

Proposed Registration Decision

PRD2012-10

Picoxystrobin

(publié aussi en français)

13 April 2012

This document is published by the Health Canada Pest Management Regulatory Agency. For further information, please contact:

Publications Pest Management Regulatory Agency Health Canada 2720 Riverside Drive A.L. 6604-E2 Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0K9 Internet: pmra.publications@hc-sc.gc.ca healthcanada.gc.ca/pmra Facsimile: 613-736-3758 Information Service: 1-800-267-6315 or 613-736-3799 pmra.infoserv@hc-sc.gc.ca



ISSN: 1925-0878 (print) 1925-0886 (online)

Catalogue number: H113-9/2012-10E (print version) H113-9/2012-10E-PDF (PDF version)

© Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Canada, represented by the Minister of Health Canada, 2012

All rights reserved. No part of this information (publication or product) may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, or stored in a retrieval system, without prior written permission of the Minister of Public Works and Government Services Canada, Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0S5.

Table of Contents

Overview					
Proposed Registration Decision for Picoxystrobin	1				
What Does Health Canada Consider When Making a Registration Decision?					
What Is Picoxystrobin?	2				
Health Considerations	2				
Environmental Considerations	4				
Value Considerations	5				
Measures to Minimize Risk	5				
Next Steps	6				
Other Information	6				
Science Evaluation	7				
1.0 The Active Ingredient, Its Properties and Uses	7				
1.1 Identity of the Active Ingredient	7				
1.2 Physical and Chemical Properties of the Active Ingredient and End-Use Product	7				
1.3 Directions for Use	8				
1.4 Mode of Action	9				
2.0 Methods of Analysis	9				
2.1 Methods for Analysis of the Active Ingredient	9				
2.2 Method for Formulation Analysis	9				
2.3 Methods for Residue Analysis	10				
3.0 Impact on Human and Animal Health	10				
3.1 Toxicology Summary	10				
3.1.1 PCPA Hazard Characterization	13				
3.2 Acute Reference Dose (ARfD)	13				
3.3 Acceptable Daily Intake (ADI)	14				
3.4 Occupational and Residential Risk Assessment	14				
3.4.1 Toxicological Endpoints	14				
3.4.2 Occupational Exposure and Risk	15				
3.5 Food Residues Exposure Assessment	20				
3.5.1 Residues in Plant and Animal Foodstuffs	20				
3.5.2 Exposure from Drinking Water	20				
3.5.3 Dietary Risk Assessment	22				
3.5.4 Aggregate Exposure and Risk	23				
3.5.5 Maximum Residue Limits	23				
4.0 Impact on the Environment	23				
4.1 Environmental Risk Characterization	24				
4.1.1 Risks to Terrestrial Organisms	25				
4.1.2 Risks to Aquatic Organisms	28				
5.0 Value	31				
5.1 Effectiveness Against Pests	31				
5.1.1 Acceptable Efficacy Claims	31				
5.2 Economics	32				
5.3 Sustainability	32				

5.3.1	Survey of Alternatives	. 32
5.3.2	2 Compatibility with Current Management Practices Including Integrated	
	Pest Management	. 32
5.3.3	Information on the Occurrence or Possible Occurrence of the Development	
	of Resistance	. 32
6.0 P	est Control Product Policy Considerations	. 33
6.1	Toxic Substances Management Policy Considerations	. 33
6.2	Formulants and Contaminants of Health or Environmental Concern	. 33
7.0 S	ummary	34
7.1	Human Health and Safety	. 34
7.2	Environmental Risk	35
7.3	Value	35
8.0 P	roposed Regulatory Decision	36
List of A	bbreviations	. 37
Appendi	x I Tables and Figures	41
Table	1 Residue Analysis	41
Table	2 Toxicity Profile of End-use Product DuPont Acapela Fungicide	. 41
Table	3 Toxicity Profile of Technical Picoxystrobin.	. 42
Table 4	4 Toxicology Endpoints for Use in Health Risk Assessment for Picoxystrobin	. 46
Table	5 Integrated Food Residue Chemistry Summary	. 47
Table	6 Food Residue Chemistry Overview of Metabolism Studies and Risk Assessment.	. 60
Table	7 Summary of DFR Values and Regression Analysis Results for Treated Soybean	
	Foliage with Picoxystrobin	61
Table	8 Fate and Behaviour in the Environment	61
Table	9 Name and chemical structure of environmental transformation products of	
	picoxystrobin	. 65
Table	10 Summary of formation of transformation products (% applied radioactivity)	
	formed in environmental studies with picoxystrobin	. 66
Table	11 Toxicity of picoxystrobin, a 250 g a.i./L Soluble Concentrate formulation and	
	major transformation products to non-target terrestrial species.	.71
Table	12 Screening level risk assessment for picoxystrobin and transformation products	
	on non-target terrestrial species, other than birds and mammals	77
Table	13 Screening level risk assessment of picoxystrobin for birds and mammals for	
	the use with the maximum seasonal rate of application (sweet corn)	78
Table	14 Toxicity of picoxystrobin a 250 g a i /L Soluble Concentrate formulation	. , 0
10010	and major transformation products to aquatic species	79
Table	15 Screening level risk assessment of picoxystrobin to aquatic organisms	83
Table	16 Risk quotients for aquatic organisms determined for drift of picoxystrobin	
1 4010	from aerial or field sprayer application on sweet corn and dry legumes using	
	ASAE medium dronlet size	85
Table	17 Risk quotient for aquatic organisms as determined for run-off of picoxystrobin	. 05
1 4010	in water bodies 80 cm or 15 cm deep	87
Table	18 Screening level risk assessment of transformation products of picoxystrobin	. 07
1 4010	to freshwater aquatic organisms from the proposed use on sweet corp	88
	to neonvitor aquate organisms nom the proposed use on sweet com	. 00

Table 19	Toxic Substances Management Policy Considerations-Comparison to TSMP	
	Track 1 Criteria	89
Table 20	Registered alternative products for the crops and pests proposed for	
	registration on the DuPont Acapela Fungicide label. Please note that some	
	active ingredients may not be registered on the entire crop group	90
Table 21	Use (label) Claims Proposed by Applicant and Whether Acceptable or	
	Unsupported	91
Appendix II	Supplemental Maximum Residue Limit Information—International Situation	ion
	and Trade Implications	93
Table 1	Differences Between Canadian MRLs and in Other Jurisdictions	93
References		95

Overview

Proposed Registration Decision for Picoxystrobin

Health Canada's Pest Management Regulatory Agency (PMRA), under the authority of the *Pest Control Products Act* and Regulations, is proposing full registration for the sale and use of Picoxystrobin Technical Fungicide and DuPont Acapela Fungicide, containing the technical grade active ingredient picoxystrobin, to control or suppress a broad spectrum of diseases on cereals, corn, dry legumes and soybeans.

An evaluation of available scientific information found that, under the approved conditions of use, the product has value and does not present an unacceptable risk to human health or the environment.

This Overview describes the key points of the evaluation, while the Science Evaluation section provides detailed technical information on the human health, environmental and value assessments of Picoxystrobin Technical Fungicide and DuPont Acapela Fungicide.

What Does Health Canada Consider When Making a Registration Decision?

The key objective of the *Pest Control Products Act* is to prevent unacceptable risks to people and the environment from the use of pest control products. Health or environmental risk is considered acceptable¹ if there is reasonable certainty that no harm to human health, future generations or the environment will result from use or exposure to the product under its proposed conditions of registration. The Act also requires that products have value² when used according to the label directions. Conditions of registration may include special precautionary measures on the product label to further reduce risk.

To reach its decisions, the PMRA applies modern, rigorous risk-assessment methods and policies. These methods consider the unique characteristics of sensitive subpopulations in humans (for example, children) as well as organisms in the environment (for example, those most sensitive to environmental contaminants). These methods and policies also consider the nature of the effects observed and the uncertainties when predicting the impact of pesticides. For more information on how the PMRA regulates pesticides, the assessment process and risk-reduction programs, please visit the PMRA's website at healthcanada.gc.ca/pmra.

¹ "Acceptable risks" as defined by subsection 2(2) of the *Pest Control Products Act*.

² "Value" as defined by subsection 2(1) of the *Pest Control Products Act*: "the product's actual or potential contribution to pest management, taking into account its conditions or proposed conditions of registration, and includes the product's (*a*) efficacy; (*b*) effect on host organisms in connection with which it is intended to be used; and (*c*) health, safety and environmental benefits and social and economic impact."

Before making a final registration decision on picoxystrobin, the PMRA will consider all comments received from the public in response to this consultation document³. The PMRA will then publish a Registration Decision⁴ on picoxystrobin, which will include the decision, the reasons for it, a summary of comments received on the proposed final registration decision and the PMRA's response to these comments.

For more details on the information presented in this Overview, please refer to the Science Evaluation section of this consultation document.

What Is Picoxystrobin?

Picoxystrobin is a fungicide active ingredient that controls or suppresses a broad spectrum of diseases in numerous crops. It has moderate uptake into host leaves through the xylem and exhibits translaminar movement.

Health Considerations

Can Approved Uses of Picoxystrobin Affect Human Health?

Picoxystrobin is unlikely to affect your health when used according to label directions.

Potential exposure to picoxystrobin may occur through the diet (food and water) or when handling and applying the product or when entering treated sites. When assessing health risks, two key factors are considered: the levels where no health effects occur and the levels to which people may be exposed. The dose levels used to assess risks are established to protect the most sensitive human population (for example, children and nursing mothers). Only uses for which the exposure is well below levels that cause no effects in animal testing are considered acceptable for registration.

Toxicology studies in laboratory animals describe potential health effects from varying levels of exposure to a chemical and identify the dose where no effects are observed. The health effects noted in animals occur at doses more than 100-times higher (and often much higher) than levels to which humans are normally exposed when pesticide products are used according to label directions.

In laboratory animals, the technical grade active ingredient picoxystrobin was of moderate acute toxicity by the inhalation route and was mildly irritating to the eyes; consequently, the hazard signal words "WARNING – POISON" and "EYE IRRITANT" are required on the label. It was of low acute toxicity orally and dermally. Picoxystrobin was non-irritating to the skin and did not cause an allergic skin reaction.

³ "Consultation statement" as required by subsection 28(2) of the *Pest Control Products Act*.

⁴ "Decision statement" as required by subsection 28(5) of the *Pest Control Products Act*.

The acute toxicity of the end-use product DuPont Acapela Fungicide, containing picoxystrobin, was low via the oral, dermal and inhalation routes of exposure. It was minimally irritating to the eyes, slightly irritating to the skin and did not cause an allergic skin reaction. No hazard signal words are required on the label.

Health effects in animals given repeated doses of picoxystrobin included irritation of the mucous membranes throughout the gastrointestinal tract (G.I. tract). Picoxystrobin did not damage genetic material or cause cancer at doses that were relevant to human risk assessment. There was no indication that picoxystrobin caused damage to the immune system. Picoxystrobin did not cause birth defects in animals and there were no effects on reproduction. When picoxystrobin was given to pregnant or nursing animals, effects on the juvenile animal (decreased spleen weight) were observed at doses lower than those that were toxic to the mother, indicating that the young may be slightly more sensitive than the adult animal.

The risk assessment protects against the effects of picoxystrobin by ensuring that the level of human exposure is well below the lowest dose at which these effects occurred in animal tests.

Residues in Water and Food

Dietary risks from food and water are not of concern.

Aggregate dietary intake estimates (food plus water) revealed that the general population and children (1-2 yrs), the subpopulation which would ingest the most picoxystrobin relative to body weight, are expected to be exposed to less than 3% of the acceptable daily intake. Based on these estimates, the chronic dietary risk from picoxystrobin is not of concern for all population sub-groups. There were no cancer risks of concern.

An aggregate (food and water) dietary intake estimate for the highest exposed population (all infants, <1 year old) used less than 1% (95th Percentile) of the acute reference dose, which is not a health concern.

The *Food and Drugs Act (FDA)* prohibits the sale of adulterated food, that is, food containing a pesticide residue that exceeds the established maximum residue limit (MRL). Pesticide MRLs are established for FDA purposes through the evaluation of scientific data under the *Pest Control Products Act (PCPA)*. Food containing a pesticide residue at the established MRL does not pose an unacceptable health risk.

Residue trials conducted throughout Canada and the United States using picoxystrobin on corn (field and sweet), wheat, barley, soybean, dried pea, dried bean and imported canola are acceptable. The MRLs for this active ingredient can be found in the Science Evaluation section of this Consultation Document.

Occupational Risks From Handling DuPont Acapela Fungicide

Occupational risks are not of concern when DuPont Acapela Fungicide is used according to the proposed label directions, which include protective measures.

Farmers and custom applicators who mix, load or apply DuPont Acapela Fungicide as well as field workers re-entering recently treated fields can come in direct contact with picoxystrobin residues on the skin. Therefore, the label specifies that anyone mixing/loading and applying DuPont Acapela Fungicide must wear a long-sleeved shirt, long pants, chemical-resistant gloves and shoes plus socks during mixing and loading and a long-sleeved shirt, long pants and shoes plus socks during application. The label also requires that workers do not enter treated fields for 12 hours after application. Taking into consideration these label statements, the number of applications and the expectation of the exposure period for handlers and workers, it was determine that the risks to these individuals are not a concern.

For bystanders, exposure is expected to be much less than that for workers and is considered negligible. Therefore, health risks to bystanders are not of concern.

Environmental Considerations

What Happens When Picoxystrobin Is Introduced Into the Environment?

Picoxystrobin can pose a risk to earthworms, beneficial predatory and parasitic arthropods, non-target terrestrial plants and freshwater aquatic invertebrates, fish, amphibians and algae; therefore, statements on the product label are required to inform users of the potential risks, and spray buffer zones are required during application.

When picoxystrobin is applied as a fungicide in field crops, some of the active ingredient finds its way into soil and water. Picoxystrobin has low solubility in water and will partition to sediments. Picoxystrobin is broken down by microbial activity in soil, sediment and water; thus, it is not expected to persist in the environment. Four major transformation products (Compounds 2, 3, 7 and 8) may be present in soil or aquatic systems for a longer period of time. Compound 26 is a volatile transformation product formed in soil. Laboratory and field studies indicate that picoxystrobin and its transformation products have low mobility in soil and have low potential to leach to groundwater, except for one transformation product at one field site where precipitation levels were very high. Picoxystrobin and its transformation products are not expected to carry over in important amounts into the next growing season. Picoxystrobin does not appreciably bioconcentrate in fish. Picoxystrobin is not volatile and therefore not expected to be subject to long-range transport of Compound 26, a major volatile transformation product formed in soil.

Picoxystrobin can be applied by field sprayer or aerial application. There is a potential that nontarget terrestrial and aquatic habitats may be exposed to the chemical as a result of spray drift or runoff. Picoxystrobin is not expected to pose a risk to bees, birds, small mammals, freshwater vascular plants and marine/estuarine organisms at the proposed use rates. Picoxystrobin exposure can present a risk to earthworms, beneficial predatory and parasitic arthropods, terrestrial plants, and freshwater invertebrates, fish, amphibians and algae; therefore, statements on the product label are required to inform users of the potential risks. In order to minimize the potential for exposure resulting from off-field drift, no-spray buffer zones will be required between the treated area and downwind aquatic habitats. No environmental risk was identified from exposure to the major transformation products of picoxystrobin.

Value Considerations

DuPont Acapela Fungicide is a broad-spectrum fungicide with locally systemic activity for control of foliar plant diseases.

DuPont Acapela Fungicide controls or suppresses primary diseases of major field crops grown in Canada and can be integrated into a spray program as a rotational product or tank mix partner.

Measures to Minimize Risk

Labels of registered pesticide products include specific instructions for use. Directions include risk-reduction measures to protect human and environmental health. These directions must be followed by law.

The key risk-reduction measures being proposed on the label of DuPont Acapela Fungicide to address the potential risks identified in this assessment are as follows.

Key Risk-Reduction Measures

Human Health

Because there is a concern with users coming into direct contact with picoxystrobin residues on the skin or through inhalation of spray mists, anyone mixing, loading and applying DuPont Acapela Fungicide must wear a long-sleeved shirt, long pants, chemical-resistant gloves and shoes plus socks during mixing and loading and a long-sleeved shirt, long pants and shoes plus socks during application. In addition, standard label statements to protect against drift during application are on the label.

The label must also include the following restrictions:

- 1. If wheat forage will be harvested, make only one application.
- 2. If soybean forage and hay will be harvested, make only one application.
- 3. All other crops not on the label may be planted after 10 months following the last application of picoxystrobin.

Environment

Picoxystrobin can pose a risk to earthworms and beneficial predatory and parasitic arthropods when used in Integrated Pest Management strategies. Label statements informing the users of the potential risks to these organisms are specified on the product label.

Spray drift of picoxystrobin can pose a risk to non-target terrestrial vascular plants, freshwater aquatic invertebrates, fish, amphibians and algae. To mitigate potential exposures via spray drift, spray buffer zones of 1 to 2 metres are required to protect sensitive terrestrial habitats, and spray buffer zones of 1 to 35 metres, depending on the type of application equipment and the crop, are required to protect sensitive aquatic habitats. These spray buffer zones are specified on the product label.

Next Steps

Before making a final registration decision on picoxystrobin, the PMRA will consider all comments received from the public in response to this consultation document. The PMRA will accept written comments on this proposal up to 45 days from the date of publication of this document. Please note that, to comply with Canada's international trade obligations, consultation on the proposed MRLs will also be conducted internationally via a notification to the World Trade Organization. Please forward all comments to Publications (contact information on the cover page of this document). The PMRA will then publish a Registration Decision, which will include its decision, the reasons for it, a summary of comments received on the proposed final decision and the Agency's response to these comments.

Other Information

When the PMRA makes its registration decision, it will publish a Registration Decision on picoxystrobin (based on the Science Evaluation section of this consultation document). In addition, the test data referenced in this consultation document will be available for public inspection, upon application, in the PMRA's Reading Room (located in Ottawa).

Science Evaluation

Picoxystrobin

1.0 The Active Ingredient, Its Properties and Uses

1.1 Identity of the Active Ingredient

Ac	tive substance	Picoxystrobin
Fu	nction	Fungicide
Ch	emical name	
1.	International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry (IUPAC)	Methyl (2 <i>E</i>)-3-methoxy-2-{2-[6-(trifluoromethyl)-2- pyridyloxymethyl]phenyl}acrylate
2.	Chemical Abstracts Service (CAS)	Methyl (α <i>E</i>)-α-(methoxymethylene)-2-[[[6-(trifluoromethyl)-2- pyridinyl]oxy]methyl]benzeneacetate
CA	AS number	117428-22-5
Mo	olecular formula	$C_{18}H_{16}F_3NO_4$
Me	blecular weight	367.3 g/mol
Stı	uctural formula	
_		2001

Purity of the active 98% ingredient

1.2 Physical and Chemical Properties of the Active Ingredient and End-Use Product

Technical Product—Picoxystrobin Technical Fungicide

Property	Result
Colour and physical state	Cream solid
Odour	No characteristic odour
Melting range	Pure material: 71.9 – 74.3°C Technical material: 75.0°C
Boiling point or range	Not applicable for a solid
Density at 20°C	1.40 g/cm^3
Vapour pressure at 20°C	$5.5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mPa}$

Property		Resu	lt	
Henry's law constant at 20°C	6.13 x 10 ⁻⁹ atm·m ³ /mol			
Ultraviolet (UV)-visible spectrum	In methanol, maxima were observed at 209.6 nm, 218.1 nm and in the range of 234.4 to 244.5 nm.			
	Not expected to absorb a	at $\lambda > 300 \text{ nm}$		
Solubility in water at 20°C	3.1 mg/L			
Solubility in organic solvents at 20°C (g/100 mL)	Solvent xylene 1,2-dichloromethane acetone ethyl acetate n-heptane methanol	<u>Sol</u> <u>g/L</u> >200 " " " 4 79	<u>ubility</u> <u>g/kg</u> 250 " " " 6 96	
<i>n</i> -Octanol-water partition coefficient (K_{OW})	$\log K_{ow} = 3.6$			
Dissociation constant (pK_a)	The dissociation constant of the active is that of an extremely weak base, calculated to be less than 1, and therefore cannot be measured.			
Stability (temperature, metal)	Stable for two weeks at elevated (54°C) temperature, and in contact with iron and aluminum metals and their acetate salts.			

End-Use Product—DuPont Acapela Fungicide

Property	Result
Colour	Off-white
Odour	No characteristic odour
Physical state	Liquid
Formulation type	Suspension
Guarantee	250 g/L
Container material and description	Metal, glass and plastic jug or tote of size ranging from 1 L to 2500 L.
Density	1.107 g/mL at 20°C
pH of 1% dispersion in water	7.22 at 25°C
Oxidizing or reducing action	The product does not contain any oxidizing or reducing agents.
Storage stability	Stable for 12 months in HDPE packaging.
Corrosion characteristics	Not corrosive to HDPE packaging.
Explodability	Not explosive.

1.3 Directions for Use

Cereals: Apply DuPont Acapela Fungicide to cereal crops prior to disease onset (at Feeke's stage 9 or 'flag leaf out' stage) at rates ranging between 0.44 - 0.88 L/ha (110 - 225 g a.i./ha). Repeat applications may be made at 7 - 10 day intervals, but should not be applied after flowering (Feeke's stage 10.5).

Corn: Apply DuPont Acapela Fungicide to corn prior to disease onset at rates ranging between 0.53 - 0.88 L/ha (132.5 - 225 g a.i./ha). Applications should begin at the bud to early flowering stage. Repeat applications may be made at 7 - 14 day intervals. The product may be applied with a non-ionic surfactant.

Dry Legumes: Apply DuPont Acapela Fungicide to dry legumes prior to disease onset at rates ranging between 0.60 - 0.88 L/ha (150 - 225 g a.i./ha). Repeat applications may be made at 7 - 10 day intervals. When treating for ascochyta blight, DuPont Acapela Fungicide must be tank mixed with another registered fungicide with a different mode of action. No more than two applications may be made to target this disease.

Soybeans: Apply DuPont Acapela Fungicide to soybeans prior to disease onset at rates ranging between 0.44 - 0.88 L/ha (110 - 225 g a.i./ha). Repeat applications may be made at 7 - 14 day intervals. For white mould, the first application should be made at 100% bloom (one flower blooming on all plants). A second application may be made 7 - 10 days later at full bloom.

The recommended water volume for ground application to all crops is a minimum of 110 L/ha. Acapela Fungicide may be applied by air to all labelled crops using a minimum application volume of 50 L water/ha.

1.4 Mode of Action

Picoxystrobin is a quinone outside inhibitor (QoI) fungicide that inhibits mitochondrial respiration.

Picoxystrobin fungicide provides control of target fungi by blocking spore germination and inhibiting germ tube formation and mycelial growth. Picoxystrobin also induces spore collapse and death.

2.0 Methods of Analysis

2.1 Methods for Analysis of the Active Ingredient

The methods provided for the analysis of the active ingredient and the impurities in Picoxystrobin Technical Fungicide have been validated and assessed to be acceptable for the determinations.

2.2 Method for Formulation Analysis

The method provided for the analysis of the active ingredient in the formulation has been validated and assessed to be acceptable for use as an enforcement analytical method.

2.3 Methods for Residue Analysis

High-performance liquid chromatography methods with tandem mass spectrometry (HPLC-MS/MS) were developed and proposed for data generation and enforcement purposes. These methods fulfilled the requirements with regards to selectivity, accuracy and precision at the respective method limit of quantitation. Acceptable recoveries (70–120%) were obtained in plant and animal matrices and environmental media. Methods for residue analysis are summarized in Appendix I, Table 1.

The LC-MS/MS (liquid chromatography with tandem mass spectrometry) methods (Method#s Du-Pont-29312 and Du-Pont-25997, Revision No. 1) were developed and proposed for data gathering and enforcement purposes in plant and livestock commodities. These methods fulfilled the requirements with regards to specificity, accuracy and precision at the method limit of quantitation. Acceptable recoveries (70–120%) were obtained in plant and animal matrices. The proposed enforcement methods (Method#s Du-Pont-29312 and Du-Pont-25997, Revision No. 1) were successfully validated by an independent laboratory. Adequate extraction efficiencies were demonstrated using radiolabelled plant and livestock samples in the metabolism studies.

3.0 Impact on Human and Animal Health

3.1 Toxicology Summary

A detailed review of the toxicological database for picoxystrobin was conducted. The database is complete, consisting of the full array of toxicity studies currently required for hazard assessment purposes. The studies were carried out in accordance with currently accepted international testing protocols and Good Laboratory Practices. The scientific quality of the data is high and the database is considered adequate to define the majority of the toxic effects that may result from exposure to picoxystrobin.

Picoxystrobin is a member of the strobilurin class of pesticides. This class of pesticides impairs energy function in the mitochondria.

In single-dose rat oral metabolism studies, radiolabelled picoxystrobin was rapidly absorbed with peak blood concentrations (T_{max}) between 0.5-1.0 hours at low doses and at 24 hours at high doses. The presence of two peaks of radioactivity in the blood indicated an enterohepatic circulation of metabolites, which was supported by the results of bile cannulation studies. The elimination half-life ($T_{1/2elim}$) was similarly rapid and ranged between 30.5-47.2 hours at both low and high doses. Absorption was extensive at 77-82% of the administered dose, elimination was primarily via the bile and excretion was complete (94-100%). In plasma kinetics, there was a general similarity between sexes, radiolabel location and dose groups, though there was a high degree of variability between individual rats. The only difference between the sexes was a slightly higher area under the curve in low dose males than females; however, there is little to no difference in high-dose animals. Differences between the high and low doses indicated a possible saturation of the metabolic pathways. There was no evidence of bioaccumulation. The highest levels of radioactive residues were in the G.I. tract, kidneys and liver at 24 hours post-dose. At

120 hours post-dose, the liver had the highest concentrations of residual radioactivity, followed by the kidneys and G.I. tract. Picoxystrobin was extensively metabolized and well characterized. The major route of metabolism was via ester hydrolysis and glucuronide conjugation.

Following acute dosing, picoxystrobin was found to be of low oral and dermal toxicity in rats. It was moderately acutely toxic to rats via the inhalation route. It was mildly irritating to the eyes and non-irritating to the skin of rabbits. It was not a skin sensitizer in guinea pigs.

The end-use product, DuPont Acapela Fungicide, was of low oral, dermal and inhalation toxicity in rats. It was minimally irritating to the eyes and slightly irritating to the skin of rabbits. It was not a dermal sensitizer in guinea pigs.

After repeated dosing, the mucous membranes of the G.I. tract were the primary target in all species tested either via diet or gavage. Mice exhibited inflammation and erosion of the non-glandular stomach in females and hyperplasia of the duodenal and stomach glandular mucosa and dilatation of the mucosal glands in males following 18 months of dietary exposure. In the rat developmental toxicity study, there were increases in diarrhea and post-dosing salivation in treated animals. In the 2-year dietary toxicity study in rats, treated animals exhibited increased incidents of soft feces. The dog exhibited signs of G.I. tract irritation at the lowest doses in the database. In the 90-day dietary toxicity study in dogs, males and females exhibited fluid feces and females exhibited increased salivation at feeding. In the 1-year dietary toxicity study in dogs, males exhibited increased salivation and red sclera.

In general, concurrent with irritation of the G.I. tract in all repeat-dose test species, body weight, body weight gain and food consumption were decreased.

In the acute gavage neurotoxicity study in rats, animals treated with the lowest dose exhibited low arousal and reduced motor activity in males and a reduction in rearing in females. At higher doses, there was a reduction in food consumption, increases in stained fur and skin, diarrhea, palpebral closure, curled-up posture, low body temperature and high carriage. At the highest dose, there was increased mortality in the females, increased soiling of the fur and skin in both sexes, and increased red nasal discharge and uncoordinated gait and abnormal posture in females. In the dietary subchronic neurotoxicity rat study, body weights and body weight gains were decreased at the mid-dose in females and at the high dose in males. At the high dose, food consumption was decreased in males and females, grip strength was decreased in males and landing foot splay was increased in females.

In the mouse dietary oncogenicity study, there was no evidence of tumours. The doses tested did not reach the maximum tolerated dose (MTD) in females, but approached the limit dose and showed overt toxicity in males. In the combined chronic/oncogenicity study in rats, there was a treatment-related increase in interstitial cell testicular adenomas. The tumours occurred at the high dose and in the presence of decreased body weights and body weight gain and increased incidences of soft feces, effects that were seen at lower doses throughout the database. This is a common tumour in the aging rat, there was no progression to carcinomas, no decrease in the latency period and the tumours occurred at doses that exceeded the MTD. As the genotoxicity battery was negative and the tumours occurred only at the highest dose tested in the presence of overt toxicity, the tumours were deemed to exhibit a non-genotoxic threshold response.

In the developmental toxicity studies in rats and rabbits, offspring exhibited increases in skeletal variations at the same doses causing maternal toxicity (decreased body weight and increased diarrhea and post-dosing salivation in rats and decreased body weight gains in rabbits). At lower levels, rabbit dams exhibited an increase in diarrhea, decreased feces, decreased food consumption and decreased gravid uterine weights. In rats, there was an increase in skeletal variations at the highest dose where dams exhibited increased diarrhea and post-dosing salivation. In one reproductive toxicity study in rats, offspring exhibited decreased body weights in the first and second generations at doses greater than or equal to doses causing decreased body weight and food consumption in adult animals. In the second reproductive toxicity study in rats, offspring exhibited decreased body weights, food consumption and food efficiency in the adults. The toxicological significance of this change in spleen weight is unknown in the absence of any signs of immunotoxicity in the database. There were no effects on the reproductive parameters.

There were no adverse effects up to the limit dose in two 28-day dermal toxicity studies in rats. There were no treatment-related effects in either rats or mice in immunotoxicity studies.

An acute inhalation toxicity study was performed on a volatile soil metabolite that did not appear in the rat metabolism study, metabolite 26 (R413834). The study did not provide particle size information; however, treated animals exhibited signs of neurological toxicity similar to those seen in the acute neurotoxicity study in rats, but at doses that exceeded the limit dose. These clinical signs consisted of reduced reactivity, responsivity and reflexes and increased salivation, staining and lacrymation, as well as decreased body weight.

Results of the toxicology studies conducted on laboratory animals with picoxystrobin and its associated end-use product, are summarized in Appendix I, Tables 2 and 3. The toxicology endpoints for use in the human health risk assessment are summarized in Appendix I, Table 4.

Incident Reports

Since April 26, 2007, registrants have been required by law to report incidents, including adverse effects to health and the environment, to the PMRA within a set time frame. Information on the reporting of incidents can be found on the <u>PMRA website</u>. Incidents from Canada and the United States were searched for picoxystrobin, and any additional information submitted by the applicant during the review process was considered. As of December 30, 2011, there were no health-related incident reports for this active in the PMRA Incident Reporting database.

3.1.1 PCPA Hazard Characterization

For assessing risks from potential residues in food or from products used in or around homes or schools, the *Pest Control Products Act* requires the application of an additional 10-fold factor to threshold effects to take into account completeness of the data with respect to the exposure of, and toxicity to, infants and children, and potential prenatal and postnatal toxicity. A different factor may be determined to be appropriate on the basis of reliable scientific data.

With respect to the completeness of the toxicity database as it pertains to the toxicity to infants and children, extensive data were available for picoxystrobin. The database contains the full complement of required studies including developmental toxicity studies in rats and rabbits and two reproductive toxicity studies in rats.

With respect to potential prenatal and postnatal toxicity, there was no indication of increased susceptibility of fetuses or offspring compared to parental animals in the reproductive and prenatal developmental toxicity studies. Minor developmental effects (increased incidence of skeletal variations) were observed in the rat and rabbit developmental toxicity studies; however, these effects occurred in the presence of maternal toxicity. In one of the 2-generation rat reproductive toxicity studies, offspring spleen weights were decreased in the absence of maternal toxicity; however, there was low concern as there is sufficient margin between this endpoint and the point of departure for the risk assessment. At higher doses, offspring body weights, thymus, thyroid and adrenal weights were decreased in the presence of decreased maternal body weights, body weight gain, food consumption and food efficiency. Though there were apparent effects on organs associated with the immune system in offspring, there were no histopathological changes in the offspring and no effects on the adult immune system organs or functionality. Overall, endpoints in the young were well-characterized and not considered serious in nature. On the basis of this information, the PCPA factor was reduced to 1-fold.

3.2 Acute Reference Dose (ARfD)

General Population

To estimate acute dietary risk (1 day), the acute neurotoxicitystudy in rats with a LOAEL of 200 mg/kg bw was selected for risk assessment. At the LOAEL of 200 mg/kg bw, low arousal and reduced motor activity in males and a reduction in rearing in females were observed. These effects were the result of a single exposure and are therefore relevant to an acute risk assessment. Standard uncertainty factors of 10-fold for interspecies extrapolation and 10-fold for intraspecies variability have been applied. An additional 3-fold uncertainty factor has been applied for the lack of a NOAEL in the acute neurotoxicity study. As discussed in the PCPA Hazard Characterization section, the PCPA factor was reduced to 1-fold. **The composite assessment factor (CAF) is 300.**

The ARfD is calculated according to the following formula:

ARfD (gen. pop) = $\frac{\text{LOAEL}}{\text{CAF}} = \frac{200 \text{ mg/kg bw}}{300} = 0.67 \text{ mg/kg bw of picoxystrobin}$

3.3 Acceptable Daily Intake (ADI)

To estimate dietary risk from repeated exposure, the 1-year dietary dog study with a NOAEL of 4.6 mg/kg bw/day was selected for risk assessment. At the LOAEL of 15.7 mg/kg bw/day, decreased body weight, body weight gain and food consumption were observed in males and females and increased salivation and red sclera were observed in males. This study provides the lowest NOAEL in the database. Standard uncertainty factors of 10-fold for interspecies extrapolation and 10-fold for intraspecies variability have been applied. As discussed in the PCPA Hazard Characterization section, the PCPA factor was reduced to 1-fold. **The composite assessment factor (CAF) is 100.**

The ADI is calculated according to the following formula:

$$ADI = \underline{NOAEL} = \underline{4.6 \text{ mg/kg bw/day}} = 0.046 \text{ mg/kg bw/day of picoxystrobin}$$
$$CAF = \underline{100}$$

The ADI provides a margin of greater than 1100 to the NOAEL at which testicular interstitial adenomas were observed in rats and 370 to reduced spleen weights in offspring in rats.

Cancer Assessment

Picoxystrobin showed evidence of oncogenicity in rats at levels that demonstrate overt toxicity. A threshold approach for risk assessment for testicular tumours in male rats was deemed appropriate. As discussed previously, the tumours occurred at doses that exceeded the MTD, were a common tumour in the aging rat and there was no progression to carcinomas or decrease in latency period. The dietary reference dose (i.e. the ADI), as well as the selected NOAELs and the target margins of exposure (MOE) for occupational and bystander exposure, provide a sufficient margin to these tumours.

3.4 Occupational and Residential Risk Assessment

3.4.1 Toxicological Endpoints

Short- and Intermediate-term Dermal

For short- and intermediate-term dermal risk assessment, the two 28-day dermal toxicity studies were selected. The studies addressed the endpoints of concern in the rest of the database. There were no treatment-related effects at the NOAEL (highest dose tested) of 1000 mg/kg bw/day.

The target Margin of Exposure (MOE) for these scenarios is 100, which accounts for interspecies extrapolation and intraspecies variability. The selection of this endpoint and target MOE was considered to be protective of all populations including nursing infants and the unborn children of exposed female workers.

Short- and Intermediate-term Inhalation

For short- and intermediate-term inhalation risk assessment, the NOAEL of 8.5 mg/kg bw/day from the 90-day dietary dog toxicity study was selected. There were no repeat-dose inhalation studies submitted for this chemical. The NOAEL was based on decreased food consumption and an increase in fluid feces in both sexes, decreased body weights and body weight gains in males, and increased salivation at feeding in females at the LOAEL of 16.5/16.9 mg/kg bw/day (males/females).

The target MOE for these scenarios is 100, which accounts for interspecies extrapolation and intraspecies variability. The selection of this endpoint and target MOE was considered to be protective of all populations including nursing infants and the unborn children of exposed female workers.

3.4.1.1 Dermal Absorption

Studies designed to estimate the dermal penetration of picoxystrobin were submitted and have been reviewed. The studies were found to be acceptable for estimating dermal absorption, however, since a dermal toxicological endpoint was available, these studies were not used for estimating exposure to workers.

3.4.2 Occupational Exposure and Risk

3.4.2.1 Mixer/Loader/Applicator Exposure and Risk Assessment

Individuals have potential for exposure to picoxystrobin during mixing, loading and application. Exposure to workers mixing, loading and applying DuPont Acapela Fungicide is expected to occur primarily by the dermal and inhalation routes. Farmers are expected to be exposed for short-term duration, and custom applicators are expected to be exposed for intermediate-term duration. Exposure estimates for picoxystrobin were derived for mixer/loader/applicators using groundboom equipment for the treatment of cereals, corn, dry legumes and soybeans. In addition, exposure estimates were derived for mixer/loader/applicators applying picoxystrobin using aerial equipment. The exposure estimates are based on mixers/loaders wearing a long-sleeved shirt, long pants, shoes, socks plus chemical-resistant gloves and applicators wearing a long-sleeved shirt, long pants and shoes plus socks.

As chemical-specific data for assessing human exposures during pesticide handling activities were not submitted, dermal and inhalation exposure estimates for workers were generated using the Pesticide Handlers Exposure Database (PHED), version 1.1.

Dermal exposure was estimated by coupling the unit exposure values with the amount of product handled per day. The dermal absorption was not used in the calculation of dermal exposure, since the short- to intermediate-term dermal endpoint is based on a dermal toxicity study. Inhalation exposure was estimated by coupling the unit exposure values with the amount of product handled per day with 100% inhalation absorption. Exposure was normalized to mg/kg bw/day by using 70 kg adult body weight. Exposure was normalized to mg/kg bw/day by using 70 kg adult body weight.

Exposure estimates were compared to the toxicological endpoints (NOAEL) to obtain the margin of exposure (MOE); the target MOE is 100.

Exposure scenario	PPE Scenario	Area Treated per day (ha) ¹	Total Dermal Unit Exposure (µg/kg a.i. handled)	Rate (kg a.i./ha)	Dermal Exp. Estimates (mg a.i./ kg bw/day) ²	Dermal MOE ³
Famer (M/L/A)	Single layer plus gloves (M/L), single layer (A)	107	84.12	0.22	0.02829	35,350
Custom (M/L)	Single layer plus gloves	360	51.14	0.22	0.05786	17,290
Custom (A)	Single layer	360	32.98	0.22	0.03731	26,800
Aerial (M/L)	Single layer plus gloves	400	51.14	0.22	0.06429	15,550
Aerial (A)	Single layer	400	9.66	0.22	0.0121	82,350

 Table 1.1: Mixer/Loader/Applicator Dermal Exposure Estimates and MOEs

M/L = mixer/loader, A = applicator, M/L/A = Mixer/loader/applicator

¹ Default Area Treated Per Day values

² Daily exposure = (PHED unit exposure x ATPD x Rate) / (70 kg bw x 1000 μ g/mg)

³ Based on dermal NOAEL= 1000 mg/kg bw/day; target MOE = 100

Table 1.2: Mixer/Loader/Applicator Inhalation Exposure Estimates and MOEs

Exposure scenario	PPE Scenario	Area Treated per day (ha) ¹	Total Inhalation Unit Exposure (µg/kg a.i. handled)	Max Rate (kg a.i./ha)	Inhalation Exp. Estimates (mg a.i./ kg bw/day) ²	Inhalation MOE ³
Famer (M/L/A)	Single layer plus gloves (M/L), single layer (A)	107	2.56	0.22	8.61x10 ⁻⁴	9,870
Custom (M/L)	Single layer plus gloves	360	1.6	0.22	1.81x10 ⁻³	4,700
Custom (A)	Single layer	360	0.96	0.22	1.09x10 ⁻³	7,830
Aerial (M/L)	Single layer plus gloves	400	1.60	0.22	2.01x10 ⁻³	4,230
Aerial (A)	Single layer	400	0.07	0.22	8.8x10 ⁻⁵	96,590

M/L = mixer/loader, A = applicator, M/L/A = Mixer/loader/applicator

¹ Default Area Treated Per Day values

² Daily exposure = (PHED unit exposure x ATPD x Rate) / (70 kg bw x 1000 μ g/mg)

³ Based on oral NOAEL= 8.5 mg/kg bw/day; target MOE = 100

3.4.2.2 Exposure and Risk Assessment for Workers Entering Treated Areas

There is potential for exposure to workers entering areas treated with picoxystrobin while performing activities such as scouting, irrigating, detasseling, hand harvesting, and thinning. The duration of exposure is considered to be short- to intermediate-term for all uses. The primary route of exposure for workers re-entering treated areas would be through the dermal route. Inhalation exposure is not considered to be a significant route of exposure for people entering treated areas compared to the dermal route, since picoxystrobin is relatively non-volatile $(1.4 \times 10^{-8} \text{ kPa at } 25^{\circ}\text{C})$ and as such, a risk assessment was not required.

Dermal exposure to workers entering treated areas is estimated by coupling chemical specific dislodgeable foliar residue values with activity-specific transfer coefficients (TCs). Activity TCs are based on EPA Policy 3.1 and Agricultural Re-entry Task Force (ARTF) data.

Chemical-specific dislodgeable foliar residue (DFR) data were submitted. This study was designed to determine the dissipation of DFR of picoxystrobin after application to the foliage of soybeans. The field trials were conducted in three NAFTA Growing Zones (1, 2, and 5). The sites were Germansville, PA, Seven Springs, NC, and Conklin, MI. DuPont Acapela Fungicide is a suspension concentrate (SC) containing 250 g of active ingredient per litre of formulation which is coded picoxystrobin 250SC. The test product was applied once to each of the three sites at a target application rate of 220 g a.i. /hectare (labelled maximum rate) in approximately 145 to 200 litres of spray solution per sprayed hectare. Surfactants/adjuvants were included in the spray mixture. Treatments were applied using either handheld or tractor mounted boom sprayer equipment. Surfactants/adjuvants were added to the spray tank mixture. Samples were collected approximately two days to one hour before and approximately two hours after the application,

and 1, 2, 5, 7, 10, 14, and 21 days after application. The average field fortification recoveries were >90%.

At the Germansville, PA site, the highest average picoxystrobin residue was $0.221 \ \mu g/cm^2$, which occurred within 2 hours after application. The residues declined to <LOQ values within 7 days of treatment (7 DAT). At the Seven Springs, NC site, the highest average residue was $0.347 \ \mu g/cm^2$ which occurred 2 DAT. By 10 DAT, residues were <LOQ. At the Conklin, MI site, the highest average residue was $0.208 \ \mu g/cm^2$ at 2 DAT. DFRs declined to levels <LOQ by 10 DAT (see Appendix I, Table 4).

First-order dissipation kinetics was assumed to determine the half-life of picoxystrobin residues on soybean leaves and a linear regression analysis was performed. Estimated half-life values were 1.1 days ($R^2 = 0.949$) at Germansville, PA site, 1.7 days ($R^2 = 0.740$) at the Seven Springs, NC site and 2.0 days ($R^2 = 0.842$) at the Conklin, MI site (see Appendix I, Table 4).

Soybeans are considered to be a good surrogate crop since the leaf type, like all the proposed crops, is smooth and groundboom was used for application (which is the proposed method for application and should also be representative of aerial application). Based on the climate zones for each replicate, temperature and the total rainfall, the Conklin, MI data are considered to be the most relevant to the soybean growing regions in Canada. The Conklin, MI data have a better R^2 value than the Seven Springs, NC data and neither site had any rainfall during the first few days after application (no rainfall events during the day of application or on days 1 and 2 after application). The Germansville, PA site had higher R^2 value but also had rainfall on days 0, 1 and 2 after application. The Conklin, MI data are considered to be the most conservative for dissipation while also having the lowest predicted day 0 residues. Therefore, for the purpose of this application the day 0 residues will be calculated using 9.3% of the application rate with a dissipation of 28.7% per day.

Exposure estimates were compared to the toxicological endpoint to obtain the MOE; the target MOE is 100. The REI of 12 hours and pre-harvest intervals (PHIs) are adequate to protect re-entry workers.

Table 2 Postapplication Margin of Exposure on Cereals, Corn, Dry Legumes and Soybeans

Crops	Max # of applications	Max Rate (g a.i./ha)	Postapplication activity	Predicted DFR after max number of applications (µg/cm ²) ¹	TC (cm²/hr)²	Exposure after max number of applications (mg/kg bw/day) ³	Calculated MOE ⁴
Cereals	3	220	Scouting, (irrigating) in full foliage	0.2256	1,500	0.0387	25,860
Corn (sweet, field and seed)	5	200	Detasseling seed corn	0.2052	17,000	0.0352	2,510
Dry legumes, soybeans	3*	220	Scouting and irrigating in full foliage	0.2256	1,500	0.0387	25,860

* Only 2 applications for dry legumes and 3 for soybeans.

¹ Calculated based on soybean study DFR values (9.3% DFR on Day 0 after a single application, and 28.7% dissipation per day).

² Transfer coefficients from EPA Policy 3.1 and ARTF Database

³ Exposure = (Peak DFR × TC × 8 hr/day) / (70 kg bw × 1000 μ g/mg)

⁴ Based on NOAEL = 1000 mg/kg bw/day, target MOE = 100

There is also the potential for workers entering treated areas to be exposed to Compound 26. Compound 26 is a transformation product of picoxystrobin formed in soil, which has been shown to be acutely hazardous via the inhalation route. The applicant submitted a preliminary review of an air monitoring study for Compound 26. In the study, two applications of picoxystrobin (250 g a.i./ha) were made to winter wheat at a 14-day spray interval. Monitoring occurred following the first application until 62 days after harvest of the wheat. During this time, air samples were collected once per week, each sampling occasion covering a 24-hour period. Air monitoring revealed that concentrations of Compound 26 in the air over the treated field were below the level of detection (LOD) in all instances (<0.1 ng/L).

These results confirm that a large one-time exposure to Compound 26 is not realistic; therefore, the concentrations used in the 4-hour acute laboratory inhalation study are not expected to occur in the field. For these reasons, a postapplication acute inhalation risk assessment for re-entry workers to Compound 26 is not required.

3.4.3.3 Bystander Exposure and Risk

Bystander exposure should be negligible since the potential for drift is expected to be minimal. However, a statement is required that limits application to agricultural crops only when there is low risk of drift to areas of human habitation or activity such as houses, cottages, schools and recreational areas, taking into consideration wind speed, wind direction, temperature inversions, application equipment and sprayer settings.

3.5 Food Residues Exposure Assessment

3.5.1 Residues in Plant and Animal Foodstuffs

The residue definition for risk assessment and enforcement is picoxystrobin in plant and livestock commodities. The LC-MS/MS enforcement analytical method# Du-Pont-29312 is valid for the quantitation of picoxystrobin residues in plant matrices. The LC-MS/MS enforcement analytical method# Du-Pont-25997 (Revision No. 1) is valid for the quantitation of picoxystrobin residues in livestock matrices. The residues of picoxystrobin are stable in plant commodities when stored frozen (< -18°C) for up to 12 months and in cereal matrices for up to 22 months. Processing factors are determined to be 2.0x in wheat bran, 3.2x in wheat germ, 6.8x in refined corn oil, and 1.3x in soybean oil. The anticipated picoxystrobin residues are <0.01 in eggs, milk, fat, meat, meat by-products of cattle, goats, hogs, horses, poultry and sheep. Supervised residue trials conducted throughout Canada and the United States using end-use products containing picoxystrobin at the proposed rates in/on corn (field and sweet), wheat, barley, soybean, dried pea and bean, and canola are sufficient to support the proposed maximum residue limits.

3.5.2 Exposure from Drinking Water

3.5.2.1 Concentrations in Drinking Water

Estimated environmental concentrations (EECs) of picoxystrobin in potential drinking water sources (groundwater and surface water) were estimated using computer simulation models. An overview of how the EECs are estimated is provided in the PMRA's Science Policy Notice SPN2004-01, *Estimating the Water Component of a Dietary Exposure Assessment*. EECs of picoxystrobin in groundwater were calculated using the LEACHM model to simulate leaching through a layered soil profile over a 50-year period. The concentrations calculated using LEACHM are based on the flux, or movement, of pesticide into shallow groundwater with time. EECs of picoxystrobin in surface water were calculated using the PRZM/EXAMS models, which simulate pesticide runoff from a treated field into an adjacent water body and the fate of a pesticide within that water body. Pesticide concentrations in surface water were estimated in two types of vulnerable drinking water sources, a small reservoir and a prairie dugout.

There are several transformation products of picoxystrobin, and three products were included in the drinking water modelling. These transformation products are Compound 2, Compound 3 and Compound 8. In the current assessment, a combined residue of the parent and the above three transformation products was modelled for drinking water. Thus environmental half-lives in soil and water were calculated for the combined residues of parent and three transformation products.

A Level 1 drinking water assessment was conducted using conservative assumptions with respect to environmental fate, application rate and timing, and geographic scenario. The Level 1 EEC estimates are expected to allow for future use expansion into other crops at this application rate. Table 3.5.2-1 lists the application information and main environmental fate characteristics used in the simulations. Nine initial application dates (five for surface water and four for

groundwater) between June and July were modelled. The model was run for 50 years for all scenarios. The largest EECs of all selected runs are reported in Table 3.5.2-2 below.

Type of Input	Parameter	Value
Application Information	Crops to be treated	corn (sweet, field, seed, popcorn), cereals, dry legumes and soybeans
	Maximum allowable application rate per year (g a.i./ha)	875 for sweet corn 437.5 for dry legumes
	Maximum rate each application (g a.i./ha)	200 for sweet corn 220 for dry legumes
	Maximum number of applications per year	not specified
	Minimum interval between applications (days)	sweet corn: 7 but without 2 sequential applications before switching to a fungicide with a different mode of action dry legumes: 14 (although the label states 7 days, no more than 1 application is allowed before switching to a fungicide with a different mode of action, thus the interval for modelling was 14 days)
	Method of application	aerial and ground
Environmental Fate	Hydrolysis half-life at pH 7 (days)	stable
Characteristics	Photolysis half-life in water (days)	25
	Adsorption K_{OC} (mL/g)	837 (20 th percentile of six K _{OC} values for "picoxystrobin")
	Aerobic soil biotransformation half-life (days)	33.28 for parent (80 th percentile of four half-life values) 61.16 for the combines residues (80 th percentile of 4 half-life values)
	Aerobic aquatic biotransformation half-life (days)	57.3 for parent (longest of two half-lives) 518 for the combined residues (longest of two half-lives)
	Anaerobic aquatic biotransformation half-life (days)	54.2 for parent (one half-life available) 2970 for the combined residues (one half-life available)

Table 3.5.2-1. Major groundwater an	d surface water model inputs for Level 1 assessment
of picoxystrobin	

Table 3.5.2-2. Level 1 estimated environmental concentrations of the combined residues of
picoxystrobin (parent + Compounds 2, 3 and 8) in potential drinking water
sources (rate of 200+ 200+ 200+ 140+ 135 g a.i./ha for use on sweet corn)

Compound	Groundwater EEC (µg a.i./L)		Surface Water EEC (µg a.i./L)			
			Reservoir		Dugout	
	Daily ¹	Yearly ²	Daily ³	Yearly ⁴	Daily ³	Yearly ⁴
Combined residues of picoxystrobin and Compounds 2, 3 and 8	0.22	0.22	27	8.1	81	73
 90th percentile of daily average concentrations 90th percentile of yearly average concentrations 90th percentile of yearly peak concentrations 90th percentile of yearly average concentrations 90th percentile of yearly average concentrations 						

3.5.3 Dietary Risk Assessment

Acute and chronic dietary risk assessments were conducted using the Dietary Exposure Evaluation Model (DEEM–FCIDTM, Version 2.16), which uses updated food consumption data from the United States Department of Agriculture's Continuing Surveys of Food Intakes by Individuals, 1994–1996 and 1998.

3.5.3.1 Chronic Dietary Exposure Results and Characterization

For the chronic dietary exposure assessment, MRL-level residues were used for all domestic and imported crops and livestock commodities. It was assumed that 100% of the crops were treated. The basic chronic dietary exposure from all supported picoxystrobin food uses (alone) for the general population, including infants and children, and all representative population subgroups is $\leq 0.7\%$ of the acceptable daily intake. Aggregate exposure from food and water is considered acceptable. The PMRA estimates that chronic dietary exposure to picoxystrobin from food and water is 1.0% (0.000483 mg/kg bw/day) of the ADI for the general population. The highest exposure and risk estimate is for children of 1-2 yrs old at 2.4% (0.001108 mg/kg bw/day) of the ADI.

3.5.3.2 Acute Dietary Exposure Results and Characterization

The basic acute dietary exposure (food alone) from all proposed picoxystrobin food uses is estimated to be 0.13% (0.000853 mg/kg bw/day) of the ARfD for the general population (95th percentile, deterministic). Aggregate exposure from food and water is considered acceptable at 0.29% of the ARfD (0.001973 mg/kg bw/day) for the general population (95th percentile, deterministic). The highest exposure and risk estimate is for all infants (<1 year old) at 0.87% (0.005853 mg/kg bw/day) of the ARfD (95th percentile, deterministic).

3.5.4 Aggregate Exposure and Risk

The aggregate risk for picoxystrobin consists of exposure from food and drinking water sources only. Aggregate risks were calculated based on acute and chronic endpoints.

3.5.5 Maximum Residue Limits

Table 3.5.5-1 Proposed Maximum Residue Limits

Commodity	Recommended MRL (ppm)		
Barley bran	0.5		
Barley	0.3		
Wheat germ	0.09		
Crop Subgroup 20A (Rapeseed Subgroup)	0.08		
Corn oil	0.07		
Crop Subgroup 6C (Dried Shelled Pea and Bean, except soybean)	0.06		
Wheat bran	0.06		
Dry soybeans	0.05		
Crop Group 15 (Cereal Grains, except barley and rice)	0.04		
Eggs	0.01		
Fat, meat and meat by-products of cattle, goats, hogs, horses, poultry, and sheep	0.01		
Milk	0.01		

For additional information on Maximum Residue Limits (MRL) in terms of the international situation and trade implications, refer to Appendix II.

The nature of the residues in animal and plant matrices, analytical methodology, field trial data, and the acute and chronic dietary risk estimates are summarized in Appendix I, Tables 1, 5 and 6.

4.0 Impact on the Environment

Fate and Behaviour in the Environment

Based on its physical-chemical properties, picoxystrobin has low solubility in water, is not likely to volatilize from moist soil or water surfaces under field conditions, has a limited potential to photodegrade in the environment, and could potentially bioaccumulate in aquatic organisms.

Environmental fate data for picoxystrobin and its transformation products are summarized in Appendix I, Table 8. A summary of the identity of transformation products of picoxystrobin formed in the environment is presented in Appendix I, Table 9. The maximum formation rate (as a percentage of the applied radioactivity) and time of maximum occurrence of transformation products in each study is included in Appendix I, Table 10.

Once picoxystrobin enters the terrestrial environment, it is expected to adsorb to soil. Laboratory studies on adsorption/desorption indicate that picoxystrobin has low mobility in soil. Picoxystrobin is slightly persistent in aerobic soil, where it undergoes microbial biotransformation. The major transformation products Compound 2 and Compound 3 are moderately persistent based on laboratory biotransformation studies. Compound 26 is volatile; however, evidence shows that Compound 26 may undergo some degradation if contained in the soil. Phototransformation is not expected to be an important route of degradation of picoxystrobin on soil. In terrestrial field studies, picoxystrobin was non-persistent to slightly persistent, Compound 2 was moderately persistent, and Compounds 3 and 8 were non-persistent to persistent. No significant carry over of picoxystrobin or transformation products to the following growing season is expected. There was little evidence of vertical movement in the soil except at one field site where precipation levels were very high, and Compound 8 was detected at depths of 50 - 70 cm. Water modelling of multi-year applications of picoxystrobin at the maximum seasonal rate indicate that levels of picoxystrobin and Compounds 2, 3 and 8 in groundwater are expected to be very low. Air sampling during field dissipation studies indicated that levels of Compound 26 after application of picoxystrobin were below the limit of quantification of 0.1 ng/L. There is some uncertainty as to the persistence and potential for longrange transport of the volatile transformation product, Compound 26, in the atmosphere.

Picoxystrobin can enter the aquatic environment through spray drift and runoff, likely bound to soil particles. Once in the water, picoxystrobin is not expected to hydrolyse. In water/sediment systems, approximately half of applied picoxystrobin will partition to sediments within a few days. Microbial degradation is the most important route of dissipation for picoxystrobin in the aquatic environment. Picoxystrobin is moderately persistent in both aerobic and anaerobic water/sediment systems. Major transformation products Compounds 2, 3, 7 and 8 were detected in the water and sediment layers of aquatic systems. They generally accumulated in both the water and sediment phases in laboratory studies. Phototransformation can contribute to the degradation of picoxystrobin but this process is not expected to be an important route of degradation of picoxystrobin in the environment.

Compounds 4 and 12 were major products of phototransformation. However, these transformation products are not expected to be formed in important quantities in the environment. Picoxystrobin does not appreciably bioconcentrate in fish.

4.1 Environmental Risk Characterization

The environmental risk assessment integrates the environmental exposure and ecotoxicology information to estimate the potential for adverse effects on non-target species. This integration is achieved by comparing exposure concentrations with concentrations at which adverse effects occur. Estimated environmental exposure concentrations (EECs) are concentrations of pesticide in various environmental media, such as food, water, soil and air. The EECs are estimated using standard models which take into consideration the application rate(s), chemical properties and environmental fate properties, including the dissipation of the pesticide between applications. Ecotoxicology information includes acute and chronic toxicity data for various organisms or groups of organisms from both terrestrial and aquatic habitats including invertebrates, vertebrates, and plants.

Toxicity endpoints used in risk assessments may be adjusted to account for potential differences in species sensitivity as well as varying protection goals (i.e. protection at the community, population, or individual level).

Initially, a screening level risk assessment is performed to identify pesticides and/or specific uses that do not pose a risk to non-target organisms, and to identify those groups of organisms for which there may be a potential risk. The screening level risk assessment uses simple methods, conservative exposure scenarios (example, direct application at a maximum cumulative application rate) and sensitive toxicity endpoints. A risk quotient (RQ) is calculated by dividing the exposure estimate by an appropriate toxicity value (RQ = exposure/toxicity), and the risk quotient is then compared to the level of concern (LOC = 1). If the screening level risk quotient is below the level of concern, the risk is considered negligible and no further risk characterization is necessary. If the screening level risk quotient is equal to or greater than the level of concern, then a refined risk assessment is performed to further characterize the risk. A refined assessment takes into consideration more realistic exposure scenarios (such as drift to non-target habitats) and might consider different toxicity endpoints. Refinements may include further characterization of risk based on exposure modelling, monitoring data, results from field or mesocosm studies, and probabilistic risk assessment methods. Refinements to the risk assessment may continue until the risk is adequately characterized or no further refinements are possible.

4.1.1 Risks to Terrestrial Organisms

A risk assessment of picoxystrobin and the transformation products Compound 2, Compound 3 and Compound 8 was undertaken for terrestrial organisms based on available toxicity data for each of the compounds to earthworms (acute and chronic exposure as well as field studies), bees (acute oral and acute contact exposure), non-target beneficial arthropods (acute contact laboratory studies, aged residue and semi-field studies), birds (acute oral, dietary and reproduction), mammals (acute oral and reproduction) and terrestrial plants (effects on seedling emergence and vegetative vigour). A summary of terrestrial toxicity data for picoxystrobin and its transformation products is presented in Table 11 (Appendix I) and the accompanying screening level risk assessment is in Table 12 (Appendix I) for terrestrial organisms other than birds and mammals, and Table 13 (Appendix I) for birds and mammals.

Earthworms: Picoxystrobin was acutely toxic to earthworms. The transformation products Compounds 2, 3 and 8 were much less toxic than the parent to earthworms. Earthworm reproduction was adversely affected by chronic exposure to picoxystrobin. The risk to earthworms resulting from acute and chronic exposure to picoxystrobin does not exceed the level of concern based on results of laboratory studies. The risk to earthworms from acute exposure to transformation products Compounds 2, 3 and 8 does not exceed the level of concern (Appendix I, Table 12). Risk was assessed based on EECs for the highest use rate scenario of DuPont Acapela Fungicide on sweet corn. As no risk was indicated for the use pattern on corn, risk quotients were not calculated for the use on dry legumes, which has a lower seasonal rate of application. Based on results of laboratory studies, there is no indication that risks to earthworms are expected from exposure to picoxystrobin. However, field studies indicate that application of picoxystrobin at rates representative of Canadian uses could result in earthworm mortalities of approximately 50% within a few days of application if irrigation or precipitation occurs following application. At all but one field site, earthworm populations recovered from the initial effects of picoxystrobin within one year of application. A label statement to inform the users of the toxicity of picoxystrobin to earthworms is required on the product label.

Bees (pollinators): Acute oral and contact exposure to picoxystrobin and a 250 g a.i./L Soluble Concentrate formulation did not result in significant mortality or sublethal effects in honey bees. The resulting risk quotients for both acute contact and oral exposure routes were all below the level of concern, indicating picoxystrobin is not expected to pose a risk to pollinators (Appendix I, Table 12).

Beneficial arthropods: The toxicity of picoxystrobin was determined for a glass plate (screening level) exposure to the predatory mite (*Typholodromus pyri*), a semi-field exposure to the green lacewing (*Chrysoperlea carnea*) as well as a leaf substrate (extended laboratory) exposure and an aged residue exposure to the parasitic wasp (*Aphidius rhopalosiphi*). The risk to predatory and parasitic arthropods was assessed using maximum cumulative in-field and off-field EECs on plant surfaces, calculated from a direct spray on a field.

Based on results of a screening level glass plate study with the predatory mite, *Typhlodromus pyri*, exposure to picoxystrobin at rates of 250 and 500 g a.i./ha resulted in mortalities of approximately 50% after 7 days. The risk quotients from in-field and off-field exposures to picoxystrobin may exceed the level of concern for uses on sweet corn and dry legumes. Extended laboratory studies with *Typhlodromus pyri* were not submitted, and thus the risk to this organism could not be further characterized. There is uncertainty related to the potential for effects on *Typhlodromus pyri* as a result of use of picoxystrobin.

A semi-field study conducted with the green lacewing, *Chrysoperla carnea*, showed no significant effects on mortality and fecundity after one or two applications of picoxystrobin at 250 g a.i./ha (Appendix I, Table 12). However, the proposed uses of picoxystrobin in Canada could result in different and potentially higher environmental exposures than were tested in the study. There is uncertainty as to whether effects on the green lacewing, *Chrysoperla carnea*, are expected as a result of picoxystrobin use.

Complete mortality (100%) of the parasitic wasp, *Aphidius rhopalosiphi*, was observed after 48 hours of exposure to barley seedlings treated with picoxystrobin at rates of 250 g a.i./ha and 500 g a.i./ha. The risk quotients from in-field exposures to picoxystrobin exceed the level of concern; those from off-field exposures may exceed the level of concern. The risk to the parasitic wasp, *Aphidius rhopalosiphi*, was further characterized using results from a study involving exposure to aged residues of picoxystrobin. Exposure of *Aphidius rhopalosiphi* to fresh residues (Day 0) of picoxystrobin applied one or two times at 250 g a.i./ha resulted in 65.2% and 74.4% mortality, respectively.

Using a Day 0 LR₅₀ (fresh residues) of <250 g a.i./ha, the risk quotients exceeded the level of concern for both uses on sweet corn and dry legumes (Appendix I, Table 12). Picoxystrobin can pose a risk to the parasitic wasp, *Aphidius rhopalosiphi*.

Based on the overall risk assessment for beneficial arthropods, a statement is required on the label for the end-use product, DuPont Acapela Fungicide, to inform users of the potential risks to predatory and parasitic arthropods which are used in Integrated Pest Management.

Birds: Picoxystrobin was not toxic to bobwhite quail (*Colinus virginianus*) on an acute oral basis, with no treatment-related mortalities occurring. Regurgitation occurred at the three highest doses tested in an acute oral study on the zebra finch (*Taeniopygia guttata*). An initial screening assessment as done for this species using a conservative acute oral LD₅₀ set at a dose at which no regurgitation was observed, no mortalities occurred and no clinical signs of toxicity were noted. During short-term dietary exposure to bobwhite quail and mallard duck, no treatment-related mortality occurred; however, mallard ducks experienced a reduction in weight gain at the two highest concentrations tested. During 20- and 21-week dietary exposure studies, no treatment-related adverse effects on overall survival or reproductive performance of either bobwhite quail or mallard ducks were observed (Appendix I, Table 11). The risk quotients for acute and reproductive exposure to birds do not exceed the level of concern for small, medium or large birds (Appendix I, Table 13). Risk was assessed based on EECs for the highest proposed use rate scenario of DuPont Acapela Fungicide on sweet corn. As no risk was indicated for this use pattern, risk quotients were not calculated for the proposed use on dry legumes, which has a lower seasonal rate of application.

Mammals: The laboratory toxicity of picoxystrobin, a 250 g/L Soluble Concentrate formulation, and the transformation product Compound 26 to rats was used to assess risk to small terrestrial mammals. Picoxystrobin and the 250 g a.i./L formulation were not acutely toxic to rats (Appendix I, Table 11). Reproductive performance in rats was not affected by exposure to picoxystrobin. A screening level risk assessment for three size classes of small mammals based on a conservative assumption of vegetation and insect food sources did not identify a concern for acute mortality or reproductive risks for picoxystrobin exposure from use on sweet corn (Appendix I, Table 13). Two mortalities were observed in females at the highest dose tested in an acute inhalation study with Compound 26 (Appendix I, Table 11). A quantitative assessment of the inhalation risk of the volatile transformation product, Compound 26, to mammals has not been done. Picoxystrobin is not volatile, and Compound 26 was a transformation product only formed in soil. This would likely result in a slow release of Compound 26 over time and not a large one-time exposure as would be the case with fumigants, or with volatile active ingredients applied to soil. The concentrations used in the 4-hour acute laboratory inhalation study are not expected to occur in the field. A field study where two applications of picoxystrobin at 250 g a.i./ha were made to winter wheat at a 14-day interval revealed that concentrations of Compound 26 in the air over the treated field were below the limit of quantification (0.1 ng/L). Monitoring occurred following the first application until 62 days after harvest of the wheat. During this time, air samples were collected once per week, each sampling occasion covering a 24-hour period.

These results confirm that a large one-time exposure to Compound 26 is not realistic. For the reasons outline above, a risk to small mammals from acute exposure via inhalation of Compound 26 is not expected.

Non-target plants: The toxicity of a 250 g a.i./L Soluble Concentrate formulation of picoxystrobin to non-target plants was determined through vegetative vigour and seedling emergence assays using standard crop species. No significant adverse effects (i.e., >25% effect) were observed in any plant species in either assay (Appendix I, Table 11). The EC₂₅ is therefore >500 g a.i./ha. The screening level risk assessment for the picoxystrobin formulation determined that the level of concern for the use on sweet corn may be slightly exceeded, as the risk quotient was <1.2 for seedling emergence (Appendix I, Table12). It is not certain whether the level of concern has been exceeded, as the risk quotient is based on an endpoint greater than the highest rate tested but this rate is less than the expected environmental concentrations for the proposed Canadian use pattern. The level of concern was not exceeded for the proposed use on dry legumes. Picoxystrobin may pose a risk to non-target terrestrial plants at some of the proposed Canadian use rates. Spray buffer zones are required for some proposed uses of picoxystrobin, to mitigate potential effects of spray drift to non-target terrestrial plants.

4.1.2 Risks to Aquatic Organisms

Aquatic organisms can be exposed to picoxystrobin as a result of spray drift and over-land runoff. To assess the potential for adverse effects, screening level EECs in the aquatic environment based on a direct application to water following application to sweet corn and dry legumes were used as the exposure estimates. A risk assessment of picoxystrobin, a 250 g a.i./L Soluble Concentrate formulation, and the five transformation products Compounds 2, 3, 7, 8 and 26 was undertaken for freshwater and marine aquatic organisms based on available toxicity data for each of the compounds to algae (acute), aquatic plants (acute), invertebrates (acute and chronic), fish (acute and chronic) and amphibians (using fish as surrogate data). A summary of aquatic toxicity data for picoxystrobin, a 250 g a.i./L Soluble Concentrate formulation and transformation products is presented in Table 14 (Appendix I).

For acute toxicity studies, uncertainty factors of 1/2 and 1/10 the EC₅₀ (LC₅₀) are typically used in modifying the toxicity values for aquatic plants and invertebrates, and fish species, respectively when calculating risk quotients (RQs). No uncertainty factors are applied to chronic NOEC endpoints. For picoxystrobin, multiple acute toxicity endpoints were available for freshwater invertebrates and freshwater fish. The program ETX 2.0 was used to generate species sensitivity distributions (SSDs) for freshwater invertebrates and freshwater fish based on normally distributed toxicity data. The hazardous concentration to 5% of the species (HC₅) was then calculated for both freshwater invertebrates and freshwater fish from their respective SSDs. The HC₅ values were used to calculate the risk quotients for these groups of taxa instead of the most sensitive species tested. This provides a more scientific endpoint, which uses all of the data. No uncertainty factors are applied to the HC₅ when calculating risk quotients. For groups where the level of concern (LOC) is exceeded (i.e., RQ ≥1), a refined Tier 1 assessment is conducted to determine risk resulting from spray drift and runoff separately. Risk quotients for picoxystrobin were calculated for uses on sweet corn and dry legumes, which have the highest and lowest proposed seasonal application rates, respectively. The calculated risk quotients for picoxystrobin are summarized in Tables 15 (screening level), 16 (Tier 1 – spray drift only) and 17 (Tier 1 – runoff only) in Appendix I. For transformation products, risk was assessed based on EECs for the highest proposed use rate scenario of DuPont Acapela Fungicide on sweet corn. The screening level risk quotients for transformation products are summarized in Table 18 (Appendix I). As no risk was indicated for this proposed use pattern, risk quotients were not calculated for the proposed use on dry legumes, which has a lower proposed seasonal rate of application.

Freshwater algae and plants: Of the two algal and one plant species tested, picoxystrobin was toxic to green algae (*Selenastrum capricornutum*). Transformation products Compounds 2, 3, 7, 8 and 26 were much less toxic than the parent to green algae (Appendix I, Table 14). The screening level risk quotient for green algae exposed to picoxystrobin exceeded the level of concern for proposed uses on both sweet corn and dry legumes (risk quotients > 1; Appendix I, Table 15). Refined risk quotients based on spray drift of picoxystrobin slightly exceeded the level of concern for aerial application to sweet corn (risk quotient = 1.2), but not for field sprayer application; the level of concern was not exceeded for aerial and field sprayer application to dry legumes (Appendix I, Table 16). There is a potential risk to freshwater algae from some picoxystrobin proposed uses. Green algae are not expected to be at risk from picoxystrobin runoff inputs (Appendix I, Table 17). Screening level risk quotients for green algae exposed to transformation products did not exceed the level of concern. For the freshwater plant, duckweed, the screening level risk quotient for exposure to picoxystrobin for proposed uses on sweet corn or dry legumes did not exceed the level of concern (Appendix I, Table 15).

Freshwater invertebrates: Acute exposure to picoxystrobin resulted in significant mortality in several species of aquatic invertebrates. Transformation products were much less acutely toxic than the parent to Daphnia magna. Chronic exposure to picoxystrobin resulted in reduced reproduction of *Daphnia magna* and significant reduction in emergence rate of the freshwater midge, Chironomus riparius (Appendix I, Table 14). The screening level risk quotients for acute and chronic exposure of freshwater invertebrates to picoxystrobin exceeded the level of concern for the proposed use on sweet corn and on dry legumes (Appendix I, Table 15). The refined risk quotients based on spray drift of picoxystrobin slightly exceeded the level of concern for: acute exposure of freshwater invertebrates from aerial application to sweet corn; chronic exposure of Daphnia magna from aerial application to sweet corn and dry legumes; and chronic exposure of the freshwater midge from aerial application to sweet corn (Appendix I, Table 16). Thus, there is a potential risk to freshwater invertebrates exposed to picoxystrobin through spray drift from aerial application. Refined risk quotients based on runoff inputs did not exceed the level of concern for any freshwater invertebrate species, indicating that these organisms are not expected to be at risk from picoxystrobin runoff into water bodies (Appendix I, Table 17). Screening level risk quotients for picoxystrobin transformation products did not exceed the level of concern for acute exposure to Daphnia magna (Appendix I, Table 15).

Freshwater fish and amphibians: Acute exposure to picoxystrobin resulted in significant mortality to rainbow trout, common carp, bluegill sunfish, three-spined stickleback and fathead minnow. The acute risk of picoxystrobin to fish was assessed using the HC₅ based on effects

endpoints from toxicity studies with these five fish species. The chronic risk of picoxystrobin was assessed based on a 28-day toxicity study with the rainbow trout and an early life stage toxicity study with the fathead minnow. The acute risks for transformation products Compounds 2, 3, 7, 8 and 26 were assessed using toxicity studies with either the fathead minnow or the rainbow trout. Picoxystrobin was acutely toxic to freshwater fish, while the transformation products Compounds 2, 3, 7, 8 and 26 were not toxic. Exposure to picoxystrobin for 28 days resulted in reduced survival of rainbow trout, while exposure to picoxystrobin to early life stages of fathead minnow resulted in reductions in embryo hatching success, larval survival and larval growth (Appendix I, Table 14).

The screening level risk quotients for acute, chronic and early life stage exposures of freshwater fish to picoxystrobin exceeded the level of concern for both uses on sweet corn and dry legumes (Appendix I, Table 15). Refined risk quotients based on spray drift of picoxystrobin slightly exceeded the level of concern for a 28-day exposure of rainbow trout from aerial application to sweet corn and dry legumes (Appendix I, Table 16). Thus, there is a potential risk to freshwater fish exposed to picoxystrobin through spray drift from aerial application. Refined risk quotients based on runoff inputs did not exceed the level of concern for acute, chronic or early life stage exposure to freshwater fish, indicating that these organisms are not expected to be at risk from picoxystrobin runoff into water bodies (Appendix I, Table 17). The transformation products Compounds 2, 3, 7, 8 and 26 are not an acute risk to fish based on the screening level risk quotients [Appendix I, Table 15].

The risk for amphibians was characterized at the screening level by comparing EECs in 15 cm water depth with fish toxicity endpoints as surrogates for aquatic life-stages of amphibians. Acute risks were assessed for exposure to picoxystrobin and the transformation products Compounds 2, 3, 7, 8 and 26; chronic risk was assessed for picoxystrobin. The screening level risk quotients for amphibians exceeded the level of concern for the proposed uses on sweet corn and dry legumes (Appendix I, Table 15). Refined risk quotients based on spray drift of picoxystrobin exceeded the level of concern for acute and early life stage exposures from aerial application to sweet corn and dry legumes, and for a chronic (28-day) exposure from aerial and field sprayer application to sweet corn and dry legumes (Appendix I, Table 16). Thus, there is a potential risk to amphibians from exposure to picoxystrobin through spray drift. Refined risk quotients based on runoff inputs did not exceed the level of concern indicating that a risk to amphibians is not expected from picoxystrobin runoff into water bodies (Appendix I, Table 17). The transformation products Compounds 2, 3, 7, 8 and 26 are not an acute risk to amphibians based on the screening level risk quotients (Appendix I, Table 15).

Marine/estuarine species: Picoxystrobin was acutely toxic to the saltwater diatom (*Skeletonema costatum*), Eastern oyster (*Crassostrea virginica*), mysid shrimp (*Americamysis bahia*), and the sheepshead minnow (*Cyprinodon variegatus*). Exposure to picoxystrobin for 29 days resulted in reduced reproduction of mysid shrimp, while exposure to picoxystrobin to early life stages of sheepshead minnow affected larval growth (Appendix I, Table 14). For uses of picoxystrobin on sweet corn and dry legumes, the screening level risk quotients based on acute, chronic and/or early life stage exposures of marine/estuarine invertebrates, fish and algae exceeded the level of concern (Appendix I, Table 15). Refined risk quotients based on spray drift of picoxystrobin

exceeded the level of concern for mysid shrimp (aerial and field sprayer application to sweet corn, aerial application to dry legumes), Eastern oyster (aerial and field sprayer application to sweet corn and dry legumes) and saltwater diatom (aerial and field sprayer application to sweet corn and dry legumes), but not for fish (Appendix I, Table 16). Thus, there is a potential risk to marine/estuarine invertebrates, and algae exposed to picoxystrobin through spray drift from aerial and field sprayer application. Refined risk quotients based on runoff inputs did not exceed the level of concern indicating that a risk to marine/estuarine organisms is not expected from picoxystrobin runoff (Appendix I, Table 17).

5.0 Value

5.1 Effectiveness Against Pests

5.1.1 Acceptable Efficacy Claims

Uses on the following crops and diseases were proposed: control of leaf rust, septoria leaf blotch, powdery mildew, and tan spot on cereals; control of northern corn leaf blight on corn; control of Asian soybean rust, brown spot, frogeye leafspot, and sclerotinia stem rot on soybeans; control of mycosphaerella blight, Asian soybean rust, and white mould on dry legumes. Aerial application was also proposed on all crops.

A total of 53 efficacy trials were submitted to support 12 use claims. The review focused on trials with moderate to high disease pressure. Efficacy data from 14 trials were not considered as disease pressure was too low to determine efficacy with confidence.

Twenty-seven trials conducted in Canada and the US between 2007 and 2009 were reviewed to support claims on cereal crops. The trials demonstrated that application of DuPont Acapela Fungicide at rates of 0.44 - 0.88 L/ha (110 - 220 g a.i./ha) controlled tan spot, septoria leaf blotch, leaf rust, and powdery mildew on cereals.

Three trials conducted in Canada and Italy in 2009 were reviewed to support the claim of control of northern corn leaf blight on corn at rates of 0.44 - 0.88 L/ha (110 - 220 g a.i./ha). Italian trials were accepted for review due to similarities in climate compared to Canada and because the environment was conducive to pest development. The submitted evidence demonstrated control of northern corn leaf blight on corn when DuPont Acapela Fungicide was applied as proposed.

Eighteen trials conducted in Canada, the US and Brazil were reviewed to support claims on soybean. Data from Brazil was accepted to support the claim of control of Asian soybean rust as introduction of this disease to Canadian agricultural regions is undesirable. The trials demonstrated that DuPont Acapela Fungicide controls Asian soybean rust, brown spot and frogeye leafspot and suppresses sclerotinia stem rot on soybean when applied at 0.44 - 0.88 L/ha (110 - 200 g a.i./ha).

Eleven trials conducted in Canada and the US in 2008 and 2009 were reviewed to support claims on dry legumes. The trials demonstrated control of mycosphaerella blight on pea and suppression
of white mould on the dry legume crop group when DuPont Acapela Fungicide is applied at 0.6 -0.88 L/ha (150 -220 g a.i./ha). The claim of control of Asian soybean rust was extrapolated to the dry legume crop group from soybean data.

All 12 use claims were supported based on the submitted efficacy data. Four claims were supported with the condition that confirmatory data be submitted. Confirmatory data have been requested when efficacy was inconsistent in trials. A total of seven trials have been requested to confirm the level of efficacy; however, value data, including history of use information and benefits analysis, or scientific rationales will be considered in lieu of efficacy trials. Aerial application was supported on all crops.

5.2 Economics

No market analysis was done for this application.

5.3 Sustainability

5.3.1 Survey of Alternatives

A number of fungicides are registered on the labelled crops to control or suppress plant diseases registered on the DuPont Acapela Fungicide label. Refer to Appendix I, Table 20 for further information on alternative products.

5.3.2 Compatibility with Current Management Practices Including Integrated Pest Management

The use of integrated pest management (IPM) plans for the labelled crops would typically include disease monitoring, crop staging, and weather forecasting with the objective of maximizing marketable yield, minimizing the use of fungicide applications and minimizing the risk of disease resistance. DuPont Acapela Fungicide is compatible with current IPM strategies and provides another alternative to currently registered fungicides. It is expected that growers will be able to integrate the use of DuPont Acapela Fungicide into existing fungicide application plans.

5.3.3 Information on the Occurrence or Possible Occurrence of the Development of Resistance

DuPont Acapela Fungicide is a fungicide from the Strobilurin - methoxyacrylate chemical class. Picoxystrobin has a mode of action similar to some fungicides currently on the market. This QoI fungicide acts at the quinone outer binding site of the cytochrome bc1 complex. All members of this group affect the Qo site of the cytochrome bc1 complex in mitochondria and inhibit respiration. Picoxystrobin has the same general target site as other strobilurin fungicides, so the development of a spray program employing proper alternation with different modes of action is essential. DuPont Acapela Fungicide may be used in mixtures with fungicides that have different modes of action or in rotational programs with products from different mode of action groups. It may be used as a component of an integrated disease management program. Product labels and directions for use will include appropriate disease resistance management statements and guidelines for rotation and alternating different mode of action chemicals for disease control.

6.0 Pest Control Product Policy Considerations

6.1 Toxic Substances Management Policy Considerations

The Toxic Substances Management Policy (TSMP) is a federal government policy developed to provide direction on the management of substances of concern that are released into the environment. The TSMP calls for the virtual elimination of Track 1 substances [those that meet all four criteria outlined in the policy, i.e., persistent (in air, soil, water and/or sediment), bio-accumulative, primarily a result of human activity and toxic as defined by the *Canadian Environmental Protection Act*].

During the review process, picoxystrobin and its transformation products were assessed in accordance with the PMRA Regulatory Directive DIR99-03⁵ and evaluated against the Track 1 criteria. The PMRA has reached the following conclusions:

- Picoxystrobin does not meet Track 1 criteria, and is not considered a Track 1 substance. See Appendix I, Table 19 for comparison with Track 1 criteria.
- Log K_{OW} information is required for the five transformation products Compounds 2, 3, 7, 8 and 26 to confirm that they are not bioaccumulative according to the TSMP Track 1 criterion.
- To assess the volatile transformation product, Compound 26, against the TSMP Track 1 criteria and to assess whether it is likely to undergo long-range transport, information on the octanol-air partition coefficient (log K_{OA}) and on the phototransformation in air of Compound 26 is required.

6.2 Formulants and Contaminants of Health or Environmental Concern

During the review process, contaminants in the technical and formulants and contaminants in the end-use products are compared against the *List of Pest control Product Formulants and Contaminants of Health or Environmental Concern* maintained in the *Canada Gazette*⁶. The list

⁵ DIR99-03, The Pest Management Regulatory Agency's Strategy for Implementing the Toxic Substances Management Policy

⁶ Canada Gazette, Part II, Volume 139, Number 24, SI/2005-114 (2005-11-30) pages 2641–2643: List of Pest Control Product Formulants and Contaminants of Health or Environmental Concern and in the order amending this list in the Canada Gazette, Part II, Volume 142, Number 13, SI/2008-67 (2008-06-25) pages 1611-1613. Part 1 Formulants of Health or Environmental Concern, Part 2 Formulants of Health or

is used as described in the PMRA Notice of Intent NOI2005-01⁷ and is based on existing policies and regulations including: DIR99-03; and DIR2006-02⁸, and taking into consideration the Ozone-depleting Substance Regulations, 1998, of the *Canadian Environmental Protection Act* (substances designated under the Montreal Protocol). The PMRA has reached the following conclusions:

Technical grade picoxystrobin does not contain any formulants or contaminants of health or environmental concern identified in the *Canada Gazette*.

The end-use product, DuPont Acapela Fungicide, contains the preservative 1,2-benzisothiazoline-3-one which contains low levels of dioxins and furans. These are being managed as outlined in the PMRA Regulatory Directive DIR99-03 for the implementation of TSMP.

The use of formulants in registered pest control products is assessed on an ongoing basis through PMRA formulant initiatives and Regulatory Directive DIR2006-02⁹.

7.0 Summary

7.1 Human Health and Safety

The toxicology database submitted for picoxystrobin is adequate to define the majority of toxic effects that may result from exposure. There was no evidence of increased susceptibility of the young in reproduction or developmental toxicity studies. In short-term and chronic studies on laboratory animals, the primary target was the G.I. tract, resulting in irritation and reduced body weights. There was evidence of neurotoxicity. There was evidence of testicular oncogenicity in rats after longer-term dosing, but only in the presence of overt toxicity. The risk assessment protects against the toxic effects noted above by ensuring that the level of human exposure is well below the lowest dose at which these effects occurred in animal tests.

The nature of the residue in plants and animals is adequately understood. The residue definition for enforcement and risk purposes is picoxystrobin in plant and livestock commodities. The proposed use of picoxystrobin on dry legumes (Crop Subgroup 6C), cereals (barley, buckwheat, millet, oats, rye, teosinte, triticale and wheat), corn (field, sweet, seed and popcorn) and soybeans does not constitute an unacceptable acute or chronic dietary risk (food and drinking water) to any segment of the population, including infants, children, adults and seniors. Sufficient crop residue data have been reviewed to recommend maximum residue limits.

Environmental Concern that are Allergens Known to Cause Anaphylactic-Type Reactions and Part 3 Contaminants of Health or Environmental Concern.

- ⁷ NOI2005-01, List of Pest Control Product Formulants and Contaminants of Health or Environmental Concern under the New Pest Control Products Act.
- ⁸ DIR2006-02, PMRA Formulants Policy.
- ⁹ DIR2006-02, PMRA Formulants Policy.

Commodity	Recommended MRL (ppm)
Barley bran	0.5
Barley	0.3
Wheat germ	0.09
Crop Subgroup 20A (Rapeseed Subgroup)	0.08
Corn oil	0.07
Crop Subgroup 6C (Dried Shelled Pea and Bean, except soybean)	0.06
Wheat bran	0.06
Dry soybeans	0.05
Crop Group 15 (Cereal Grains, except barley and rice)	0.04
Eggs	0.01
Fat, meat and meat by-products of cattle, goats, hogs, horses, poultry, and sheep	0.01
Milk	0.01

The PMRA recommends that the following maximum residue limits be specified for:

Mixers, loaders, and applicators handling DuPont Acapela Fungicide and workers entering treated areas are not expected to be exposed to levels of picoxystrobin that will result in an unacceptable risk when the products are used according to label directions. The personal protective equipment on the product label is adequate to protect workers. Additionally, no risks of concern were identified for bystanders.

7.2 Environmental Risk

The use of DuPont Acapela Fungicide containing the active ingredient picoxystrobin may pose a risk to earthworms, beneficial predatory and parasitic arthropods, non-target terrestrial plants and freshwater invertebrates, fish, amphibians and algae. Risks can be mitigated with spray buffer zones to protect sensitive terrestrial and aquatic habitats from spray drift and through the use of label statements to inform users of potential risks to the environment.

7.3 Value

The data submitted to register DuPont Acapela Fungicide are adequate to demonstrate efficacy for use on the proposed crops and diseases. The lowest effective rate for pests has been established and is supported by efficacy data. Confirmatory data are required to confirm the level of efficacy of picoxystrobin on several crops. Please refer to Appendix I, Table 21 for a summary of supported use claims.

The Canadian Grower Priority Database (CGPD) compiles and prioritizes grower-identified priorities for crop pest protection. Growers have identified the following low, intermediate and high priorities for registration of new fungicides: control of powdery mildew, rust diseases and *Septoria* diseases on cereals; control of northern corn leaf blight and common rust on corn; control of *Sclerotinia* on legumes; control of *Sclerotinia* and *Septoria* diseases on soybean. These priorities correspond to the uses that are proposed for picoxystrobin.

8.0 Proposed Regulatory Decision

Health Canada's PMRA, under the authority of the *Pest Control Products Act* and Regulations, is proposing full registration for the sale and use of Picoxystrobin Technical Fungicide and DuPont Acapela Fungicide, containing the technical grade active ingredient picoxystrobin, to control or suppress a broad spectrum of diseases on cereals, corn, dry legumes and soybeans. An evaluation of available scientific information found that, under the approved conditions of use, the product has value and does not present an unacceptable risk to human health or the environment.

List of Abbreviations

μg	micrograms
a.i.	active ingredient
abs	absolute
AD	administered dose
ADI	acceptable daily intake
AGF	aspirated grain fractions
AR	applied radioactivity
ARfD	acute reference dose
ARTF	Agricultural Re-entry Task Force
atm	atmosphere
ATPD	area treated per day
$AUC_{(0-\infty)}$	area under the curve
BAF	bioaccumulation factor
BBCH	Biologische Bundesanstalt, Bundessortenamt and Chemical Industry
BCF	bioconcentration factor
bw	body weight
bwg	body weight gain
Cmax	maximum concentrations
C.I.	confidence interval
CAF	composite assessment factor
CAS	Chemical Abstracts Service
CGPD	Canadian Grower Priority Database
cm	centimetres
COC	crop oil concentrates
d	day(s)
DAT	days after treatment
DEEM	Dietary Exposure Evaluation Method
DFR	dislodgeable foliar residue
DFOP	double first order in parallel
DT ₅₀	dissipation time 50% (the dose required to observe a 50% decline in
20	concentration)
DT ₉₀	dissipation time 90% (the dose required to observe a 90% decline in
	concentration)
EC ₂₅	effective concentration on 25% of the population
EC_{50}	effective concentration on 50% of the population
EDE	estimated dietary exposure
EEC	estimated environmental concentration
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
ER ₂₅	effective rate for 25% of the population
F_1	first generation
F ₂	second generation
fc	food consumption
FDA	Food and Drugs Act
fa	
le	food efficiency

g	gram
G.I.	gastrointestinal
GSD	geometric standard deviation
ha	hectare(s)
HAFT	highest average field trial
НС	historical controls
HC ₅	harzardous concentration to 5% of the species
HDPE	high-density polyethylene
HPLC	high performance liquid chromatography
hr/hrs	hour/hours
IgM	immunoglobin M
i.m.p.	initial measured parent
int	interim
IORE	indeterminate order rate equation
IPM	Integrated Pest Management
IUPAC	International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry
kg	kilogram
K _d	soil-water partition coefficient
K _{OA}	octanol-air partition coefficient
K _{oc}	organic-carbon partition coefficient
Kow	<i>n</i> -octanol-water partition coefficient
kPa	kilopascals
L	litre
LC-MS/MS	liquid chromatography with tandem mass spectrometry
LC_{50}	lethal concentration 50%
LD_{50}	lethal dose 50%
LOAEL	lowest observed adverse effect level
LOC	level of concern
LOD	limit of detection
LOQ	limit of quantitation
LPH	low pressure handwand
LR_{50}	lethal rate 50%
m	metre(s)
MAS	maximum average score for 24, 48 and 72 hours
MBD	more balanced diet
mg	milligram(s)
MĬ	Michigan
MIS	maximum irritation score
mL	millilitre(s)
M/L/A	mixer/loader/applicator
MMAD	mass median aerodynamic diameter
MOE	margin of exposure
mPa	millipascals
MRL	maximum residue limit
MS	mass spectrometry
MTD	maximum tolerated dose
Ν	nitrogen
	-

N/A	not applicable
NAFTA	North American Free Trade Agreement
NC	North Carolina
ng	nanograms
nm	nanometres
NOAEL	no observed adverse effect level
NOAEEC	no observed adverse ecological effect concentration
NOEC	no observed effect concentration
NOEL	no observed effect level
NR	not reported
NZW	New Zealand white
OECD	Organisation for Economic Co-Operation and Development
Ра	pascals
PA	Pennsylvania
PBI	plantback interval
PCPA	Pest Control Product Act
PHED	Pesticide Handlers Exposure Database
PHI	preharvest interval
p <i>K</i> a	dissociation constant
PMRA	Pest Management Regulatory Agency
PND	postnatal day
ppb	parts per billion
PPE	personal protective equipment
ppm	parts per million
QoI	quinine outside inhibitor
RAC	raw agricultural commodity
REI	restrictive entry interval
rel	relative
RQ	risk quotient
RSD	relative standard deviation
sac	sacrifice
SC	soluble concentrate
SFO	single first-order
sRBC	sheep red blood cell
SSD	species sensitivity distribution
T _{1/2elim}	terminal elimination half-life
TC	transfer coefficient
ter	terminal
TGAI	technical grade active ingredient
T _{max}	time to maximum concentrations
TRIG	triglycerides
TRR	total radioactive residue
TRT	treatment
TSMP	Toxic Substances Management Policy
UK	United Kingdom
US	United States
US EPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency

UV	ultraviolet
v/v	volume per volume dilution
w/v	weight per volume dilution
wk/wks	week/weeks
wt/wts	weight/weights
yr/yrs	year/years

Appendix I Tables and Figures

Matrix	Method ID	Analyte	Method Type	LOQ	Reference
Soil		picoxystrobin IN-QDK50	HPLC-MS/MS	0.01 ppm	1966841 1893553
		IN-QDK62			1893554
		IN-QDK63			1893555
					1893556
Sediment	Extended from soil	L			
Water		picoxystrobin		0.10 ppb	1893557
		1 5			1893558
					1893559
	-	-	Analytical Metho	dology	
Parameters		Plant Matrices			
Method ID		Du-Pont-29312			
Туре		LC-MS/MS			
Analytes		Picoxystrobin, IN-QDK50, IN-QDY62, and IN-QDY63			
LOQ		0.01 ppm/analyte (five distinct crop types)			
References			PMRA# 18937	67, 1893768 and	1893769
Parameters			An	imal Matrices	
Method ID			Du-Pont-2	25997, Revision 1	No. 1
Туре		LC-MS/MS			
Analytes		Picoxystrobin			
References			PMRA# 1	893763 and 1893	3765

Table 1Residue Analysis

Table 2 Toxicity Profile of End-use Product DuPont Acapela Fungicide

(Effects are known or assumed to occur in both sexes unless otherwise noted; in such cases, sex-specific effects are separated by semi-colons)

Study Type/Animal/PMRA #	Study Results
Acute Oral Toxicity Study	LD_{50} $^{>}$ 2000 mg/kg bw
	$LD_{50} \Im > 2000 \text{ mg/kg bw}$
Alpk:AP _f SD rats	$LD_{50} \Diamond \bigcirc > 2000 \text{ mg/kg bw}$
	Limit Dose
PMRA 1893818; MRID	
48073720	Low Toxicity
Acute Dermal Toxicity Study	LD_{50} $\circlearrowright > 2000 \text{ mg/kg bw}$
	$LD_{50} \Im > 2000 \text{ mg/kg bw}$
Alpk:AP _f SD rats	$LD_{50} \Diamond \bigcirc > 2000 \text{ mg/kg bw}$
	Limit Dose
PMRA 1893819; MRID	
48073722	Low Toxicity
Acute Inhalation Toxicity Study	Inhalation LC ₅₀ $\mathcal{J} > 5.31 \text{ mg/L}$
	Inhalation $LC_{50} \Leftrightarrow 5.31 \text{ mg/L}$
Sprague Dawley derived, albino	Inhalation $LC_{50} \Diamond \bigcirc > 5.31 \text{ mg/L}$
rats	Limit Dose
PMRA 1893820; MRID	Low Toxicity
48073724	

Study Type/Animal/PMRA #	Study Results
Eye Irritation	MIS (2hrs) 4.67/110
	MAS (24 – 72 hrs) 0.67/110
NZW rabbits	Time to zero score 72 hrs
PMRA 1893821; MRID 48073726	Minimally irritating
Dermal Irritation	MAS (24 – 72 hrs) 1.33/8
MZW Rabbits	Slightly irritating
PMRA 1893822; MRID	
48073728	
Dermal Sensitization Study	2/20 exhibited signs of sensitization at the undiluted treatment sites
	0/20 exhibited signs of sensitization at the 75% w/v test site
Albino Dunkin Hartley Guinea	
Pigs	Not a dermal sensitizer
PMRA 1893823; MRID 48073730	

Table 3Toxicity Profile of Technical Picoxystrobin

(Effects are known or assumed to occur in both sexes unless otherwise noted; in such cases, sex-specific effects are separated by semi-colons. Organ weight effects reflect both absolute organ weights and relative organ to bodyweights unless otherwise noted)

Study Type/Animal/PMRA #	Study Results
Acute Oral Toxicity (Up and	$LD_{50} > 5000 \text{ mg/kg bw}$
Down)	Limit Dose
Crl:CD(SD) rats	Low Toxicity
PMRA 1893595; MRID 48073718	
Acute Dermal Toxicity	$LD_{50} > 5000 \text{ mg/kg bw}$
	Limit Dose
Crl:CD(SD) rats	
PMRA 1893596; MRID 48073721	Low Toxicity
Acute Inhalation Toxicity	$LC_{50} = 0.11 \text{ mg/L} (C.I. = 0.011 - 0.20 \text{ mg/L})$
Wistar / CRL:WI rats	
DMD A 2027702.	Moderately acutely toxic
PMRA 2027/03; MRID 48405401	0.010 mg/L : red faci on lobes of lungs d: dianhragmatic nodule 0
NIKID 48403401	0.16 mg/L . The four our one of fungs 0, and final and final conduct \neq 0.16 mg/L 3/5 β and 4/5 \circ exhibited dullness and died enlarged edematous lungs and from the fluid
	in trachea, congested lung lobes; clotted blood in abdominal cavity β
	0.42 mg/L: 5/5 $\stackrel{\scriptstyle <}{\scriptstyle \circ}$ and 4/5 $\stackrel{\scriptstyle \bigcirc}{\scriptstyle \circ}$ exhibited dullness and died, enlarged, edematous lungs
Eye Irritation Study	Supplementary
NZW Rabbit	MIS (24hrs) 27/110: MAS (24-72) 17 7/110
	Score at 7 days = $0/110$
PMRA 1893599; MRID 48073725	
Eye irritation Study	MAS (1-48 hrs) 6.7/110; MIS (1 hr) 11.7/110

Study Type/Animal/PMRA #	Study Results
NZW Rabbits	Score at 72 hours = $2.67/110$ Score at 7 days = $2/110$
PMRA 2092925; MRID 2092925	Mildly irritating to the eye
Dermal Irritation Study	MAS 0/8
NZW Rabbit	Non-irritating
PMRA 1893597; MRID 48073727	
Dermal Sensitization Study	There were no reactions following an undiluted challenge
Hartley Albino Guinea Pig	Not a skin sensitizer
PMRA 1893598; MRID 48073729	
Metabolism/Toxicokinetics (single	Studies were conducted with [¹⁴ C-pyridinyl]- or [¹⁴ C-phenyl]- picoxystrobin
and repeated dose, oral, gavage)	$[^{14}C$ nhanyllnicovyetrohin and $[^{14}C$ nyridinyllnicovyetrohin were examined for histransformation
Wistar rats	excretion and tissue distribution in five studies. Picoxystrobin is well absorbed (77-82%) and completely excreted (94-100%). The majority is excreted in the faces (74-78% in 3° and 61-65% in
PMRA 1893661; MRID 48073755	(1) with bile as the primary route and 18-21% and 26-34% excreted in the urine in (3) and (2) ,
PMRA 1893664; MRID 48073756	respectively. At 120 hours post-dose the highest concentrations of residual radioactivity (TRR) were
PMRA 1893668; MRID 48073757	in the liver, followed by the kidneys and G.I tract. All other organs have TRR levels lower than whole blood. At 24 hours part does whole bedy radiographs indicated that the bighest
PMRA 1893670 [.] MRID 48073759	concentrations of TRR were in the G I tract liver and kidneys in descending order. Picoxystrobin
PMRA 1893671; MRID 48073754	was well distributed with TRR levels found in the bone as well as G.I organs.
	Picoxystrobin was extensively metabolized and well characterized. Thirty-four of 42 identified metabolites were structurally identified. The parent compound was only found in the feces and the major metabolite in the bile cannulation study was not found in the urine or feces. The major route of metabolism was via ester hydrolysis and glucuronide conjugation.
	Plasma kinetic investigations of picoxystrobin found a general similarity between sexes, radiolabels and dose groups, though there was a high degree of variability between individual rats. C_{max} values in plasma were between 2.35-4.36 µg/g at low doses and 8.89-10.84 µg/g at high doses. The T_{max} generally occurred at 0.5-1.0 hours post-dose at low doses and at 24 hours for high doses when the data from the two labels was pooled; however, there was a high degree of variability due to two peaks of radioactivity in the concentration vs time curves at 1 and 8-24 hours post-dosing. These peaks are probably a result of enterohepatic circulation of metabolites supported by earlier bile cannulation studies.
	Single oral low and high-dose studies were performed with the ¹⁴ C-phenyl and ¹⁴ C-pyridinyl rings at 10 and 100 mg/kg bw. Terminal elimination half-lives ($T_{/xelim}$) was 33.4-47.2 hrs at low doses and 30.5-45.8 hrs at high doses. The major sex difference was area under the curve (AUC _(0-∞)) values of 101.8-110.2 hr*µg/g in \bigcirc at low dose and 85.9-86.7 hr*µg/g in \bigcirc . At high doses, the AUC _(0-∞) was 579.3-605.0 hr*µg/g and 453.4-709.6 hr*µg/g in \bigcirc and \bigcirc , respectively. A 5.2-8.3 fold difference in AUC between the low and high doses indicates that metabolism may be reaching saturation at high doses.
90d Oral Toxicity Study	Effect levels were not established since this was a supplemental study
C57BL/10JfAP/Alpk mice	Non-guideline; no clinical chemistry, haematology, organ weights or histopathology
PMRA 1893680; MRID 48073732	\geq 137.3/176.1 mg/kg bw/day: \downarrow bw/bwg and fe
	421.6/534.8 mg/kg bw/day: dark areas, dark spots on spleen, ↑ pigmentation in spleen
90d Oral Toxicity Study	NOAEL - 41.7/48.1 mg/kg bw/day
Alpk:AP _f SD rats	104.9/120.1 mg/kg bw/day: ↓ bw/bwg and fc

Study Type/Animal/PMRA #	Study Results	
DMD & 1802674: MDID 48072721		
90d dietary toxicity study	NOAEL - 8.9/8.5 mg/kg bw/day	
Beagle dogs	16.5/16.9 mg/kg bw/day: \downarrow fc and \uparrow fluid feces in \bigcirc (18 observations, wk 1 – 13) \bigcirc (6 observations, wk 1-3 and 12-13): \downarrow bw/bwg in \bigcirc : \uparrow salivation at feeding in \bigcirc (wk 2 – 13)	
PRMA 1893676; MRID 48073734	wk 1-5 and 12-15), \downarrow 5w/6wg m (), \uparrow sanvation at feeding m \downarrow (wk 2 - 15)	
28d dermal toxicity study	NOAEL - 1000 mg/kg bw/day	
Crl:CD(SD) rats		
PMRA 1893682; MRID 48073735		
28d dermal toxicity study	NOAEL 1000 mg/kg bw/day	
Alpk:AP _f SD rats		
PMRA 1893678; MRID 48073736		
1yr dietary toxicity study	NOAEL 4.8/4.6 mg/kg bw/day	
Beagle dogs	≥ 16.1/15.7 mg/kg bw/day: \downarrow bw/bwg and fc; \uparrow salivation and red sclera in $^{\land}$	
PMRA 1893621; MRID 48073741		
18 month Dietary Toxicity Study	Supplementary; did not reach MTD	
C57BL/10J _f AP Alpk mice	108.8/144.7 mg/kg bw/day: \uparrow incidence of inflammation and erosion in non-glandular stomach in \bigcirc (same severity as controls)	
PMRA 1893602; MRID 48073744	(same severity as controls)	
18 month Dietary Toxicity Study	NOAEL 70.8/98.6 mg/kg bw/day	
Crlj:CD1 (ICR) mice	≥ 293.3/411.5 mg/kg bw/day: \uparrow hyperplasia of duodenal mucosa, \uparrow dilatation of mucosal glands and \uparrow incidence of stomach glandular mucosal hyperplasia \Diamond	
PMRA 2046231;		
MRID 48457401	Did not reach MTD, but approached limit dose in females.	
	No evidence of tumours	
2yr Dietary Toxicity Study	Supplementary; did not reach MTD	
Alpk:AP _f SD rats	No effects at 45.6/57.8 mg/kg bw/day (highest dose tested)	
PMRA 1893610; MRID 438073746		
2yr Dietary Toxicity Study	NOAEL 52.3/65.0 mg/kg bw/day	
CD [®] [Crl:CD [®] (SD)] rats	≥ 52.3/65.0 mg/kg bw/day: \uparrow incidence of soft feces (non-adverse) \Diamond	
PMRA 2046238;	\geq 186.3/229.6 mg/kg bw/day: \downarrow bw/bwg; \uparrow incidence of soft feces; \uparrow testes wts (int sac), \uparrow incidence	
MRID 48457202	interstitial cell hyperplasia and adenomas of testes (ter sac) \Diamond ; \uparrow urea N, \downarrow TRIG \bigcirc	
	Adenoma, testicular interstitial cell: ♂: 1/70 (1.4), 1/70 (1.4), 0/70, 2/70 (3.0), 7/70 (10) HC: 0 – 8.3	
Reproductive Toxicity Study	Parental Toxicity	
Sprague-Dawley (Crl:CD[SD]) rats	NOAEL 55.5/70.3mg/kg bw/day 137.5/173.4 mg/kg bw/day: ↓ bw/bwg, fc and food efficiency	
PMRA 1893640; MRID 48073739	Offspring Toxicity NOAEL 16.9/21.7 mg/kg bw/day	
	\geq 55.5/70.3 mg/kg bw/day: \downarrow abs spleen wts F ₁ &F ₂	

Study Type/Animal/PMRA #	# Study Results	
	Reproductive Toxicity	
	NOAEL 137.5/173.4 mg/kg bw/day	
Reproductive Toxicity Study	Parental Toxicity	
Alpk: AP SD rats	NOAEL 5.4/5.8 mg/kg bw/day > 21 5/23 4 mg/kg bw/day: \downarrow bw/bwg fc F, \mathcal{L}	
PMRA 1893638; MRID 48073740	Offspring Toxicity	
	80.0/87.2 mg/kg bw/day w/day $1000000000000000000000000000000000000$	
	Reproductive Toxicity	
Developmental Toxicity Study	Maternal Toxicity	
	NOAEL 30 mg/kg bw/day	
Alpk:AP _f SD (Wistar-derived) rats	100 mg/kg bw/day: ↓bwg, ↑ diarrhea and post-dosing salivation	
PMRA 1893636; MRID 48073738	Offspring Toxicity:	
	NOAEL 30 mg/kg bw/day	
Davalanmental Taxiaity Study	100 mg/kg bw/day: extremely misaligned 5 th sternebra	
Developmental Toxicity Study	NOAEL 8 mg/kg bw/day	
NZW rabbits	\geq 25 mg/kg bw/day: \uparrow diarrhea, \uparrow incidences few feces on tray, \downarrow fc, \downarrow gravid uterine wts	
PMR A 1893647 · MRID 48073737	Developmental Toxicity:	
	NOAEL 25 mg/kg bw/day	
	100 mg/kg bw/day: incomplete ossification of the odontoid and presence of 27 pre-pelvic vertebrae	
Bacterial Reverse Mutation Assay	Negative Precipitation at $\geq 2500 \text{ µg/plate}$ (plate incorporation) and 5000 µg/plate (pre-incubation)	
PMRA 1893655; MRID 48073748	1 recipitation at 22500 µg/plate (plate meorpolation) and 5000 µg/plate (ple medication)	
In vitro Mammalian Cell Assay	Negative	
PMRA 1893656: MRID 48073747	Precipitation at $\geq 64 \ \mu g/mL$	
In vitro Mammaliam cell	Negative	
clastogenicity	Cytotoxic at 5µg/mL –S9 and 50 µg/mL +S9	
PMRA 1893565: MRID 48073749		
In vivo Cytogenetics (MN Assay)	Negative	
DMD & 1902660, MDID 49072750		
In vivo Rat Liver Unscheduled	Negative	
DNA Synthesis Assay		
DMD & 1802650: MDID 48072751		
Acute Neurotoxicity Study	NOAEL not established	
	\geq 200 mg/kg bw/d: low arousal and reduced motor activity δ , reduction in rearing \circ	
Crl:CD(SD) rats	\geq 1000 mg/kg bw/d: \downarrow fc, \uparrow stained skin/fur, drooping eyelids; diarrhea, brown-stained cageboard,	
PMRA 1893600; MRID 48073753	2000 mg/kg bw/d: soiled/wet skin/fur; low body temperature 3 ; 3 \Im found dead/moribund, red	
	nasal discharge, uncoordinated gait, abnormal posture Q	
Subchronic Neurotoxicity Study	NOAEL 8 mg/kg bw/d in females; 36 mg/kg bw/d in males	
Crl:CD(SD) rats	$207/246 \text{ mg/kg bw/day: } \downarrow fc; \downarrow bw/bwg, \downarrow forelimb grip strength 3; \downarrow landing foot splay 2$	
PMRA 1893681; MRID 48073752	Immunotoxicity (IgM response to Sheen Red Blood Cell (sRBC))	
Initialiotoxicity Study	NOAEL 231/225 mg/kg bw/day	

Study Type/Animal/PMRA #	Study Results
CRL:CD(SD) rats	
	Systemic Toxicity
PMRA 1893672; MRID 48073762	NOAEL 68/75 mg/kg bw/day
	231/225 mg/kg bw/day: \downarrow bw/bwg; \downarrow fc \bigcirc
Immunotoxicity Study	Immunotoxicity (IgM response to sRBC)
	NOAEL 727/931 mg/kg bw/day
CRI:CD1(ICR) mice	
	Systemic Toxicity:
PMRA 1893673; MRID 48073763	NOAEL 727/931 mg/kg bw/day
Metabolite Studies	
Acute Inhalation Toxicity Study	\geq 5.29 mg/L: \uparrow breathing depth, abnormal respiratory noise, \downarrow activity, \downarrow righting reflex, \uparrow salivation, \downarrow stability, \downarrow response to sound, \uparrow shaking, \downarrow foot withdrawl reflex; asymptomatic by Day
Wistar (Alpk:AP _f SD) rats	5
PMRA 1966847;	\geq 10.48 mg/L: \downarrow body weight in 2/5 \Im ; coldness to touch, \downarrow splay and visual placing reflexes, \uparrow
MRID 48258033	palpebral and pinna reflexes, \uparrow staining around snout; asymptomatic by Day 5
	26.24 mg/L: 2/5 \bigcirc died immediately post-exposure; 2/5 \bigcirc lost weight in first week, 1/5 \bigcirc lost weight in first week and 1/5 \bigcirc lost weight throughout study; \downarrow breathing rate, \uparrow lacryimation, \uparrow prostrate posture; asymptomatic by Day 7 in surviving animals; 1/2 decedent \bigcirc with red lungs
	MMAD and GSD were not provided

Toxicology Endpoints for Use in Health Risk Assessment for Picoxystrobin Table 4

Exposure Scenario	Study	Point of Departure and Endpoint	CAF ¹ or Target MOE
Acute dietary	Acute rat oral neurotoxicity	LOAEL = 200 mg/kg bw	300
general population	study	Low arousal and reduced motor activity in	
	-	males and reduction in rearing in females	
	ARfD = 0.67 mg/kg bw		
Repeated dietary	1-year dog dietary study	NOAEL = 4.6 mg/kg bw/day	100
		Decreased body weight, body weight gain and	
		food consumption in males and females and	
		increased salivation and red sclera in males	
	ADI = 0.046 mg/kg bw/day		
Short and	The two 28-day rat dermal	NOAEL = 1000 mg/kg bw/day	100
Intermediate-term	studies	No treatment-related effects at highest dose	
dermal		tested	
Short and	90-day dog dietary toxicity	NOAEL 8.5 mg/kg bw/day	100
Intermediate-term	study	Increased fluid feces in males and females,	
inhalation ²		decreased body weight and body weight gain	
		in males, and increased salivation at feeding in	
		females	
Cancer	Combined	A threshold-based approach was used for	N/A
	chronic/oncogenicity	testicular interstitial adenomas in male rats	

¹ CAF (composite assessment factor) refers to a total of uncertainty and PCPA factors for dietary assessments; MOE refers to a target MOE for occupational assessments.

 2 Since an oral NOAEL was selected, an inhalation absorption factor of 100% (default value) was used in route-to-route extrapolation.

Table 5Integrated Food Residue Chemistry Summary

NATURE OF THE RESIDU	E IN ANIMALS - I	Hen	PMRA# 189359	2	
Radiolabel Position	[Py	yridinyl- ¹⁴ C] and [Phenya	acrylate- ¹⁴ C] Picoxy	strobin	
Laying hens (two groups with [phenylacrylate- ¹⁴ C] picoxystr daily. Samples of eggs were co dosage and samples of liver, m	3 birds per treatmen obin at a rate of 10 p illected twice daily. uscle and fat were c	t group) were dosed orally opm in the feed for 10 cons The treated hens were sacr ollected.	twice daily with eith secutive days. Sample ificed approximately	er [pyridinyl- ¹⁴ C] or as of excreta were collected 16 hours after the final	
[pvridinyl- ¹⁴ C] [phenylacrylate- ¹⁴ C]					
Matrices	TRRs (ppm)	% AD	TRRs (ppm)	% AD	
	41 <i>/</i>				
Excreta	NR	64.73	NR	93.77	
Cage wash	NR	2.68	NR	1.88	
Egg yolk (Day 10)	0.209	0.10	0.192	0.08	
Egg white (Day 10)	0.015	0.02	0.005	0.01	
Muscle	0.019	0.04	0.022	0.05	
Fal	0.034	0.02	0.040	0.01	
Total % AD	0.173	67.66	0.31	95.93	
Metabolite Identified	Major motob	of	Minor metab	olites (~10% TPPs)	
Radiolabel Position	[nvridinyl- ¹⁴ C]	[nhenvlacrylate- ¹⁴ C]	[nvridinyl- ¹⁴ C]	[nhenvlacrylate- ¹⁴ C]	
Kuulolubel I östilöli			Picoxystrohin		
Egg yolk	None	None	Compounds 3, 7 and 10	Picoxystrobin and Compound 7	
Proposed metabolic scheme i	n hens:	•			
F ₁ C + H Compound 7	Compound 3 $F_3G = N = 0$ Gompound 2 $F_1G = N = 0$ Gompound 2 Gompound 10 Gompound 10 Gompoun	$F_{1}C_{n} = H_{n} \circ \int_{C} \int$	$F_{4}C_{m}H_{4}C_{0}$ $F_{4}C_{m}H_{4}C_{0}$ $F_{4}C_{m}H_{4}C_{0}$ $F_{4}C_{m}H_{4}C_{0}$ $F_{4}C_{m}H_{4}C_{0}$ $Compound 33$	он , сон,	

Picoxystrobin, Compounds

2, 8, 9, 10, 13, 32, 34, 48,

and 50

None

None

None

Picoxystrobin was metabolized to Compound 2 or Compound 34 directly. Other intermediates were also proposed and these intermediates were transformed to Compound 10, or Compound 33 and Compound 48.

NATURE OF THE RESI	DUE IN ANIMALS - Goat	PMR	A# 1893593
Radiolabel Position	Pvridiny	- ¹⁴ C] and [Phenvacrvlate- ¹⁴	⁴ C] Picoxystrobin

Lactating goats (one animal for each treatment) were dosed orally twice daily with either [pyridinyl-¹⁴C] or [phenylacrylate-¹⁴C] pycoxystrobin at a rate of 10 ppm in the feed for 7 consecutive days. Samples of excreta were collected daily. Milk was collected twice daily before each dosing throughout the study and tissues (muscle, fat, liver and kidney) were collected at sacrifice about 16 hours after the last dose.

	[ру	ridinyl- ¹⁴ C]	[phenylacrylate- ¹⁴ C]		
Matrices	TRRs (ppm)	% AD	TRRs (ppm)	% AD	
Bile	0.845	0.03	5.100	0.11	
Bladder Urine	NR	No samples	6.195	0.24	
Omental fat	0.034	NR	0.025	NR	
Perirenal fat	0.028	NR	0.026	NR	
Subcutaneous fat	0.033	NR	0.021	NR	
Kidney	0.057	0.01	0.149	0.02	
Liver	0.115	0.11	0.340	0.20	
Muscle (Hind)	0.006	NR	0.010	NR	
Muscle (Fore)	0.007	NR	0.009	NR	
G.I. tract	0.255	2.03	0.484	2.76	
Whole Blood	0.025	NR	0.058	NR	
Plasma	0.033	NR	0.073	NR	
Urine (pooled)	NR	46.32	NR	49.41	
Feces (pooled)	NR	35.61	NR	27.28	
Cage wash (pooled)	NR	0.67	NR	1.59	
Milk (pooled)	NR	0.20	NR	0.06	
Total % of AD		85.03	81.67		
Metabolite Identified	Major metab	olites (>10% TRRs)	Minor meta	bolites (<10% TRRs)	
Radiolabel Position	[pyridinyl- ¹⁴ C]	[phenylacrylate- ¹⁴ C]	[pyridinyl- ¹⁴ C]	[phenylacrylate- ¹⁴ C]	
Liver	None	None None		Picoxystrobin, Compounds 2, 7, 9, 10, 13, 14, 18, 32, 34, 36, 48, and 50	
			Picoxystrobin,	Discontration Community	

Compound 7

(0.020 ppm)

Picoxystrobin

Picoxystrobin

Picoxystrobin

Compounds 2, 8, 10,

13, 32, 34, 48, and

50

None

None

None

Compound 7

(0.008 ppm)

Picoxystrobin

Picoxystrobin

Picoxystrobin

Kidney

Omental fat

Perirenal fat

Subcutaneous fat



NATURE OF THE RESI	DUE IN PLANTS - Wheat	PMRA# 1893587 and 1893588			
Radiolabel Position	[Pyridinyl- ¹⁴ C] and [Phenylacrylate- ¹⁴ C] Picoxystrobin				
Test site	Outdoors				
Treatment	Foliar spray				
Rate	Two applications for each label. Pyridinyl label: first application at 437 g a.i./ha, sec Phenylacrylate label: first application at 409 g a.i./h	ond application at 405 g a.i./ha. a, second application at 408 g a.i./ha.			
Timing	First application: Zadok growth stage of 32. Second application: Zadok growth stage of 65-69.				

Preharvest interval	Immature forage: 14 da Mature straw and grain:	ys after the last application	on.			
End-use product Formulated as a suspension concentrate						
TRRs ir	n Wheat Raw Agricultu	re Commodities				
Matrix	[pvridinv]	- ¹⁴ Cl (ppm)	[phenvlac	rvlate- ¹⁴ C] (ppm)		
Wheat Forage	3	.93	[[]]	5.89		
Wheat Straw	9	.90		11.0		
Wheat grain	0.	081		0.307		
Metabolite Identified	Major metaboli	tes (>10% TRRs)	Minor metab	olites (<10% TRRs)		
Radiolabel Position	[pyridinyl- ¹⁴ C]	[phenylacrylate- ¹⁴ C]	[pyridinyl- ¹⁴ C]	[phenylacrylate- ¹⁴ C]		
Wheat Forage	Picoxystrobin	Picoxystrobin	Compounds 4, 8, 11, 12, 13, 14, and 20	Compounds 4, 8, 12, 13, 14, 15, 21, and 24		
Wheat Straw	Picoxystrobin	Picoxystrobin	Compounds 2, 3, 4, 8, 11, 12, 13, 14, 32 and 33	Compounds 2, 4, 8, 9, 12, 13, 14, 15, 21, 24, PAG 3, 32 and 33		
Wheat grain	None	Compound 24 (0.046 ppm)	Picoxystrobin	Picoxystrobin, Compounds 15, and PAG 3		
Proposed metabolic schem	e in plants:					
F ₃ C		он но соон	ноос			
	Öн Compound 20	Compound 21	Compound 15			
	1					
		но				
		Compound 9				
	F ₃ C N O		F ₃ C N O H ₃ CO			
-	F ₃ C	N O OCH,	Compound 4	r.,		
	F ₃ C N O H ₃ CO OH	Ö ZA1963	F ₃ C N O H ₃ CO OF	4		
		F ₃ C N O HO OCH ₃	Compound 12			
		Compound 2	F ₃ C N O H ₃ CO O			
		F ₃ C N O HO OH	Compound 13			
	Compound 33	Compound 14	F ₃ C N O Compound 8	н		
The primary metabolic pathway in wheat appeared to be the hydrolysis of picoxystrobin to form Compound 9, and further transformation to Compound 24 and Compound 15. Hydrolysis of picoxystrobin may also give Compound 2, and further metabolized to Compound 14.						

NATURE OF THE RESI	DUE IN PLANTS - Canola	PMRA# 1893591	
Radiolabel Position	[Pyridinyl- ¹⁴ C] and [Phenyl-U- ¹⁴ C] Picoxystrobin		
Test site	Greenhouse		
Treatment	Foliar spray		

	Two appli	cations for each label	•						
Rate	Pyridinyl label: first application at 414 g a.i./ha, second application at 413 g a.i./ha.								
	Phenyl (U	Phenyl (U) label: first application at 471 g a.i./ha, second application at 471 g a.i./ha.							
Timing	First appli	First application: BBCH-80 growth stage.							
Tinning	Second ap	plication: BBCH-85	growth stage, 7 days	after the first applie	cation.				
	Forage: 7	days after first applic	cation, 14 days after t	he last application,	and 21 days after the last				
Preharvest interval	application	n.							
	Seed: 21	days after the last app	lication.						
End-use product	Formulate	d as a suspension con	centrate						
TRRs i	n Canola I	Raw Agriculture Co	nmodities						
Matrix		[pyridinyl-	¹⁴ C] (ppm)	[pheny]	I-U- ¹⁴ C] (ppm)				
Foliage/Immature, first har	vest	5.9	93		7.05				
Foliage/Immature, first har	vest	12.	.47		11.52				
Foliage with Pods/Mature		11.	.80		12.99				
Seed		1.0	66		2.50				
Metabolite Identified		Major metabolit	es (>10% TRRs)	Minor metab	olites (<10% TRRs)				
Radiolabel Position		[pyridinyl- ¹⁴ C]	[phenyl-U- ¹⁴ C]	[pyridinyl- ¹⁴ C]	[phenyl-U- ¹⁴ C]				
Immature foliage (7 days a application)	fter the 1 st	Picoxystrobin	Picoxystrobin	Compounds 3, 4, and 8	Compound 8				
Immature foliage (14 days	after the	Picoxystrobin	Picoxystrobin	Compounds 2, 3,	Compounds 2, 4, and 8				
2 application)	- O (1	-		4, and 8					
$\frac{1}{2^{nd}} \frac{1}{application}$	after the	Picoxystrobin	Picoxystrobin	4, 8 and 11	Compounds 2, 4, and 8				
Seed (21 days after the 2^{nd} application)		Picoxystrobin Picoxystrobin None		None	Compound 4				
Proposed metabolic scher	ne in plant	s:							
Alternative names used:	-								
Picoxystrobin: ZA1963, Z	A1963/1, C	ompound 1, DPY-YT	669.						
IN-QDY62: Compound 2,	R403092	-							
IN-QDY63: Compound 8,	R408509								
IN-QDK50: Compound 3,	R403814								
IN-QCD12: Compound 4 (an isomer c	of picoxystrobin).							
IN-QGS45: Compound 11									
	F	\sim							
	<u> </u>		- 5						
	ľ	Meo.		~~~					
	~			I CO₂H					
		N-QCD12	IN-C	QDY63					
				t					
			_						
		F C	F_						
	F		F N						
	F	MeO, OM		HOOMe					
		~ Ŷ ~	IN-QDY	62 ⁰					
		PICOXYSTROBIN DPX-YT669							
		F T	F						
		FOH	FNC						
		⊧ [] —	► F Y Y	Т Т он					
1		~		$\sim \sim$					

IN-QDK50

он

Ĭ

но

IN-QGS45

The primary metabolic pathway in canola appeared to be the hydrolysis of picoxystrobin to form Compound 2, and further transformation to Compound 8. Hydrolysis of picoxystrobin may also give Compound 3, and further metabolized to Compound 11.

NATURE OF THE RESIDUE IN PLANTS - Soybean			PMRA# 189358	9			
Radiolabel Position	[]	[Pyridinyl- ¹⁴ C] and [Phenyl-U- ¹⁴ C] Picoxystrobin					
Test site	Outdoors						
Treatment	Foliar spray						
	Two applications at tota	l rates of 200 and 1000 g	a.i./ha, respectively,	for each label.			
Rate	The rate of 200 g a.i./ha	: 100 g a.i./ha for each ap	plication				
	The rate of 1000 g a.i./h	a: first application at 250	g a.i./ha, second app	lication at 750 g a.i./ha.			
Timing	First application: R3 gr	owth stage.					
Tining	Second application: 14	days after the first applic	ation.				
Preharvest interval	Forage: 14 days after th	e last application.					
Fieldal vest litter var	Soybean: 63 days after	the last application.					
End-use product	Formulated as a suspense	sion concentrate					
TRRs i	<u>n Soybean Raw Agricul</u>	ture Commodities					
Matrix	[pyridinyl	- ¹⁴ C] (ppm)	[phenyl	-U- ¹⁴ C] (ppm)			
Soybean Forage	1.	1.803 1.896					
Soybean seed	0.080 0.127				0.080		0.127
Metabolite Identified	Major metaboli	tes (>10% TRRs)	Minor metab	Minor metabolites (<10% TRRs)			
Radiolabel Position	[pyridinyl- ¹⁴ C]	[phenyl-U- ¹⁴ C]	[pyridinyl- ¹⁴ C]	[phenyl-U- ¹⁴ C]			
	Picoxystrohin M1	M1, M7	M3 and M5	Picoxystrobin, M2, M5, M8, Compound 8,			
Soybean Forage	M2. M4			Compound 14,			
	2			Compound 24,			
				Compound 15			
		Compound 15 (21.3%	Picoxystrobin, M1, M2, M3, M4, M5, Compound 48	Picoxystrobin, M1, M2,			
Soybean seed	None	1 KRs, 0.030 ppm		M7, M8, Compound 8,			
		$TDD_{a} = 0.026$ mmm		Compound 14, and			
IKKS, 0.036 ppm) Compound 24,							
Alternative nemos used:							
M6: Compound 48							
M9: Compound 8							
M10: Compound 14							
M11: Compound 24							
M12: Compound 15							



1.93

0.051

1.99

0.025

0.164

0.005

0.084

0.009

0.030

0.060

0.001

0.078

Proposed metabolic scheme in plants:							
Proposed metabolic scheme in plants: $\begin{array}{c} $							
		Насо Пон					
		Compound 12					
F ₃ C N		۳ _۵ ۷	Compound 13				
C	Compound 14 F ₃ C N O COOH Coopund 8						
The primary metabolic pathetransformation to Compound 8.	way in apples a d 15. Hydrolysi	ppeared to be the hydrolysis of picox s of picoxystrobin may also give Con	ystrobin to form Compound 9, and further npound 12, and further metabolized to				
CONFINED ACCUMULA	TION IN RO	TATIONAL CROPS –	PMRA # 1893815, 1893816, 1893817				
Spring wheat, winter wheat,	carrots and let	uce					
Radiolabel Position		[Pyridinyl- ¹⁴ C] and [Phenyl	acrylate- ¹⁴ C] Picoxystrobin				
Test site	Greenho	use and outdoor field plots in UK					
Formulation used for trial	10% sus	pension concentrate					
Application rate and timin	Application rate and timing820-888 g a.i./ha made to two pots per radiolabel (PBIs of 30 and 197 days)						
817-842 g a.i./ha made to the primary crop (winter wheat) per radiolabel (PBI ~305 days)							
704-793 g a.i./ha made to the primary crop (spring wheat) per radiolabel (PBI 107 days)							
TRRs in Raw Agriculture Commodities							
Matrix	PBI (days)	[pyridinyl- ¹⁴ C] (ppm)	[phenylacrylate- ¹⁴ C] (ppm)				
Wheat forage (Spring)	30	1.05	0.237				
Wheat forage (Winter)	107	0.018	0.010				
Wheat forage (Spring)	197	1.04	0.367				
Wheat forage (Spring)	305	0.056	0.021				

12.8

0.082

5.61

0.151

0.073

0.003

0.034

0.003

0.326

0.222

0.004

1.38

Wheat straw (Spring)

Wheat straw (Winter)

Wheat straw (Spring)

Wheat straw (Spring)

Wheat grain (Spring)

Wheat grain (Winter)

Wheat grain (Spring) Wheat grain (Spring)

Lettuce

Carrot foliage

30

107

197

305

30

107

197

305

30

197

308

30

		197 0.784				0.051	
		308		0.048		0.002	
Q (()	-	30	0.370			0.039	
Carrot root		19/	0.212			0.032	
Matabalitas	Identified	Major	Mataba	lites (> 10% TPP)	Minor Matal	$\frac{0.001}{0.001}$	
Metabolites	PRI (dave)		vl- ¹⁴ Cl	[nhenvlacrylate- ¹⁴ C]	[nyridinyl- ¹⁴ C]	[nhenylacrylate- ¹⁴ C]	
IVIALITA	I DI (uays)				Picoxystrohin		
	30	Compou	nd 20	Picoxystrobin and PAF1	Compounds 3 and 11	None	
Wheat forage	107	Compound 20	111 and	n/a	None	n/a	
(immature)	197	Compou	nd 20	Compound 30	Picoxystrobin, Compounds 2, 3, 11 and 30	Picoxystrobin	
	304	Compou	nd 20	None	Compound 3 and 11	Picoxystrobin	
	30		d 3, 20 ST2	None	Picoxystrobin, Compounds 2, 11 and 30	Picoxystrobin, Compounds 2, 7 and 30	
Wheat straw (mature) 19	107	PYST2		None	Compounds 3, 11 and 20	Compound 8	
	197	Compou	nd 20	None	Picoxystrobin, Compounds 2, 3, 11 and 30, PYST2	Picoxystrobin, Compounds 2, 7 and 30	
	304	Compou	nd 11	n/a	Compounds 3 and 20	n/a	
	30	Glucose (TRRs, 0.02	(16.7% 11 ppm)	None	Compound 3, other natural products	Compound 24, glucose and other natural products	
Wheat grain (mature)	197	Compou (13.3% T 0.005 p glucose (TRRs, 0.00	nd 30 FRRs, pm), 12.2% 02 ppm)	Compound 30 (17.8% TRRs, 0.016 ppm)	Other natural products	Compound 24, glucose and other natural products	
	30	Compour and 2	nds 11 20	PAF1	Picoxystrobin and Compound 3	Picoxystrobin and Compound 2	
Lettuce	Lettuce Compound 20 (46.3% TRRs, 0.086 ppm)		Compound 30 (31.1% TRRs, 0.014 ppm) and PAF1 (26.6% TRRs, 0.012 ppm)	Picoxystrobin and Compounds 11 and 30	Picoxystrobin		
	30	Compour and 2	nds 20 29	Picoxystrobin and PAF1	Picoxystrobin, Compounds 3 and 11	None	
Carrot foliage	197	Compour 20 and	nds 11, 1 29	PAF1	Picoxystrobin	Picoxystrobin and Compound 30	
	308	Compour and 2	nds 20 29	n/a	Picoxystrobin, Compounds 2 and 11	n/a	

Appendix I



Picoxystrobin is extensively metabolized in soil mainly to Compounds 2, 3 and 8, which are then available to be taken up by the crops. In the crops, Compounds 2 and 3 conjugate to sugar moieties. Compounds 30 and 31, soil metabolites, were only observed in the confined accumulation study predominantly at the longer plant-back interval (197 DAT). The presence of radioactivity in glucose and other natural products in the wheat grain demonstrate that picoxystrobin is extensively degraded and enters the carbon pool of the plant as ${}^{14}CO_2$.

CROP FIELD TRIALS and Residue Decline on Field Corn and Sweet CornPMRA# 1893780, 1893788A total of 15 trials including 2 decline trials were conducted in/on field corn (one trail in each of Zones 1, 2 and 6; 12 trials
in Zone 5). At the test locations, picoxystrobin was applied three times as a foliar broadcast spray at the target rate of 0.2 lb
a.i./A/application (equivalent to about 220 g a.i./ha/application) with the first treatment at growth stage R1 (silking). The last
two applications were made at a 7 (±1) day interval with the last application at 7 days before the normal harvest. A non-ionic
surfactant or crop oil concentrate (COC) was included in the spray mixture.

Corn forage was harvested 0 day after the third application, while corn grain and stover were harvested at a PHI of 7 days. For the decline sites, corn forage samples were harvested from the treatment plots immediately before the second application (-0 day) and then 0, 1, 3, and 6-7 days after the second application. Corn stover samples were harvested from the treatment plots immediately before the third application (-0 day) and then 0, 1, 3, and 7 days after the third application. Corn grain samples from two trials were also processed into corn aspirated grain fractions (AGF) according to the commercial practice.

The analysis results indicated that picoxystrobin residues declined in forage and stover samples with increasing PHIs from 0 to 7 days. The average processing factor for corn AGF based on samples from two trials was determined to be 14.3x.

A total of 11 trials including 2 decline trials were conducted in/on sweet corn (one trail in each of Zones 1, 2, 4, 7A, 10, 11 and 12; 4 trials in Zone 5). At the test locations, picoxystrobin was applied four times as a foliar broadcast spray at the target rate of 0.2 lb a.i./A/application (equivalent to about 220 g a.i./ha/application) with the first treatment targeted 28 days before normal harvest. The last three applications were made at 7-day intervals with the last application at 7 days before the normal harvest. A non-ionic surfactant was included in the spray mixture.

Sweet corn forage and ears were harvested at a PHI of 7 days. For the decline sites, corn forage samples were harvested from the treatment plots immediately before the last application (-0 day) and then 0, 1, 3, and 7 days after the last application.

The analysis results indicated that picoxystrobin residues declined in sweet corn forage samples with increasing PHIs from 0 to 7 days.

	Total Rate (g a.i./ha)	PHI (days)	Picoxystrobin Residues* (ppm)							
Commodity			n	Min.	Max.	HAFT	Median	Mean	Std. Dev.	
Field corn forage	639-676	0	13	0.83	8.1	8.1	3.3	3.9	1.8	
Field corn stover		67	15	< 0.013	6.6	6.6	1.9	2.1	1.8	
Field corn grain		6-/	15	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.0	
Sweet corn forage	864 006	6.0	11	< 0.01	2.2	2.2	0.53	0.74	0.69	
Sweet corn K+CWHR	804-900	0-9	11	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.0	
* Averages of replicates of each trial a	re reported.									

CROP FIELD TRIALS and Residue Decline on Wheat and Barley

PMRA# 1893782, 1893783, 1893784

A total of 26 trials including 2 decline trials were conducted in/on wheat (One trail in each of Zones 2,4,6,7A, and 11; 4 trials in each of Zones 5 and 8; 5 trials in Zone 7; 8 trials in Zone 14). A total of 21 trials were conducted in/on barley (One trail in each of Zones 1, 9, 10 and 11; 3 trials in Zone 5; 4 trials in Zone 7; 10 trials in Zone 14). At the test locations, picoxystrobin was applied as a foliar broadcast spray at the target rate of 0.2 lb a.i./A/application (equivalent to about 220 g a.i./ha/application) with the first treatment at a growth stage of Feekes 6 (BBCH-30), the second application at 14 days prior to Feekes 10.5 (BBCH-59), and the third application at Feekes 10.5 (BBCH-59). Wheat forage was harvested after the first application at a PHI of 7 days. The hay of wheat and barley was harvested after the third application. For most trials, a non-ionic surfactant was included in the spray mixture at 0.125% (v/v).

For the decline sites, wheat forage samples were harvested from the treatment plots immediately before the first application (-0 day) and then 0, 1, 3, 7, and 10 days after the first application. Wheat hay samples were harvested from the treatment plots immediately before the third application (-0 day) and then 0, 1, 3, 7, and 14 days after the third application. Wheat grain samples from two trials were also processed into wheat AGF according to the commercial practice.

The analysis results indicated that picoxystrobin residues declined in forage and hay samples with increasing PHIs from 0 to 14 days. The average processing factor for wheat AGF was determined as 18.6x.

Commodity Total Rate PHI Picoxystrobin Residues* (ppm					ppm)				
Commonly	(g a.i./ha)	(days)	n	Min.	Max.	HAFT	Median	Mean	Std. Dev.
Wheat forage	217-231	6-9	26	0.17	4.45	4.45	0.81	1.24	1.09
Wheat hay		14-17	26	0.14	3.05	3.05	0.73	1.26	1.3
Wheat straw	655-685	25 56	26	0.012	1.5	1.5	0.16	0.30	0.38
Wheat grain		33-30	26	< 0.01	0.028	0.028	< 0.01	< 0.012	0.005
Barley Hay		9-17	21	0.16	3.65	3.65	0.63	1.01	0.95
Barley straw	655-693	11 77	21	0.027	0.74	0.74	0.15	0.19	0.20
Barley grain		44-//	21	< 0.01	0.22	0.22	< 0.016	< 0.034	0.05
CROP FIELD TRIALS and Residue Decline on Soybean							PMRA#	1893789,	1893793

A total of 21 trials including 2 decline trials were conducted in/on soybean (2 trials in Zone 2; 3 trials in Zone 4; 16 trials in Zone 5). At the test locations, picoxystrobin was applied three times as a foliar broadcast spray at the target rate of 0.2 lb a.i./A/application (equivalent to about 220 g a.i./ha/application) with the first treatment targeted at growth stage of R1. The last two applications were made at a 7 day interval with the last application at 14 days before the normal harvest. A non-ionic surfactant was included in the spray mixture.

Soybean forage and hay were harvested 14 days after the first application, while soybean seeds were harvested at a PHI of 14 days after the last application. For the decline sites, soybean forage and hay samples were harvested from the treatment plots at 0, 3, 7, 10 and 14 days after the first application. Soybean seed samples from two trials were also processed into soybean aspirated grain fractions (s) according to the commercial practice.

The analysis results indicated that picoxystrobin residues declined significantly in forage and hay samples with increasing PHIs from 0 to 14 days. The average processing factor for soybean AGF was determined as 255x.

Commodity	Total Rate	PHI			Picox	ystrobin R	Residues* (ppm)	_
Commonly	(g a.i./ha)	(days)	n	Min.	Max.	HAFT	Median	Mean	Std. Dev.
Soybean forage	213-224	213-224 13-21	21	< 0.01	0.76	0.76	0.20	0.25	0.17
Soybean hay			21	< 0.01	1.9	1.9	0.8	0.78	0.52
Soybean seed	646-717	13-17	21	< 0.01	0.039	0.039	< 0.01	0.014	0.009
CROP FIELD TRIALS and Residue Decline on Canola						PMRA#	1893797		

A total of 18 trials including 2 decline trials were conducted in/on canola (one trail in each of Zones 2, 7 and &A; 2 trials in Zone 2; 3 trials in Zone 11; and 10 trials in Zone 14). At the test locations, picoxystrobin was applied two times as a foliar broadcast spray at the target rate of 0.2 lb a.i./A/application (equivalent to about 220 g a.i./ha/application) with 7 day intervals and the last application was targeted at 21 days before the normal harvest. A non-ionic surfactant was included in the spray mixture.

Mature canola seeds were harvested at a PHI of 21 days after the last application. For the decline sites, pod plus seed samples were harvested from the treatment plots immediately before the last application (-0 day) and then 0, 7 and 14 days after the last application. The seed samples from decline sites were harvested at 21 and 28 days after the last application.

The analysis results indicated that picoxystrobin residues declined significantly in canola pod plus seed samples with increasing PHIs from 0 to 14 days.

Commodity	Total Rate	PHI			Picoxy	ystrobin R	Residues* (ppm)	
Commonly	(g a.i./ha)	(days)	n	Min.	Max.	HAFT	Median	Mean	Std. Dev.
Canola seed	437-461	19-28	20	< 0.01	0.047	0.047	0.02	0.023	0.013
DOD FIFT D TDIALS and Desidue Deside on Dried need and heave									

CROP FIELD TRIALS and Residue Decline on Dried peas and beansPMRA# 1893798A total of 11 trials including 2 decline trials were conducted in/on dried peas (one trial in Zone 5, 6 trials in Zone 11 and 4
trials in Zone 14). A total of 11 trials were conducted in/on dried beans (One trial in each of Zones 7A, 8 and 10; 6 trials in
Zone 5; 2 trials in Zone 11). At the test locations, picoxystrobin was applied two times as a foliar broadcast spray at the
target rate of 0.2 lb a.i./A/application (equivalent to about 220 g a.i./ha/application) with the second treatment targeted 14
days before normal harvest and an application interval of 7 days. A wide variety of different non-ionic surfactants, MSOs or
COCs were included in the spray mixture.

Pea vines and hay were harvested at a PHI of 0 day after the last application, while pea and bean seeds were collected at a PHI of 14 days for all sites. The decline sites had two treatment plots, one for collection of pea forage and hay samples (TRT 2), and one for collection of pea seed samples (TRT 1). The first application was made earlier in TRT 2 than that in TRT 1. From TRT 2 plots, pea forage and hay samples were harvested immediately before the second treatment, and then 0, 3, 7, 10 and 14 days after the last treatment. From TRT 1 plot, pea seeds were harvested at a PHI of 14 days after the last treatment.

The analysis results indicated that picoxystrobin residues declined significantly in pea hay and vine with increasing PHIs from 0 to 14 days.

Commodity	Total Rate	PHI			Picox	ystrobin F	Residues* (ppm)	
Commonity	(g a.i./ha)	(days)	n	Min.	Max.	HAFT	Median	Mean	Std. Dev.
Pea Seed	433-455	13-14	11	< 0.01	0.106	0.106	0.012	0.023	0.03
Pea Vine	118 155	0	6	3.35	9.35	9.35	5.98	6.02	2.5
Pea Hay	440-455	0	6	3.35	23	23	9.23	10.4	6.8
Bean Seed	430-451	14-15	11	< 0.01	0.038	0.038	< 0.01	0.016	0.01
* Averages of replicates of a	ach trial are report	tad							

* Averages of replicates of each trial are reported.

< 0.01

STORAGE STABILIT	Y (RAC and the Pro	cessed Commod	ities) PMF	RA # 1893772, 19	066871, 1968733			
Residues of picoxystrobi	n in barley samples (g	rain. forage and	straw) from barley f	ield trials that we	re stored for 22 months			
at <-18°C were re-analyzed. Residues of picoxystrobin obtained were compared to previously determined values in field								
trials. It was demonstrated that no significant decrease of picoxystrobin residues in barley matrices occurred for the frozen								
storage period of 22 months.								
The interim frozen storage	ge stability data for pic	coxystrobin and the	he metabolites Com	pound 2, Compou	and 3 and Compound 8			
in crop samples (wheat f	orage, wheat straw, fie	eld corn grain, so	ybean seed, soybear	meal, soybean of	il, potato tuber, dry pea,			
lettuce, apple fruit, apple juice, apple pomace, and grape berries) for up to 12 months were provided.								
				-				
Residues of picoxystrobi	n and metabolites (Co	mpound 2, Comp	bound 3 and Compo	und 8) were confi	rmed to be stable in			
wheat forage, wheat stray	w, field corn grain, so	ybean seed, soybe	ean meal, soybean o	il, potato tuber, di	ry pea, lettuce, apple			
fruit, apple juice, apple p	omace, and grape berr	ries for at least 12	2 months when store	ed at $-20 \pm 10^{\circ}$ C, e	except residues of			
metabolite IN-QDY63 in	n soybean oil, which w	ere found to be s	table for up to 6 mo	nths. This ongoin	g study will continue to			
24 months and the final i	eport will be submitte	d.						
PROCESSED FOOD A	ND FEED - Corn			PMRA# 189380)0			
The results indicate that	following a seasonal a	pplication rate of	53.3 kg a.i./ha (2.9 l	b a.i./A), residues	in/on field corn grain			
were 0.044-0.120 ppm fo	or picoxystrobin, and b	pelow LOD (<0.0	003 ppm) for metabo	olites Compounds	2, 8 and 3. The			
following processing factors were determined.								
Processed Commodity	Starch	Grits Flou	r Refined oil	Meal D	ry milled refined oil			
Processing Factor	0.1x	0.4x 1.1x	6.8x	0.8x	4.4x			
PROCESSED FOOD A	ND FEED - Soybear	1		PMRA# 189380)4			
The results indicate that	following a seasonal a	pplication rate of	53.3 kg a.i./ha (2.9 l	b a.i./A), residues	in/on soybean seed			
were 0.032-0.29 ppm for	picoxystrobin, <0.002	3-0.005 ppm for 1	metabolite Compour	nd 3, and below L	OD (<0.003 ppm) for			
metabolites Compounds	metabolites Compounds 2 and 8. The following processing factors were determined.							
Processed Commodity Hulls Meal Refined oil					Refined oil			
Processing Factor 3.9x 0.2x 1.3x								
PROCESSED FOOD AND FEED - Wheat PMRA# 1893801								
The results indicate that	following a seasonal a	pplication rate of	53.4 kg a.i./ha (~3.0	lb a.i./A), residue	es in/on wheat grain			
were 0.014-0.058 ppm for picoxystrobin, 0.007 ppm for Compound 2, 0.003 ppm for Compound 8 and <0.003-0.005 ppm								
for Compound 3. The following processing factors were determined.								
for Compound 3. The fol	llowing processing fac	tors were determ	ined.					
for Compound 3. The fol Processed Commodity	llowing processing fac Bran	tors were determ Flour	ined. Middling	Shorts	Germ			
for Compound 3. The fol Processed Commodity Processing Factor	llowing processing fac Bran 2.0x	Flour 0.2x	ined. Middling 0.7x	Shorts 1.0x	Germ 3.2x			
for Compound 3. The fol Processed Commodity Processing Factor PROCESSED FOOD A	Ilowing processing fac Bran 2.0x ND FEED - Canola	Flour 0.2x	ined. Middling 0.7x PMRA	Shorts 1.0x # 1893805	Germ 3.2x			
for Compound 3. The fol Processed Commodity Processing Factor PROCESSED FOOD A The results indicate that	Ilowing processing fac Bran 2.0x ND FEED - Canola following a seasonal a	Flour 0.2x pplication rate of	ined. Middling 0.7x PMRA f 2.2 kg a.i./ha (1.9 l	Shorts 1.0x # 1893805 b a.i./A), residues	Germ 3.2x			
for Compound 3. The fol Processed Commodity Processing Factor PROCESSED FOOD A The results indicate that 0.13-0.42 ppm for picox	Ilowing processing fac Bran 2.0x ND FEED - Canola following a seasonal a ystrobin and below LO	pplication rate of OD (<0.003 ppm)	ined. Middling 0.7x PMRA f 2.2 kg a.i./ha (1.9 l for metabolites Con	Shorts 1.0x # 1893805 b a.i./A), residues mpounds 2, 3 and	Germ 3.2x in/on canola seed were 8. The following			
for Compound 3. The fol Processed Commodity Processing Factor PROCESSED FOOD A The results indicate that 0.13-0.42 ppm for picox processing factors were	Ilowing processing fac Bran 2.0x ND FEED - Canola following a seasonal a ystrobin and below LC determined.	pplication rate of OD (<0.003 ppm)	Middling 0.7x PMRA f 2.2 kg a.i./ha (1.9 l f or metabolites Con	Shorts 1.0x # 1893805 b a.i./A), residues mpounds 2, 3 and	Germ 3.2x in/on canola seed were 8. The following			
for Compound 3. The fol Processed Commodity Processing Factor PROCESSED FOOD A The results indicate that 0.13-0.42 ppm for picox processing factors were of Processed Commodity	Ilowing processing fac Bran 2.0x ND FEED - Canola following a seasonal a ystrobin and below LC determined. Presscake	pplication rate of DD (<0.003 ppm)	ined. Middling 0.7x PMRA f 2.2 kg a.i./ha (1.9 l f or metabolites Con Crude oil	Shorts 1.0x # 1893805 b a.i./A), residues mpounds 2, 3 and Refined oil	Germ 3.2x in/on canola seed were 8. The following Meal			
for Compound 3. The fol Processed Commodity Processing Factor PROCESSED FOOD A The results indicate that 0.13-0.42 ppm for picox processing factors were of Processed Commodity Processing Factor	Ilowing processing fac Bran 2.0x ND FEED - Canola following a seasonal a ystrobin and below LC determined. Presscake 0.6x	pplication rate of DD (<0.003 ppm)	ined. Middling 0.7x PMRA f 2.2 kg a.i./ha (1.9 l f or metabolites Con Crude oil 2.1x	Shorts 1.0x # 1893805 b a.i./A), residues mpounds 2, 3 and Refined oil 0.1x	Germ 3.2x in/on canola seed were 8. The following Meal 0.4x			
for Compound 3. The fol Processed Commodity Processing Factor PROCESSED FOOD A The results indicate that 0.13-0.42 ppm for picox processing factors were of Processed Commodity Processing Factor LIVESTOCK FEEDIN	Ilowing processing fac Bran 2.0x ND FEED - Canola following a seasonal a ystrobin and below LC determined. Presscake 0.6x G – Dairy Cattle	pplication rate of ODD (<0.003 ppm)	ined. Middling 0.7x PMRA f 2.2 kg a.i./ha (1.9 l f or metabolites Con Crude oil 2.1x PMRA	Shorts 1.0x # 1893805 b a.i./A), residues mpounds 2, 3 and Refined oil 0.1x # 1893806, 1893	Germ 3.2x in/on canola seed were 8. The following Meal 0.4x 807			
for Compound 3. The fol Processed Commodity Processing Factor PROCESSED FOOD A The results indicate that 0.13-0.42 ppm for picox processing factors were of Processed Commodity Processing Factor LIVESTOCK FEEDIN Lactating dairy cows we	Ilowing processing fac Bran 2.0x ND FEED - Canola following a seasonal a ystrobin and below LC determined. Presscake 0.6x G – Dairy Cattle re administered picoxy	pplication rate of OD (<0.003 ppm)	ined. Middling 0.7x PMRA f 2.2 kg a.i./ha (1.9 l f or metabolites Con Crude oil 2.1x PMRA et dose level of 40 p	Shorts 1.0x # 1893805 b a.i./A), residues mpounds 2, 3 and Refined oil 0.1x # 1893806, 1893 pm, 120 ppm and	Germ 3.2x in/on canola seed were 8. The following Meal 0.4x 807 400 ppm in the feeds			
for Compound 3. The fol Processed Commodity Processing Factor PROCESSED FOOD A The results indicate that 0.13-0.42 ppm for picox processing factors were of Processed Commodity Processing Factor LIVESTOCK FEEDIN Lactating dairy cows we for 29 consecutive days.	Ilowing processing fac Bran 2.0x ND FEED - Canola following a seasonal a ystrobin and below LC determined. Presscake 0.6x G – Dairy Cattle re administered picoxy A total of 14 cows we	pplication rate of DD (<0.003 ppm)	ined. Middling 0.7x PMRA f 2.2 kg a.i./ha (1.9 l f or metabolites Con Crude oil 2.1x PMRA et dose level of 40 p te study with 4 grou	Shorts 1.0x # 1893805 b a.i./A), residues mpounds 2, 3 and Refined oil 0.1x # 1893806, 1893 pm, 120 ppm and ps of lactating cov	Germ 3.2x in/on canola seed were 8. The following Meal 0.4x 807 400 ppm in the feeds ws (2 cows for Group 1;			
for Compound 3. The fol Processed Commodity Processing Factor PROCESSED FOOD A The results indicate that 0.13-0.42 ppm for picox processing factors were of Processed Commodity Processing Factor LIVESTOCK FEEDIN Lactating dairy cows were for 29 consecutive days. 3 cows each for Groups	Ilowing processing fac Bran 2.0x ND FEED - Canola following a seasonal a ystrobin and below LC determined. Presscake 0.6x G – Dairy Cattle re administered picoxy A total of 14 cows wo 2 and 3; 6 cows in Gro	pplication rate of DD (<0.003 ppm)	ined. Middling 0.7x PMRA f 2.2 kg a.i./ha (1.9 l f or metabolites Con Crude oil 2.1x PMRA et dose level of 40 p the study with 4 grouphich were used for the study with 4 grouphich and the study of the study with 4 grouphich were used for the study of the study with 4 grouphich were used for the study were used	Shorts 1.0x # 1893805 b a.i./A), residues mpounds 2, 3 and Refined oil 0.1x # 1893806, 1893 pm, 120 ppm and ps of lactating cov ne depuration phase	Germ 3.2x in/on canola seed were 8. The following Meal 0.4x 807 400 ppm in the feeds ws (2 cows for Group 1; se). The dose levels of			
for Compound 3. The fol Processed Commodity Processing Factor PROCESSED FOOD A The results indicate that 0.13-0.42 ppm for picox processing factors were of Processed Commodity Processing Factor LIVESTOCK FEEDIN Lactating dairy cows were for 29 consecutive days. 3 cows each for Groups 2 40, 120, and 400 ppm rep	Ilowing processing fac Bran 2.0x ND FEED - Canola following a seasonal a ystrobin and below LC determined. Presscake 0.6x G - Dairy Cattle re administered picoxy A total of 14 cows we 2 and 3; 6 cows in Groc present 13x, 39x, and	pplication rate of DD (<0.003 ppm) (vstrobin at a targe ere included in th pup 4, three of wh 130x, respectivel	ined. Middling 0.7x PMRA f 2.2 kg a.i./ha (1.9 l f or metabolites Con Crude oil 2.1x PMRA et dose level of 40 p ine study with 4 groun hich were used for th y, the estimated more	Shorts1.0x# 1893805b a.i./A), residuesmpounds 2, 3 andRefined oil0.1x# 1893806, 1893pm, 120 ppm andps of lactating covne depuration phasere balanced diet (Note: 1000)	Germ 3.2x in/on canola seed were 8. The following Meal 0.4x 807 400 ppm in the feeds ws (2 cows for Group 1; se). The dose levels of MBD) to beef cattle and			
for Compound 3. The fol Processed Commodity Processing Factor PROCESSED FOOD A The results indicate that 0.13-0.42 ppm for picox processing factors were of Processed Commodity Processing Factor LIVESTOCK FEEDIN Lactating dairy cows were for 29 consecutive days. 3 cows each for Groups 2 40, 120, and 400 ppm rep 2.9x, 8.6x, and 29x, resp	Ilowing processing fac Bran 2.0x ND FEED - Canola following a seasonal a ystrobin and below LC determined. Presscake 0.6x G – Dairy Cattle re administered picoxy A total of 14 cows we 2 and 3; 6 cows in Groppresent 13x, 39x, and ectively, the estimated	vstrobin at a targe ere included in th boup 4, three of wh 130x, respectivel	ined. Middling 0.7x PMRA f 2.2 kg a.i./ha (1.9 l f or metabolites Con Crude oil 2.1x PMRA et dose level of 40 p the study with 4 grouthich were used for the y, the estimated mon- liet to dairy cattle.	Shorts 1.0x # 1893805 b a.i./A), residues mpounds 2, 3 and Refined oil 0.1x # 1893806, 1893 pm, 120 ppm and ps of lactating cov ne depuration phase re balanced diet (Note)	Germ 3.2x in/on canola seed were 8. The following Meal 0.4x 807 400 ppm in the feeds vs (2 cows for Group 1; se). The dose levels of MBD) to beef cattle and			
for Compound 3. The fol Processed Commodity Processing Factor PROCESSED FOOD A The results indicate that 0.13-0.42 ppm for picoxy processing factors were of Processed Commodity Processing Factor LIVESTOCK FEEDIN Lactating dairy cows were for 29 consecutive days. 3 cows each for Groups 2 40, 120, and 400 ppm ref 2.9x, 8.6x, and 29x, resp	Ilowing processing fac Bran 2.0x ND FEED - Canola following a seasonal a ystrobin and below LC determined. Presscake 0.6x G – Dairy Cattle re administered picoxy A total of 14 cows wo 2 and 3; 6 cows in Gro present 13x, 39x, and ectively, the estimated	pplication rate of DD (<0.003 ppm) (vstrobin at a targe ere included in th pup 4, three of wh 130x, respectivel more balanced of Highe	ined. Middling 0.7x PMRA f 2.2 kg a.i./ha (1.9 l f 2.2 kg a.i./ha (1.9 l f cr metabolites Con Crude oil 2.1x PMRA et dose level of 40 p ie study with 4 grounich were used for th y, the estimated more liet to dairy cattle. est Residues	Shorts 1.0x # 1893805 b a.i./A), residues mpounds 2, 3 and Refined oil 0.1x # 1893806, 1893 pm, 120 ppm and ps of lactating cov ne depuration phase re balanced diet (N MBD (ppm)	Germ 3.2x in/on canola seed were 8. The following Meal 0.4x 807 400 ppm in the feeds ws (2 cows for Group 1; se). The dose levels of MBD) to beef cattle and Anticipated Residue			
for Compound 3. The fol Processed Commodity Processing Factor PROCESSED FOOD A The results indicate that 0.13-0.42 ppm for picoxy processing factors were of Processed Commodity Processing Factor LIVESTOCK FEEDIN Lactating dairy cows were for 29 consecutive days. 3 cows each for Groups 2 40, 120, and 400 ppm rep 2.9x, 8.6x, and 29x, resp Commodity	Ilowing processing fac Bran 2.0x ND FEED - Canola following a seasonal a ystrobin and below LC determined. Presscake 0.6x G – Dairy Cattle re administered picoxy A total of 14 cows wo 2 and 3; 6 cows in Gro present 13x, 39x, and ectively, the estimated Feeding level (ppm	pplication rate of pplication rate of DD (<0.003 ppm) (<0.003 ppm) (ined. Middling 0.7x PMRA f 2.2 kg a.i./ha (1.9 l f or metabolites Con- Crude oil 2.1x PMRA et dose level of 40 p the study with 4 grouphich were used for the y, the estimated modeliet to dairy cattle. est Residues strobin) (ppm)	Shorts 1.0x # 1893805 b a.i./A), residues mpounds 2, 3 and Refined oil 0.1x # 1893806, 1893 pm, 120 ppm and ps of lactating cov he depuration phase re balanced diet (N MBD (ppm) Boof/Dairy	Germ 3.2x in/on canola seed were 8. The following Meal 0.4x 807 400 ppm in the feeds ws (2 cows for Group 1; se). The dose levels of MBD) to beef cattle and Anticipated Residue at MBD (ppm)			
for Compound 3. The fol Processed Commodity Processing Factor PROCESSED FOOD A The results indicate that 0.13-0.42 ppm for picox processing factors were of Processed Commodity Processing Factor LIVESTOCK FEEDIN Lactating dairy cows were for 29 consecutive days. 3 cows each for Groups 2 40, 120, and 400 ppm rej 2.9x, 8.6x, and 29x, resp Commodity Whole milk	Ilowing processing fac Bran 2.0x ND FEED - Canola following a seasonal a ystrobin and below LC determined. Presscake 0.6x G - Dairy Cattle re administered picoxy A total of 14 cows we 2 and 3; 6 cows in Gro present 13x, 39x, and ectively, the estimated Feeding level (ppm	pplication rate of DD (<0.003 ppm) (0) (<0.003 ppm) (0) ()) ()) ()) ()) ()) ()) ()) ()) ()	ined. Middling 0.7x PMRA f 2.2 kg a.i./ha (1.9 l f o for metabolites Control Control Crude oil 2.1x PMRA PMRA et dose level of 40 p p inch were used for th y, the estimated motiliet to dairy cattle. est Residues strobin) (ppm) <0.01	Shorts 1.0x # 1893805 b a.i./A), residues mpounds 2, 3 and Refined oil 0.1x # 1893806, 1893 pm, 120 ppm and ps of lactating cov ne depuration phase re balanced diet (N MBD (ppm) Beef/Dairy	Germ 3.2x in/on canola seed were 8. The following Meal 0.4x 807 400 ppm in the feeds ws (2 cows for Group 1; se). The dose levels of MBD) to beef cattle and Anticipated Residue at MBD (ppm) <0.01			
for Compound 3. The fol Processed Commodity Processing Factor PROCESSED FOOD A The results indicate that 0.13-0.42 ppm for picox processing factors were of Processed Commodity Processing Factor LIVESTOCK FEEDIN Lactating dairy cows were for 29 consecutive days. 3 cows each for Groups 2 40, 120, and 400 ppm ref 2.9x, 8.6x, and 29x, resp Commodity Whole milk Skim milk	Ilowing processing fac Bran 2.0x ND FEED - Canola following a seasonal a ystrobin and below LC determined. Presscake 0.6x G – Dairy Cattle re administered picoxy A total of 14 cows we 2 and 3; 6 cows in Gro present 13x, 39x, and ectively, the estimated Feeding level (ppm	ystrobin at a targe ere included in the point of the second of the probability of the second of the second of the second of the probability of the second of the second of the second of the probability of the second of the second of the second of the probability of the second of the second of the second of the probability of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the probability of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the second of the se	ined. Middling 0.7x PMRA f 2.2 kg a.i./ha (1.9 l f or metabolites Con Crude oil 2.1x PMRA et dose level of 40 p ich were used for the y, the estimated mon- liet to dairy cattle. est Residues strobin) (ppm) <0.01 <0.01	Shorts1.0x# 1893805b a.i./A), residuesmpounds 2, 3 andRefined oil0.1x# 1893806, 1893pm, 120 ppm andps of lactating covne depuration phasere balanced diet (NMBD (ppm)Beef/Dairy	Germ 3.2x in/on canola seed were 8. The following Meal 0.4x 807 400 ppm in the feeds ws (2 cows for Group 1; se). The dose levels of MBD) to beef cattle and Anticipated Residue at MBD (ppm) <0.01 <0.01			
for Compound 3. The fol Processed Commodity Processing Factor PROCESSED FOOD A The results indicate that 0.13-0.42 ppm for picox; processing factors were of Processed Commodity Processing Factor LIVESTOCK FEEDIN Lactating dairy cows were for 29 consecutive days. 3 cows each for Groups 2 40, 120, and 400 ppm rep 2.9x, 8.6x, and 29x, resp Commodity Whole milk Skim milk	Ilowing processing fac Bran 2.0x ND FEED - Canola following a seasonal a ystrobin and below LC determined. Presscake 0.6x G – Dairy Cattle re administered picoxy A total of 14 cows wo 2 and 3; 6 cows in Gro present 13x, 39x, and ectively, the estimated Feeding level (ppm	pplication rate of pplication rate of DD (<0.003 ppm) (vstrobin at a targe ere included in th pup 4, three of wh 130x, respectivel more balanced of (Picoxys	ined. Middling 0.7x PMRA f 2.2 kg a.i./ha (1.9 l) f 2.2 kg a.i./ha (1.9 l) f or metabolites Contraction f 2.2 kg a.i./ha (1.9 l) f or metabolites Contraction f 2.2 kg a.i./ha (1.9 l) f or metabolites Contraction f 2.2 kg a.i./ha (1.9 l) f or metabolites Contraction f 2.2 kg a.i./ha (1.9 l) f or metabolites Contraction f 2.2 kg a.i./ha (1.9 l) Crude oil 2.1 kg Crude oil 2.1 kg PMRA et dose level of 40 p et dose level of 40 p et dose level of 40 p ich were used for tl y, the estimated modiliet to dairy cattle. est Residues etrobin) (ppm) <0.01	Shorts 1.0x 1.0x 1.0x 1.0x 1.0x 1.0x 1.0x 1.0x	Germ 3.2x in/on canola seed were 8. The following Meal 0.4x 807 400 ppm in the feeds ws (2 cows for Group 1; se). The dose levels of MBD) to beef cattle and Anticipated Residue at MBD (ppm) <0.01 <0.01 <0.01			
for Compound 3. The fol Processed Commodity Processing Factor PROCESSED FOOD A The results indicate that 0.13-0.42 ppm for picoxy processing factors were of Processed Commodity Processing Factor LIVESTOCK FEEDIN Lactating dairy cows were for 29 consecutive days. 3 cows each for Groups 2 40, 120, and 400 ppm rep 2.9x, 8.6x, and 29x, resp Commodity Whole milk Skim milk Cream Fat	Ilowing processing fac Bran 2.0x ND FEED - Canola following a seasonal a ystrobin and below LC determined. Presscake 0.6x G – Dairy Cattle re administered picoxy A total of 14 cows we 2 and 3; 6 cows in Gro present 13x, 39x, and ectively, the estimated Feeding level (ppm	pplication rate of pplication rate of DD (<0.003 ppm) (0) (<0.003 ppm) (0) ()) ()) ()) ()) ()) ()) ()	ined. Middling 0.7x PMRA f 2.2 kg a.i./ha (1.9 l) f or metabolites Contraction f or metabolites Contraction Contraction Crude oil 2.1x PMRA PMRA et dose level of 40 plate PMRA strobin) (ppm) <0.01	Shorts 1.0x # 1893805 b a.i./A), residues mpounds 2, 3 and Refined oil 0.1x # 1893806, 1893 pm, 120 ppm and ps of lactating cov ne depuration phase re balanced diet (N MBD (ppm) Beef/Dairy 3 09/14 00	Germ 3.2x in/on canola seed were 8. The following Meal 0.4x 807 400 ppm in the feeds ws (2 cows for Group 1; se). The dose levels of MBD) to beef cattle and Anticipated Residue at MBD (ppm) <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01			
for Compound 3. The fol Processed Commodity Processing Factor PROCESSED FOOD A The results indicate that 0.13-0.42 ppm for picox processing factors were of Processed Commodity Processing Factor LIVESTOCK FEEDIN Lactating dairy cows were for 29 consecutive days. 3 cows each for Groups 2 40, 120, and 400 ppm ref 2.9x, 8.6x, and 29x, resp Commodity Whole milk Skim milk Cream Fat Liver	Ilowing processing fac Bran 2.0x ND FEED - Canola following a seasonal a ystrobin and below LC determined. Presscake 0.6x G - Dairy Cattle re administered picoxy A total of 14 cows we 2 and 3; 6 cows in Groppresent 13x, 39x, and ectively, the estimated Feeding level (ppm) 40	reprint to	ined. Middling 0.7x PMRA f 2.2 kg a.i./ha (1.9 l f o for metabolites Con- Crude oil 2.1x PMRA et dose level of 40 p ie study with 4 grouthich were used for the y, the estimated more liet to dairy cattle. est Residues strobin) (ppm) <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01	Shorts1.0x# 1893805b a.i./A), residuesmpounds 2, 3 andRefined oil0.1x# 1893806, 1893pm, 120 ppm andps of lactating covne depuration phasere balanced diet (NMBD (ppm)Beef/Dairy3.09/14.00	Germ 3.2x ain/on canola seed were 8. The following Meal 0.4x 807 400 ppm in the feeds ws (2 cows for Group 1; se). The dose levels of MBD) to beef cattle and Anticipated Residue at MBD (ppm) <0.01			

< 0.01

Muscle

LIVESTOCK FEEDING – Laying Hens PMRA # 1893808

Laying hens were administered picoxystrobin at a target dose level of 15 ppm, 45 ppm and 150 ppm in the feeds for 36 consecutive days. A total of 23 birds were included in the study with 4 groups of hens (10 hens per group in 3 subgroups of 3, 3 and 4 hens for Groups 1, 2 and 4; and 13 hens in 4 subgroups of 3, 3, 4 and 3 hens for Group 3). The dose levels of 15, 45, and 150 ppm represent 750x, 2250x, and 7500x, respectively, the estimated more balanced diet to poultry.

Commodity	Feeding level (ppm)	Highest Residues (Picoxystrobin) (ppm)		MBD (ppm)	Anticipated Residue at MBD (ppm)			
Whole Egg			< 0.01		< 0.01			
Egg Yolk			< 0.01		< 0.01			
Egg White	15		< 0.01	0.02	< 0.01			
Fat	15		< 0.01	0.02	< 0.01			
Liver			0.027		< 0.01			
Muscle			< 0.01		< 0.01			
Proposed Maximum Residue Limits								
Commodity			Proposed MRL (ppm)					
Barley bran				0.5				
Barley	Barley			0.3				
Wheat germ			0.09					
Crop Subgroup 20A (Ra	peseed Subgroup)		0.08					
Corn oil			0.07					
Crop Subgroup 6C (Drie soybean)	ed Shelled Pea and Bean, o	except	0.06					
Wheat bran			0.06					
Dry soybeans			0.05					
Crop Group 15 (Cereal G	Grains, except barley and	rice)		0.04				
Eggs				0.01				
Fat, meat and meat by-pa poultry, and sheep	roducts of cattle, goats, ho	ogs, horses,	0.01					
Milk			0.01					

Table 6Food Residue Chemistry Overview of Metabolism Studies and Risk
Assessment

	PLANT STUDIES							
RESIDUE DEFINITION FOR EN	FORCEMENT	Dicoxy	strohin					
RESIDUE DEFINITION FOR RIS	SK ASSESSMENT	T ROXYSUODII						
METABOLIC PROFILE IN DIVI	ERSE CROPS	The metabolic profile is similar in wheat, soybean, canola and apple						
ANIMAL STUDIES								
RESIDUE DEFINITION FOR EN	FORCEMENT	Dicovy	strohin					
RESIDUE DEFINITION FOR RIS	SK ASSESSMENT	FICOXYSUODIII						
METABOLIC PROFILE IN ANI	MALS	The metabolic profile is similar in goat, hen and						
		rats.						
FAT SOLUBLE RESIDUE		No						
]	DIETARY RISK FROM	FOOD ONLY						
Basic chronic non-cancer dietary		ESTIMAT	ED RISK					
risk	POPULATION	% of ACCEPTABLE D	AILY INTAKE (ADI)					
		Food Only	Food and Water					
ADI = 0.046 mg/kg bw/day	All infants < 1 year	0.8	2.0					
	Children 1–2 years	1.9	2.4					
EEC = 8.1 μ g a.i./L, Level I	Children 3 to 5 years	1.6	2.1					

	Children 6–12 years	1.1	1.4		
	Youth 13–19 years	0.7	0.9		
	Adults 20–49 years	0.6	0.9		
	Adults 50+ years	0.4	0.8		
	Females 13 to 49 yrs	0.5	0.8		
	Total population	0.7	1.0		
		ESTIMATED RIS	K (95 th Percentile)		
	POPULATION	% of Acute Reference Dose (ARfD)			
		Food Only	Food and Water		
Basic Acute non-cancer dietary	All infants < 1 year	0.17	0.87		
risk	Children 1–2 years	0.24	0.50		
	Children 3 to 5 years	0.19	0.44		
ARfD = 0.67 mg/kg bw/day	Children 6–12 years	0.14	0.31		
	Youth 13–19 years	0.09	0.23		
EEC = 27 μ g a.i./L, Level I	Adults 20–49 years	0.09	0.26		
	Adults 50+ years	0.06	0.21		
	Females 13 to 49 yrs	0.07	0.24		
	Total population	0.13	0.29		

Table 7Summary of DFR Values and Regression Analysis Results for Treated
Soybean Foliage with Picoxystrobin

Input/Parameter	Pennsylvania	North Carolina	Michigan
Application Rate (kg a.i./ha) (Study Target Rate = 0.220 kg a.i./ha)	0.220	0.221	0.224
Spray Volume (LPH)	187	145	198
Measured Average Day 0 Residue $(\mu g/cm^2)$	0.221	0.216	0.136
Predicted Day 0 Residue (μ g/cm ²)	0.340	0.421	0.158
Peak Residue (µg/cm ²)	0.221	0.315	0.208
Peak Residue % of application rate	10	14.3	9.3
Predicted dissipation rate (%)	48.1	32.9	28.7
Slope	-0.6554	-0.3983	-0.3421
Half-life (days)	1.1	1.7	2.0
\mathbb{R}^2	0.97	0.75	0.88

Table 8Fate and Behaviour in the Environment

Study	Test	Value ¹	Comments	Reference		
	substance					
Abiotic transformation						
Hydrolysis (25°C	picoxystrobin	half-life	Not expected to	1893544		
and 50°C)		pH 5: stable	be an important			
		pH 7: stable	route of			
		pH 9: stable (25°C); 16.6 d (50°C)	dissipation			
Phototransformation	picoxystrobin	Half-life: 11.6 d (continuous	Not expected to	1893546		
on soil (20°C)		irradiation);	be an important			
		Predicted environmental half-life at	route of			
		50°N: 16.4 d	dissipation (half-			
			life >7 days)			

Study	Test substance	Value ¹		Comments	Reference		
Phototransformation in water (25°C)	picoxystrobin	Half-life: 16 d Predicted envir 50°N: 25 d	(continuous irradiation); onmental half-life at	Not expected to be an important route of dissipation (half- life >7 days)	1893545		
Phototransformation	Picoxystrobin i	s not volatile und	ler field conditions based	on vapour pressure a	and Henry's		
In all Biotronsformation	law constant. A	study is not requ	uired for picoxystrobin.				
Biotransformation	nicoxystrobin	Picovystrobin	(combined labels)		1893547		
in aerobic soil	picoxystroom	Hvde Farm san	dv loam:	Slightly	1075517		
(20°C)		$DT_{50} = 31.4 \text{ d},$	$DT_{50} = 31.4 \text{ d}, DT_{90} = 104 \text{ d} (SFO) \qquad \text{persistent}$				
		18 Acres clay 1	oam:	Slightly			
		$DT_{50} = 23.6 \text{ d},$	$DT_{90} = 78.4 \text{ d} (SFO)$	persistent			
		Chamberlain's	Farm sand:	Slightly			
		$DT_{50} = 36.1 \text{ d},$	$DT_{90} = 120 d (SFO)$	persistent			
		Frensham sand	y loam:	Slightly			
		$DT_{50} = 28.4 \text{ d},$	$DT_{90} = 94.3 \text{ d} (SFO)$	persistent			
		Compound 2 (combined labels) ²				
		Hyde Farm san	dy loam (day $50-119$):	Moderately			
		$D1_{50} = 51.5 \text{ d},$	$\frac{DT_{90} = 1/1 \text{ d} (SFO)}{\text{Form cond} (\text{dev} 50)}$	Moderately			
			Falli Salia (day 50-	nersistent			
		$DT_{50} = 50.5 \text{ d}.$	$DT_{00} = 168 d (SFO)$	persistent			
		Frensham sand	v loam (day 50-119):	Moderately			
		$DT_{50} = 105 \text{ d}, \text{ I}$	$DT_{90} = 350 \text{ d} (SFO)$	persistent			
		Compound 3 (pyridinyl label) ²	• •			
		Hyde Farm san	dy loam (day 50-364):	Moderately			
		$DT_{50} = 105 \text{ d}, \text{ I}$	$DT_{90} = 348 \text{ d} (SFO)$	persistent			
		18 Acres clay l	oam (day 21-119):	Moderately			
		$DT_{50} = 63.6 \text{ d},$	$DT_{90} = 211 \text{ d} (SFO)$	persistent	1000.550		
Biotransformation in anaerobic soil	Request for a w	valver submitted	and granted based on resu	lts of other studies.	1893550		
Biotransformation	picoxystrobin	Old Basing	Water:		1893549		
in aerobic water-		(sandy clay	$DT_{50} = 17.3 \text{ d}, DT_{90} =$				
sediment systems		loam	17.5 d (SFO)				
$(20^{\circ}C)$		sediment)	Sediment: DT = 265 d DT =				
			$D1_{50} = 30.5 \text{ d}, D1_{90} =$ 121 d (SEO)				
			Total system:	Moderately			
			$DT_{50} = 47.5 \text{ d. } DT_{90} =$	persistent			
			157 d (SFO)	I			
		Virginia	Water:				
		Water (sand	$DT_{50} = 7.2 \text{ d}, DT_{90} =$				
		sediment)	79.1 d (SFO)				
			Sediment:				
			$DT_{50} = 67.2 \text{ d}, DT_{90} =$ 123 d (SEO)				
			Total system	Moderately			
			$DT_{50} = 57.3 \text{ d. } DT_{50} =$	persistent			
			190 d (SFO)	r			
Biotransformation	picoxystrobin	purified water	Water:		1893548		
in anaerobic water-		- UK sandy	$DT_{50} = 5.2 \text{ d}, DT_{90} =$				
sediment systems		loam soil	17.2 d (SFO)				

Study	Test substance	Value ¹		Comments	Reference
(20°C)			Sediment: $DT_{50} = 67.3 \text{ d}, DT_{90} =$ 223 d (SFO) Total system: $DT_{50} = 54.2 \text{ d}, DT_{90} =$ 180 d (SEO)	Moderately persistent	
Mobility			100 u (51 0)		
Adsorption / desorption in soil	picoxystrobin	ERTC (sandy loam) Champaign (silty clay	$K_{d} = 5.1 \text{ mL/g};$ $K_{OC} = 837 \text{ mL/g}$ $K_{d} = 23.4 \text{ mL/g};$ $K_{OC} = 1089 \text{ mL/g}$	Low mobility Low mobility	1893551
		Kenny Hill (sandy loam)	$K_d = 22.2 \text{ mL/g};$ $K_{OC} = 741 \text{ mL/g}$	Low mobility	
		18 Acres (sandy loam)	$K_d = 16.5 \text{ mL/g};$ $K_{OC} = 933 \text{ mL/g}$	Low mobility	
		Lilly Field (sand)	$K_d = 3.5 \text{ mL/g};$ $K_{OC} = 1067 \text{ mL/g}$	Low mobility	
		Hyde Farm (sandy clay loam)	$K_d = 14.7 \text{ mL/g};$ $K_{OC} = 878 \text{ mL/g}$	Low mobility	
Volatilization	picoxystrobin	Total [¹⁴ C]residue recoveries after 24 hours were 91.1% of the applied radioactivity soil and leaf systems.		Not volatile from soil and leaf surfaces	1893552
Bioconcentration/Bio	accumulation				
Bioconcentration in fish	picoxystrobin	BCF = 290 (wl BCF = 1400 (v BCF = 110 (fle BCF = 170 (ca BCF = 170	nole fish) iscera) esh) rcass)	Low potential to bioconcentrate	1893461
Field studies					
Field dissipation in ecoregions representative of Canadian conditions	DPX-YT669 250SC (250 g a.i./L formulation)	Manitoba	Picoxystrobin (total soil profile) $DT_{50} = 18.9 \text{ d}, DT_{90} =$ 441 d (DFOP)	Slightly persistent	1893844
			Picoxystrobin (0-15 cm) $DT_{50} = 17.3 \text{ d}, DT_{90} =$ 434 d (DFOP)		
			Compound 2 $DT_{50} = 148 \text{ d}, DT_{90} = 492 \text{ d} (SFO)^2$	Moderately persistent	
			Compound 3 $DT_{50} = 227 \text{ d}, DT_{90} = 753 \text{ d} (SFO)^2$	Persistent	
			Compound 8 DT ₅₀ = 24.8 d, DT ₉₀ = $83.4 \text{ d} (\text{DFOP})^2$	Slightly persistent	
		Prince Edward Island	Picoxystrobin (total soil profile and 0-15 cm): DT ₅₀ = 1.8 d, DT ₉₀ = 76.9 d (DFOP)	Non-persistent	1893843
			Compound 2 $DT_{50} = 59.2 \text{ d}, DT_{90} =$	Moderately persistent	

Study	Test substance	Value ¹		Comments	Reference	
			$197 d (SFO)^2$			
			Compound 3 $DT_{50} = 36.4 \text{ d}, DT_{90} = 121 \text{ d} (SFO)^2$	Slightly persistent		
			Compound 8 $DT_{50} = 24.9 \text{ d}, DT_{90} =$ 82.6 d (SFO) ²	Slightly persistent		
		Wisconsin	Picoxystrobin (total soil profile and 0-15 cm): $DT_{50} = 2.8 \text{ d}, DT_{90} =$ 71 d (DFOP)	Non-persistent	1893845	
			Compound 2 $DT_{50} = 34.3 \text{ d}, DT_{90} = 114 \text{ d} (SFO)^2$	Slightly persistent		
			Compound 3 $DT_{50} = 214 \text{ d}, DT_{90} = 710 \text{ d} (SFO)^2$	Persistent		
			Compound 8 $DT_{50} = 133 \text{ d}, DT_{90} = 443 \text{ d} (SFO)^2$	Moderately persistent		
Field dissipation in an ecoregions not representative of Canadian conditions	DPX-YT669 250SC, YF10170, or YF10267	California, France, Germany, United	Picoxystrobin $DT_{50} = 2.7-37.2 \text{ d},$ $DT_{90} = 73-351 \text{ d}$ (IORE and DFOP)	Non-persistent to slightly persistent	1893832, 1893834, 1893836, 1893838,	
(Supplemental studies)	(250 g a.i./L formulations)	Kingdom	Compound 2 $DT_{50} = 68 \text{ d},$ $DT_{90} = 226 \text{ d} (SFO)^2$	Moderately persistent	1893840, 1893841, 1893842	
			Compound 3 $DT_{50} = 10.8-59 \text{ d},$ $DT_{90} = \text{not-calculated-}$ 36 d (SFO and ln linear regression) ²	Non-persistent to moderately persistent		
			Compound 8 $DT_{50} = 12.5-172 \text{ d},$ $DT_{90} = 42-572 \text{ d} (SFO)$ and ln linear regression) ²	Non-persistent to moderately persistent		
Field dissipation – outdoor pond study	YF10267 (250 g a.i./L formulation)	Berkshire, UK	Total system $DT_{50} = 35.5 d$, $DT_{90} = 118 d$ (calculated by the study authors)	Slightly persistent	1893550	
⁺ Kinetics models: DFOP = Double first-order in parallel; SFO = single first-order; IORE = indeterminate order						
rate equation. ² Half-lives/DT ₅₀ s and DT ₉₀ s for transformation products incorporate both formation and decline.						

Table 9Name and chemical structure of environmental transformation products of
picoxystrobin

Code Name/ Synonym	Chemical Name	Chemical Structure
Compound 2; ZA1963/02; IN-QDY62; R403092	(E)-2-{2-[6-(trifluoromethyl)pyridin-2- yloxymethyl]phenyl}-3-methoxyacrylic acid	F F HO O CH ₃
Compound 3; ZA1963/03; IN-QDK50; R403814	6-(Trifluoromethyl)pyridin-2H-2-one	F H F N O
Compound 4; ZA1963/04	Methyl (Z)-2-{2-[6-(trifluoromethyl)pyridin-2- yloxymethyl]phenyl}-3-methoxyacrylate	F F H ₃ C CH ₃
Compound 7; ZA1963/07; IN-QFA35; R408631	2-{2-[6-(trifluoromethyl)pyridin-2- yloxymethyl]phenyl}acetic acid	F F HO O
Compound 8; ZA1963/08; IN-QDY63; R408509	2-[6-(Trifluoromethyl)pyridin-2-yloxymethyl]-benzoic acid	F F N O OH
Compound 12; ZA1963/12	Methyl 2-hydroxy-{2-[6-(trifluoromethyl)pyridin-2- yloxymethyl]phenyl}-acetate	F F H ₃ C O O H
Compound 13; ZA1963/13	Methyl 2-oxo-{2-[6-(trifluoromethyl)pyridin-2- yloxymethyl]phenyl}-acetate	F F H ₃ C O

Code Name/ Synonym	Chemical Name	Chemical Structure
ZA1963/15; Compound 15	Phthalic acid	
Compound 26; IN-QDY64; R413834	2-Methoxy-6-(trifluoromethyl)pyridine	F F O CH ₃
Carbon dioxide	Carbon dioxide	0=C=0

Table 10Summary of formation of transformation products (% applied radioactivity)
formed in environmental studies with picoxystrobin

Study type	9			Maximum $% AR^{1} (day)$	Final %AR ¹ (study length)	Reference
Compound	12			/0/III (uuy)	(study length)	<u> </u>
Hydrolysis pH 9 (50°C)				32.1 (32)	32.1 (32)	1893544
Soil photoly	sis			Not identified but n	ot major	1893546
Aqueous ph	otolysis			Not identified but not major		1893545
Aerobic	Sandy loam	Pyridinyl label		16.8 (50)	1.5 (364)	1893547
soil	(Hyde Farm)	Phenylacrylate	label	18.7 (29)	1.9 (364)	
	Sandy clay	Pyridinyl label		9.4 (9)	4.0 (119)	
	loam	Phenylacrylate	label	9.1 (9)	2.7 (119)	
	Sand	Pyridinyl label		14.9 (50)	4.5 (119)	
		Phenylacrylate	label	17.3 (29)	3.7 (119)	
	Sandy loam	Pyridinyl label		26.1 (50)	17.3 (119)	
	(Frensham)	Phenylacrylate label		26.3 (29)	13.5 (119)	
Anaerobic s	oil			Waiver requested and granted based on		1893550
			1	results of other studies.		
Aerobic	Old Basing	Pyridinyl label	Water	37.4 (120)	37.4 (120)	1893549
water-			Sediment	29.8 (120)	29.8 (120)	
sediment			System	67.2 (120)	67.2 (120)	
		Phenylacrylate	Water	38.2 (120)	38.2 (120	
		label	Sediment	30.7 (120)	30.7 (120)	
			System	68.9 (120)	68.9 (120)	
	Virginia	Pyridinyl label	Water	16.6 (83)	6.3 (120)	
	Water		Sediment	6.7 (51)	1.1 (120)	
			System	22.5 (51)	7.4 (120)	
		Phenylacrylate	Water	16.4 (83)	6.0 (120)	
		label	Sediment	7.6 (51)	1.0 (120)	
			System	20.6 (51)	7.0 (120)	
Anaerobic	Purified	Pyridinyl label	Water	40.0 (360)	40.0 (360)	1893548
water-	water-UK		Sediment	33.3 (360)	33.3 (360)	
sediment	sandy loam		System	73.2 (360)	73.2 (360)	
	soil	Phenylacrylate	Water	37.0 (360)	37.0 (360)	

Study type				Maximum	Final %AR ¹	Reference		
			1	% AR [*] (day)	(study length)			
		label	Sediment	35.9 (220)	30.4 (360)			
			System	69.4 (220)	67.4 (360)			
Field	Terrestrial	Manitoba		3% i.m.p. ² (95)	0.3% i.m.p. (447)	1893844		
studies		Prince Edward Island		Max average 9.2%	Not analyzed	1893843		
				i.m.p. (43); max	(372)			
				replicate: 12.5%				
				i.m.p. (43)				
		Wisconsin		7.4% i.m.p. (48)	na (357)	1893845		
		California	•	2.9% i.m.p. (43)	na (363)	1893842		
		France	Site 1	1.8% i.m.p.	<1.8% i.m.p. (367)	1893832		
		1996/1997		(15, 62)				
			Site 2	6.4% i.m.p. (27- 365)	6.4% i.m.p. (365)	1893832		
		UK 1996/1997		4.6% i.m.p. (364)	4.6% i.m.p. (364)	1893841		
		Germany 1996/	1997	4% i.m.p. (96)	<0.9% i.m.p. (365)	1893834		
		France	Site 1	<1.5% i.m.p.	<1.5% i.m.p. (365)	1893838		
		(1997/1998)	Site 2	<2.6% i.m.p.	<2.6% i.m.p. (361)	1893838		
		Germany 1997/	1998	<2.1% i.m.p.	<2.1% i.m.p. (391)	1893836		
		UK 1997/1998		1.4% i.m.p.	<1.4% i.m.p. (379)	1893840		
				(56, 96, 284)	1 ()			
Compound 3								
Hydrolysis				Not identified but no	ot major	1893544		
Soil photoly	sis	Pyridinyl label		28.3 (3.8)	13.1 (19.8)	1893546		
Aqueous ph	otolysis	Pyridinyl label		1.9 (17.9)	1.9 (17.9)	1893545		
Aerobic	Sandy loam	Pyridinyl label		13.8 (50)	0.7 (364)	1893547		
soil	(Hyde Farm)							
	Sandy clay	Pyridinyl label		13.7 (21)	3.9 (119)			
	Sand	Pyridinyl label		9.4 (50)	5.8 (110)			
	Sandy loam	Pyridinyl label		10 3 (50)	7.0 (119)			
	(Frensham)	1 yndinyr iaoer		10.3 (50)	7.9 (119)			
Anaerobic s	oil			Waiver requested an	d granted based on	1893550		
			results of other studies.		10/5550			
Aerobic	Old Basing	Pyridinyl label	Water	10(83)	0.9 (120)	1893549		
water-	Old Dashig	i yndinyr idoer	Sediment	1.0 (05)	1.1 (120)	10/334/		
sediment			System	2.0(120)	2.0(120)			
Seament	Virginia	Pyridinyl label	Water	4 5 (120)	4 5 (120)			
	Water	i ynangi idoor	Sediment	1.5(120)	1.5(120)			
	vi utor		System	6.0 (120)	6.0 (120)			
Anaerobic	Purified	Pyridinyl label	Water	0.8 (360)	0.8 (360)	1893548		
water-	water-UK	i ynangi idoor	Sediment	0.6 (360)	0.6 (360)	10,5510		
sediment	sandy loam		System	1.5 (360)	1.5 (360)			
Seament	soil		System	1.5 (500)	1.5 (500)			
Field	Terrestrial	Manitoba		6.7% i.m.p. (29)	0.7% i.m.p. (447)	1893844		
studies		Prince Edward Island		3.1% i.m.p. (30)	Not analyzed	1893843		
				2.50/ : (1.6)	(372)	1002045		
		Wisconsin		2.5% 1.m.p. (16)	Not analyzed (357)	1893845		
		California		5.1% i.m.p. (11)	Not analyzed (363)	1893842		
		France	Site 1	3.4% i.m.p.	<0.8% i.m.p. (367)	1893832		
		1996/1997		(15, 28)	,			
			Site 2	4.4% i.m.p. (98)	<1.5% i.m.p. (365)	1893832		
Study type			Maximum % AR ¹ (day)	Final %AR ¹ (study length)	Reference			
--------------------------	----------------	---------------------------------------	------------------------------------	--	--------------------	---------		
		UK 1996/1997		2.1% i.m.p. (94)	1.4% i.m.p. (364)	1893841		
		Germany 1996/	1997	1.9% i.m.p. (4-28)	<2% i.m.p. (365)	1893834		
		France (1997/1998)	Site 1	1.4% i.m.p. (14, 28)	<0.7% i.m.p. (365)	1893838		
			Site 2	2.4% i.m.p. (13)	<1.2% i.m.p. (361)	1893838		
		Germany 1997/	1998	1.9% i.m.p. (7-27)	<1% i.m.p. (391)	1893836		
		UK 1997/1998		3.2% i.m.p. (7)	<0.6% i.m.p. (379)	1893840		
Compound	d 4			-				
Hydrolysis				Not identified but no	ot major	1893544		
Soil photoly	sis	Pyridinyl label		2.5 (0.8)	1.1 (19.8)	1893546		
		Phenylacrylate	label	3.8 (3.8)	2.2 (20.7)			
Aqueous ph	otolysis	Pyridinyl label		14.2 (3.7)	8.3 (17.9)	1893545		
		Phenylacrylate	label	11.7 (3.7)	9.1(17.7)			
Aerobic soil				Not identified but no	ot major	1893547		
Anaerobic s	oil			Waiver requested an	d granted based on	1893550		
	1.			results of other studi	es.	1002540		
Aerobic wat	er-sediment			Not identified but no	ot major	1893549		
Anaerobic v	vater-sealment			Not identified but no	ot major	1893548		
Field studies	5			Not analyzed				
Compound				27.0 (22)	25.0 (22)	1002544		
Hydrolysis pH 9 (50°C)			37.9 (32)	37.9 (32)	1893544			
Soil photolysis			Not identified but no	ot major	1893546			
Aqueous photolysis			Libely present at mi	n major	1893545			
Aerobic soll		Waiver requested and granted based on		1893347				
Allaeloole s	011			results of other studies		1893330		
Aerobic	Old Basing	Pyridinyl label	Water	23(30)	0.2(120)	1893549		
water-	Old Dashig	i yndinyr idoer	Sediment	14(120)	1.4 (120)	10/334/		
sediment			System	1.6 (120)	1.6 (120)			
		Phenylacrylate	Water	0.4 (83)	0.2 (120)			
		label	Sediment	2.9 (120)	2.9 (120)			
			System	3.1 (120)	3.1 (120)			
	Virginia	Pvridinvl label	Water	25.9 (120)	25.9 (120)			
	Water	J J	Sediment	12.8 (83)	12.4 (120)			
			System	38.3 (120)	38.3 (120)			
		Phenylacrylate	Water	24.2 (120)	24.2 (120)			
		label	Sediment	14.2 (83)	12.2 (120)			
			System	36.4 (120)	36.4 (120)			
Anaerobic	Purified	Pyridinyl label	Water	< 0.05 (0-360)	< 0.05 (0-360)	1893548		
water-	water-UK		Sediment	1.8 (360)	1.8 (360)			
sediment	sandy loam		System	1.8 (360)	1.8 (360)			
	soil	Phenylacrylate	Water	< 0.05 (0-360)	< 0.05 (360)			
		label	Sediment	1.6 (360)	1.6 (360)			
			System	1.6 (360)	1.6 (360)			
Field studies	5			Not analyzed				
Compound	18							
Hydrolysis	pH 9 (50°C)			Not identified	but not major	1893544		
Soil photoly	sis	Pyridinyl label		2.4 (0.8)	2.3 (19.8)	1893546		
		Phenylacrylate	label	3.0 (6.9-13.7)	2.9 (20.7)			
Aqueous ph	otolysis			Not identified but no	ot major	1893545		

Study type	<u>)</u>			Maximum % AR ¹ (day)	Final %AR ¹ (study length)	Reference
Aerobic soil				Likely present at mi	nor concentrations	1893547
Anaerobic s	oil			Waiver requested and granted based on		1893550
				results of other studi	es.	
Aerobic	Old Basing	Pyridinyl label	Water	2.7 (120)	2.7 (120)	1893549
water-			Sediment	0.6 (83)	0.2 (120)	
sediment			System	2.9 (120)	2.9 (120)	
		Phenylacrylate	Water	1.1 (83)	0.9 (120)	
		label	Sediment	0.8 (83)	Not detected (120)	
			System	1.9 (83)	0.9 (120)	
	Virginia	Pyridinyl label	Water	8.4 (120)	8.4 (120)	
	Water		Sediment	2.7 (120)	2.7 (120)	
			System	11.1 (120)	11.1 (120)	
		Phenylacrylate	Water	8.5 (120)	8.5 (120)	
		label	Sediment	2.9 (120)	2.9 (120)	
			System	11.4 (120)	11.4 (120)	
Anaerobic	Purified	Pyridinyl label	Water	0.4 (220)	< 0.05 (360)	1893548
water-	water-UK		Sediment	0.7 (120)	0.3 (360)	
sediment	sandy loam		System	0.7 (120)	0.3 (360)	
	soil	Phenylacrylate	Water	< 0.05 (0-360)	< 0.05 (360)	
		label	Sediment	0.4 (91)	< 0.05 (360)	
			System	0.4 (91)	< 0.05 (360)	
Field	Terrestrial	Manitoba		5.1% i.m.p. (95)	0.4% i.m.p. (447)	1893844
studies		Prince Edward	Island	12.5% i.m.p. (30)	Not analyzed (372)	1893843
		Wisconsin		7.2% i.m.p. (30)	Not analyzed (357)	1893845
		California		12.6% i.m.p. (11)	Not analyzed (363)	1893842
		France 1996/1997	Site 1	13.7% i.m.p. (7, 28)	<1.5% i.m.p. (367)	1893832
			Site 2	16.2% i.m.p. (14)	<2.7% i.m.p. (365)	1893832
		UK 1996/1997		10.3% i.m.p. (28)	2.6% i.m.p. (364)	1893841
		Germany 1996/	1997	5.1% i.m.p. (28, 63)	<1.7% i.m.p. (365)	1893834
		France (1997/1998)	Site 1	3.8% i.m.p. (6, 14)	<1.3% i.m.p. (365)	1893838
			Site 2	15.3% i.m.p. (13)	<2.2% i.m.p. (361)	1893838
		Germany 1997/	1998	12.3% i.m.p. (14)	<1.8% i.m.p. (391)	1893836
		UK 1997/1998		7.0% i.m.p.	<1.2% i.m.p. (379)	1893840
				(28, 56)		
Compound	d 12					
Hydrolysis				Not identified but no	ot major	1893544
Soil photoly	sis	Pyridinyl label		1.4 (3.8)	0.9 (19.8)	1893546
		Phenylacrylate	label	2.3 (6.9, 20.7)	2.3 (20.7)	
Aqueous photolysis Pyridinyl label Phenylacrylate label		label	15.3 (17.9) 14.5 (17.7)	15.3 (17.9) 14.5 (17.7)	1893545	
Aerobic soil				Not identified but no	ot major	1893547
Anaerobic s	oil			Waiver requested an	d granted based on	1893550
				results of other studi	es.	-
Aerobic wat	er-sediment			Not identified but no	ot major	1893549
Anaerobic v	vater-sediment			Not identified but no	ot major	1893548
Field studies	5			Not analyzed	~	

Study type	Study type		Maximum $\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{AP}^1(\mathbf{dov}))$	Final %AR ¹	Reference	
	112			70 AK (uay)	(study length)	
Compound	d 13			NT (1 (C 11)	, ·	1002544
Hydrolysis	•	D 11 111	1	Not identified but no	ot major	1893544
Soil photoly	'SIS	Pyridinyl lat		2.0(0.8)	0.9 (19.8)	1893546
A	a4a1	Phenylacryla	ate label	2.1(0.7-3.8)	<u> </u>	1902545
Aqueous ph	otorysis			Not identified but no	ot major	1893545
Apparabia a	ail			Weiver requested on	d granted based on	1893347
Anaerobic son		results of other studi		1893330		
Aeropic water sediment		Not identified but no	t major	18035/0		
Anaerobic wa	vater-sediment			Not identified but no	nt major	1893548
Field studie				Not analyzed	n major	1075540
Compound	, 15			110t analyzed		
Hydrolysis	10			Not identified but no	ot major	1893544
Soil photoly	sis	Phenylacryla	ate label	5.8 (13.7)	5 2 (20 7)	1893546
Aqueous ph	otolysis	1		Not identified but no	t major	1893545
Aerobic soil	01013010			Not identified but no	ot major	1893547
Anaerobic s	oil			Waiver requested an	d granted based on	1893550
				results of other studi	es.	
Aerobic wat	er-sediment			Not identified but no	ot major	1893549
Anaerobic water-sediment		Not identified but no	ot major	1893548		
Field studies		Not analyzed	5			
Compound 26						
Hydrolysis		Not identified but not major		1893544		
Soil photoly	sis	Pyridinyl lał	pel	Not identified but no	ot major	1893546
Aqueous ph	otolysis	Pyridinyl lał	pel	Not identified but not major		1893545
Aerobic	Sandy loam	Pyridinyl lat	pel	$6.9(364)^3$	$6.9(364)^3$	1893547
soil	(Hyde Farm)	5 5		12.9 $(115)^4$	12.9 $(115)^4$	
				(modified trapping	(modified trapping	
				system)	system)	
	Sandy clay	Pyridinyl lat	pel	$8.2(119)^3$	$8.2(119)^3$	
	loam			22.8 $(115)^4$	22.8 $(115)^4$	
				(modified trapping	(modified trapping	
				system)	system)	
	Sand	Pyridinyl lał	pel	$8.2(119)^3$	8.2 (119) ³	
	Sandy loam (Frensham)	Pyridinyl lat	bel	5.7 (119) ³	5.7 (119) 3	
	Sandy clay	Pyridinyl lał	pel	31.2 (119) ⁴	31.2 (119) ⁴	1966852
A 1'	loam	D 11 1	0.1	10 1 (04) 45	4.0 (110) 45	10((04(
Aerobic	Sandy loam	Pyridinyl	Soll	$10.1(84)^{4.5}$	$4.8(119)^{4,5}$	1966846
SOIL		label	Aerial	5.4 (84)	1.0 (119)	
(sealed			component			
flack						
Anaerobic s	oil			Waiver requested an	d granted based on	1893550
		results of other studi	es.			
Aerobic water-sediment		Not identified but no	ot major	1893549		
Anaerobic v	vater-sediment			Not identified but no	ot major	1893548
Field studies	5			Not analyzed		
Carbon di	oxide					
Hydrolysis				Not an	alyzed	1893544
Soil photoly	sis	Pyridinyl lał	pel	32.2 (19.8)	32.2 (19.8)	1893546
		Phenylacryla	ate label	22.0 (20.7)	22.0 (20.7)	

					1
Study type	:		Maximum	Final %AR ¹	Reference
			% AR ¹ (day)	(study length)	
Aqueous pho	otolysis	Pyridinyl label	6.4 (17.9)	6.4 (17.9)	1893545
		Phenylacrylate label	2.5 (17.7)	2.5 (17.7)	
Aerobic	Sandy loam	Pyridinyl label	33.9 (364)	33.9 (364)	1893547
soil	(Hyde Farm)	Phenylacrylate label	59.9 (364)	59.9 (364)	
	Sandy clay	Pyridinyl label	32.5 (119)	32.5 (119)	
	loam	Phenylacrylate label	40.1 (119)	40.1 (119)	
	Sand	Pyridinyl label	22.8 (119)	22.8 (119)	
		Phenylacrylate label	33.6 (119)	33.6 (119)	
	Sandy loam	Pyridinyl label	20.1 (119)	20.1 (119)	
	(Frensham)	Phenylacrylate label	29.9 (119)	29.9 (119)	
Anaerobic so	oil		Waiver requested and granted based on		1893550
			results of other studies.		
Aerobic	Old Basing	Pyridinyl label	2.9 (120)	2.9 (120)	1893549
water-		Phenylacrylate label	2.9 (120)	2.9 (120)	
sediment	Virginia	Pyridinyl label	5.8 (120)	5.8 (120)	
	Water	Phenylacrylate label	6.1 (120)	6.1 (120)	
Anaerobic	Purified	Pyridinyl label	0.7 (360)	0.7 (360)	1893548
water-	water-UK	Phenylacrylate label	0.2 (360)	0.2 (360)	
sediment	sandy loam				
	soil				
Field studies	5		Not analyzed		

 1 AR = applied radioactivity 2 i.m.p. = initial measured parent.

³ Difficulties in trapping the volatile transformation product, Compound 26, made accurate quantification problematic. Actual concentrations may be significantly higher than those measured. ⁴ Supplemental study.

⁵ Given that the radioactive recovery was poor at key sampling periods in the study, there is a significant amount of uncertainty with some of the reported concentrations.

Table 11 Toxicity of picoxystrobin, a 250 g a.i./L Soluble Concentrate formulation and major transformation products to non-target terrestrial species.

Organism	Exposure	Test substance	Endpoint value	Degree of toxicity ¹	Reference
Invertebrates					
Earthworm (Eisenia foetida)	14-d Acute	Picoxystrobin	LC ₅₀ : 6.7 mg a.i./kg soil; NOEC (mortality and weight loss): 3.2 mg a.i./kg soil	No classification	1893484
	14-d Acute	AB12796B (250 g a.i./L SC formulation)	LC ₅₀ : 4.0 mg a.i./kg soil; NOEC (mortality): 3.2 mg a.i./kg soil; NOEC (weight loss): 2.1 mg a.i./kg soil	No classification	1893827
	14-d Acute, effects of aging and soil moisture	AB12796B (250 g a.i./L SC formulation)	>67% mortality observed at concentrations of 4 mg a.i./kg soil and higher, under all moisture levels (25-50%) and	No classification	

Organism	Exposure	Test substance	Endpoint value	Degree of toxicity ¹	Reference
			soil age (0-14 days) combinations tested. Mortality increased with soil moisture		
			Mortality appeared to be higher in soils with residues 0-4 days old compared to those with residues 7-14		
	14-d Acute	R403092/ Compound 2	days old. LC ₅₀ : >1000 mg/kg soil; NOEC (mortality): 1000 mg/kg soil; NOEC (weight loss): 100 mg/kg soil	No classification	1893485
	14-d Acute	R403814/ Compound 3	LC ₅₀ : 320 mg/kg soil; NOEC (mortality and weight change): 100 mg/kg soil	No classification	1893488
	14-d Acute	R408509/ Compound 8	LC ₅₀ : 320 mg/kg soil; NOEC (mortality and weight change): 100 mg/kg soil	No classification	1893486
	8-wk Chronic	A12796B (250 g a.i./L SC formulation)	Adult survival: 4-wk LC ₅₀ : >5 mg a.i./kg soil; 4-wk NOEC: 2.5 mg a.i./kg soil	No classification	1893829
			Adut Biomass: 4-wk NOEC: 5 mg a.i./kg soil		
			Number of Juveniles: 8-wk EC ₅₀ : 2.55 mg a.i./kg soil; 8-wk NOEC: 0.63 mg a.i./kg soil		
Earthworms (naturally occurring populations)	380-d field study; spring barley field in North East Germany	A12796B (250 g a.i./L SC formulation)	No significant effect on total abundance or total biomass of earthworms observed after 380 days.	No classification	1893530
	1 application at 0 (control), 62.5, 125, 250 and 500 g a.i./ha				
	381-d field study; spring barley field in	A12796B (250 g a.i./L SC formulation)	At 500 g a.i./ha, total abundance and total biomass of	No classification	1893531

Organism	Exposure	Test substance	Endpoint value	Degree of toxicity ¹	Reference
	North Germany 1 application at 0 (control), 62.5, 125, 250 and 500 g a.i./ha		earthworms were reduced by 39% and 42%, respectively, after 381 days. Juveniles were more sensitive than adult		
	 396-d field study; nine wheat or barley plots in Northern France 2 applications at 0 (control), 125 and 250 g a.i./ha, at a 21-d interval 	A12796B (250 g a.i./L SC formulation)	No significant effect on total abundance or biomass of earthworms observed at any site by 12 months after application.	No classification	1893508
	12-month field study; spring barley field in the Southern UK 1 application at 0 (control), 62.5, 125, 250 and 500 g a.i./ha	A12796B (250 g a.i./L SC formulation)	No significant effect on abundance or biomass of any taxonomic or ecological group apparent by seven months after application.	No classification	1893529
	12-month field study; bare ground plot in the UK 2 applications at 0 (control), 50, 125 and 250 g a.i./ha, at a 14-d interval	ZA1963 25SC (250 g a.i./L SC formulation)	No adverse effect on abundance or weight of earthworms immediately after and up to 12 months after application.	No classification	1893535
	374-d field study; winter wheat field in Sweden 1 application at 0 (control), 62.5, 125, 250 and 500 g a.i./ha	Acanto 250 SC (A-12796B) (250 g a.i./L SC formulation)	31-d EC ₅₀ (worm numbers): 168.5 g a.i./ha; 31-d NOEC (worm numbers): <62.5 g a.i./ha Reduced number of worms 374 days after application at 500 g a.i./ha, likely due to a slight reduction the number of juveniles. No significant effect on abundance or biomass by 374 days	No classification	1893533

Organism	Exposure	Test substance	Endpoint value	Degree of toxicity ¹	Reference
			rates up to and including 250 g a.i./ha.		
	21-d field study; 99 wheat or barley fields in France, the UK and Germany	Acanto 250 SC (A-12796B) (250 g a.i./L SC formulation)	Unacceptable study	No classification	1893490
	2 applications at 0 (control), 75, 125, 175 and 250 g a.i./ha at a 21-d interval (also 200 g a.i./ha mixed with 360 g fenpropidin and 100 g a.i./ha propiconazole in				
Honey bee (Anis	Germany only) 48-h Oral	Picoxystrohin	Not tested due to low	Not tested	1893541
mellifera)	40 11 0141	1 leoxystroom	solubility in water	Not lested	1075541
	48-h Contact	Picoxystrobin	LD ₅₀ : >200 μg a.i./bee; NOEL: 200 μg a.i./bee	Relatively non- toxic	1893541
	48-h Oral	YF10267 (250 g a.i./L SC formulation)	LD ₅₀ : >200 µg a.i./bee; NOEL: 200 µg a.i./bee	Relatively non- toxic	1893540
	48-h Contact	YF10267 (250 g a.i./L SC formulation)	LD ₅₀ : >200 µg a.i./bee; NOEL: 200 µg a.i./bee	Relatively non- toxic	1893540
Predatory mite (<i>Typhlodromus</i> <i>pyri</i>)	7-d Contact, Glass plates (screening level)	YF10267 (250 g a.i./L SC formulation)	Corrected mortality at 7 days was 55.6% at 250 g a.i./ha and 49.4% at 500 g a.i./ha	No classification	1893539
Green lacewing (Chrysoperla carnea)	65-d Semi-field (mortality: 7-d; fecundity: 48-h)	YF10267 (250 g a.i./L SC formulation)	No significant effects on mortality and fecundity after one or two applications at 250 g a.i./ha at a 13- day interval.	No classification	1893538
Parasitic wasp (Aphidius rhopalosiphi)	48-h Contact, Leaf substrate (extended laboratory)	YF10267 (250 g a.i./L SC formulation)	Corrected mortality at 48 hours was 100% at both 250 and 500 g a.i/ha.	No classification	1893536
	12-d Aged residue	YF10267 (250 g a.i./L SC formulation)	Day 0 corrected mortality was 65.2% and 74.4% after one and two applications at 250 g a.i./ha, respectively. No significant effects on mortality and fecundity after 3 days	No classification	1893537

Appendix I

Organism	Exposure	Test substance	Endpoint value	Degree of toxicity ¹	Reference
Birds	-	_	-	J	1
Bobwhite quail (Colinus virginianus)	Acute	Picoxystrobin	LD ₅₀ : >2250 mg a.i./kg bw; NOEL: 2250 mg a.i./kg bw	Practically non- toxic	1893465
	5-d Dietary	Picoxystrobin	LC ₅₀ >5200 mg a.i./kg diet; NOEC: 5200 mg a.i./kg diet LD ₅₀ >1830 mg a.i./kg bw/d (reviewer-	Practically non- toxic	1893469
	20 1		calculated)	N	1002470
	20-wk Reproduction	Picoxystrobin	NOEC: 1190 mg a.i./kg diet (mean measured; highest concentrationt tested) Daily dose: 110.3 mg a.i./kg bw/d (reviewer- calculated)	No classification	1893478
Mallard duck (Anas platyrhynchos)	5-d Dietary	Picoxystrobin	LC ₅₀ >5200 mg a.i./kg diet; NOEC: 5200 mg a.i./kg diet LD ₅₀ >2298 mg a.i./kg bw/d (reviewer- calculated)	Practically non- toxic	1893471
	21-wk Reproduction	Picoxystrobin	NOEC: 1350 mg a.i./kg diet (highest concentration tested) Daily dose: 178 mg a.i./kg bw/d (reviewer- calculated)	No classification	1893483
Zebra finch (<i>Taeniopygia</i> guttata)	Acute	Picoxystrobin	LD ₅₀ >486 mg a.i./kg bw; NOEL: 486 mg a.i./kg bw	No mortality was observed at 486 mg a.i./kg bw	1893467
Mammals					
Rat	Acute	Picoxystrobin	LD ₅₀ >5000 mg a.i./kg bw	Practically non- toxic	1893595
	Acute	250 g a.i./L SC formulation	LD ₅₀ >2000 mg EP/kg bw/d (equivalent to >460 mg a.i./kg bw/d)	Formulation is practically non- toxic	1893818
	4-h Acute inhalation	R413834/ Compound 26	$LC_{50} > 3629 \text{ ppm}$ (26.24 mg/L) for males; $LC_{50} > 1450$ ppm (10.48 mg/L) for females	No classification	1966847
	11-wk Reproduction	Picoxystrobin	Parental and Offspring NOAEL: 55.5 mg/kg bw/d; LOAEL: 137.5 mg/kg bw/d (Parental effects: reductions in body weight, body	No classification	1893649

Organism	Exposure	Test substance	Endpoint value	Degree of toxicity ¹	Reference
	10-wk Reproduction	Picoxystrobin	weight gain, and food consumption. Offspring effects: reductions in body weight in F ₁ and F ₂ rats) Reproduction NOAEL: 137.5 mg/kg bw/d (highest dose tested) Parental NOAEL: 5.4 mg/kg bw/d; LOAEL: 21.5 mg/kg bw/d (reduced body weight, body weight gain, and food consumption) Offspring NOAEL: 21.5 mg/kg bw/d; LOAEL: 80	No classification	1893638
			mg/kg bw/d (reductions in body weights in F_1 rats at post natal day 29, and in F_2 rats at post natal days 22 and 29).		
			Reproduction NOAEL: 80 mg/kg bw/d (highest dose tested)		
Terrestrial vascula	r plants				
Corn (<i>Zea mays</i>), oat (<i>Avena sativa</i>), onion (<i>Allium</i> <i>cepa</i>), ryegrass	21-d Seedling emergence	250 g a.i./L SC formulation	$ER_{25} > 500 \text{ g a.i./ha}$ (all tested species) $ER_{50} > 500 \text{ g a.i./ha}$ (all tested species)	No classification	1893560
(Lolium perenne), cucumber (Cucumis sativa), oilseed rape (Brassica napus), pea (Pisum sativum), soybean (Glycine max), sugar beet (Beta vulgaris), and tomato (Lycopersicon	21-d Vegetative vigour	250 g a.i./L SC formulation	ER ₂₅ >500 g a.i./ha (all tested specied) ER ₅₀ >500 g a.i./ha (all tested species)	No classification	1893561

¹ Atkins et al.(1981) for bees and US EPA classification for others, where applicable

Table 12Screening level risk assessment for picoxystrobin and transformation
products on non-target terrestrial species, other than birds and mammals

Organism	Exposure	Endpoint value	EEC ¹	RQ	Level of Concern
Invertebrates	-	-	-		-
Earthworm (Eisenia foetida)	Acute, picosystrobin formulation	$LC_{50}/2 = 2 \text{ mg a.i./kg soil}$ (study with the formulation)	0.27 mg a.i./kg soil (sweet corn use)	0.1	Not exceeded
	Chronic, picoxystrobi n	NOEC = 0.63 mg a.i./kg soil	0.27 mg a.i./kg soil (sweet corn use)	0.4	Not exceeded
	Acute, Compound 2	LC ₅₀ /2 >500 mg/kg soil	0.26 mg/kg soil (sweet corn use)	< 0.0005	Not exceeded
	Acute, Compound 3	$LC_{50}/2 = 160 \text{ mg/kg soil}$	0.12 mg/kg soil (sweet corn use)	0.0008	Not exceeded
	Acute, Compound 8	$LC_{50}/2 = 160 \text{ mg/kg soil}$	0.22 mg/kg soil (sweet corn use)	0.001	Not exceeded
Honey bee (Apis mellifera)	Oral	$LR_{50} > 224 \text{ kg a.i./ha}^2$	0.399 kg a.i./ha (sweet corn use)	< 0.002	Not exceeded
	Contact	$LR_{50}>224 \text{ kg a.i./ha}^2$	0.399 kg a.i./ha (sweet corn use)	< 0.002	Not exceeded
Predatory mite (<i>Typhlodromus</i> <i>pyri</i>)	Contact, glass plate	LR ₅₀ <250 g a.i./ha; corrected mortality at 7- days was 55.6% at 250 g	Sweet corn use: In field: 399 g a.i./ha	>1.6	Possibly exceeded $(LOC \ge 2)^3$
		a.i./ha and 49.4% at 500 g a.i./ha	Off-field (aerial appl., 23% drift): 92 g a.i./ha	>0.4	
			Off-field (ground appl., 6% drift): 24 g a.i./ha	>0.1	
			Dry legume use: In field: 303 g a.i./ha	>1.2	
			Off-field (aerial appl., 23% drift): 70 g a.i./ha	>0.3	
			Off-field (ground appl., 6% drift): 18 g	>0.07	
Parasitic wasp (Aphidius rhonglosiphi)	Contact, leaf substrate	LR ₅₀ <250 g a.i./ha; corrected mortality at 48	Sweet corn use: In field: 399 g a.i./ha	>1.6	Exceeded
ποραιοsτρπι		250 and 500 g a.i/ha	Off-field (aerial appl., 23% drift): 92 g a.i./ha	>0.4	Possibly exceeded
			Off-field (ground appl., 6% drift): 24 g a.i./ha	>0.1	Possibly exceeded
			Dry legume use: In field: 303 g a.i./ha	>1.2	Exceeded
			Off-field (aerial appl., 23% drift): 70 g a.i./ha	>0.3	Possibly exceeded

Appendix I

Organism	Exposure	Endpoint value	EEC ¹	RQ	Level of
					Concern
			Off-field (ground	>0.07	Possibly
			appl., 6% drift): 18 g		exceeded
	A 1 1	1.0	a.1./ha	. 1.6	F 11
	Aged residue	$LR_{50} < 250 \text{ g a.i./ha;}$	399 g a.i./ha (sweet	>1.6	Exceeded
		corrected mortality was	corn use)		F 11
		65.2% and /4.4% after one	303 g a.1./ha (dry	>1.2	Exceeded
		or two applications at 250 g	legume use)		
		a.1./na at a 13-day interval			
Crear la consiste	C	(Day 0, fresh residues)			
Green lacewing	Semi-field	No significant effects on mor	tailty and fecundity		
(Chrysoperia		day interval	at 250 g a.i./na at a 15-		
Vogewlon planta		day interval.			
Vascular plants	Coodlin o	$EC > 500 \approx i/hc$	On fields (14 and the	<1.2	Dessible
Corn (Zea	Seeding	$EC_{25} > 500 \text{ g a.i./na}$	On-field: 614 g a.i./na	<1.Z	POSSIDIY
<i>mays</i>), oat	emergence		(sweet corn use)		slightly
(Avena saliva),			066 6-11 (<0.2	exceeded N.
onion (Allium			OII-field (aerial	<0.3	NOT
<i>cepa</i>), ryegrass			application; 23% of		exceeded
(Louum			sweet corn rate): 141		
perenne),			g a.1./na	<0.07	N 4
(Cusumia			Off-field (ground	<0.07	Not
(Cucumis			application; 6% of		exceeded
sallva), oliseed			sweet corn rate): 37 g		
rape (Brassica			a.1./na	<0.0	N 4
(<i>Disum sativum</i>)			384 g a.1./na (dry	<0.8	Not
(<i>Fisum sativum</i>),	X 7 4 4		legume use)	-0.0	exceeded
(Chycine mar)	Vegetative	$EC_{25} > 500 \text{ g a.i./ha}$	399 g a.1./ha (sweet	<0.8	Not
(Orycine max),	vigour		corn use)	-0.(exceeded
sugar occi (Deta			303 g a.1./ha (dry	<0.6	Not
tomato			legume use)		exceeded
(I vconersicon					
(Lycopersicon esculentum)					
¹ FFC from the use	e on sweet corn	unless otherwise noted		1	

² Endpoint derived according to Atkins (1981), whereby $LD_{50} \mu g/bee \ge 1.12 = LD_{50} kg/ha$.

³ For studies with *T. pyri* or *A. rhopalosiphi* where test material is sprayed on glass plates, the level of concern is exceeded when the risk quotient is ≥ 2 .

Table 13Screening level risk assessment of picoxystrobin for birds and mammals for
the use with the maximum seasonal rate of application (sweet corn)

	Toxicity (mg a.i./kg bw/d)	Feeding Guild (food item)	EDE (mg a.i./kg bw) ^a	RQ	Level of Concern			
Sweet corn (maximum cumulative seasonal rate of 399 g a.i./ha)								
Small Bird (0.02 kg)								
Acute	48.6	Insectivore (small insects)	Insectivore (small insects) 20.08 0.41 No					
Reproduction	110.3	Insectivore (small insects) 20.08 0.18 Not exceeded						
Medium Sized Bird (0.1 kg)								
Acute	48.6	Insectivore (small insects)	15.67	0.32	Not exceeded			

	Toxicity (mg a.i./kg bw/d)	Feeding Guild (food item)	EDE (mg a.i./kg bw) a	RQ	Level of Concern		
Reproduction	110.3	Insectivore (small insects)	15.67	0.14	Not exceeded		
Large Sized Bir	d (1 kg)						
Acute	48.6	Herbivore (short grass)	16.35	0.34	Not exceeded		
Reproduction	110.3	Herbivore (short grass)	16.35	0.15	Not exceeded		
Small Mammal (0.015 kg)							
Acute	500	Insectivore (small insects)	11.55	< 0.02	Not exceeded		
Reproduction	80	Insectivore (small insects)	11.55	0.14	Not exceeded		
Medium Sized Mammal (0.035 kg)							
Acute	500	Herbivore (short grass)	36.19	< 0.07	Not exceeded		
Reproduction	80	Herbivore (short grass) 36.19 0.45		Not exceeded			
Large Sized Mammal (1 kg)							
Acute	500	Herbivore (short grass)	19.34	< 0.04	Not exceeded		
Reproduction	80	Herbivore (short grass)	19.34	0.24	Not exceeded		

^a EDE = Estimated dietary exposure; is calculated using the following formula: (FIR/bw) x EEC, where: FIR: Food Ingestion Rate (Nagy, 1987). For generic birds with body weight less than or equal to 200 g, the "passerine" equation was used; for generic birds with body weight greater than 200 g, the "all birds" equation was used:

Passerine Equation (body weight < or =200 g): FIR (g dry weight/day) = 0.398(bw in g)^{0.850}

All birds Equation (body weight > 200 g): FIR (g dry weight/day) = 0.648(bw in g)^{0.651}.

For mammals, the "all mammals" equation was used: FIR (g dry weight/day) = 0.235(bw in g) ^{0.822} bw: Generic Body Weight

EEC: Concentration of pesticide on food item based on Hoerger and Kenaga (1972) and Kenaga (1973) and modified according to Fletcher et al. (1994). At the screening level, relevant food items representing the most conservative EEC for each feeding guild are used.

Table 14	Toxicity of picoxystrobin, a 250 g a.i./L Soluble Concentrate formulation and
	major transformation products to aquatic species

Organism	Exposure	Test substance	Endpoint value	Degree of toxicity ¹	Reference
Freshwater species	-	-		-	-
Daphnia magna	48h-Acute	Picoxystrobin	EC ₅₀ : 24 μg a.i./L	Very highly	1893450
			NOEC: 18 µg a.i./L	toxic	
	48-h Acute	Picoxystrobin	LC ₅₀ : 18 μg a.i./L	Very highly	1966840
			NOEC: not reported	toxic	
	48h-Acute	250 g a.i./L SC	EC ₅₀ : 86 μg/L (20 μg	Very highly	1893449
		formulation	a.i./L); NOEC: 56	toxic	
			μg/L (13 μg a.i./L)		
	48-h Acute	R403092 /	EC ₅₀ : >10 mg/L	At worst,	1966830
		Compound 2	NOEC: 10 mg/L	slightly toxic	
	48-h Acute	R403814 /	EC ₅₀ : >10 mg/L	At worst,	1966833
		Compound 3	NOEC: 10 mg/L	slightly toxic	
	48-h Acute	R408509 /	EC ₅₀ : >10 mg/L	At worst,	1966836
		Compound 8	NOEC: 10 mg/L	slightly toxic	
	48-h Acute	R408631 /	EC ₅₀ : >10 mg/L	At worst,	1966828
		Compound 7	NOEC: 10 mg/L	slightly toxic	
	48-h Acute	R413834 /	EC ₅₀ : 8 mg/L	Moderately	1966839
		Compound 26	NOEC: 3.8 mg/L	toxic	

Organism	Exposure	Test substance	Endpoint value	Degree of toxicity ¹	Reference
	21d-Chronic	Picoxystrobin	LC ₅₀ : 26 μg a.i./L NOEC (live young per parent): 8 μg a.i./L	No classification	1893457
Daphnia pulex	48-h Acute	Picoxystrobin	LC ₅₀ : >50 µg a.i./L	At worst, very highly toxic	1966840
Planarian (Dugesia sp.)	48-h Acute	Picoxystrobin	LC ₅₀ : 200-1000 μg a.i./L	Highly toxic	1966840
Planarian (<i>Polycelis</i> sp.)	48-h Acute	Picoxystrobin	LC ₅₀ : 200-1000 μg a.i./L	Highly toxic	1966840
Freshwater rotifer (Brachionus calcyciflorus)	24-h Acute	Picoxystrobin	LC ₅₀ : >4000 µg a.i./L	At worst, moderately toxic	1966840
Freshwater snail (<i>Limnea stagnalis</i>)	48-h Acute	Picoxystrobin	LC ₅₀ : >1000 µg a.i./L	At worst, moderately toxic	1966840
Tubificidae (a mixture of <i>Limnodrilus</i> <i>hofmeisteri</i> and <i>Tubifex</i> sp.)	48-h Acute	Picoxystrobin	LC ₅₀ : 299 μg a.i./L	Highly toxic	1966840
Leech (Erpobdella octoculata)	48-h Acute	Picoxystrobin	LC ₅₀ : 200-1000 μg a.i./L	Highly toxic	1966840
Mayfly nymph (<i>Cloeon dipterum</i>)	48-h Acute	Picoxystrobin	LC ₅₀ : 194 µg a.i./L	Highly toxic	1966840
Damselfly nymph (<i>Coenagrion</i> <i>puella</i>)	48-h Acute	Picoxystrobin	LC ₅₀ : >1000 µg a.i./L	At worst, moderately toxic	1966840
Cased caddisfly larvae (<i>Agrypnia</i> <i>varia</i>)	48-h Acute	Picoxystrobin	LC ₅₀ : 158 µg a.i./L	Highly toxic	1966840
phantom midge larva (<i>Chaoborus</i> <i>crystallinus</i>)	48-h Acute	Picoxystrobin	LC ₅₀ : 332 µg a.i./L	Highly toxic	1966840
Midge, 2 nd instar larva (<i>Chironomus</i> <i>rinarius</i>)	48-h Acute	Picoxystrobin	LC ₅₀ : 326 µg a.i./L	Highly toxic	1966840
Water-boatman, adult (<i>Notonecta</i> sp.)	48-h Acute	Picoxystrobin	LC ₅₀ : >1000 µg a.i./L	At worst, moderately toxic	1966840
Creeping water bug, adult (Naucoridae)	48-h Acute	Picoxystrobin	LC ₅₀ : >1000 µg a.i./L	At worst, moderately toxic	1966840
Cyclopoid copepods, adults (<i>Macrocyclops</i> <i>fuscus</i>)	48-h Acute	Picoxystrobin	LC ₅₀ : 87 μg a.i./L	Very highly toxic	1966840
Calanoid copepods, adults (<i>Diaptomus</i> sp.)	48-h Acute	Picoxystrobin	LC ₅₀ : 5 μg a.i./L	Very highly toxic	1966840
Water louse, juvenile (Asellus aquaticus)	48-h Acute	Picoxystrobin	LC ₅₀ : 152 µg a.i./L	Highly toxic	1966840
Freshwater	48-h Acute	Picoxystrobin	LC ₅₀ : 63 µg a.i./L	Very highly	1966840

Organism	Exposure	Test substance	Endpoint value	Degree of toxicity ¹	Reference
shrimp, juvenile (Crangonyx pseudogracilis)				toxic	
Midge (Chironomus riparius)	28-d Chronic, spiked sediment	Picoxystrobin	Total emergence: EC ₅₀ : 19 mg a.i./kg dry weight; NOEC: 5.0 mg a.i./kg dry weight Based on mean- measured water concentrations: EC ₅₀ : 240 μg a.i./L NOEC: 40 μg a.i./L	No classification	1893542
	25-d Chronic, spiked water	Picoxystrobin	Total emergence: Mean measured concentration: EC_{50} : 56.4 µg a.i./L NOEC: 19.6 µg a.i./L Day 0 measured concentration (as per OECD 219): EC_{50} : 135 µg a.i./L NOEC: 54 µg a.i./L	No classification	1893453
Rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss)	96h-Acute	Picoxystrobin	LC ₅₀ : 70 µg a.i./L NOEC (mortality): 49 µg a.i./L; NOEC (discolouration): 14 µg a.i./L	Very highly toxic	1893456
	96-h Acute	250 g a.i./L SC formulation	LC ₅₀ : 0.22 mg/L (equivalent to 51 µg a.i./L); NOEC (mortality and sublethal effects): 0.15 mg/L (equivalent to 35 µg a.i./L)	Highly toxic	1893455
	96-h Acute	R413834/ Compound 26	LC ₅₀ : >10 mg/L NOEC: 10 mg/L	Practically non- toxic	1966838
	28-d Chronic	Picoxystrobin	LC ₅₀ : 27 µg a.i./L NOEC (mortality and sublethal effects): 10 µg a.i./L	No classification	1966820
Bluegill sunfish (Lepomis macrochirus)	96-h Acute	Picoxystrobin	LC ₅₀ : 77 μg a.i./L NOEC: 46 μg a.i./L	Very highly toxic	1893454
Mirror carp (<i>Cyprinus carpio</i>)	96-h Acute	Picoxystrobin	LC ₅₀ : 160 µg a.i./L NOEC: 110 µg a i /L	Highly toxic	1966816
Three-spined stickleback (Gasterosteus aculeatus)	96-h Acute	Picoxystrobin	LC ₅₀ : 100 µg a.i./L (nominal) NOEC: 56 µg a.i./L	Highly toxic	1966818
Fathead minnow	96-h Acute	Picoxystrobin	LC ₅₀ : 65 µg a.i./L	Very highly	1966817

promelas)96-h Acute, with sedimentPicoxystrobin $LC_{50}: 56.8 \ \mu g a.i/L (based on initialmeasuredconcentrations)NOEC: 10 mg/LVery highlytoxic196682296-h AcuteR403092/Compound 2LC_{50}: 910 \ mg/LAt worst,slightly toxic196683196-h AcuteR403814/Compound 2LC_{50}: 910 \ mg/LAt worst,slightly toxic196683196-h AcuteR408509/Compound 3LC_{50}: 910 \ mg/LAt worst,slightly toxic196683596-h AcuteR408509/Compound 8LC_{50}: 910 \ mg/LAt worst,slightly toxic196683596-h AcuteR408509/Compound 7LC_{50}: 910 \ mg/LAt worst,slightly toxic196682796-h AcuteR408511/Compound 7LC_{50}: 910 \ mg/LAt worst,slightly toxic196682796-h AcutePicoxystrobinNOEC: 10 \ mg/L$ At worst, slightly toxic196682796-h AcutePicoxystrobinNOEC: 30 \ g a.i/L (compound 7)No classification189345996-h AcutePicoxystrobinNOEC: 30 \ g a.i/L (cell density and yield)No classification1966815Green algae (Selemastrum)72-h AcutePicoxystrobinEC_{50}: 32.8 \ µg a.i/L (cell density and yield)No classification196682172-h Acute250 g/L SC formulationEC_{50}: 016 mg/L (40) µg a.i/L) (cell density and yield)No classification196682172-h AcuteR403092/ Compound 2EC_{50}: 910 mg/L (all endopints)No classification
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c } \hline Compound 8 & NOEC: 10 mg/L & slightly toxic \\ \hline 96-h Acute & R408631/ & LC_{50}:>10 mg/L & At worst, & 1966827 \\ \hline Compound 7 & NOEC: 10 mg/L & slightly toxic \\ \hline 36-d Early Life & Picoxystrobin & NOEC: 36 µg a.i/L & No & 1893459 \\ \hline Stage & uncertain the structure of the $
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c } \hline 96-h \ Acute & R408631/ & LC_{50} > 10 \ mg/L & At worst, & 196682/ \\ \hline Compound 7 & NOEC: 10 \ mg/L & slightly toxic \\ \hline 36-d \ Early \ Life \\ Stage & Picoxystrobin & NOEC: 36 \ \mu g \ a.i/L \\ Stage & Picoxystrobin & Stage & Picoxystrobin \\ \hline Stage & Picoxystrobin & Picoxystrobin \\ \hline Stage & Picoxystrobin & Picoxystrobin & Picoxystrobin \\ \hline Stage & Picoxystrobin & Picoxystrobin & Picoxystrobin \\ \hline Stage & Picoxystrobin & Picoxystrobin \\ \hline Stage & Picoxystrobin & Picoxystrobin & Picoxystrobin \\ \hline Stage & Picoxystrobin & Picoxystrobin \\ \hline Stage$
$\frac{1}{36-d \ Early \ Life}{Stage} = \frac{1}{9 \ coxystrobin} = \frac{1}{10 \ compound 7} = \frac{1}{10 \ compoun$
36-d Early Life Stage Picoxystrobin NOEC: 36 µg a.1./L (embry o hatching success, larval survival at 32 d post- hatch and larval growth (total length and wet weight) at test termination No 1893459 Green algae (Selenastrum capricornutum) 72-h Acute Picoxystrobin EC ₅₀ : 32.8 µg a.i./L (yield, growth rate) NOEC: 4.4 µg a.i./L (cell density and yield) No 1966815 72-h Acute 250 g/L SC formulation EC ₅₀ : 0.16 mg/L (40 µg a.i./L) (cell density and yield); NOEC: 0.045 mg/L (10 µg a.i./L) (all endpoints) No 1966821 72-h Acute R403092/ Compound 2 EC ₅₀ : >10 mg/L (all endpoints); NOEC: 10 mg/L No 1966824 72-h Acute R403814/ Compound 3 EC ₅₀ : >10 mg/L (all endpoints); NOEC: 10 mg/L No 1966825
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c } \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
Green algae (Selenastrum capricornutum)72-h AcutePicoxystrobin $EC_{50}: 32.8 \ \mu g a.i./L(yield, growth rate)NOEC: 4.4 \ \mu g a.i./L(cell density andyield)Noclassification196681572-h Acute250 g/L SCformulationEC_{50}: 0.16 \ mg/L (40 \ \mu g a.i./L) (cell densityand yield); NOEC:0.045 \ mg/L (10 \ \mu g a.i./L) (all endpoints)Noclassification1966821196682172-h AcuteR403092/Compound 2EC_{50}: >10 \ mg/L (allendpoints);NOEC: 10 \ mg/LNoclassification1966824196682472-h AcuteR403814/Compound 3EC_{50}: >10 \ mg/L (allendpoints);NOEC: <10 \ mg/L$
$(Selenastrum capricornutum)$ $(Selenastrum capricornutum)$ $(yield, growth rate) \\ NOEC: 4.4 \ \mu g a.i./L \\ (cell density and yield)$ $(vield, growth rate) \\ NOEC: 4.4 \ \mu g a.i./L \\ (cell density and yield)$ $(vield, growth rate) \\ NOEC: 4.4 \ \mu g a.i./L \\ (cell density and yield)$ $(vield, growth rate) \\ NOEC: 4.4 \ \mu g a.i./L \\ (cell density and yield)$ $(vield, growth rate) \\ Noecc: 4.4 \ \mu g a.i./L \\ (cell density and yield)$ $(vield, growth rate) \\ Noecc: 4.4 \ \mu g a.i./L \\ (cell density and yield)$ $(vield, growth rate) \\ (cell density and yield)$ $(vield, growth rate) \\ (vield, growth rate) \\ (cell density and yield)$ $(vield, growth rate) \\ (vield, growth rate) \\ (cell density and yield)$ $(vield, growth rate) \\ (vield, growth rate) \\ (cell density and yield)$ $(vield, growth rate) \\ (vield, growth rate) \\ (cell density and yield)$ $(vield, growth rate) \\ (vield, growth rate) \\ (cell density and yield)$ $(vield, growth rate) \\ (vield, growth rate) \\ (cell density and yield)$ $(vield, growth rate) \\ (vield, growth growth rate) \\ (vield, growth $
capricornutum)NOEC: $4.4 \ \mu g a.i./L$ (cell density and yield)No72-h Acute $250 \ g/L \ SC$ formulation EC_{50} : $0.16 \ mg/L$ (40 $\mu g a.i./L$) (cell density and yield); NOEC: $0.045 \ mg/L$ (10 μg $a.i./L$) (all endpoints)No196682172-h AcuteR403092/ Compound 2 EC_{50} : $>10 \ mg/L$ (all endpoints); NOEC: 10 \ mg/LNo196682472-h AcuteR403814/ Compound 3 EC_{50} : $>10 \ mg/L$ (all endpoints); NOEC: <10 \ mg/L
(cell density and yield)(cell density and yield)196682172-h Acute250 g/L SC formulation EC_{50} : 0.16 mg /L (40 µg a.i./L) (cell density and yield); NOEC: 0.045 mg/L (10 µg a.i./L) (all endpoints)No classification196682172-h AcuteR403092/ Compound 2 EC_{50} : >10 mg/L (all endpoints); NOEC: 10 mg/LNo classification196682472-h AcuteR403814/ Compound 3 EC_{50} : >10 mg/L (all endpoints); NOEC: 10 mg/LNo classification196682572-h AcuteR403814/ Compound 3 EC_{50} : >10 mg/L (all endpoints); NOEC: <10 mg/L
yield)yield)Image: Notice of the sector of the sect
72-h Acute250 g/L SC formulationEC_{50}: 0.16 mg /L (40 $\mu g a.i./L$) (cell density and yield); NOEC: 0.045 mg/L (10 μg $a.i./L$) (all endpoints)No classification196682172-h AcuteR403092/ Compound 2EC_{50}: >10 mg/L (all endpoints); NOEC: 10 mg/LNo classification196682472-h AcuteR403814/ Compound 3EC_{50}: >10 mg/L (all endpoints); NOEC: 10 mg/LNo classification196682572-h AcuteR403814/ Compound 3EC_{50}: >10 mg/L (all endpoints); NOEC: 10 mg/LNo classification1966825
formulation μ g a.i./L) (cell density and yield); NOEC: 0.045 mg/L (10 μ g a.i./L) (all endpoints)classification72-h AcuteR403092/ Compound 2EC ₅₀ : >10 mg/L (all endpoints); NOEC: 10 mg/LNo196682472-h AcuteR403814/ Compound 3EC ₅₀ : >10 mg/L (all endpoints); NOEC: 10 mg/LNo196682572-h AcuteR403814/ Compound 3EC ₅₀ : >10 mg/L (all endpoints); NOEC: 10 mg/LNo196682572-h AcuteR403814/ Compound 3EC ₅₀ : >10 mg/L (all endpoints); NOEC: <10 mg/L
and yield); NOEC: $0.045 \text{ mg/L} (10 \ \mu\text{g})$ $a.i./L) (all endpoints)$ 196682472-h AcuteR403092/ Compound 2EC ₅₀ : >10 mg/L (all endpoints); NOEC: 10 mg/LNo classification72-h AcuteR403814/ Compound 3EC ₅₀ : >10 mg/L (all endpoints); NOEC: 10 mg/LNo classification72-h AcuteR403814/ Compound 3EC ₅₀ : >10 mg/L (all endpoints); NOEC: <10 mg/L
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c }\hline \hline & & 0.045 \text{ mg/L} (10 \ \mu\text{g} \\ a.i./L) (all endpoints) \\\hline \hline & & a.i./L) (all endpoints) \\\hline \hline & & & & & & & \\ \hline & & & & & & & \\ \hline & & & &$
72-h AcuteR403092/ Compound 2 EC_{50} : >10 mg/L (all endpoints); NOEC: 10 mg/LNo classification196682472-h AcuteR403814/ Compound 3 EC_{50} : >10 mg/L (all endpoints); classificationNo 196682572-h AcuteR403814/ Compound 3 EC_{50} : >10 mg/L (all endpoints); classificationNo 196682572-h AcuteR408500/ Compound 3 EC_{50} : >10 mg/L (all endpoints); classification1966825
72-h AcuteR403092/ Compound 2EC $_{50}$. >10 mg/L (all endpoints); NOEC: 10 mg/LNo190082472-h AcuteR403814/ Compound 3EC $_{50}$: >10 mg/L (all endpoints); NOEC: <10 mg/L
Compound 2endpoints), NOEC: 10 mg/L classification72-h AcuteR403814/ Compound 3EC ₅₀ : >10 mg/L (all endpoints); NOEC: <10 mg/L
72-h AcuteR403814/ Compound 3EC_{50}: >10 mg/L (all endpoints); NOEC: <10 mg/LNo classification196682572 h Acute $R408500/$ $EC_{10} \approx 10 mg/L$ 1066825
$\frac{1}{2} h Neutron of the second of the $
1000000000000000000000000000000000000
72 h A outo $P_{409500/}$ EC $> 10 m^{-1}$ (all No. 100000
/2-n Acute K408509/ EC ₅₀ : > 10 mg/L (all NO 1966826
Compound 8 endpoints); classification
NOEC: <10 mg/L
72-h AcuteR408631/ EC_{50} : >10 mg/L (allNo1966823
Compound 7 endpoints) classification
NOEC: <10 mg/L
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
Compound 26 endpoints) classification NOEC: <10 mg/L
Blue-green algae96-h AcutePicoxystrobin EC_{50} : >3000 µg a.i./LNo1893564
(Anabaena flos- (all endpoints) classification
aquae) NOEC: 3 µg a.i./L
Duckweed (<i>Lemna</i> 7-d Dissolved Picoxystrobin EC_{50} : 230 µg a.i./L No 1893563
gibba) (yield from frond classification
NOFC: 49 µg a i /I

Organism	Exposure	Test substance	Endpoint value	Degree of toxicity ¹	Reference
			(all endpoints)		
Microcosm with	126-d Chronic	250 g a.i./L SC	NOAEEC: 12 µg	No	1893462
phytoplankton,		formulation	a.i./L (effects on	classification	
zooplankton and			zooplankton and		
macroinvertebrate			macroinvertebrates)		
S					
Marine/estuarine s	pecies				
Mysid shrimp	96-h Acute	Picoxystrobin	LC ₅₀ : 33 µg a.i./L	Very highly	1893452
(Americamysis			NOEC: 24 μg a.1./L	toxic	
bahia)			(mortality and		
		D' 11	sublethal effects)		1002450
	29-d Chronic	Picoxystrobin	NOEC: 3.6 μ g a.1./L	No	1893458
			(young per adult)	classification	1000451
Eastern oyster	96-h Acute	Picoxystrobin	Shell deposition:	Very highly	1893451
(Crassostrea			EC_{50} : 5.7 µg a.1./L	toxic	
virginica)			NOEC: <1.4 μg a.1./L		1000170
Sheepshead	96-h Acute	Picoxystrobin	LC_{50} : 330 µg a.1./L	Highly toxic	1893453
minnow			NOEC (mortality):		
(Cyprinodon	22 15 1 1 2 6	D' / 1'	200 µg a.1./L	N	1002460
variegatus)	33-d Early Life	Picoxystrobin	NOEC (length and	No	1893460
	Stage	D' 11	weight): 21 µg a.1./L	classification	10005/0
Saltwater diatom	96-h Acute	Picoxystrobin	EC ₅₀ : 4 μ g a.1./L	No	1893562
(Skeletonema			(yield)	classification	
costatum)			NOEC: 2.3 µg a.1./L		
			(all endpoints)		1

¹ US EPA classification where applicable

Table 15 Screening level risk assessment of picoxystrobin to aquatic organisms

Organism	Exposure	Endpoint value	EEC	RQ	Level of Concern					
Freshwater species	Freshwater species									
Aquatic invertebrates	Acute	$HC_5 = 16 \ \mu g \ a.i./L$	Sweet corn use: 85 µg a.i./L	5.3	Exceeded					
			Dry legume use: 51 µg a.i./L	3.2	Exceeded					
Daphnia magna	Chronic	NOEC = 8 μ g a.i./L	Sweet corn use: 85 µg a.i./L	10.6	Exceeded					
			Dry legume use: 51 µg a.i./L	6.4	Exceeded					
Midge (Chironomus	Chronic, spiked water	NOEC = 19.6 μ g a.i./L	Sweet corn use: 85 µg a.i./L	4.3	Exceeded					
riparius)			Dry legume use: 51 µg a.i./L	2.6	Exceeded					
Freshwater fish	Acute	$HC_5 = 44.7 \ \mu g \ a.i./L$	Sweet corn use: 85 µg a.i./L	1.9	Exceeded					
			Dry legume use: 51 µg a.i./L	1.1	Exceeded					
Fathead minnow (<i>Pimephales</i>	Chronic	NOEC = $10 \ \mu g \ a.i./L$	Sweet corn use: 85 µg a.i./L	8.5	Exceeded					
promelas)			Dry legume use: 51 µg a.i./L	5.1	Exceeded					

Organism	Exposure	Endpoint value	EEC	RQ	Level of Concern
	Early Life	NOEC = 36 μg a.i./L	Sweet corn use: 85	2.4	Exceeded
	Stage		ug a.i./L		
			Dry legume use: 51	1.4	Exceeded
			μg a.i./L		
Amphibians	Acute	$HC_5 = 44.7 \ \mu g \ a.i./L$	Sweet corn use: 454	10.2	Exceeded
_			μg a.i./L		
			Dry legume use: 271	6.1	Exceeded
			μg a.i./L		
	Chronic	NOEC = $10 \ \mu g \ a.i./L$	Sweet corn use: 454	45.4	Exceeded
			μg a.i./L		
			Dry legume use: 271	27.1	Exceeded
			μg a.i./L		
	Early Life	NOEC = $36 \ \mu g \ a.i./L$	Sweet corn use: 454	12.6	Exceeded
	Stage		μg a.i./L		
			Dry legume use: 271	7.5	Exceeded
			μg a.i./L		
Green algae	Acute	$EC_{50}/2 = 16.4 \ \mu g \ a.i./L$	Sweet corn use: 85	5.2	Exceeded
(Selenastrum			μg a.i./L		
capricornutum)			Dry legume use: 51	3.1	Exceeded
			μg a.i./L		
Duckweed (Lemna	Dissolved	$EC_{50}/2 = 115 \ \mu g \ a.i./L$	Sweet corn use: 85	0.7	Not
gibba)			μg a.i./L		exceeded
			Dry legume use: 51	0.4	Not
			μg a.1./L		exceeded
Marine species				6.0	F 11
Mysid shrimp	Acute	$LC_{50}/2 = 16.5 \ \mu g \ a.1./L$	Sweet corn use: 85	5.2	Exceeded
(Americamysis			μg a.1./L	2.1	
bahia)			Dry legume use: 51	3.1	Exceeded
	Classic	$NOEC = 2 (\dots , i / I$	μg a.1./L	22.6	
	Chronic	NOEC = $3.6 \mu g a.1./L$	Sweet corn use: 85	23.6	Exceeded
			μg a.1./L	14.0	
			Dry legume use: 51	14.2	Exceeded
Eastern exister	A auto	$EC_{1/2} = 2.0 \text{ was a } i/I$	μg a.1./L	20.2	Encoded
Eastern öyster	Acute	$EC_{50}/2 = 2.9 \ \mu g \ a.1./L$	Sweet corn use: 85	29.5	Exceeded
(Crassostrea			$\mu g a.i./L$	17.6	Erroadad
virginica)			Dry leguine use. 51	17.0	Exceeded
Sheensheed	Acuto	$I_{C} / 10 - 22 \mu \alpha a i / I$	μg a.i./L Sweet corp use: 85	26	Exceeded
minnow	Acute	$LC_{50}/10 = 35 \ \mu g \ a.l./L$	ug a i /I	2.0	Exceducu
(Cyprinodon			Dry legume use: 51	1.5	Exceeded
(Cyprinouon variegatus)			ug a i /I	1.5	Executed
variegalasj	Farly Life	NOFC = 21 ug a i /I	Sweet corn use: 85	4.0	Exceeded
	Stage	1,010 21 µg a.i./L	ug a i /L	ч. 0	LACCUCU
	Stage		Dry legume use: 51	24	Exceeded
			ugai/L	2.7	LACCOUCU
Saltwater diatom	Acute	$EC_{co}/2 = 2 \text{ ug a i /I}$	Sweet corn use: 85	42.5	Exceeded
(Skeletonema	110410	2000 2 μg u.i./ D		12.0	Encould
costatum)			Dry legume use: 51	25.5	Exceeded
			μg a.i./L		

Table 16Risk quotients for aquatic organisms determined for drift of picoxystrobin
from aerial or field sprayer application on sweet corn and dry legumes using
ASAE medium droplet size

Organism (exposure)	Endpoint (µg a.i./L)	Use pattern	Refined EEC (µg a.i./L)	RQ	Level of Concern
Aquatic	$HC_5 = 16 \ \mu g$	Sweet	Aerial appl. (23% drift): 19.6 µg a.i./L	1.2	Exceeded
(Acute; 24-48	a.1.7 L	com	Ground appl. (6% drift): 5.1 µg a.i./L	0.3	Not exceeded
hrs)		Dry	Aerial appl. (23% drift): 11.7 µg a.i./L	0.7	Not exceeded
		leguines	Ground appl. (6% drift): 3.1 µg a.i./L	0.2	Not exceeded
Daphnia magna	NOEC = $8 \mu g$	Sweet	Aerial appl. (23% drift): 19.6 µg a.i./L	2.4	Exceeded
(Chronic; 21-d)	a.i./L	com	Ground appl. (6% drift): 5.1 µg a.i./L	0.6	Not exceeded
		Dry	Aerial appl. (23% drift): 11.7 µg a.i./L	1.5	Exceeded
		leguines	Ground appl. (6% drift): 3.1 µg a.i./L	0.4	Not exceeded
Midge	NOEC = 19.6	Sweet	Aerial appl. (23% drift): 19.6 µg a.i./L	1.0	Exceeded
(Chironomus riparius)	μg a.i./L	com	Ground appl. (6% drift): 5.1 µg a.i./L	0.3	Not exceeded
(Chronic, 25-d)		Dry legumes	Aerial appl. (23% drift): 11.7 µg a.i./L	0.6	Not exceeded
			Ground appl. (6% drift): 3.1 µg a.i./L	0.2	Not exceeded
Freshwater fish	HC ₅ = 44.7 μg a.i./L	Sweet corn	Aerial appl. (23% drift): 19.6 µg a.i./L	0.4	Not exceeded
(Acute; 96-h)			Ground appl. (6% drift): 5.1 µg a.i./L	0.1	Not exceeded
		Dry legumes	Aerial appl. (23% drift): 11.7 μg a.i./L	0.3	Not exceeded
		8	Ground appl. (6% drift): 3.1 µg a.i./L	0.1	Not exceeded
Fathead	NOEC = 10 µg a.i./L	Sweet corn Dry legumes	Aerial appl. (23% drift): 19.6 µg a.i./L	2.0	Exceeded
minnow (Pimephales			Ground appl. (6% drift): 5.1 µg a.i./L	0.5	Not exceeded
<i>promelas</i>) (Chronic: 28-d)			Aerial appl. (23% drift): 11.7 μg a.i./L	1.2	Exceeded
(Ground appl. (6% drift): 3.1 µg a.i./L	0.3	Not exceeded
Fathead	NOEC = $36 \mu g$	Sweet corn	Aerial appl. (23% drift): 19.6 µg a.i./L	0.5	Not exceeded
minnow (Pimephales	a.1./L		Ground appl. (6% drift): 5.1 µg a.i./L	0.1	Not exceeded
<i>promelas</i>) (Early Life Stage; 36-d)		Dry legumes	Aerial appl. (23% drift): 11.7 µg a.i./L	0.3	Not exceeded
		8	Ground appl. (6% drift): 3.1 µg a.i./L	0.1	Not exceeded
Amphibians	$HC_5 = 44.7 \ \mu g$	Sweet corn	Aerial appl. (23% drift): 104 µg a.i./L	2.3	Exceeded
(Acute, 96-h fish data)	a.1./L		Ground appl. (6% drift): 27.2 µg a.i./L	0.6	Not exceeded
		Dry legumes	Aerial appl. (23% drift): 62.3 µg a.i./L	1.4	Exceeded
		- 0	Ground appl. (6% drift): 16.3 µg a.i./L	0.4	Not exceeded

Organism (exposure)	Endpoint (µg a.i./L)	Use pattern	Refined EEC (µg a.i./L)	RQ	Level of Concern
Amphibians	NOEC = 10 µg a.i./L	Sweet corn	Aerial appl. (23% drift): 104 µg a.i./L	10.4	Exceeded
(Chronic, 28-d, fish data)			Ground appl. (6% drift): 27.2 µg a.i./L	2.7	Exceeded
		Dry	Aerial appl. (23% drift): 62.3 µg a.i./L	6.2	Exceeded
		legumes	Ground appl. (6% drift): 16.3 µg a.i./L	1.6	Exceeded
Amphibians	NOEC = $36 \mu g$	Sweet	Aerial appl. (23% drift): 104 µg a.i./L	2.9	Exceeded
(Early Life Stage, 36-d, fish	a.i./L	com	Ground appl. (6% drift): 27.2 μg a.i./L	0.8	Not exceeded
data)		Dry	Aerial appl. (23% drift): 62.3 µg a.i./L	1.7	Exceeded
		leguines	Ground appl. (6% drift): 16.3 μg a.i./L	0.5	Not exceeded
Green algae	$EC_{50}/2 = 16.4$	Sweet	Aerial appl. (23% drift): 19.6 µg a.i./L	1.2	Exceeded
(Selenastrum capricornutum)	μg a.i./L	Com	Ground appl. (6% drift): 5.1 µg a.i./L	0.3	Not exceeded
(Acute, 72-h)		Dry	Aerial appl. (23% drift): 11.7 µg a.i./L	0.7	Not exceeded
		legumes	Ground appl. (6% drift): 3.1 µg a.i./L	0.2	Not exceeded
Marine species					
Mysid shrimp	LC ₅₀ /2 = 16.5 μg a.i./L	Sweet corn	Aerial appl. (23% drift): 19.6 µg a.i./L	1.2	Exceeded
(<i>Americamysis</i> bahia) (Acute,			Ground appl. (6% drift): 5.1 µg a.i./L	0.3	Not exceeded
96-h)		Dry legumes	Aerial appl. (23% drift): 11.7 µg a.i./L	0.7	Not exceeded
			Ground appl. (6% drift): 3.1 µg a.i./L	0.2	Not exceeded
Mysid shrimp	NOEC = 3.6 μg a.i./L	Sweet corn	Aerial appl. (23% drift): 19.6 µg a.i./L	5.4	Exceeded
(Americamysis bahia)			Ground appl. (6% drift): 5.1 µg a.i./L	1.4	Exceeded
(Chronic, 29-d)		Dry legumes	Aerial appl. (23% drift): 11.7 μg a.i./L	3.3	Exceeded
			Ground appl. (6% drift): 3.1 µg a.i./L	0.9	Not exceeded
Eastern oyster	$EC_{50}/2 = 2.9$ µg a.i./L	Sweet corn	Aerial appl. (23% drift): 19.6 µg a.i./L	6.8	Exceeded
(Crassostrea virginica)			Ground appl. (6% drift): 5.1 µg a.i./L	1.8	Exceeded
(Acute, 96-h)		Dry legumes	Aerial appl. (23% drift): 11.7 µg a.i./L	4.0	Exceeded
		10guilles	Ground appl. (6% drift): 3.1 µg a.i./L	1.1	Exceeded
Sheepshead	$LC_{50}/10 = 33$	Sweet	Aerial appl. (23% drift): 19.6 µg a.i./L	0.6	Not exceeded
minnow (<i>Cyprinodon</i>	μg a.i./L	Com	Ground appl. (6% drift): 5.1 µg a.i./L	0.2	Not exceeded
variegatus) (Acute 96-h)		Dry legumes	Aerial appl. (23% drift): 11.7 μg a.i./L	0.4	Not exceeded
		reguines	Ground appl. (6% drift): 3.1 µg a.i./L	0.1	Not exceeded
Sheepshead	NOEC = $21 \mu g$	Sweet	Aerial appl. (23% drift): 19.6 µg a.i./L	0.9	Not exceeded
minnow	a.i./L		Ground appl. (6% drift): 5.1 µg a.i./L	0.2	Not exceeded

Organism (exposure)	Endpoint (µg a.i./L)	Use pattern	Refined EEC (µg a.i./L)	RQ	Level of Concern
(Cyprinodon variegatus)		Dry legumes	Aerial appl. (23% drift): 11.7 µg a.i./L	0.6	Not exceeded
(Early Life Stage, 33-d)			Ground appl. (6% drift): 3.1 µg a.i./L	0.1	Not exceeded
Saltwater	$EC_{50}/2 = 2 \ \mu g$	Sweet	Aerial appl. (23% drift): 19.6 µg a.i./L	9.8	Exceeded
diatom (Skeletonema	a.i./L	Com	Ground appl. (6% drift): 5.1 µg a.i./L	2.6	Exceeded
<i>costatum</i>) (Acute 96-h)		Dry legumes	Aerial appl. (23% drift): 11.7 μg a.i./L	5.9	Exceeded
(Treate, 50 H)		reguines	Ground appl. (6% drift): 3.1 µg a.i./L	1.5	Exceeded

Table 17Risk quotient for aquatic organisms as determined for run-off of
picoxystrobin in water bodies 80 cm or 15 cm deep

Organism (exposure)	Endpoint value	EEC 90 th percentile concentrations (time-frame)	RQ	Level of Concern
Freshwater species				
Aquatic invertebrates (Acute,	$HC_5 = 16 \ \mu g \ a.i./L$	Sweet corn use (Peak): 7.1 µg a.i./L	0.4	Not exceeded
24-48 h)		Dry legume use (Peak): 4.6 µg a.i./L	0.3	Not exceeded
Daphnia magna (Chronic, 21-d)	NOEC = 8 μ g a.i./L	Sweet corn use (21-d): 4 µg a.i./L	0.5	Not exceeded
		Dry legume use (21-d): 2.9 µg a.i./L	0.4	Not exceeded
Midge (<i>Chironomus</i> <i>riparius</i>) (Chronic, 25-d)	NOEC = 19.6 μ g a.i./L	Sweet corn use (21-d): Overlying water: 4 µg a.i./L Pore water: 2.3 µg a.i./L	0.2 0.1	Not exceeded
		Dry legume use (21-d): Overlying water: 2.9 µg a.i./L Pore water: 1.4 µg a.i./L	0.2 0.09	Not exceeded
Freshwater fish (Acute, 96-h)	$HC_5 = 44.7 \ \mu g \ a.i./L$	Sweet corn use (96-h): 6.1 µg a.i./L	0.1	Not exceeded
		Dry legume use (96-h): 3.9 µg a.i./L	0.09	Not exceeded
Fathead minnow (<i>Pimephales</i>	NOEC = $10 \ \mu g \ a.i./L$	Sweet corn use (21-d): 4 µg a.i./L	0.4	Not exceeded
<i>promelas</i>) (Chronic, 28-d)		Dry legume use (21-d): 2.9 µg a.i./L	0.3	Not exceeded
Fathead minnow (<i>Pimephales</i>	NOEC = $36 \ \mu g \ a.i./L$	Sweet corn use (21-d): 4 µg a.i./L	0.1	Not exceeded
<i>promelas</i>) (Early Life Stage, 36-d)		Dry legume use (21-d): 2.9 µg a.i./L	0.08	Not exceeded
Amphibians Acute, 96-h, fish data)	$HC_5 = 44.7 \ \mu g \ a.i./L$	Sweet corn use (96-h, 15 cm): 15 µg a.i./L	0.3	Not exceeded
		Dry legume use (96-h, 15 cm): 9.5 μg a.i./L	0.2	Not exceeded
Amphibians (Chronic, 28-d, fish	NOEC = $10 \ \mu g \ a.i./L$	Sweet corn use (21-d, 15 cm): 5.7 µg a.i./L	0.6	Not exceeded

Organism (exposure)	Endpoint value	EEC 90 th percentile concentrations (time-frame)	RQ	Level of Concern
data)		Dry legume use (21-d, 15 cm): 4.2 μg a.i./L	0.4	Not exceeded
Amphibians (Early Life Stage, 36-d,	NOEC = $36 \ \mu g \ a.i./L$	Sweet corn use (21-d, 15 cm): 5.7 µg a.i./L	0.2	Not exceeded
fish data)		Dry legume use (21-d, 15 cm): 4.2 μg a.i./L	0.1	Not exceeded
Green algae (Selenastrum	$EC_{50}/2 = 16.4 \ \mu g \ a.i./L$	Sweet corn use (Peak): 7.1 µg a.i./L	0.4	Not exceeded
<i>capricornutum</i>) (Acute, 72-h)		Dry legume use (Peak): 4.6 µg a.i./L	0.3	Not exceeded
Marine species			<u> </u>	
Mysid shrimp (Americamysis	$LC_{50}/2 = 16.5 \ \mu g \ a.i./L$	Sweet corn use (96-h): 0.78 µg a.i./L	0.05	Not exceeded
<i>bahia</i>) (Acute, 96- h)		Dry legume use (96-h): not determined ¹		
Mysid shrimp (Americamysis	NOEC = $3.6 \ \mu g \ a.i./L$	Sweet corn use (21-d): 0.47 µg a.i./L	0.1	Not exceeded
<i>bahia</i>) (Chronic, 29- d)		Dry legume use (21-d): not determined ¹		
Eastern oyster (<i>Crassostrea</i>	$EC_{50}/2 = 2.9 \ \mu g \ a.i./L$	Sweet corn use (96-h): 0.78 µg a.i./L	0.3	Not exceeded
<i>virginica</i>) (Acute, 96-h)		Dry legume use (96-h): not determined ¹		
Sheepshead minnow (<i>Cyprinodon</i>	$LC_{50}/10 = 33 \ \mu g \ a.i./L$	Sweet corn use (96-h): 0.78 µg a.i./L	0.02	Not exceeded
<i>variegatus</i>) (Acute, 96-h)		Dry legume use (96-h): not determined ¹		
Sheepshead minnow (<i>Cyprinodon</i>	NOEC = 21 μ g a.i./L	Sweet corn use (21-d): 0.47 µg a.i./L	0.02	Not exceeded
variegatus) (Early Life Stage, 33-d)		Dry legume use (21-d): not determined ¹		
Saltwater diatom (Skeletonema	$EC_{50}/2 = 2 \ \mu g \ a.i./L$	Sweet corn use (96-h): 0.78 µg a.i./L	0.4	Not exceeded
<i>costatum</i>) (Acute, 96-h)		Dry legume use (96-h): not determined ¹		

¹ EECs as a result of picoxystrobin use on dry legumes were not generated, as the hectarage of these crops grown in coastal areas like British Columbia and Atlantic Canada was small. Exposure estimates from sweet corn use will cover off those for dry legumes, as the cumulative seasonal rates of application to sweet corn are higher than those for dry legumes.

Table 18Screening level risk assessment of transformation products of picoxystrobin
to freshwater aquatic organisms from the proposed use on sweet corn

Organism	Exposure	Endpoint value	EEC	RQ	Level of
					Concern
Compound 2					
Daphnia magna	Acute	EC ₅₀ /2 >5000 μg/L	82 μg/L	< 0.02	Not exceeded
Fathead minnow	Acute	LC ₅₀ /10 >1000 µg/L	82 μg/L	< 0.08	Not exceeded
(Pimephales promelas)					
Amphibian (fish data	Acute	$LC_{50}/10 > 1000 \ \mu g/L$	437 μg/L	<0.4	Not exceeded
used as a surrogate)					
Green algae	Acute	$EC_{50}/2 > 5000 \ \mu g/L$	82 μg/L	< 0.02	Not exceeded

Organism	Exposure	Endpoint value	EEC	RQ	Level of Concern
(Selenastrum					
capricornutum)					
Compound 3			·		<u>.</u>
Daphnia magna	Acute	EC ₅₀ /2 >5000 μg/L	38 μg/L	< 0.008	Not exceeded
Fathead minnow	Acute	$LC_{50}/10 > 1000 \ \mu g/L$	38 µg/L	< 0.04	Not exceeded
(Pimephales promelas)					
Amphibian (fish data	Acute	$LC_{50}/10 > 1000 \ \mu g/L$	202 µg/L	< 0.2	Not exceeded
used as a surrogate)					
Green algae	Acute	EC ₅₀ /2 >5000 μg/L	38 μg/L	< 0.008	Not exceeded
(Selenastrum					
capricornutum)					
Compound 8					
Daphnia magna	Acute	$EC_{50}/2 > 5000 \ \mu g/L$	69 µg/L	< 0.01	Not exceeded
Fathead minnow	Acute	LC ₅₀ /10 >1000 µg/L	69 µg/L	< 0.07	Not exceeded
(Pimephales promelas)					
Amphibian (fish data	Acute	LC ₅₀ /10 >1000 µg/L	367	<0.4	Not exceeded
used as a surrogate)					
Green algae	Acute	$EC_{50}/2 > 5000 \ \mu g/L$	69 µg/L	< 0.01	Not exceeded
(Selenastrum					
capricornutum)					
Compound 7	1			-	-
Daphnia magna	Acute	EC ₅₀ /2 >5000 μg/L	72 μg/L	< 0.01	Not exceeded
Fathead minnow	Acute	LC ₅₀ /10 >1000 µg/L	72 μg/L	< 0.07	Not exceeded
(Pimephales promelas)					
Amphibian (fish data	Acute	LC ₅₀ /10 >1000 µg/L	385 μg/L	<0.4	Not exceeded
used as a surrogate)					
Green algae	Acute	EC ₅₀ /2 >5000 μg/L	72 μg/L	< 0.01	Not exceeded
(Selenastrum					
capricornutum)					
Compound 26	T			-	1
Daphnia magna	Acute	$EC_{50}/2 = 4000 \ \mu g/L$	41 μg/L	0.01	Not exceeded
Rainbow trout	Acute	LC ₅₀ /10 >1000 µg/L	41 µg/L	< 0.04	Not exceeded
(Oncorhynchus mykiss)					
Amphibian (fish data	Acute	LC ₅₀ /10 >1000 µg/L	219 μg/L	< 0.2	Not exceeded
used as a surrogate)					
Green algae	Acute	EC ₅₀ /2 >5000 µg/L	41 µg/L	< 0.008	Not exceeded
(Selenastrum					
capricornutum)					

Table 19Toxic Substances Management Policy Considerations-Comparison to TSMP
Track 1 Criteria

TSMP Track 1 Criteria	TSMP Track 1 Criterion		Active Ingredient
	value		Endpoints
Toxic or toxic equivalent	Yes		Yes
as defined by the			
Canadian Environmental			
Protection Act ¹			
Predominantly	Yes		Yes
anthropogenic ²			
Persistence ³ :	Soil	Half-life	DT ₅₀ of 23.6 to 36.1 days
		\geq 182 days	

TSMP Track 1 Criteria	TSMP Track	k 1 Criterion	Active Ingredient
	value		Endpoints
	Water	Half-life	DT_{50} of 3.6 to 17.3 days in the water phase of aerobic
		\geq 182 days	and anaerobic water-sediment systems
	Sediment	Half-life	DT_{50} of 36.6 to 67.2 days in the sediment phase of
		\geq 365 days	aerobic and anaerobic water-sediment systems
	Air Half-life≥		Volatilisation is not an important route of dissipation and
		2 days or	long-range atmospheric transport is unlikely to occur
		evidence of	based on the vapour pressure (0.0000055 Pa at 20°C)
		long range	and Henry's Law Constant (6.13 x 10^{-9} atm·m ³ /mol at
		transport	20°C).
Bioaccumulation ⁴	$Log K_{OW} \ge 5$		$\log K_{\rm OW} = 3.6$
	$BCF \ge 5000$		BCF = 290
	$BAF \ge 5000$		Not available
Is the chemical a TSMP Track 1 substance (all four			No, does not meet TSMP Track 1 criteria.
criteria must be met)?			

¹All pesticides will be considered toxic or toxic equivalent for the purpose of initially assessing a pesticide against the TSMP criteria. Assessment of the toxicity criterion may be refined if required (i.e., all other TSMP criteria are met).

²The policy considers a substance "predominantly anthropogenic" if, based on expert judgement, its concentration in the environment medium is largely due to human activity, rather than to natural sources or releases.

³ If the pesticide and/or the transformation product(s) meet one persistence criterion identified for one media (soil, water, sediment or air) than the criterion for persistence is considered to be met.

⁴Field data (example,, BAFs) are preferred over laboratory data (example,, BCFs) which, in turn, are preferred over chemical properties (example,, log K_{OW}).

Table 20Registered alternative products for the crops and pests proposed for
registration on the DuPont Acapela Fungicide label. Please note that some
active ingredients may not be registered on the entire crop group.

Crop/Crop Group	Pest(s)	Alternative Chemical Classes
		(Mode of Action Group)
Cereals (wheat, barley, oats, rye,	leaf rust	propiconazole (3)
triticale)		prothioconazole (3)
		tebuconazole (3)
		metconazole (3)
		azoxystrobin (11)
		pyraclostrobin (11)
		trifloxystrobin (11)
		mancozeb (M)
	septoria leaf blotch	propiconazole (3)
		prothioconazole (3)
		tebuconazole (3)
		metconazole (3)
		azoxystrobin (11)
		pyraclostrobin (11)
		trifloxystrobin (11)
		chlorothalonil (M)
		mancozeb (M)
	powdery mildew	propiconazole (3)
		triadimenol (3)
		pyraclostrobin (11)
		trifloxystrobin (11)
	tan spot (wheat)	propiconazole (3)

Crop/Crop Group	Pest(s)	Alternative Chemical Classes (Mode of Action Group)
		prothioconazole (3)
		tebuconazole (3)
		metconazole (3)
		azoxystrobin (11)
		pyraclostrobin (11)
		trifloxystrobin (11)
		chlorothalonil (M)
		mancozeb (M)
Corn (field, sweet, seed, popcorn)	northern corn leaf blight	propiconazole (3)
		azoxystrobin (11)
Soybean	Asian soybean rust	propiconazole (3)
		metconazole (3)
		azoxystrobin (11)
		pyraclostrobin (11)
		Bacillus subtilis (44)
	frogeye leafspot	propiconazole (3)
		pyraclostrobin (11)
		Bacillus subtilis (44)
	brown spot	Bacillus subtilis (44)
	sclerotinia stem rot	fluazinam (29)
		Coniothyrium minitans (NC)
Dry legumes	Asian soybean rust	azoxystrobin (11)
		pyraclostrobin (11)
	mycosphaerella blight (pea)	azoxystrobin (11)
		pyraclostrobin (11)
		boscalid (7)
	white mould	thiophanate-methyl (1)
		iprodione (2)
		vinclozin (2)
		boscalid (7)
		cyprodinil (9) + fludioxonil (12)
		dicloran (14)
		fluazinam (29)
		Bacillus subtilis (44)
		Coniothyrium minitans (NC)

Table 21Use (label) Claims Proposed by Applicant and Whether Acceptable or
Unsupported

Proposed use claim	Supported / Unsupported
Control of tan spot (Pyrenophora trichostoma) on	Supported at $0.44 - 0.88$ L/ha on wheat only.
cereals at a rate of $0.44 - 0.6$ L/ha; under high disease	
pressure use 0.88 L/ha.	
Control of powdery mildew (Erysiphe graminis) on	Supported at 0.44 – 0.88 L/ha on crop group.
cereals at a rate of $0.44 - 0.6$ L/ha; under high disease	
pressure use 0.88 L/ha.	
Control of leaf rust (Puccinia recondita) on cereals at a	Supported at $0.44 - 0.88$ L/ha on wheat, rye and
rate of $0.44 - 0.6$ L/ha; under high disease pressure use	triticale.
0.88 L/ha.	
Control of septoria leaf blotch (Septoria spp.) on cereals	Supported at $0.44 - 0.88$ L/ha on wheat, barley, rye and
at a rate of $0.44 - 0.6$ L/ha; under high disease pressure	triticale.

Proposed use claim	Supported / Unsupported
use 0.88 L/ha.	
Control of northern leaf blight (Setosphaeria turcica,	Supported as proposed.
<i>Exserohilum turcicum</i>) on corn at 0.44 – 0.8 L/ha.	
Control of asian soybean rust (Phakopsora pachyrhizi)	Supported at proposed rates on crop group.
on dry legumes at $0.6 - 0.88$ L/ha.	
Control of white mould (Sclerotinia sclerotiorum) on	Supported as suppression at proposed rate on crop
dry legumes at 0.88 L/ha.	group. Two additional trials are required to confirm the
	level of efficacy.
Control of mycosphaerella blight (Mycosphaerella	Supported at proposed rates on field pea. One
<i>pinodes</i>) on dry legumes at $0.6 - 0.88$ L/ha.	additional trial is required to confirm the level of
	efficacy.
Control of frogeye leafspot (Cercospora sojina) on	Supported as proposed.
soybeans at 0.44 – 0.88 L/ha.	
Control of asian soybean rust (Phakopsora pachyrhizi)	Supported as proposed.
on soybeans 0.44 – 0.88 L/ha.	
Control of sclerotinia stem rot (Sclerotinia sclerotiorum)	Supported as suppression at proposed rate. Two
on soybeans at 0.88 L/ha.	additional trials are required to confirm the level of
	efficacy.
Control of brown spot (Septoria glycines) on soybeans	Supported at proposed rates. Two additional trials are
at 0.44 – 0.88 L/ha.	required to confirm the level of efficacy.
Aerial application to all proposed crops at a minimum	Supported on proposed crops at a minimum spray
spray volume of 40 L water/ha.	volume of 50 L water /ha.

Appendix II Supplemental Maximum Residue Limit Information—International Situation and Trade Implications

Picoxystrobin is a new active ingredient which is concurrently being registered in the US. The US EPA is in agreement with the specified Canadian MRLs and will be promulgating the same tolerances (<u>40 CFR Part 180</u>), except several commodities. Codex MRLs¹⁰ (*Codex MRLs* searchable by pesticide or commodity) have not been established for picoxystrobin on any commodity.

Table 1	Differences Between	Canadian MRLs and i	in Other Jurisdictions
---------	----------------------------	---------------------	------------------------

Commodity	Canada (ppm)	U.S. (ppm)	Codex (ppm)
Barley bran	0.5	-	Not reviewed by Codex
Barley	0.3	0.3	
Wheat germ	0.09	0.09	
Crop Subgroup 20A (Rapeseed Subgroup)	0.08	0.08^{a}	
Corn oil	0.07	0.07	
Crop Subgroup 6C (Dried Shelled Pea and Bean, except soybean)	0.06	0.06	
Wheat bran	0.06	0.06	
Dry soybeans	0.05	0.05	
Crop Group 15 (Cereal Grains, except barley and rice)	0.04	0.04	
Cream	-	0.01	
Eggs	0.01	-	
Fat, meat and meat by-products of cattle, goats, hogs, horses, poultry, and sheep	0.01	-	
Fat, meat and meat by-products (except kidney) of cattle, goats, horses, and sheep	_	0.01	
Milk	0.01	0.01	

^a For canola only in the US.

MRLs may vary from one country to another for a number of reasons, including differences in pesticide use patterns and the locations of the field crop trials used to generate residue chemistry data. For animal commodities, differences in MRLs can be due to different livestock feed items and practices.

¹⁰ Codex is an international organization under the auspices of the United Nations that develops international food standards, including MRLs.

Under the North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA), Canada, the United States and Mexico are committed to resolving MRL discrepancies to the broadest extent possible. Harmonization will standardize the protection of human health across North America and promote the free trade of safe food products. Until harmonization is achieved, the Canadian MRLs specified in this document are necessary. The differences in MRLs outlined above are not expected to impact businesses negatively or adversely affect international competitiveness of Canadian firms or to negatively affect any regions of Canada.

References

A. List of Studies/Information Submitted by Registrant

1.0 Chemistry

PMRA Document Number: 1893566 Reference: 2007, Picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669): Laboratory study of melting point, DACO: 2.14.4

PMRA Document Number: 1893567 Reference: 2007, Picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669): Laboratory study of n-octanol / water partition coefficient, DACO: 2.14.11,8.2.1

PMRA Document Number: 1893568 Reference: 2007, Picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669): Laboratory study of water solubility, DACO: 2.14.7,8.2.1

PMRA Document Number: 1893569 Reference: 2007, Picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669): Laboratory study of vapour pressure, DACO: 2.14.9,8.2.1

PMRA Document Number: 1893570 Reference: 2010, Picoxystrobin Technical (DPX-YT669): Stability to Normal and Elevated Temperature, Metals and Metal Ions, DACO: 2.14.13

PMRA Document Number: 1893571 Reference: 1996, ZA1963: Physical and chemical properties of pure material, DACO: 2.14.10,2.14.12,2.14.8,8.2.1

PMRA Document Number: 1893572 Reference: 1999, ZA1963: Physical and chemical properties of technical material, DACO: 2.14.1,2.14.13,2.14.2,2.14.3,2.14.6,2.16

PMRA Document Number: 1893573

Reference: 2009, Validation of the analytical method for the determination of picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) in technical grade picoxystrobin and picoxystrobin end-use product, DACO: 2.13.1

PMRA Document Number: 1893574

Reference: 2009, Validation of the analytical method for the determination of picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) in technical grade picoxystrobin and picoxystrobin end-use product, DACO: 2.13.1 CBI

PMRA Document Number: 1893575

Reference: 2009, Determination of picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) in technical grade picoxystrobin and picoxystrobin end-use product, DACO: 2.13.1

PMRA Document Number: 1893576 Reference: 2010, Picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) Identity, Composition, and Certified Limits, DACO: 2.12.1

PMRA Document Number: 1893577 Reference: 2010, Picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) Identity, Composition, and Certified Limits, DACO: 2.12.1 CBI

PMRA Document Number: 1893578 Reference: 2010, Technical Grade Picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) Manufacturing Description and Formation of Impurities, DACO: 2.11.1,2.11.2,2.11.3,2.11.4,2.13.2,2.7,2.8, 2.9

PMRA Document Number: 1893579 Reference: 2010, Technical Grade Picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) Manufacturing Description and Formation of Impurities, DACO: 2.11.1,2.11.2,2.11.3,2.11.4,2.13.2,2.7,2.8, 2.9 CBI

PMRA Document Number: 1893580 Reference: 2010, Preliminary Batch Analysis of Picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) Technical Produced at the Dupont [PRIVACY REMOVED] Manufacturing Facility, DACO: 2.13.1,2.13.2,2.13.3

PMRA Document Number: 1893582 Reference: 2010, Preliminary Batch Analysis of Picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) Technical Produced at the Dupont [PRIVACY REMOVED] Manufacturing Facility, DACO: 2.13.1,2.13.2,2.13.3 CBI

PMRA Document Number: 2050430 Reference: 2011, Response to the Regulatory Authority in PMRA Regarding Picoxystrobin Manufactured at [PRIVACY REMOVED], DACO: 2.13.1,2.13.2,2.13.3,2.14 CBI

PMRA Document Number: 2050431 Reference: 2010, Determination of picoxystrobin and impurities in picoxystrobin technical [CBI REMOVED] method, DACO: 2.13.1 CBI

PMRA Document Number: 2050432 Reference: 2002, Determination of chemical composistion of analytical standard ASJ10099-02, DACO: 2.13.1 CBI

PMRA Document Number: 2050433 Reference: 2010, n/a, DACO: 2.13.1 CBI

PMRA Document Number: 2050434 Reference: 2008, Certificate of analysis for [CBI REMOVED], DACO: 2.13.1 CBI PMRA Document Number: 2050435 Reference: 2010, Certificate of Analysis [CBI REMOVED], DACO: 2.13.1 CBI

PMRA Document Number: 2050437 Reference: 2010, Certificate of analysis for [CBI REMOVED], DACO: 2.13.1 CBI

PMRA Document Number: 2050439 Reference: 2010, Certificate of Analysis [CBI REMOVED], DACO: 2.13.1 CBI

PMRA Document Number: 2050442 Reference: 2010, Certificate of analysis for [CBI REMOVED], DACO: 2.13.1 CBI

PMRA Document Number: 2050443 Reference: 2003, Picoxystrobin: Mass spectral library of picoxystrobin and related impurities, DACO: 2.13.2 CBI

PMRA Document Number: 2050445 Reference: 2011, Representative batch chromatogram, DACO: 2.13.2 CBI

PMRA Document Number: 2050446 Reference: 2010, Characterization of [CBI REMOVED], DACO: 2.13.2 CBI

PMRA Document Number: 2050449 Reference: 2009, Characterization of [CBI REMOVED], DACO: 2.13.2 CBI

PMRA Document Number: 2050451 Reference: 2010, Characterization of [CBI REMOVED], DACO: 2.13.2 CBI

PMRA Document Number: 2050452 Reference: 2003, Picoxystrobin: Determination of chemical composition of picoxystrobin product standard ASF10165-05, DACO: 2.13.3 CBI

PMRA Document Number: 2067345 Reference: 2011, Picoxystrobin Technical (DPX-YT669): Laboratory Study of Storage Stability and Corrosion Characteristics, DACO: 2.14.14

PMRA Document Number: 2151208 Reference: 2012, Clarifax response Picoxystrobin Technical Fungicide, DACO: 2.13.1,2.13.3 CBI

PMRA Document Number: 2151209 Reference: 2012, Clarifax response Picoxystrobin Technical Fungicide, DACO: 2.13.3 CBI

PMRA Document Number: 2151210 Reference: 2012, Clarifax response Picoxystrobin Technical Fungicide, DACO: 2.13.3 CBI PMRA Document Number: 1893748

Reference: 2010, Picoxystrobin SC (250 g/L active) Suspension Concentrate Formulation (DPX-YT669) : Laboratory Study of Physical and Chemical Characteristics, DACO: 3.5.1,3.5.11,3.5.2,3.5.3,3.5.6,3.5.7,3.5.9

PMRA Document Number: 1893750 Reference: 2002, Determination of physical and chemical properties: A12796B, DACO: 3.5.12,3.5.8

PMRA Document Number: 1893751 Reference: 2010, Picoxystrobin SC (250 g/L active) Suspension Concentrate Formulation (DPX-YT669): Laboratory Study of Shelf-Life Stability and Corrosion Characteristics, DACO: 3.5.10,3.5.14

PMRA Document Number: 1893752 Reference: 2010, Picoxystrobin 250 g/L Suspension Concentrate Formulation: to Address Select Physical and Chemical Characteristics Data Requirements, DACO: 3.5.10,3.5.13,3.5.15

PMRA Document Number: 1893756 Reference: 2010, Product Identity and Composition of End-Use Product Picoxystrobin 250SC (DPX-YT669 22.52 SC), a Single Active Suspension Concentrate (22.52% active), DACO: 3.2.1,3.2.2,3.2.3,3.3.1

PMRA Document Number: 1893757

Reference: 2010, Product Identity and Composition of End-Use Product Picoxystrobin 250SC (DPX-YT669 22.52 SC), a Single Active Suspension Concentrate (22.52% ACTIVE), DACO: 3.2.1,3.2.2,3.2.3,3.3.1 CBI

PMRA Document Number: 1893759

Reference: 2009, Determination of picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) in technical grade picoxystrobin and picoxystrobin end-use product, DACO: 3.4.1

PMRA Document Number: 1893761

Reference: 2009, Validation of the analytical method for the determination of picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) in technical grade picoxystrobin and picoxystrobin end-use product, DACO: 3.4.1

PMRA Document Number: 1893762

Reference: 2009, Validation of the analytical method for the determination of picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) in technical grade picoxystrobin and picoxystrobin end-use product, DACO: 3.4.1 CBI

PMRA Document Number: 1893553

Reference: 2010, Analytical method for the determination of picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) and its metabolites (IN-QDK50, IN-QDY62, and IN-QDY63) in soil using HPLC/ESI-MS/MS, DACO: 8.2.2.1,8.2.2.2

PMRA Document Number: 1893554

Reference: 2010, Independent Laboratory Validation of ¿¿¿Analytical Method for the Determination of Picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) and its Metabolites (IN-QDK50, IN-QDY62, AND IN-QDY63) in Soil Using HPLC/ESI-MS/MS¿¿¿, DACO: 8.2.2.1,8.2.2.2

PMRA Document Number: 1893555 Reference: 2010, Monitoring Methods for Picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) in Soil by HPLC/ESI-MS/MS, DACO: 8.2.2.1,8.2.2.2

PMRA Document Number: 1893556 Reference: 1996, ZA1963, R403092, R403814 & R408509: Validation of an analytical method for the determination of residues in soil, DACO: 8.2.2.1,8.2.2.2

PMRA Document Number: 1893557 Reference: 2010, Analytical method for the determination of picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) in water using HPLC/ESI-MS/MS, DACO: 8.2.2.3

PMRA Document Number: 1893558 Reference: 2010, Independent laboratory validation of "analytical method for the determination of picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) in water using HPLC/ESI-MS/MS", DACO: 8.2.2.3

PMRA Document Number: 1893559 Reference: 1996, E1963: Validation of a method for the determination of residues of E1963 in water, DACO: 8.2.2.3

PMRA Document Number: 1893585 Reference: 2010, Analytical method for the determination of picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) in animal tissues by HPLC/ESI MS/MS, DACO: 8.2.2.4

PMRA Document Number: 1966841 Reference: 1998, Residue Analytical Method for the Determination of ZA1963 and its Metabolites in Soil, DACO: 8.2.2

2.0 Human and Animal Health

PMRA Document Number: 1893447 Reference: 2010, Picoxystrobin Active Substance and Plant Protection Products (s) Comprehensive Data Summaries, DACO: 4.1,6.1,8.1,9.1,9.2.1,9.3.1,9.4.1,9.5.1,9.6.1,9.8.1

PMRA Document Number: 1893594 Reference: 1998, ZA1963: 4-hour acute inhalation toxicity study in rats, DACO: 4.2.3 PMRA Document Number: 1893595 Reference: 2007, Picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) technical: Acute oral toxicity study in rats - up-and-down procedure, DACO: 4.2.1

PMRA Document Number: 1893596 Reference: 2007, Picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) technical: Acute dermal toxicity study in rats, DACO: 4.2.2

PMRA Document Number: 1893597 Reference: 2007, Picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) technical: Acute dermal irritation study in rabbits, DACO: 4.2.5

PMRA Document Number: 1893598 Reference: 2007, Picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) technical: Dermal sensitization -Magnusson-Kligman maximization method, DACO: 4.2.6

PMRA Document Number: 1893599 Reference: 2007, Picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669): Acute eye irritation study in rabbits, DACO: 4.2.4

PMRA Document Number: 1893600 Reference: 2010, Picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) technical: Acute oral neurotoxicity study in rats, DACO: 4.5.12

PMRA Document Number: 1893601 Reference: 2010, Picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) Technical: Summary of Acute Oral Toxicity in Rats, DACO: 4.1,4.2.1

PMRA Document Number: 1893602 Reference: 1999, ZA1963: 80 Week carcinogenicity study in mice, DACO: 4.4.3

PMRA Document Number: 1893603 Reference: 1999, ZA1963: 80 Week carcinogenicity study in mice, DACO: 4.4.3

PMRA Document Number: 1893604 Reference: 1999, ZA1963: 80 Week carcinogenicity study in mice, DACO: 4.4.3

PMRA Document Number: 1893605 Reference: 1999, ZA1963: 80 Week carcinogenicity study in mice, DACO: 4.4.3

PMRA Document Number: 1893606 Reference: 1999, ZA1963: 80 Week carcinogenicity study in mice, DACO: 4.4.3

PMRA Document Number: 1893607 Reference: 1999, ZA1963: 80 Week carcinogenicity study in mice, DACO: 4.4.3 PMRA Document Number: 1893608 Reference: 1999, ZA1963: 80 Week carcinogenicity study in mice, DACO: 4.4.3

PMRA Document Number: 1893609 Reference: 1999, ZA1963: 80 Week carcinogenicity study in mice, DACO: 4.4.3

PMRA Document Number: 1893610 Reference: 1999, ZA1963: 2 year dietary toxicity and oncogenicity study in rats, DACO: 4.4.1,4.4.2,4.4.3,4.4.4

PMRA Document Number: 1893611 Reference: 1999, ZA1963: 2 year dietary toxicity and oncogenicity study in rats, DACO: 4.4.1,4.4.2,4.4.3,4.4.4

PMRA Document Number: 1893612 Reference: 1999, ZA1963: 2 year dietary toxicity and oncogenicity study in rats, DACO: 4.4.1,4.4.2,4.4.3,4.4.4

PMRA Document Number: 1893613 Reference: 1999, ZA1963: 2 year dietary toxicity and oncogenicity study in rats, DACO: 4.4.1,4.4.2,4.4.3,4.4.4

PMRA Document Number: 1893614 Reference: 1999, ZA1963: 2 year dietary toxicity and oncogenicity study in rats, DACO: 4.4.1,4.4.2,4.4.3,4.4.4

PMRA Document Number: 1893615 Reference: 1999, ZA1963: 2 year dietary toxicity and oncogenicity study in rats, DACO: 4.4.1,4.4.2,4.4.3,4.4.4

PMRA Document Number: 1893616 Reference: 1999, ZA1963: 2 year dietary toxicity and oncogenicity study in rats, DACO: 4.4.1,4.4.2,4.4.3,4.4.4

PMRA Document Number: 1893617 Reference: 1999, ZA1963: 2 year dietary toxicity and oncogenicity study in rats, DACO: 4.4.1,4.4.2,4.4.3,4.4.4

PMRA Document Number: 1893618 Reference: 1999, ZA1963: 2 year dietary toxicity and oncogenicity study in rats, DACO: 4.4.1,4.4.2,4.4.3,4.4.4

PMRA Document Number: 1893619 Reference: 1999, ZA1963: 2 year dietary toxicity and oncogenicity study in rats, DACO: 4.4.1,4.4.2,4.4.3,4.4.4 PMRA Document Number: 1893620 Reference: 1999, ZA1963: 2 year dietary toxicity and oncogenicity study in rats, DACO: 4.4.1,4.4.2,4.4.3,4.4.4

PMRA Document Number: 1893621 Reference: 1999, ZA1963: 1 year dietary toxicity study in dogs, DACO: 4.4.5

PMRA Document Number: 1893626 Reference: 1999, ZA1963: 1 year dietary toxicity study in dogs, DACO: 4.4.5

PMRA Document Number: 1893628

Reference: 2010, Picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) Technical: Combined Chronic Toxicity/Carcinogenicity Study 2-Year Feeding Study in Rats - Interim Report, DACO: 4.4.2

PMRA Document Number: 1893629 Reference: 2010, Picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) Technical: Combined Chronic Toxicity/Carcinogenicity Study 2-Year Feeding Study in Rats - Interim Report, DACO: 4.4.2

PMRA Document Number: 1893630

Reference: 2010, Picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) Technical: Combined Chronic Toxicity/Carcinogenicity Study 2-Year Feeding Study in Rats - Interim Report, DACO: 4.4.2

PMRA Document Number: 1893631 Reference: 2010, Picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) Technical: Combined Chronic Toxicity/Carcinogenicity Study 2-Year Feeding Study in Rats - Interim Report,

DACO: 4.4.2

PMRA Document Number: 1893632 Reference: 2010, Picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) Technical: Combined Chronic Toxicity/Carcinogenicity Study 2-Year Feeding Study in Rats - Interim Report, DACO: 4.4.2

PMRA Document Number: 1893633 Reference: 2010, Picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) Technical: Unaudited in Life Data from 18-Month Carcinogenicity Study in Mice, DACO: 4.4.3

PMRA Document Number: 1893634 Reference: 2010, Picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669): Comparative Carcinogenicity Assessment with Other Strobilurin Fungicides, DACO: 4.4.3

PMRA Document Number: 1893635 Reference: 2010, Picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) Technical: Subchronic and Chronic Toxicity in Dogs, DACO: 4.4.5 PMRA Document Number: 1893636 Reference: 1998, ZA1963: Developmental toxicity study in the rat, DACO: 4.5.2

PMRA Document Number: 1893637 Reference: 1998, ZA1963: Developmental toxicity study in the rat, DACO: 4.5.2

PMRA Document Number: 1893638 Reference: 1998, ZA1963: Multigeneration reproduction study in rats, DACO: 4.5.1

PMRA Document Number: 1893639 Reference: 1998, ZA1963: Multigeneration reproduction study in rats, DACO: 4.5.1

PMRA Document Number: 1893640 Reference: 1998, ZA1963: Multigeneration reproduction study in rats, DACO: 4.5.1

PMRA Document Number: 1893641 Reference: 1998, ZA1963: Multigeneration reproduction study in rats, DACO: 4.5.1

PMRA Document Number: 1893642 Reference: 1998, ZA1963: Multigeneration reproduction study in rats, DACO: 4.5.1

PMRA Document Number: 1893643 Reference: 1998, ZA1963: Multigeneration reproduction study in rats, DACO: 4.5.1

PMRA Document Number: 1893644 Reference: 1998, ZA1963: Multigeneration reproduction study in rats, DACO: 4.5.1

PMRA Document Number: 1893645 Reference: 1998, ZA1963: Multigeneration reproduction study in rats, DACO: 4.5.1

PMRA Document Number: 1893646 Reference: 1998, ZA1963: Multigeneration reproduction study in rats, DACO: 4.5.1

PMRA Document Number: 1893647 Reference: 1999, ZA1963: Developmental toxicity study in the rabbit, DACO: 4.5.3

PMRA Document Number: 1893648 Reference: 1999, ZA1963: Developmental toxicity study in the rabbit, DACO: 4.5.3

PMRA Document Number: 1893649 Reference: 2010, Oral (Diet) Two-Generation (One Litter per Generation) Reproduction Toxicity Study of Picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) Technical in Rats, DACO: 4.5.1

PMRA Document Number: 1893650

Reference: 2010, Oral (Diet) Two-Generation (One Litter per Generation) Reproduction Toxicity Study of Picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) Technical in Rats, DACO: 4.5.1
PMRA Document Number: 1893651 Reference: 2010, Oral (Diet) Two-Generation (One Litter per Generation) Reproduction Toxicity Study of Picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) Technical in Rats, DACO: 4.5.1

PMRA Document Number: 1893653 Reference: 2010, Oral (Diet) Two-Generation (One Litter per Generation) Reproduction Toxicity Study of Picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) Technical in Rats, DACO: 4.5.1

PMRA Document Number: 1893654 Reference: 2010, Oral (Diet) Two-Generation (One Litter per Generation) Reproduction Toxicity Study of Picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) Technical in Rats, DACO: 4.5.1

PMRA Document Number: 1893655 Reference: 1996, E1963: An evaluation of mutagenic potential using S.typhimurium and E.coli, DACO: 4.5.4

PMRA Document Number: 1893656 Reference: 1996, E1963: In vitro cytogenetic assay in human lymphocytes, DACO: 4.5.6

PMRA Document Number: 1893658 Reference: 1996, E1963: L5178Y TK+/- mouse lymphoma gene mutation assay, DACO: 4.5.5

PMRA Document Number: 1893659 Reference: 1996, E1963: In vivo rat liver unscheduled DNA synthesis assay, DACO: 4.5.8

PMRA Document Number: 1893660 Reference: 1996, E1963: Mouse bone marrow micronucleus test, DACO: 4.5.7

PMRA Document Number: 1893661 Reference: 1999, ZA1963: Biotransformation in the rat, DACO: 4.5.9

PMRA Document Number: 1893664 Reference: 1997, ZA1963: Whole body autoradiography in the rat, DACO: 4.5.9

PMRA Document Number: 1893668 Reference: 1998, ZA1963: Excretion and tissue distribution of a single oral dose (10 mg/kg) in the rat, DACO: 4.5.9

PMRA Document Number: 1893669 Reference: 1998, ZA1963: Excretion and tissue distribution of a single oral dose (100 mg/kg) in the rat, DACO: 4.5.9

PMRA Document Number: 1893670 Reference: 1998, ZA1963: Excretion and tissue distribution of a single oral dose (10 mg/kg) in the rat following repeat dosing, DACO: 4.5.9 PMRA Document Number: 1893671 Reference: 2010, 14C-Picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669): Plasma and Red Blood Cell Pharmacokinetics and Tissue Distribution in Male and Female Rats, DACO: 4.5.9

PMRA Document Number: 1893672 Reference: 2010, Picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) Technical: 28-Day Immunotoxicity Feeding Study in Rats, DACO: 4.8,4.8(B)

PMRA Document Number: 1893673 Reference: 2010, Picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) Technical: 28-Day Immunotoxicity Feeding Study in Mice, DACO: 4.8,4.8(B)

PMRA Document Number: 1893674 Reference: 1999, ZA1963: 90 day feeding study in rats, DACO: 4.3.1

PMRA Document Number: 1893675 Reference: 1999, ZA1963: 90 day feeding study in rats, DACO: 4.3.1

PMRA Document Number: 1893676 Reference: 1998, ZA1963: 90 day dietary toxicity study in dogs, DACO: 4.3.2

PMRA Document Number: 1893677 Reference: 1998, ZA1963: 90 day dietary toxicity study in dogs, DACO: 4.3.2

PMRA Document Number: 1893678 Reference: 1999, ZA1963: 28 day dermal toxicity study in rats, DACO: 4.3.5

PMRA Document Number: 1893679 Reference: 1999, ZA1963: 28 day dermal toxicity study in rats, DACO: 4.3.5

PMRA Document Number: 1893680 Reference: 1996, ZA1963: 90 day feeding study in mice, DACO: 4.3.1

PMRA Document Number: 1893681 Reference: 2010, Picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) Technical: Subchronic Neurotoxicity 90-Day Feeding Study in Rats, DACO: 4.5.13

PMRA Document Number: 1893682 Reference: 2009, Picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) technical: 28-day repeated-dose dermal toxicity study in rats, DACO: 4.3.5

PMRA Document Number: 2027703 Reference: 2011, Picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) Technical: Acute Inhalation Toxicity Study in Wistar Rats, DACO: 4.2.3 PMRA Document Number: 2046231 Reference: 2011, Picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) technical: Oncogenicity 18-month feeding study in mice, DACO: 4.4.2

PMRA Document Number: 2046232 Reference: 2011, Picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) technical: Oncogenicity 18-month feeding study in mice, DACO: 4.4.2

PMRA Document Number: 2046233 Reference: 2011, Picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) technical: Oncogenicity 18-month feeding study in mice, DACO: 4.4.2

PMRA Document Number: 2046234 Reference: 2011, Picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) technical: Oncogenicity 18-month feeding study in mice, DACO: 4.4.2

PMRA Document Number: 2046235 Reference: 2011, Picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) technical: Oncogenicity 18-month feeding study in mice, DACO: 4.4.2

PMRA Document Number: 2046236 Reference: 2011, Picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) technical: Oncogenicity 18-month feeding study in mice, DACO: 4.4.2

PMRA Document Number: 2046237 Reference: 2011, Picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) technical: Oncogenicity 18-month feeding study in mice, DACO: 4.4.2

PMRA Document Number: 2046238 Reference: 2011, Picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) technical: Combined chronic toxicity/carcinogenicity study 2-year feeding study in rats, DACO: 4.4.4

PMRA Document Number: 2046239 Reference: 2011, Picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) technical: Combined chronic toxicity/carcinogenicity study 2-year feeding study in rats, DACO: 4.4.4

PMRA Document Number: 2046240 Reference: 2011, Picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) technical: Combined chronic toxicity/carcinogenicity study 2-year feeding study in rats, DACO: 4.4.4

PMRA Document Number: 2046241 Reference: 2011, Picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) technical: Combined chronic toxicity/carcinogenicity study 2-year feeding study in rats, DACO: 4.4.4

PMRA Document Number: 2046242

Reference: 2011, Picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) technical: Combined chronic toxicity/carcinogenicity study 2-year feeding study in rats, DACO: 4.4.4

PMRA Document Number: 2046244 Reference: 2011, Picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) technical: Combined chronic toxicity/carcinogenicity study 2-year feeding study in rats, DACO: 4.4.4

PMRA Document Number: 2046246 Reference: 2011, Picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) technical: Combined chronic toxicity/carcinogenicity study 2-year feeding study in rats, DACO: 4.4.4

PMRA Document Number: 2046247 Reference: 2011, Picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) technical: Combined chronic toxicity/carcinogenicity study 2-year feeding study in rats, DACO: 4.4.4

PMRA Document Number: 2046248

Reference: 2011, Picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) technical: Combined chronic toxicity/carcinogenicity study 2-year feeding study in rats, DACO: 4.4.4

PMRA Document Number: 2046249 Reference: 2011, Picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) technical: Combined chronic toxicity/carcinogenicity study 2-year feeding study in rats, DACO: 4.4.4

PMRA Document Number: 2046250 Reference: 2011, Picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) technical: Combined chronic toxicity/carcinogenicity study 2-year feeding study in rats, DACO: 4.4.4

PMRA Document Number: 2046251 Reference: 2011, Picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) technical: Combined chronic toxicity/carcinogenicity study 2-year feeding study in rats, DACO: 4.4.4

PMRA Document Number: 2046252 Reference: 2011, Picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) technical: Combined chronic toxicity/carcinogenicity study 2-year feeding study in rats, DACO: 4.4.4

PMRA Document Number: 2046253 Reference: 2011, Picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) technical: Combined chronic toxicity/carcinogenicity study 2-year feeding study in rats, DACO: 4.4.4

PMRA Document Number: 2046254 Reference: 2011, Picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) technical: Combined chronic toxicity/carcinogenicity study 2-year feeding study in rats, DACO: 4.4.4

PMRA Document Number: 2080091 Reference: 2011, Picoxystrobin: Justification for the Use of a Margin of Exposure Approach for Human Risk Assessment, DACO: 4.1

PMRA Document Number: 2092925

Reference: 1997, ZA1963 Technical Active Ingredient: Eye Irritation to the Rabbit, DACO: 4.2.4

PMRA Document Number: 2092927 Reference: 2011, Historical Control Information in Support of DuPont-24837: Picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) Techncical Oncogenicity 18-Month Feeding Study in Mice., DACO: 4.4

PMRA Document Number: 2092928 Reference: 2011, Historical Control Information in Support of DuPont-26171: Picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) Technoical Oncogenicity 2-Year Chronic Toxicity/Carcinogenicity Feeding Study in Rats., DACO: 4.4

PMRA Document Number: 2092929 Reference: 2011, Picoxystrobin (DPX-YT-669) Technical: Summary of Preliminary Unaudited Data From a 28-Day Inhalation Study in Rats., DACO: 4.3.7

PMRA Document Number: 1893824 Reference: 1999, ZA1963 250 g/l SC formulation: In vitro absorption of ZA1963 through rat and human epidermis, DACO: 5.8

PMRA Document Number: 1893825 Reference: 1999, ZA1963 250 g/l SC formulation: In vivo dermal absorption of ZA1963 in the rat, DACO: 5.8

PMRA Document Number: 1893753 Reference: 2009, Dissipation of dislodgeable foliar residues of PICOXYSTROBIN(picoxystrobin) following application of PICOXYSTROBIN250 g ai/L SC to soybean plants, DACO: 5.9

PMRA Document Number: 1893592 Reference: 1998, ZA1963 Metabolism in the laying hen, DACO: 6.2

PMRA Document Number: 1893593 Reference: 1998, ZA1963 : Metabolism in the goat, DACO: 6.2

PMRA Document Number: 1893586 Reference: 2007, Justification to cite existing data to fulfill the data requirement for nature of residue studies in support of registration of picoxystrobin, DACO: 6.3

PMRA Document Number: 1893587 Reference: 1998, ZA1963: Metabolism in winter wheat, DACO: 6.3

PMRA Document Number: 1893588 Reference: 2001, Picoxystrobin Further investigation of the metabolism in winter wheat, DACO: 6.3

PMRA Document Number: 1893589 Reference: 2006, [Phenyl-U-14C]-picoxystrobin and [pyridinyl-3-14C]-picoxystrobin: Nature of the residue in field grown soybeans, DACO: 6.3 PMRA Document Number: 1893590 Reference: 2003, Metabolism in apples, DACO: 6.3

PMRA Document Number: 1893591 Reference: 2010, Metabolism of 14C-Picoxystrobin (14C-DPX-YT669) in Canola, DACO: 6.3

PMRA Document Number: 1893772 Reference:1998, ZA1963 : Storage stability in cereals stored deep frozen at <-18 degrees, DACO: 7.3

PMRA Document Number: 1893763

Reference: 2010, Analytical method for the determination of picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) in animal tissues by HPLC/ESI MS/MS, DACO: 7.2.1,7.2.2,7.2.3,7.2.5

PMRA Document Number: 1893765 Reference: 2010, Independent Laboratory Validation of DuPont-25997, Analytical Method for the Determination of Picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) in Animal Tissues by HPLC/ESI-MS/MS, DACO: 7.2.1,7.2.2,7.2.3,7.2.5

PMRA Document Number: 1893767 Reference: 2009, Analytical method for the determination of picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669), IN-QDK50, IN-QDY62, AND IN-QDY63 in Crop Matrices by LC/ESI-MS/MS, DACO: 7.2.1,7.2.2,7.2.3,7.2.5

PMRA Document Number: 1893768

Reference: 2009, Analytical method for the determination of picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) and metabolites IN-QDK50, IN-QDY62, and IN-QDY63 in crop matrices using LC/ESI-MS/MS, DACO: 7.2.1,7.2.2,7.2.3,7.2.5

PMRA Document Number: 1893769

Reference: 2010, Independent laboratory validation of DUPONT-29312, "Analytical method for the determination of picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669), IN-QDK50, IN-QDY62 and IN-QDY63 in crop matrices by LC/ESI-MS/MS", DACO: 7.2.1,7.2.2,7.2.3,7.2.5

PMRA Document Number: 1893770

Reference: 2009, Multiresidue Method Testing for DPX-YT669 (Picoxystrobin) and Three Metabolites According to the FDA Pesticide Analytical Manual Volume I (PAM, VOL. I as revised in October 1999), APPENDIX II, DACO: 7.2.4

PMRA Document Number: 1893806

Reference: 2009, Magnitude of residues of picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) in edible tissues and milk of lactating dairy cows following dosing with picoxystrobin fungicide, DACO: 7.3,7.5

PMRA Document Number: 1893807

Reference: 2009, Magnitude of residues of picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) in edible tissues and milk of lactating dairy cows following dosing with picoxystrobin fungicide, DACO: 7.3,7.5

PMRA Document Number: 1893808 Reference: 2010, Magnitude of residues of picoxystrobin in Laying Hen Tissues and Eggs, DACO: 7.3,7.5

PMRA Document Number: 1893780

Reference: 2009, Magnitude and decline of DPX-YT669 (picoxystrobin) residues in field corn following foliar application of DPX-YT669 as a 250SC (250 g a.i./L) - 2008, DACO: 7.4.1,7.4.2,7.4.6

PMRA Document Number: 1893782

Reference: 2010, Magnitude and decline of DPX-YT669 (picoxystrobin) residues in cereals group consisting of wheat and barley following foliar application of DPX-YT669 as a 250SC (250 g ai/L) - 2008, DACO: 7.4.1,7.4.2,7.4.6

PMRA Document Number: 1893783

Reference: 2010, Magnitude and decline of DPX-YT669 (picoxystrobin) residues in cereals group consisting of wheat and barley following foliar application of DPX-YT669 as a 250SC (250 g ai/L) - 2008, DACO: 7.4.1,7.4.2,7.4.6

PMRA Document Number: 1893786

Reference: 2010, Magnitude and decline of DPX-YT669 (picoxystrobin) residues in cereals group consisting of wheat and barley following foliar application of DPX-YT669 as a 250SC (250 g ai/L) - 2008, DACO: 7.4.1,7.4.2,7.4.6

PMRA Document Number: 1893788

Reference: 2009, Magnitude and decline of DPX-YT669 (picoxystrobin) residues in sweet corn following foliar application of DPX-YT669 as a 250SC (250 g ai/L) - 2008, DACO: 7.4.1,7.4.2,7.4.6

PMRA Document Number: 1893789

Reference: 2010, Magnitude and decline of DPX-YT669 (picoxystrobin) residues in soybeans following foliar application of DPX-YT669 as a 250SC (250 g a.i./L) - 2008 and 2009, DACO: 7.4.1,7.4.2,7.4.6

PMRA Document Number: 1893793

Reference: 2010, Magnitude and decline of DPX-YT669 (picoxystrobin) residues in soybeans following foliar application of DPX-YT669 as a 250SC (250 g a.i./L) - 2008 and 2009, DACO: 7.4.1,7.4.2,7.4.6

PMRA Document Number: 1893797 Reference: 2009, Magnitude and decline of DPX-YT669 (picoxystrobin) residues in canola following foliar application of DPX-YT669 as a 250SC - 2008, DACO: 7.4.1.7.4.2.7.4.6

PMRA Document Number: 1893798

Reference: 2009, Magnitude and decline of DPX-YT669 (picoxystrobin) residues in pulses group consisting of dried beans and dried peas following foliar application of DPX-YT669 as a 250SC (250 g a.i./L) - 2008, DACO: 7.4.1,7.4.2,7.4.6

PMRA Document Number: 1893817

Reference: 1998, ZA1963 : Uptake and metabolism in confined rotational crops, DACO: 7.4.3

PMRA Document Number: 1893815 Reference: 1998, ZA1963: Uptake of radioactive residues from field soil plots into following crops, DACO: 7.4.4

PMRA Document Number: 1893816

Reference: 1998, ZA1963: Uptake of radioactive residues from field soil plots into winter wheat, DACO: 7.4.4

PMRA Document Number: 1893800

Reference: 2009, Magnitude of residues of picoxystrobin and its metabolites in processed fractions of field corn following application of DPX-YT669 250SC (250 g ai/L) at 5X maximum label rate - USA, Canada 2008, DACO: 7.4.5

PMRA Document Number: 1893801

Reference: 2010, Magnitude of residues of picoxystrobin and its metabolites in processed fractions of wheat following application of DPX-YT669 250SC (250 g ai/L) at 5x maximum label rate -USA, Canada 2008, DACO: 7.4.5

PMRA Document Number: 1893804

Reference: 2009, Magnitude of residues of picoxystrobin and its metabolites in processed fractions of soybean following application of DPX-YT669 250SC (250 g ai/L) at 5X maximum label rate - USA, Canada 2008, DACO: 7.4.5

PMRA Document Number: 1893805

Reference: 2010, Magnitude of residues of picoxystrobin and its metabolites in processed fractions of canola following application of DPX-YT669 250SC (250 g a.i./L) at 5x maximum label rate - USA, Canada 2008, DACO: 7.4.5

3.0 Environment

PMRA Document Number: 1893449 Reference: 1997, ZA1963: Acute toxicity to *Daphnia magna* of a 250 g/l SC formulation, DACO: 9.3.2

PMRA Document Number: 1893450 Reference: 1997, ZA1963: Acute toxicity to *Daphnia magna*, DACO: 9.3.2 PMRA Document Number: 1893451 Reference: 2009, DPX-YT669 (Picoxystrobin) technical: Acute toxicity to eastern oyster (*Crassostrea virginica*) under flow-through conditions, DACO: 9.4.3

PMRA Document Number: 1893452 Reference: 2009, Picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) Technical: Acute Toxicity to Mysid (*Americamysis bahia*), Under Static-Renewal Conditions, DACO: 9.4.2

PMRA Document Number: 1893453 Reference: 2009, Picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) Technical: Acute Toxicity to Sheepshead Minnow (*Cyprinodon variegatus*) Under Static Conditions, DACO: 9.5.2.3

PMRA Document Number: 1893454 Reference: 1997, ZA1963: Acute toxicity to bluegill sunfish (*Lepomis macrochirus*), DACO: 9.5.2.2

PMRA Document Number: 1893455 Reference: 1997, ZA1963: Acute toxicity to rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) of a 250 g/l SC formulation, DACO: 9.5.2.1

PMRA Document Number: 1893456 Reference: 1996, ZA1963: Acute toxicity to rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss), DACO: 9.5.2.1

PMRA Document Number: 1893457 Reference: 1996, ZA1963: Chronic toxicity to *Daphnia magna*, DACO: 9.3.3

PMRA Document Number: 1893458 Reference: 2010, Picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) technical - life-cycle toxicity test with mysids (*Americamysis bahia*) following draft OPPTS guideline 850.1350, DACO: 9.4.5

PMRA Document Number: 1893459 Reference: 1997, ZA1963: Chronic toxicity to fathead minnow (*Pimephales promelas*) embryos and larvae, DACO: 9.5.3.1

PMRA Document Number: 1893460 Reference: 2009, Picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) technical - early life-stage toxicity test with sheepshead minnow (*Cyprinodon variegatus*), DACO: 9.5.3.1 PMRA Document Number: 1893461 Reference: 1998, ZA1963: Determination of the accumulation and elimination of [¹⁴C]ZA1963 in bluegill sunfish (*Lepomis macrochirus*), DACO: 9.5.6

PMRA Document Number: 1893462 Reference: 1999, ZA1963 : An outdoor pond microcosm study, DACO: 9.9

PMRA Document Number: 1893465 Reference: 1998, ZA1963: An acute oral toxicity study with the Northern Bobwhite Quail, DACO: 9.6.2.1

PMRA Document Number: 1893467 Reference: 2009, Picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) technical: An acute oral toxicity study with the zebra finch (*Poephila guttata*), DACO: 9.6.2.3

PMRA Document Number: 1893469 Reference: 1998, ZA1963: A dietary LC50 study with the Northern Bobwhite, DACO: 9.6.2.4

PMRA Document Number: 1893471 Reference: 1998, ZA1963: A dietary LC50 study with the Mallard, DACO: 9.6.2.5

PMRA Document Number: 1893478 Reference: 2010, Picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) technical: A reproduction study with the northern bobwhite (*Colinus virginianus*), DACO: 9.6.3.1

PMRA Document Number: 1893483 Reference: 1998, ZA1963: A reproduction study with Mallard duck (*Anas platyrhynchos*), DACO: 9.6.3.2

PMRA Document Number: 1893484 Reference: 1997, ZA1963: Toxicity of Technical Material to the Earthworm *Eisenia fetida* in an Artificial Soil Test, DACO: 9.2.3.1

PMRA Document Number: 1893485 Reference: 1999, ZA1963: Toxicity of the Metabolite R403092 (Compound 2) to the Earthworm *Eisenia fetida* in an artificial soil test, DACO: 9.9

PMRA Document Number: 1893486 Reference: 1998, ZA1963: Toxicity of the Metabolite R408509 (Compound 8) to the earthworm *Eisenia fetida* in an artificial soil test, DACO: 9.9

PMRA Document Number: 1893488 Reference: 1998, ZA1963: Toxicity of the Metabolite R403814 (Compound 3) to the earthworm *Eisenia Fetida* in an artificial soil, DACO: 9.9 PMRA Document Number: 1893490 Reference: 2003, Picoxystrobin: Field monitoring programme to investigate the effects on earthworm populations, DACO: 9.9

PMRA Document Number: 1893495 Reference: 2003, Picoxystrobin: Field monitoring programme to investigate the effects on earthworm populations, DACO: 9.9

PMRA Document Number: 1893503 Reference: 2003, Picoxystrobin: Field monitoring programme to investigate the effects on earthworm populations, DACO: 9.9

PMRA Document Number: 1893504 Reference: 2003, Picoxystrobin: Field monitoring programme to investigate the effects on earthworm populations, DACO: 9.9

PMRA Document Number: 1893507 Reference: 2003, Picoxystrobin: Field monitoring programme to investigate the effects on earthworm populations, DACO: 9.9

PMRA Document Number: 1893508 Reference: 2003, Picoxystrobin: Field trials to investigate the effects on earthworm populations in France, DACO: 9.9

PMRA Document Number: 1893510 Reference: 2003, Picoxystrobin: Field trials to investigate the effects on earthworm populations in France, DACO: 9.9

PMRA Document Number: 1893517 Reference: 2003, Picoxystrobin: Field trials to investigate the effects on earthworm populations in France, DACO: 9.9

PMRA Document Number: 1893519 Reference: 2003, Picoxystrobin: Field trials to investigate the effects on earthworm populations in France, DACO: 9.9

PMRA Document Number: 1893522 Reference: 2003, Picoxystrobin: Field trials to investigate the effects on earthworm populations in France, DACO: 9.9

PMRA Document Number: 1893523 Reference: 2003, Picoxystrobin: Field trials to investigate the effects on earthworm populations in France, DACO: 9.9

PMRA Document Number: 1893528 Reference: 2003, Picoxystrobin: Field trials to investigate the effects on earthworm populations in France, DACO: 9.9 PMRA Document Number: 1893529

Reference: 2005, Picoxystrobin: A field study to investigate the forced effect and recovery of earthworm populations following application of a 250 g/L SC formulation (A12796B) in a spring cereal field in south UK, DACO: 9.9

PMRA Document Number: 1893530

Reference: 2003, Picoxystrobin: A field study to investigate the forced effect and recovery of earthworm populations following application of a 250 g/L SC formulation (A12796B) in a spring cereal field in north East Germany, DACO: 9.9

PMRA Document Number: 1893531

Reference: 2003, Picoxystrobin: A field study to investigate the forced effect and recovery of earthworm populations following application of a 250 g/L SC formulation (A12796B) in a spring cereal field in north Germany, DACO: 9.9

PMRA Document Number: 1893533 Reference: 2004, A field study to evaluate the effects of picoxystrobin on the earthworm fauna in cereals in middle Sweden, DACO: 9.9

PMRA Document Number: 1893534 Reference: 2004, A field study to evaluate the effects of picoxystrobin on the earthworm fauna in cereals in middle Sweden, DACO: 9.9

PMRA Document Number: 1893535 Reference: 1999, ZA1963 Investigation of the effects on field earthworm populations of a 250 g ai l⁻¹ SC formulation, DACO: 9.9

PMRA Document Number: 1893536

Reference: 1997, A laboratory study to evaluate the effects of ZA1963 in the parasitic wasp *Aphidius rhopalosiphi*, DACO: 9.2.5,9.2.6,9.2.7

PMRA Document Number: 1893537

Reference: 1999, Semi-field study to evaluate the effects of fresh and aged residues of ZA1963 on *Aphidius rhopalosiphi* in a cereal field in England, DACO: 9.2.5,9.2.6,9.2.7

PMRA Document Number: 1893538

Reference: 1999, A semi-field study to evaluate the effects of fresh and aged residues of ZA1963 on *Chrysoperla carnea* in a cereal field in England, DACO: 9.2.5,9.2.6,9.2.7

PMRA Document Number: 1893539

Reference: 1997, A laboratory study to evaluate the effects of ZA1963 on the predatory mite *Typhlodromus pyri*, DACO: 9.2.5,9.2.6,9.2.7

PMRA Document Number: 1893540

Reference: 1997, ZA1963 Acute contact and oral toxicity to honey bees (*Apis mellifera*) of a 250 g L^{-1} SC formulation, DACO: 9.2.4.1,9.2.4.2

PMRA Document Number: 1893541 Reference: 1997, ZA1963: Acute contact and oral toxicity to honey bees (*Apis mellifera*) of technical material, DACO: 9.2.4.1,9.2.4.2

PMRA Document Number: 1893542 Reference: 1997, ZA1963 Sediment toxicity test with *Chironomus riparius*, DACO: 9.9

PMRA Document Number: 1893543 Reference: 1997, ZA1963 BBA toxicity test with sediment/dwelling *Chironomus riparius*, DACO: 9.9

PMRA Document Number: 1893544 Reference: 1997, ZA1963 : Aqueous hydrolysis in pH 4, 5, 7 and 9 solutions at 25 C and 50 C, DACO: 8.2.3.2

PMRA Document Number: 1893545 Reference: 1998, ZA1963 : Aqueous photolysis at pH 7, DACO: 8.2.3.3.2

PMRA Document Number: 1893546 Reference: 1997, ZA1963 : Soil surface photolysis, DACO: 8.2.3.3.1

PMRA Document Number: 1893547 Reference: 1998, ZA1963 : Metabolism in soil under aerobic laboratory conditions, DACO: 8.2.3.4.2

PMRA Document Number: 1893548 Reference: 1999, ZA1963: Metabolism in soil under anaerobic (flooded) and sterile aerobic laboratory conditions, DACO: 8.2.3.5.6

PMRA Document Number: 1893549 Reference: 1998, ZA1963 : Degradation of ¹⁴C-labelled compound in natural watersediment systems under laboratory conditions, DACO: 8.2.3.5.4

PMRA Document Number: 1893550 Reference: 2010, Behavior of picoxystrobin in anaerobic aquatic systems - request for waiver of anaerobic soil metabolism study, DACO: 8.2.3.4.4

PMRA Document Number: 1893551 Reference: 1997, ZA1963: Adsorption and desorption properties in 6 soils, DACO: 8.2.4.2

PMRA Document Number: 1893552 Reference: 1998, ZA1963 : Volatilisation from soil and leaf surfaces, DACO: 8.2.4.5 PMRA Document Number: 1893553 Reference: 2010, Analytical method for the determination of picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) and its metabolites (IN-QDK50, IN-QDY62, and IN-QDY63) in soil using HPLC/ESI-MS/MS, DACO: 8.2.2.1,8.2.2.2

PMRA Document Number: 1893554 Reference: 2010, Independent laboratory validation of "Analytical method for the determination of picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) and its metabolites (IN-QDK50, IN-QDY62, AND IN-QDY63) in soil using HPLC/ESI-MS/MS", DACO: 8.2.2.1,8.2.2.2

PMRA Document Number: 1893555 Reference: 2010, Monitoring method for picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) in soil by HPLC/ESI-MS/MS, DACO: 8.2.2.1,8.2.2.2

PMRA Document Number: 1893556 Reference: 1996, ZA1963, R403092, R403814 & R408509: Validation of an analytical method for the determination of residues in soil, DACO: 8.2.2.1,8.2.2.2

PMRA Document Number: 1893557 Reference: 2010, Analytical method for the determination of picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) in water using HPLC/ESI-MS/MS, DACO: 8.2.2.3

PMRA Document Number: 1893558 Reference: 2010, Independent laboratory validation of "Analytical method for the determination of picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) in water using HPLC/ESI-MS/MS", DACO: 8.2.2.3

PMRA Document Number: 1893559 Reference: 1996, E1963: Validation of a method for the determination of residues of E1963 in water, DACO: 8.2.2.3

PMRA Document Number: 1893560 Reference: 2009, Picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) 250 g/L SC: A greenhouse study to investigate the effects on seedling emergence and growth of ten terrestrial plants following soil exposure, DACO: 9.8.4

PMRA Document Number: 1893561 Reference: 2009, Picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) 250 g/L SC: A greenhouse study to investigate the effects on vegetative vigor of ten terrestrial plants following foliar exposure, DACO: 9.8.4

PMRA Document Number: 1893562 Reference: 2009, Picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) technical effects on growth and growth rate to the marine diatom, *Skeletonema costatum*, DACO: 9.8.2 PMRA Document Number: 1893563 Reference: 2010, Picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) Technical - Effects on Growth and Reproduction to the Aquatic Plant *Lemna gibba*, DACO: 9.8.5

PMRA Document Number: 1893564 Reference: 2010, Picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) technical: Influence on growth and growth rate of the blue-green alga *Anabaena flos-aquae* (Cyanophyta), DACO: 9.8.2

PMRA Document Number: 1893565 Reference: 2010, Picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) Technical - Effect on growth and growth rate to the freshwater diatom, *Navicula pelliculosa*, DACO: 9.8.3

PMRA Document Number: 1893585 Reference: 2010 Analytical method for the determination

Reference: 2010, Analytical method for the determination of picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) in animal tissues by HPLC/ESI MS/MS, DACO: 8.2.2.4

PMRA Document Number: 1893595 Reference: 2007, Picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) technical: Acute oral toxicity study in rats - up-and-down procedure, DACO: 4.2.1

PMRA Document Number: 1893638 Reference: 1998, ZA1963: Multigeneration reproduction study in rats, DACO: 4.5.1

PMRA Document Number: 1893649 Reference: 2010, Oral (Diet) Two-Generation (One Litter per Generation) Reproduction Toxicity Study of Picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) Technical in Rats, DACO: 4.5.1

PMRA Document Number: 1893818 Reference: 1999, ZA1963: Acute oral toxicity to the rat of a 250g/l SC formulation, DACO: 4.6.1

PMRA Document Number: 1893827 Reference: 2002, Picoxystrobin (ZA1963): Acute toxicity of a 250 g/L SC formulation (A12796B) to the earthworm *Eisenia fetida*, DACO: 9.2.8

PMRA Document Number: 1893828

Reference: 2004, Picoxystrobin: Determination of the effect of moisture and soil ageing on the toxicity of a 250 g/L SC formulation (A12796B) to the earthworm *Eisenia fetida* in 18 Acres soil, DACO: 9.2.8

PMRA Document Number: 1893829 Reference: 2003, Picoxystrobin (ZA1963): Sublethal toxicity of a 250 g/L SC formulation (A12796B) to the earthworm *Eisenia fetida*, DACO: 9.2.8 PMRA Document Number: 1893831

Reference: 2008, Assessment of the applicability of picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) European soil field dissipation studies to NAFTA use environments and regulatory requirements, DACO: 8.3.2

PMRA Document Number: 1893832 Reference: 1998, ZA1963 Dissipation in soil from trials carried out in France during 1996/97, DACO: 8.3.2

PMRA Document Number: 1893834 Reference: 1998, ZA1963 Analysis of samples from a field soil dissipation trial carried out in Germany during 1996 and 1997, DACO: 8.3.2

PMRA Document Number: 1893836 Reference: 2008, ZA1963 Analysis of samples from a field soil dissipation trial carried out in Germany during 1997/98, DACO: 8.3.2

PMRA Document Number: 1893838 Reference: 1999, ZA1963 Field soil dissipation trials carried out in France during 1997/98, DACO: 8.3.2

PMRA Document Number: 1893840 Reference: 1998, ZA1963 Field soil dissipation trial carried out in the United Kingdom during 1997/98, DACO: 8.3.2

PMRA Document Number: 1893841 Reference: 1998, ZA1963 Dissipation in soil from a trial carried out in the UK during 1996/97, DACO: 8.3.2

PMRA Document Number: 1893842

Reference: 2010, Terrestrial field dissipation study of picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) fungicide on bare soil in the central valley of California, DACO: 8.3.2

PMRA Document Number: 1893843 Reference: 2010, Terrestrial field dissipation study of picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) fungicide on bare soil on Prince Edward Island, Canada, DACO: 8.3.2

PMRA Document Number: 1893844 Reference: 2010, Terrestrial field dissipation study of picoxystrobin (DPX-YT669) fungicide on bare soil in Manitoba, Canada, DACO: 8.3.2

PMRA Document Number: 1893845 Reference: 2010, Terrestrial field dissipation study of picoxystrobin (YT669) fungicide on bare soil in Wisconsin, U.S.A., DACO: 8.3.2 PMRA Document Number: 1966815 Reference: 1999, ZA1963: Toxicity to the green alga *Selenastrum capricornutum*, DACO: 9.8.2

PMRA Document Number: 1966816 Reference: 1997, ZA1963: Acute Toxicity to Mirror Carp (*Cyprinus carpio*), DACO: 9.9

PMRA Document Number: 1966817 Reference: 1997, ZA1963: Acute Toxicity to Fathead Minnow (*Pimephales promelas*), DACO: 9.9

PMRA Document Number: 1966818 Reference: 1997, ZA1963: Acute Toxicity to Three-spined Stickleback (*Gasterosteus aculeatus*), DACO: 9.9

PMRA Document Number: 1966820 Reference: 1997, ZA1963: The 28 Day LC50 to Rainbow Trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*), DACO: 9.9

PMRA Document Number: 1966821 Reference: 1997, ZA1963: Toxicity to the green alga *Selenastrum capricornutum* of a 250 g l-1 SC formulation, DACO: 9.8.2

PMRA Document Number: 1966822 Reference: 1998, ZA1963: Acute Toxicity to Fathead Minnow (*Pimephales promelas*) in the presence of sediment, DACO: 9.9

PMRA Document Number: 1966823 Reference: 1998, R408631: Toxicity to the Green Alga *Selenastrum capricornutum*, DACO: 9.9

PMRA Document Number: 1966824 Reference: 1997, R403092: Toxicity to the Green Alga *Selenastrum capricornutum*, DACO: 9.9

PMRA Document Number: 1966825 Reference: 1998, R403814: Toxicity to the Green Alga *Selenastrum capricornutum*, DACO: 9.9

PMRA Document Number: 1966826 Reference: 1998, R408509: Toxicity to the Green Alga *Selenastrum capricornutum*, DACO: 9.9

PMRA Document Number: 1966827 Reference: 1998, R408631: Acute Toxicity to Fathead Minnow (*Pimephales promelas*), DACO: 9.9 PMRA Document Number: 1966828 Reference: 1998, R408631: Acute Toxicity to *Daphnia magna*, DACO: 9.9

PMRA Document Number: 1966829 Reference: 1998, R403092: Acute Toxicity to Fathead Minnow (*Pimephales promelas*), DACO: 9.9

PMRA Document Number: 1966830 Reference: 1998, R403092: Acute Toxicity to *Daphnia magna*, DACO: 9.9

PMRA Document Number: 1966831 Reference: 1998, R403814: Acute Toxicity to Fathead Minnow (*Pimephales promelas*), DACO: 9.9

PMRA Document Number: 1966833 Reference: 1998, R403814: Acute Toxicity to *Daphnia magna*, DACO: 9.9

PMRA Document Number: 1966835 Reference: 1998, R408509: Acute Toxicity to Fathead Minnow (*Pimephales promelas*), DACO: 9.9

PMRA Document Number: 1966836 Reference: 1998, R408509: Acute Toxicity to *Daphnia magna*, DACO: 9.9

PMRA Document Number: 1966837 Reference: 1999, R413834: Toxicity to the Green Alga *Selenastrum capricornutum*, DACO: 9.9

PMRA Document Number: 1966838 Reference: 1999, R413834: Acute Toxicity to Rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*), DACO: 9.9

PMRA Document Number: 1966839 Reference: 1999, R413834: Acute Toxicity to *Daphnia magna*, DACO: 9.9

PMRA Document Number: 1966840 Reference: 1996, ZA1963: Acute Toxicity of the Technical Material to Aquatic Invertebrates, DACO: 9.9

PMRA Document Number: 1966841 Reference: 1998, Residue analytical method for the determination of ZA1963 and its metabolites in soil, DACO: 8.2.2

PMRA Document Number: 1966844 Reference: 2010, Evidence for insignificant potential for long range transport and persistence of IN-QDY64 (R413834 or Compound 26), DACO: 8.6 PMRA Document Number: 1966846 Reference: 1999, ZA1963: Supplementary Study to the Aerobic Soil Metabolism and Degradation Rate Studies, DACO: 8.6

PMRA Document Number: 1966847 Reference: 1999, ZA1963 Metabolite 26 (R413834): 4-hour acute inhalation toxicity study in rats, DACO: 2.16,4.2.3

PMRA Document Number: 1966850 Reference: 2010, Picoxystrobin "EPA review of DuPont ecological effects submission for completeness and suitability for full review" DuPont responses, DACO: 9.9

PMRA Document Number: 1966851 Reference: 1998, 14C-Phenylacrylate ZA1963: Supplementary soil metabolism study in 3 soils under aerobic laboratory conditions, DACO: 8.6

PMRA Document Number: 1966852 Reference: 1999, ZA1963: Supplementary study to the aerobic soil metabolism and degradation rate study - pyridine label, DACO: 8.6

PMRA Document Number: 1966853 Reference: European Commission Health & Consumer Protection Directorate-General, 2003, Picoxystrobin SANCO/10196/2003-Final 3 June 2003 Review report for the active substance picoxystrobin, DACO: 12.5.8,12.5.9

PMRA Document Number: 1966866 Reference: 2000, ZA1963: Compound 26 (R413834) volatility from soil under field conditions, DACO: 8.3.2

PMRA Document Number: 1966868 Reference: 1999, Residues levels of R413834 in air following application of ZA1963 to winter wheat during 1999, DACO: 8.3.2

4.0 Value

PMRA Document Number: 1893738 Reference: 2010, Picoxystrobin Active Substance and Plant Protection Product(s) Comprehensive Data Summaries, DACO: 10.1,12.7,5.1,7.1,8.2.3.1,8.2.4.1,8.3.1

PMRA Document Number: 1893740 Reference: 2010, Biological Assessment Dossier for DPX-YT669 250SC Canada, DACO: 10.1,10.2.1,10.2.2,10.2.3.1,10.2.3.3,10.3.1,10.3.2

PMRA Document Number: 1893741 Reference: 2010, Biological Assessment Dossier for DPX-YT669 250SC Canada, DACO: 10.1,10.2.1,10.2.2,10.2.3.1,10.2.3.3,10.3.1,10.3.2 PMRA Document Number: 1893742 Reference: 2010, Biological Assessment Dossier for DPX-YT669 250SC Canada, DACO: 10.1,10.2.1,10.2.2,10.2.3.1,10.2.3.3,10.3.1,10.3.2

PMRA Document Number: 1893744 Reference: 2010, Biological Assessment Dossier for DPX-YT669 250SC Canada, DACO: 10.1,10.2.1,10.2.2,10.2.3.1,10.2.3.3,10.3.1,10.3.2

PMRA Document Number: 1893746 Reference: 2010, Biological Assessment Dossier for DPX-YT669 250SC Canada, DACO: 10.1,10.2.1,10.2.2,10.2.3.1,10.2.3.3,10.3.1,10.3.2

PMRA Document Number: 1893747 Reference: 2010, Biological Assessment Dossier for DPX-YT669 250SC Canada, DACO: 10.1,10.2.1,10.2.2,10.2.3.1,10.2.3.3,10.3.1,10.3.2

PMRA Document Number: 2017934 Reference: 2011, Clarifax Response, DACO: 0.8