

## Evaluation Report for Category B, Subcategory B.2.1, B.2.3, B.2.4, B.2.5, B.3.1, B.3.10, B.3.11 Application

**Application Number:** 2007-3344

**Application:** B.2.1 (Changes Chemistry - Guarantee), B.2.3 (Changes Chemistry - Identity of formulants), B.2.4 (Changes Chemistry - Proportion of formulants), B.2.5 (Changes Chemistry - Formulation type), B.3.1 (Changes to Product label - application rate increase), B.3.10 (Changes to Product label - tank mixes), B.3.11 (Changes to Product label - new pests)

**Product:** Prowl H<sub>2</sub>O Herbicide

**Registration Number:** 29542

**Active ingredients (a.i.):** Pendimethalin (PEN)

**PMRA Document Number :** 1872141

### Purpose of Application

BASF Canada Inc. submitted an application to register a new water-based herbicide formulation, Prowl H<sub>2</sub>O Herbicide containing pendimethalin. This formulation will replace the current solvent-based Prowl 400 EC Herbicide formulation (Registration Number 23439). Prowl H<sub>2</sub>O Herbicide was proposed for use on the same crops with the same use patterns at the same active rates as the currently registered Prowl 400 EC Herbicide formulation.

### Chemistry Assessment

Prowl H<sub>2</sub>O Herbicide is formulated as a microcapsule suspension containing pendimethalin at a nominal concentration of 455 g/L. This end-use product has a density of 1.175 g/mL and pH of 7.8. The chemistry requirements for Prowl H<sub>2</sub>O Herbicide are complete.

### Health Assessments

Prowl H<sub>2</sub>O Herbicide is of low toxicity to rats via the oral (LD<sub>50</sub> > 5000 mg/kg), dermal (LD<sub>50</sub> >5000 mg/kg), and inhalation routes (LC<sub>50</sub> >5.23 mg/L). It is non-irritating to the eye and minimally irritating to the skin of rabbits. It is not a dermal sensitizer in guinea pigs.

The reformulation of pendimethalin into a microencapsulated formulation should not result in an increase in potential occupational or bystander (reentry) exposure over registered uses of the active ingredient, since the application rate, number of applications, frequency of application and method of application fall within that registered for other products.

Prowl 400EC Herbicide is currently registered in Canada on the same crops as those on Prowl H<sub>2</sub>O label. The main difference between the two products is the formulation (emulsifiable concentrate versus capsule suspension). To register Prowl H<sub>2</sub>O Herbicide, the registrant submitted side-by-side residue trials comparing the two formulations and provided the analytical methodology used for the residue trials and storage stability data to support storage intervals.

The side-by-side field trials, using the capsule suspension (CS) and emulsifiable concentrate (EC) formulations, in/on field corn, soybeans, rice, cotton and wheat are adequate and can be extended to support the use of pendimethalin as a CS formulation in/on field corn, dry bulb onions, newly planted and established fruit trees (apple, peach, nectarine, cherry and apricot). The magnitude of residues were comparable between the EC and CS formulations. Therefore, the dietary exposure is not expected to increase, and will not pose an unacceptable risk to any segment of the population, including infants, children, adults and seniors.

### MRL Recommendations

Based on the residue data, a maximum residue limit (MRL) to cover total residues of pendimethalin and the metabolite CL 202347, [2-methyl-3,5-dinitro-4-(pentan-3-ylamino)phenyl]methanol, in/on field corn, dry bulb onions, apples, apricots, peaches, nectarines, and cherries will be established as shown in Table 1.

**Table 1. Summary of Field Trial Data Used to Establish Maximum Residue Limit(s) (MRLs)**

Commodity	Application Method/ Total Application Rate (kg a.i./ha)	PHI (days)	Pendimethanlin		CL 202347		Recommended MRL (ppm)
			Min (ppm)	Max (ppm)	Min (ppm)	Max (ppm)	
Field corn	Pre-emergence/1.69	70 to 116	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.1
Dry bulb onions	Pre-plant, pre- emergence, or post- emergence/ 0.88 to 2.24	79 to 189	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.1
Apricots	Pre-emergence/2.0 and 4.0	24 to 56	<0.05	<0.068	<0.05	<0.05	0.1
Peaches, Nectarines	Pre-emergence/2.0	32	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.1
Cherries	Pre-emergence/2.0	34	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.1
Apples	Pre-emergence/2.0	30 to 35	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.1

## **Environmental Assessment**

Prowl H<sub>2</sub>O Herbicide which contains the active ingredient pendimethalin, will be less harmful to the environment than the original solvent-based herbicide. As a result of the reevaluation of the active ingredient pendimethalin, label statements have been updated and are required on the new label for Prowl H<sub>2</sub>O Herbicide.

## **Value Assessment**

A total of 36 field corn trial studies conducted in Ontario and Quebec over 3 years (2003-2005) were submitted for review. Sixteen trials assessed efficacy and crop tolerance after pre-emergence applications of Prowl H<sub>2</sub>O Herbicide in field corn. Seventeen trials assessed efficacy and crop tolerance after post-emergence applications of Prowl H<sub>2</sub>O Herbicide in field corn. In addition, reports from three trials were submitted for review conducted in 2000 and 2005 in Ontario that assessed efficacy and crop tolerance after post-emergence applications of Prowl H<sub>2</sub>O Herbicide in dry bulb onions.

Efficacy data collected for Prowl H<sub>2</sub>O Herbicide applied alone and in tank mix were similar to Prowl 400 EC Herbicide applied alone and in tank mix. Prowl 400 EC Herbicide and Prowl H<sub>2</sub>O Herbicide were shown to be agronomically equivalent. Antagonism was not observed with the proposed tank mixtures.

Crop injury was visually assessed throughout the growing season in field corn and dry bulb onions. Data collected with Prowl H<sub>2</sub>O Herbicide alone and in tank mix supported crop tolerance claims. Yield was assessed in 27 trials for field corn and 3 trials for dry bulb onions. Crop yield from Prowl H<sub>2</sub>O Herbicide alone treatments and in tank mix was similar to Prowl 400 EC Herbicide alone treatments and in tank mix. Although there were no crop tolerance data reported for newly planted and established fruit trees (apple, peach, nectarine, cherry and apricot) in British Columbia, it is anticipated that the margin of crop safety would be similar to the data for field corn and dry bulb onions because the registered application rate of Prowl is 1680 g a.i./ha which is the same for field corn and tree crops are more tolerant to herbicide treatments than dry bulb onions.

## **Conclusion**

The PMRA has completed the assessment of all available information and is able to support the registration of Prowl H<sub>2</sub>O Herbicide.

## **References**

- PMRA 1411315      2006, Description of Starting Materials, DACO: 3.2.1 CBI  
PMRA 1411316      2007, Process Procedure for Prowl H<sub>2</sub>O Herbicide, DACO: 3.2.2 CBI

PMRA 1639833 2008, Process Procedure for Prowl H2O Herbicide, Response to Clarification Request Aug. 12, 2008 (Sub. No. 2007-3344), DACO: 3.2.2 CBI

PMRA 1411317 2006, Formation of Impurities of Toxicological Concern, DACO: 3.2.3 CBI

PMRA 1639834 2008, Discussion of the Formation of Impurities, Response to Clarification Request Aug. 12, 2008 (Sub. No. 2007-3344), DACO: 3.2.3 CBI

PMRA 1411318 2006, Establishing Certified Limits, DACO: 3.3.1 CBI

PMRA 1411319 1997, Validation of the Liquid Chromatographic Method M-2476.01 for the Determination of the Active Ingredient (CL 92553) in Prowl Microcapsule Formulations (PMF), F-1385, DACO: 3.4.1 CBI

PMRA 1411320 2004, Pendimethalin 455 g/L CS: Chemical and Physical Stability of Formula Reference BAS 455 21 H when Stored in HDPE Packs - 208 Week Final Report, RLG 4940, DACO: 3.5.1, 3.5.2, 3.5.6, 3.5.7, 3.5.9, 3.5.10 CBI

PMRA 1411325 2001, Pendimethalin CS: Physical and Chemical Characteristics, F-1472, DACO: 3.5.3, 3.5.8, 3.5.11, 3.5.12 CBI

PMRA 1411327 2006, Formulation Type, DACO: 3.5.4 CBI

PMRA 1411328 2006, Container Material and Description, DACO: 3.5.5 CBI  
PMRA 1411333 1999, Oral LD<sub>50</sub> Study in Albino Rats with Pendimethalin 455 g/L (3.8 lb/gal) CS (DF 10159) Report Amendment #1. Laboratory Report Number: T-1120. DACO 4.6.1.

PMRA 1411334 1999, Oral LD<sub>50</sub> Study in Albino Rats with Pendimethalin 455 g/L (3.8 lb/gal) CS (DF 10159) Report Amendment #1. Laboratory Report Number: T-1120. DACO 4.6.1. CBI

PMRA 1411335 1999, Dermal LD<sub>50</sub> Study in Albino Rats with Pendimethalin 455 g/l (3.8 lb/gal) CS (DF 10159). Laboratory Report Number: T-1127. DACO 4.6.2.

PMRA, 1411336 1999, Dermal LD<sub>50</sub> Study in Albino Rats with Pendimethalin 455 g/l (3.8 lb/gal) CS (DF 10159). Laboratory Report Number: T-1127. DACO 4.6.2. CBI

PMRA 1411337 1999, Acute Inhalation Toxicity Study with Pendimethalin 455 g/l (3.8 lb/gal) CS (DF 10159) in Rats via Nose-Only Exposure. Laboratory Report Number: 99-5383. Applicant Report Number: TOX-99-110. DACO 4.6.3.

PMRA 1411338 1999, Acute Inhalation Toxicity Study with Pendimethalin 455 g/l (3.8 lb/gal) CS (DF 10159) in Rats via Nose-Only Exposure. Laboratory Report Number: 99-5383. Applicant Report Number: TOX-99-110. DACO 4.6.3. CBI

PMRA 1411339 1999, Primary Eye Irritation Study in Albino Rabbits with Pendimethalin 455 g/L (3.8 lb/gal) CS (DF10159). Laboratory Report Number: T-1125. DACO 4.6.4.

- PMRA 1411340 1999, Primary Eye Irritation Study in Albino Rabbits with Pendimethalin 455 g/L (3.8 lb/gal) CS (DF10159). Laboratory Report Number: T-1125. DACO 4.6.4. CBI
- PMRA 1411341 1999, Primary Dermal Irritation Study in Albino Rabbits with Pendimethalin 455 g/L (3.8 lb/gal) CS (DF10159). Laboratory Report Number: T-1126. DACO 4.6.5.
- PMRA 1411342 1999, Primary Dermal Irritation Study in Albino Rabbits with Pendimethalin 455 g/L (3.8 lb/gal) CS (DF10159). Laboratory Report Number: T-1126. DACO 4.6.5. CBI
- PMRA 1411343 2002, BAS 455 38 H – Modified BUEHLER Test (9 inductions) in guinea pigs. DACO 4.6.6.
- PMRA 1411344 1999, Dermal Sensitization Study with Pendimethalin 455 g/L (3.8 lb/gal) CS (DF10159) in guinea pigs - Buehler Method (Nine inductions). Laboratory Report Number: 99-1966. Applicant Report Number: TOX-99-111. DACO 4.6.6.
- PMRA 1411345 1999, Dermal Sensitization Study with Pendimethalin 455 g/L (3.8 lb/gal) CS (DF10159) in guinea pigs - Buehler Method (Nine inductions). Laboratory Report Number: 99-1966. Applicant Report Number: TOX-99-111. DACO 4.6.6. CBI
- PMRA 1411350 1996, Pendimethalin (CL 92,553): Laboratory Validation of GC Method M 2423 for the Determination of CL 92,553 and CL 202,347 Residues in Corn Grain and Soybean Seed, DACO: 7.2.1
- PMRA 1411352 2006, CL 92553 (pendimethalin): Freezer Stability of Residues of CL 92553 and CL 202347 (metabolite) in Corn Grain and Soybean Seed, DACO: 7.3
- PMRA 1411353 2007, Rationale for a Reduced Set of Residue Field Trials: Bridging of Residue Data Between the Emulsifiable and Aqueous Suspension Formulations of Pendimethalin, DACO: 7.4.1
- PMRA 1411354 2000, CL 92553 (pendimethalin): Residues of CL 92553 and CL 202347 in Field Corn After an Early Post-Emergence Application of Pendimethalin 3.8CS Herbicide vs. Prowl 3.3EC Herbicide from a Total of Two U.S. 1999 Trials Conducted in IA, OH, DACO: 7.2.3,7.4.1
- PMRA 1411355 2000, CL 92553 (Pendimethalin): Residues of CL 92553 and CL 202347 in Soybeans Following Preemergence Treatment with Pendimethalin 3.8 CS or PROWL 3.3 EC Herbicide from a Total of Two USA Trials Conducted in Indiana and in Iowa, DACO: 7.2.3,7.4.1
- PMRA 1411356 2000, CL 92553 (pendimethalin): Residues of CL 92553 and CL 202347 in Rice after an Early Postemergence Application of Pendimethalin 3.8CS Herbicide vs. Prowl 3.3EC Herbicide from a Total of Two U.S. 1999 Trials Conducted in AR, LA, DACO: 7.2.3, 7.4.1
- PMRA 1411357 2000, CL 92553 (Pendimethalin): Residues of CL 92553 and CL 202347 in Cotton Seed and Cotton Gin Trash Following Pre-emergence (PRE) and Layby Treatments with Pendimethalin 3.8 CS or Prowl 3.3 EC Herbicide from a Total of Two USA Trials Conducted in Mississippi and in Texas, DACO: 7.2.3, 7.4.1

- PMRA 1411358 2001, CL 92553 (Pendimethalin): Residues of CL 92553 and CL 202347 in Cotton Seed and Cotton Gin Trash Following Pre-emergence (PRE) and Layby Treatments with Pendimethalin 3.8 CS or Prowl 3.3 EC Herbicide from a Total of Two USA Trials Conducted in Mississippi and in Arkansas, DACO: 7.4.1
- PMRA 1411359 2000, CL 92553 (Pendimethalin): Residues of CL 92553 and CL 202347 in Winter Wheat Following Post Treatment with Pendimethalin 3.8 CS or Prowl 3.3 EC Herbicide From a Total of Two USA Trials Conducted in Arkansas and in Texas, DACO: 7.4.1
- PMRA 1411360 2000, CL 92553 (Pendimethalin): Residues of CL 92553 and CL 202347 in Spring Wheat Following Early Postemergence (Post) Treatment with Pendimethalin 3.8 CS or Prowl 3.3 EC Herbicide From A Total of Two USA Trials Conducted in North and South Dakota, DACO: 7.2.3, 7.4.1
- PMRA 1698797 2004, Method Validation of BASF Analytical Method D0203 entitled: "Method for Determination of Pendimethalin (BAS 455 H) and its Metabolite CL 202347 Residues in Wheat Forage, Hay, Grain and Straw using LC/MS/MS", DACO: 7.2.1,7.4.1
- PMRA 1411362 2007. Application to Register Prowl H2O Herbicide Formulation Replacement for Prowl 400 EC Herbicide. DACO: 10.1, 10.2, 10.2.1, 10.2.2, 10.2.3, 10.2.3.1, 10.2.3.3(B), 10.3, 10.3.1, 10.3.2(A) pp. 329.

ISSN: 1911-8082

© Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Canada, represented by the Minister of Public Works and Government Services Canada 2010

All rights reserved. No part of this information (publication or product) may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical photocopying, recording or otherwise, or stored in a retrieval system, without prior written permission of the Minister of Public Works and Government Services Canada, Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0S5.