M. And K. E. Simpson. 1987. Ecology of Streptomycetes. Frontiers Applied Microbiology. 2: 97-125.

PMRA 1360735 University of Idaho. 1992. Streptomyces lydicus WYEC 108 as a biocontrol agent against Pythium seed rot and emergence damping-off. Ph. D. Dissertation. Moscow, ID.
 PMRA 1348804 University of Idaho. 2006a. 16S rDNA sequence of Streptomyces lydicus WYEC 108. Department of Microbiology, Molecular Biology, and Biochemistry. Moscow, ID.
 PMRA 1348803 University of Idaho. 2006b. Characterization of novel members of the Stretomyces violaceuniger clade and characterization of antibiotic biosynthesis genes from Streptomyces lydicus WYEC 108. Ph. D. Dissertation. Department of Microbiology, Molecular Biology, and Biochemistry. Moscow, ID. May 2006.

#### 3.0 Impact on Human and Animal Health

PMRA Number Reference Final Report: Acute Oral Toxicity Study in Rats (OPPTS PMRA 1164642 870.1100). Laboratory Study No. 5199-99. October 26, 1999. Unpublished. PMRA 1164643 Final Report: Acute Pulmonary Toxiciy/Pathogenicity study in Rats with a Microbial Pest Control Agent (MPCA). Laboratory Study No. 5202-99. March 1, 2000. Unpublished. PMRA 1164641 Final Report: Acute injection toxicit/pathogenicity in rats with a microbial pest control agent (MPCA). Laboratory Report No. 5203-99. March 1, 2000. 45 pages. Unpublished. PMRA 1164649 Final Report: Primary Eye Irritation Study in the Rabbit. Laboratory Study No. 5200-99. September 2, 1999. 16 pages. Unpublished. PMRA 1164640 Final Report: Acute Dermal Irritation Study in Rabbit Laboratory Study No. 5201-99. September 2, 2000. 13 pages. Unpublished. PMRA 1164644 Administrative Volumes EPA Cover Letters. April 20, 2000. 14 pages.

#### 4.0 Impact on the Environment

PMRA Number	Reference
PMRA 1164644	Administrative Volumes EPA Cover Letters. April 20, 2000. 14 pages.

PMRA 1161653	Final Report: WYEC 108 ( <i>Streptomyces lydicus</i> ): A 96-hour acute toxicity study with rainbow trout ( <i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i> ). Project No. 506A-101. March 22, 2000. 23 pages.
PMRA 1164646	Biopesticide Regulatory Action Document. <i>Streptomyces lydicus</i> WYEC 108. USEPA. April 27, 2004. 41 pages.
PMRA 1360735	University of Idaho. 1992. <i>Streptomyces lydicus</i> WYEC 108 as a biocontrol agent against <i>Pythium</i> seed rot and emergence damping-off. Ph. D. Dissertation. Moscow, ID.
PMRA 1360737	University of Idaho. 2002. Plant growth promotion and siderophore production by selected root-colonizing nonpathogenic <i>Streptomyces</i> species. M. Sc. 102 pages.
PMRA 1164650	Product chemistry of Actionovate SP. Project No. 04-059. April 24, 2000. 28 pages. DACO 2.1-2.11
PMRA 1360734	Goodfellow, M. and K. E. Simpson. 1987. Ecology of Streptomycetes. Frontiers Applied Microbiology. 2: 97-125.
5.0 Value	
PMRA Number	Reference
PMRA 1164637	2005. Efficacy Studies for Actinovate SP pp. 167.

## **B.** Additional Information Considered

## i) Published Information

## 1.0 The Active Ingredient, Its Properties and Uses

PMRA Number	Reference
PMRA 1371710	Crawford, D. L., J. M. Lynch, J. M. Whipps, and M. A. Ousley. 1993. Isolation and characterization of <i>Actinomycete</i> antagonists of a fungal root pathogen. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 59 (11): 3899-3905.
PMRA 1371714	Yuan, W. M. and D. L. Crawford. 1995. Characterization of <i>Streptomyces lydicus</i> WYEC 108 as a potential biocontrol agent against fungal root and seed rots. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 61(8):3119-3128.

PMRA 1377257 Mahadevan, B. and D. L. Crawford. 1997. Properties of the chitinase of the antifungal biocontrol agent *Streptomyces lydicus* 

WYEC 108. Enz. Microb. Technol. 20: 489-493.

PMRA 1371711 McManus, P. S. 2004. Strawberry Disorder:Black root rot.

[on-line] <a href="http://cecommerce.uwex.edu/OrderPubLookup.asp">http://cecommerce.uwex.edu/OrderPubLookup.asp</a>

#### 2.0 Methods of Analysis

PMRA Number Reference

PMRA 1371712 Roberts, M. A. and D. L. Crawford. 2000. Use of randomly

amplified polymorphic DNA as a means of developing genus- and

strain-specific Streptomyces DNA probes. Appl. Environ.

Microbiol. 66(6): 2555-2564.

#### 3.0 Impact on Human and Animal Health

PMRA Number	Reference
PMRA 1371715	Abraham, L M., D. Selva, R. Casson, and I. Leibovitch. 2006. Mitomycin: Clinical applications in ophthalmic practice. Drugs. 66(3): 321-340.
PMRA 1377268	Al-Jawadi, M., E. M. Wellington, C. T. Calam. 1985. Identification of some streptomycetes producing oxytetracycline. J. Gen. Microbiol. 131(9): 2241-2244.
PMRA 1377269	Blanco, M. G., C. Hardisson, and J. A. Salas. 1984. Resistance inihibitors of RNA polymerase in actinomycetes which produce them. J. Gen. Microbiol. 130(11): 2883-2891.
PMRA 1377270	Blasiak J., A. Sikora, K. Wozniak, J. Drzewoski. 2004. Gentoxicity of streptozotocin in normal and caner cells and its modulation by free radical scavengers. Cell. Biol. Toxicol. 20(3):83-96.
PMRA 1371716	Bolzan, A. D. and M. S. Bianchi. 2001. Genotoxicity of Streptonigrin: A review. Mutat. Res. 844(1):25-37.
PMRA 1371717	Bolzan, A. D. and M. S. Bianchi. 2002. Genotoxicity of Streptozotocin. Mutat. Res. 512(2-3):121-134.

PMRA 1371726 Bukhalid, R. A., T. Takeuchi, D. Labeda, and R. Loria. 2002. Horizontal transfer of plant virulence gene, nec1, and flanking sequences among genetically distinct Streptomyces strains in the Diastatochromogenes cluster. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 68(2):738-744. PMRA 1371719 Carey, J., M. Motyl, and D. C. Perlman. 2001. Catheter-related bacteremia due to *Streptomyces* in a patient receiving holistic infusions. Emerg. Infet. Dis.7(6):1043-1045. PMRA 1371720 Chavez, G., R. Estrada, and A. Bonifaz. 2002. Perianal actinomycetoma experience of 20 cases. Int. J. Dermatol. 41:491-493. PMRA 1377261 Che, D., S. Liu, and X. Huang. 1989. Pathogenesis of extrinsic allergic alveolitis and pulmonary fibrosis induced by *Streptomyces* thermohygroscopicus. Chin. Med. J. (Engl). 102(7):563-567. PMRA 1377255 Clarke, P. R. R., G. B. R. Warnock, B. Blowers, and M. Wilkinson. 1964. Brain abscess due to *Streptomyces griseus*. J. Neurol. Nerosurg. Psychiatry. 27:553-555. PMRA 1371710 Crawford, D. L., J. M. Lynch, J. M. Whipps, and M. A. Ousley. 1993. Isolation and characterization of Actinomycete antagonists of a fungal root pathogen. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 59 (11): 3899-3905. PMRA 1370626 Dunne, E. F., W. J. Burman, and M. L. Wilson. 1998. Streptomyces pneumoniae in a patient with human immunodeficiency virus infection: case report and review of the literature on invasive *Streptomyces* infections. Clin. Infect. Dis. 27(1): 93-96. PMRA 1371721 Ekkelenkamp, M. B., W. de Jong, W. Hustinx and S. Thijsen. 2004. Streptomyces thermovulgaris bacteremia in Crohn's disease patient. Emerg. Infect. Dis. Lett. 10(10):1883-1885. PMRA 1370627 Hayakawa, Y., N. Kanamaru, A. Shimau, and H. Seto. 1991. Lydicamycin, a new antibiotic of a novel skeletal type I. Taxonomy, fermentation, isolation and biological activity. J. Antibiot. (Tokyo). 44(3): 282-287. PMRA 1370635 Holmalahti, J., J. Maki-Paakkanen, L. Kangas and A. vonWright. 1996. Genotoxicity of dihydroabikoviromycin, a secondary metabolite of *Streptomyces anulatus*. Mut. Res. 368(3-4):157-163.

PMRA 1377258 Huang, X, and D. Che. 1991. An experimental study of lung lesions caused by extracellular enzyme of Streptpomyces thermohygroscopicus. J. Tongji. Med. Univ. 11(1):10-14. PMRA 1371722 Jiang, H. and C. R. Hutchinson. 2006. Feedback regulation of doxorubicin biosynthesis in Streptomyces peucetius. Res. Microbiol. 157:666-674. PMRA 1377260 Kagen, S. L., J. N. Fink, D. P. Schlueter, V. P. Kurup, and R. B. Fruchtman. 1981. Streptomyces albus: a new cause of hypersensitivity pneumonitis. J. Allergy. Clin. Immunol. 68(4):295-299. PMRA 1371723 Kahtri, M. L., H. M. Al-Halali, M. F. Kahlid, S. A. Saif, and M. C. R. Vyas. 2002. Mycetoma in Yemen: clinicoepidemiological, and histopathological study. Int. J. Dermatol. 41:586-593. PMRA 1371727 Krysińska-Tracyk, Traczk, B. N. Pande, C. Skorska, J. Sitkowska, Z. Prażmo, G, Cholewa, and J. Dutkiewicz. 2005. Exposure of Indian agriculture workers to airborne microorganisms, dust and endotoxin during handling of various plant products. Ann. Agric. Envrion. Med. 12:269-275. PMRA 1371724 Kudo, K., M. Kikuchi and N. Ishida. 1972. Biogenesis of an anti-tumor antibiotic protein, Neocarzinostatin. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 1(4): 289-295. PMRA 1370634 Martin, G. J., D. L. Blazes, D. L. Mayers, and K. M. Spooner. 1999. Refractory craniofacial actinomycetoma due to *Streptomyces* somaliensis that required salvage therapy with amikacin and imipenum. Clin. Infect. Dis. 29(2): 460-461. PMRA 1371729 Mazza, G. 1983. Rapid assay for detection of microorganisms producing DNA-damaging metabolites. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 45(6):1949-1952. PMRA 1371730 McNeil, M. M. and J. M. Brown. 1994. Medically important actinomycetes: Epidemiology and microbiology. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 7(3): 357-417. PMRA 1370633 Miyake, Y. and M. Ebata. 1987. Galactostatin: A new betagalactosidase inhibitor from Streptomyces lydicus. J. Antibiotic. (Tokyo). 40(1): 122-123.

PMRA 1371728 Miyajima, K., F. Tanaka, T. Takeuchi and S. Kuninaga. 1998. Streptomyces turgidiscabies sp. nov. Int J. Sys. Bacteriol. 48(2):495-502. PMRA 1371732 Mishra, S. J., R. E. Gordon, and D. A. Barnett. 1980. Identification of Nocardiae and Streptomycetes of medical importance. J. Clin. Microbiol. 11(6):728-736. PMRA 1371733 Mossad, S. B., J. W. Tomford, R. Stewart, N. B. Ratliff and G. S. Hall. 1995. Case report of *Streptomyces* endcarditis of a prosthetic aortic valve. J. Clin. Microbiol. 33(2): 3335-3337. PMRA 1370632 Savir, H., E. Henig and V. Lehrer. 1978. Exogenous mycotic infections of eye. Ann. Opthalmol. 1013-1018. PMRA 1371713 Shiafee, A., B. H. Arison, S-S. T. Chen, R. R. Miller, and R. A. Stearns. 1994. A compound of formula ##STR7## or a pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof. Merck and Co. Inc. (Rathway, NL). Oct. 4, 1994. PMRA 1370628 Shanley, J. D., K. Snyder, and J. S. Child. 1979. Chronic pericarditis due to a *Streptomyces* species. Am Soc. Clin. Pathol. 72(1): 107-110. PMRA 1371725 Singh, S. K. and S. Gurusiddaiah, 1984. Production, purification, and characterization of chandramycin, a polypeptide antibiotic from Streptomyces lydicus. Antimicrob. Agents. Chemotherapy. 26(3): 394-400. PMRA 1370629 Suzuki, K, J. Sekimoto, T. Siddique, A. Kamiya, and M. Uyeda. 1998. Macrostatin: a novel macromolecular inhibitor of topoisomerases produced by Streptomyces avermilitis no. C-127. J. Enzyme Inhib. 14(1): 69-83. PMRA 1370630 Takahashi, S., M. Takeuchi, M. Inukai and M. Arai. 1980. Malioxamycin: A new antibiotic with spheroplast-forming activity II. Structural elucidation and total synthesis. J. Antibiotic. (Tokyo). 33(11): 1220-1223. PMRA 1370631 Vig B. K, and R. Lewis. 1978. Genetic toxicity of bleomycin. Mut. Res. 55(2): 121-145.

# 4.0 Impact on the Environment

PMRA Number	Reference
PMRA 1370636	Axelrod, P. E., A. M. Clarke, R. Radley, and S. J. Emcov. 1996. Douglas-fir root-associated microorganisms with inhibitory activity towards fungal plant pathogens and human bacterial pathogens. Can. J. Microbiol. 42(7): 690-700.
PMRA 1384233	Bernan, V. S., D. A. Montenegro, J. J. Goodman,, M. R. Alluri, G. T. Carter, D. R. Abbanat, C. J. Pearce, W. M. Maiese and M. Greenstein. 1994. Martinomycin a new poyether antibiotic produced by Streptomyces salvialis. J. Antibiot. 47(12): 1434-1441.
PMRA 1371734	Bouchek-Mechiche, K. L. Gardan, P. Normad, and B. Jouan. 2000. DNA relatedness among strains of <i>Streptomyces</i> pathogenic to potato in France: description of three new species, <i>Streptomyces europaeiscabiei</i> sp. nov., and <i>Streptomyces stelliscabiei</i> sp. nov. associated with common scab, and <i>Streptomyces reticuliscabiei</i> sp. nov. associated with netted scab. Int. J. Sys Evol. Microbiol. 50:91-99.
PMRA 1371735	Box, S. J., M. Cole, G. H., Yoeman. 1973. Prasinons A and B: potent insecticides from <i>Streptomyces prasinus</i> . Appl. Microbiol. 26(5): 699-704.
PMRA 1371726	Bukhalid, R. A., T. Takeuchi, D. Labeda, and R. Loria. 2002. Horizontal transfer of plant virulence gene, <i>nec1</i> , and flanking sequences among genetically distinct <i>Streptomyces</i> strains in the Diastatochromogenes cluster. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 68(2):738-744.
PMRA 1393834	Chaosu, Y. D. Hu, M. Shi and G. Hu. 1993. Studies on antibiotic 230 as a molluscicide. Jpn. J. Med. Sci. Biol. 46(3): 103-109.
PMRA 1371710	Crawford, D. L., J. M. Lynch, J. M. Whipps, and M. A. Ousley. 1993. Isolation and characterization of <i>Actinomycete</i> antagonists of a fungal root pathogen. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 59 (11): 3899-3905.
PMRA 1377263	Cross, T.1981. Aquatic Actinomycetes: A critical survey of the occurence, growth and role of Actinomycetes in Aquatic Habitats. J. Appl. Bacteriol. 50: 397-423.

PMRA 1370637 Dicklow, M. B., N. Acosta, and B. M. Zuckerman. 1993. A novel Streptomyces species for controlling plant-parasitic nematodes. J. Chem. Ecol. 19(2); 159-173. PMRA 1370638 El-Raheem, A., R. El-Shanshoury, S. El-Sououd, A. O. Awadalla, and N. B. El-Bandy. 1996. Effects of Streptomyces corchorusii, Streptomyces mutabilis, pendimethalin and metribusin on the control of bacterial and Fusarium wilf of tomato. Can. J. Botany. 74(7): 1016-1022. PMRA 1370639 Gongora, C. E., S. Wang, R. V. Barbehenn, and R. M. Broadway. 2001. Chitinolytic enzymes from Streptomyces albidoflavus expressed in tomato plants: effects on *Trichoplusia ni*. Entmol. Exp. Appl. 99(2): 193-204. PMRA 1371736 Goyer, C. and C. Beaulieu. 1997. Host range of *Streptomycete* strains causing commom scab. Plant. Dis. 81:901-904. PMRA 1371737 Jiang, C. and L. Xu. 1996. Diversity of aquatic Actinomycetes in lakes of the middle plateau, Yunnan, China. Appl. Environ. Micro. 62(1): 249-253. PMRA 1377264 Johnson, D. W. and T. Cross. 1976. Actinomycetes in lake muds: dormant spres of metablically active mycelium? Freshwater Biol. 6(5): 465-470. PMRA 1371738 Kaltenpoth, M, W. Göttler, G. Herzner and E. Strohm. 2005. Symbiotic bacteria protect wasp larvae from fungal infestation. Curr. Biol. 15: 475-479. PMRA 1371739 Kang, J-H., N. Ri and F. Kondo. 2004. Streptomyces species strain isolated from river water has high Bisphenol A degradability. Lett. Appl. Micro. 39: 178-180. PMRA 1377267 Kers, J. A., K. D. Cameron, M. V. Joshi, R. A. Bukhalid, J. E. Morello, M. J. Wach, D. M. Gibson, and R. Loria. 2005. A large mobile pathogenicity island confers plant pathogenicity on Streptomyces species. Mol. Micro 55(4):1025-1033. PMRA 1371740 Klausen, C., M. H. Nicolaisen, B. W. Strobel, F. Warnecke, J. L. Nielsen, and N. O. G. Jorgensen. 2005. Abundance of actinobacteria and production of geosmin and 2-methylisoborneol in Danish streams and fish ponds. FEMS Micro. Ecol. 52: 265-278.

PMRA 1371727 Krysińska-Tracyk, Traczk, B. N. Pande, C. Skorska, J. Sitkowska, Z. Prażmo, G, Cholewa, and J. Dutkiewicz. 2005. Exposure of Indian agriculture workers to airborne microorganisms, dust and endotoxin during handling of various plant products. Ann. Agric. Envrion. Med. 12:269-275. PMRA 1384230 Lacey, E., J. H. Gill, M. L. Pwer, R. W. Rickards, M. G. O'Shea, and J. M. Rothchild. 1994. Bafilolides, potent inhibitors of the motility and development of the free-living stages of parasitic nematodes. Int. J. Parasit. 25(3): 349–357. Li, Z-Y and Y. Liu. 2006. Marine sponge Craniella austrialiensis-PMRA 1371741 associated diversity revelation based on 16S rDNA library and biologically active Actinomycetes screening, phylogenetic analysis. Lett. Appl. Micro. 43: 410-416. Loria, R., R. A. Bukhalid, B. A. Fry, and R. R. King. 1997. Plant PMRA 1371742 pathogenicity in the genus *Streptomyces*. Plant Dis. 81:836-846. PMRA 1377254 Loria, J. Kers, and M. Joshi. 2006. Evolution of plant pathogenicity in *Streptomyces*. Annu Rev Phytopathol. 2006 May 2006. PMRA 1377262 Loria, R., J. Coombs, M. Yoshida, J. Kers, and R. Bukhalid. 2003. A paucity of bacterial root diseases: *streptomyces* succeeds where others fail. Physiol. Mol Plant Path. 62(2):65-72(8). PMRA 1377266 Mallory, L. M., B. Austin and R.R. Colwell. 1977. Numerical taxonomy and ecology of oligotrophic bacteria isolated from the esturaine environment. Can. J. Microbiol. 23(6): 733-750. McManus, P. S. 2004. Strawberry Disorder:Black root rot. PMRA 1371711 [on-line] http://cecommerce. uwex.edu/OrderPubLookup.asp PMRA 1371728 Miyajima, K., F. Tanaka, T. Takeuchi and S. Kuninaga. 1998. Streptomyces turgidiscabies sp. nov. Int J. Sys. Bacteriol. 48(2):495-502. PMRA 1371743 Moran, M. A., L. T. Rutherford, and R. E. Hodson. 1995. Evidence for indigenous Streptomyces ppulations in a marine envrionment determined with a 16S rRNA probe. Appl. Environ. Micro. 61(10): 3695 - 3700. PMRA 1370640 Nemec, S., L. E., Datnoff and J. Strandberg. 1996. Efficacy of biological control agents in mixes to colonize plant roots and control root diseases of vegetables and citrus. Crop Protec. 15(8): 735-742.

PMRA 1384231 Omura, S., K. Otoguro, N. Imamura, H. Kuga, Y. Takahashi, R. Msuma, Y. Tanaka, and H. Tanaka. 1986. Jietacins A and B new nematocidal antibiotics from a Streptomyces sp. J. Antibiot. XL(5): 623-629. PMRA 1371744 Pasti, M. B., A. L. Pometto, M. P. Nutti, and D. L. Crawford. 1990. Lingnin-solubilizing ability of actinomycetes isolated from termite (Termitidae) gut. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 56&7): 2213-2218. PMRA 1370641 Rothrock, C. S., and D. Gottlieb. 1984. Role of antibiosis in antagonism of Streptomyces hygroscopicus var. gerldanus to Rhizoctonia solani in soil. Can. J. Microbiol. 30(12): 1440-1447. PMRA 1370642 Sahin, N. 2005. Antimicrobial activity of *Streptomyces* species against mushroom blotch disease pathogen. J. Basic Microbiol. 45(1): 64-71. PMRA 1384234 Shiomi, K. K. Hatae, A. Matsumoto, Y. Takahashi, C. L. Jiang, H. Tomoda, S. Kobayashi, H. Tanala, and S. Omura. 2005. A new antibiotic, antimycin A9, produced by Streptomyces sp. K01-0031. J. Antibiot. (Tokya). 58(1): 74-78. PMRA 1371725 Singh, S. K. and S. Gurusiddaiah, 1984. Production, purification, and characterization of chandramycin, a polypeptide antibiotic from Streptomyces lydicus. Antimicrob. Agents. Chemotherapy. 26(3): 394-400. Skantar, A. M., K. Agama, S. L. F. Meyer, L. K. Carta, and PMRA 1384236 B. T. Vinyard. 2005. Effects of geldanamycin on hatching and juvenile motility in Caenorhabditis elegans and Heterodera glycines. J. Chem. Ecol. 31(10): 2481–2491. PMRA 1371745 Sun, Y., X. Zhou, J. Liu, K. Bao, G. Zhang, G. Tu, T. Kieser and Z. Deng. 2002. Streptomyces nanchangensis a producer of the insecticidal polyether antibiotic nanchanmycin and the antiparasitic macrolide meilingmycin contains multiple polyketide gene clusters. Microbiol. 148: 361-371. PMRA 1384235 Takatsu, T., N. Horiuchi, M. Ishikawa, K. Wanibuchi, T. Moriguchi and S. Takahashi. 2003. 1100-50 a novel nematocide from Streptomyces lavendulae SANK 64297. J. Antibiot.56(3): 306-309.

PMRA 1371746 Takeuchi, T., H. Sawada, F. Tanaka, and I. Matsuda. 1996. Phylogenetic analysis of *Streptomyces* spp. causing potato scab based on 16S rRNA sequences. Int. J. System. Bacteriol. 46(2): 476-479. PMRA 1371747 Tokala, R. K., J. L. Strap, C. M. Jung, D. L. Crawford, M. H. Salove, L. A. Deobald, J. F. Bailey, and M. J. Morra. 2002. Novel Plant-Microbe Rhizosphere Interaction Involving Streptomyces lydicus WYEC108 and the Pea Plant Pisum sativum. App. Environ. Microbiol. 68(5): 2161-2171. PMRA 1371749 Wohl, D. L. And J. V. McArthur. 1998. Actinomycete-flora associated with submersed freshwater macrophytes. FEMS Microbiol. Ecol. 26: 135-140. PMRA 1371749 Xiong et al. 2004. Streptomyces sp. 173, an insecticidal microorganism from marine. Lett. Appl. Micro. 38: 32-37. PMRA 1371750 Zhang, X. C.A. Clarke, and G. S. Pettis. 2003. Interstrain inhibition in the sweet potato pathogen *Streptomyces ipomoeae*: purification and characterization of a highly specific bacteriocin and cloning of its structural gene. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 69(4): 2201-2208. PMRA 1371751 Zheng, Z., W. Zeng, Y. Hunag, Z. Yang, J. Li, H. Cai, and W. Su. 2000. Detection of antitumor and antimicrobial activities in marine organisms associated actinomycetes isolated from the Taiwan Strait, China. FEMS Micro. Lett. 188: 87-91.