

Proposed Registration Decision

Santé

Canada

PRD2020-06

Broflanilide, Cimegra, Teraxxa and Teraxxa F4

(publié aussi en français)

11 June 2020

This document is published by the Health Canada Pest Management Regulatory Agency. For further information, please contact:

Publications Pest Management Regulatory Agency Health Canada 2720 Riverside Drive A.L. 6607 D Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0K9

Internet: canada.ca/pesticides hc.pmra.publications-arla.sc@canada.ca Facsimile: 613-736-3758 Information Service: 1-800-267-6315 or 613-736-3799 hc.pmra.info-arla.sc@canada.ca



ISSN: 1925-0878 (print) 1925-0886 (online)

Catalogue number: H113-9/2020-6E (print version)

H113-9/2020-6E-PDF (PDF version)

© Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Canada, as represented by the Minister of Health Canada, 2020

All rights reserved. No part of this information (publication or product) may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, or stored in a retrieval system, without prior written permission of Health Canada, Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0K9.

Table of Contents

Overview		1
Proposed	Registration Decision for Broflanilide	1
What Doe	s Health Canada Consider When Making a Registration Decision?	1
	roflanilide?	
Health Co	nsiderations	2
Environm	ental Considerations	4
Value Cor	nsiderations	5
	ne Value of Cimegra?	
What Is th	ne Value of Teraxxa F4 and Teraxxa?	5
	to Minimize Risk	
-	S	
	ormation	
	luation	
	Active Ingredient, Its Properties and Uses	
1.1	Identity of the Active Ingredient	
	Physical and Chemical Properties of the Active Ingredient and End-Use Product.	
	Directions for Use	
1.4	Mode of Action	
	thods of Analysis	
2.1	Methods for Analysis of the Active Ingredient	
2.2	Method for Formulation Analysis	
	Methods for Residue Analysis	
-	eact on Human and Animal Health	
3.1	Toxicology Summary	
3.1.1	Pest Control Products Act Hazard Characterization	
3.2	Acute Reference Dose (ARfD)	
3.3	Acceptable Daily Intake (ADI)	
3.4	Occupational and Residential Risk Assessment	
3.4.1	Occupational and Residential Routes and Durations of Exposure	
3.4.2 3.4.3	Toxicological Reference Values Occupational Exposure and Risk	
3.4.3	Residential Exposure and Risk Assessment	
		. 20
3.5.1	Exposure from Drinking Water	
	Food Residues Exposure Assessment	
3.6.1	Residues in Plant and Animal Foodstuffs	
3.6.2	Dietary Risk Assessment	
3.6.3	Aggregate Exposure and Risk	
3.6.4	Maximum Residue Limits	
	eact on the Environment	
4.1	Fate and Behaviour in the Environment	
4.2	Environmental Risk Characterization	
4.2.1	Risks to Terrestrial Organisms	
–	<i>-</i>	

4.2.2	Risks to Aquatic Organisms	37
4.2.3	Environmental Incident Reports	
5.0 Value	5	39
6.0 Pest C	Control Product Policy Considerations	40
	Soxic Substances Management Policy Considerations	
	Formulants and Contaminants of Health or Environmental Concern	
	nary	
	Iuman Health and Safety	
	Environmental Risk	
7.3 V	Value	43
8.0 Propo	osed Regulatory Decision	43
	Information Being Requested	
	reviations	
Appendix I		
Table 1	Residue Analysis	
Table 2	Identification of Select Broflanilide Metabolites	48
Table 3	Toxicity Profile of End-use Products Containing Broflanilide	
Table 4	Toxicity Profile of Technical Broflanilide	
Table 5	Toxicity Profile of Metabolites of Broflanilide	
Table 6	Toxicological Reference Values for Use in Health Risk Assessment for	
	Broflanilide	64
Table 7	M/L/A Non-Cancer Risk Assessment for Application of Cimegra In-furro	
	T-Band at Planting	
Table 8	M/L/A Cancer Risk Assessment for Application of Cimegra In-furrow &/o	
	Band at Planting	
Table 9	Exposure & non-cancer risk estimates to Teraxxa F4 and Teraxxa for worl	
	commercial seed treatment facilities and mobile treaters	
Table 10	Exposure & Non-Cancer Risk Estimates to Teraxxa F4 and Teraxxa from	On-
	farm Treatment and Planting	
Table 11	Cancer Risk Assessment to Teraxxa F4 and Teraxxa from On-farm Trea	
	and Planting	67
Table 12	Integrated Food Residue Chemistry Summary	
Table 13	Food Residue Chemistry Overview of Metabolism Studies and Risk	
	Assessment	
Table 14	Transformation Products of Broflanilide Detected in Laboratory and Fie	
	Dissipation Studies	
Table 15	Fate and Behaviour of Broflanilide and its Transformation Products in t	he
	Environment	
Table 16	Toxicity of Broflanilide, its Transformation Products and End-use Produ	
	Non-target Terrestrial Species	
Table 17	Screening Level Risk Assessment of Broflanilide, its Transformation Pr	
	and End-use Products for Non-target Terrestrial Species Other than Bird	
	Mammals	
Table 18	Screening Level Risk Assessment of Broflanilide for Birds and Mamma	
Table 19	Further characterization of the risk to non-target beneficial arthropods u	
	results from extended laboratory studies	_

Table 20	Refined risk assessment of broflanilide for birds using LOAEL from
	reproductive studies
Table 21	Toxicity of Broflanilide, its Transformation Products and End-use Products to
	Non-target Aquatic Species
Table 22	Screening level risk assessment of broflanilide for aquatic organisms 110
Table 23	Risk quotients for aquatic organisms determined for runoff of broflanilide 111
Table 24	List of Supported Uses
Table 25	Toxic Substances Management Policy Considerations-Comparison to TSMP
	Track 1 Criteria
Appendix II	Supplemental Maximum Residue Limit Information—International Situation
	and Trade Implications
References	

Overview

Proposed Registration Decision for Broflanilide

Health Canada's Pest Management Regulatory Agency (PMRA), under the authority of the *Pest Control Products Act*, is proposing registration for the sale and use of Broflanilide Technical Insecticide, Cimegra, Teraxxa and Teraxxa F4 containing the technical grade active ingredient Broflanilide, to be used as a soil treatment to control wireworm in potatoes and wireworm and corn rootworm in corn, and as a seed treatment to control wireworm in small cereal grains and wheat.

An evaluation of available scientific information found that, under the approved conditions of use, the health and environmental risks and the value of the pest control products are acceptable.

This Overview describes the key points of the evaluation, while the Science Evaluation provides detailed technical information on the human health, environmental and value assessments of Broflanilide Technical Insecticide, Cimegra, Teraxxa and Teraxxa F4.

What Does Health Canada Consider When Making a Registration Decision?

The key objective of the *Pest Control Products Act* is to prevent unacceptable risks to people and the environment from the use of pest control products. Health or environmental risk is considered acceptable¹ if there is reasonable certainty that no harm to human health, future generations or the environment will result from use or exposure to the product under its proposed conditions of registration. The Act also requires that products have value² when used according to the label directions. Conditions of registration may include special precautionary measures on the product label to further reduce risk.

To reach its decisions, the PMRA applies modern, rigorous risk-assessment methods and policies. These methods consider the unique characteristics of sensitive subpopulations in humans (for example, children) as well as organisms in the environment. These methods and policies also consider the nature of the effects observed and the uncertainties when predicting the impact of pesticides. For more information on how the Health Canada regulates pesticides, the assessment process and risk-reduction programs, please visit the <u>Pesticides</u> portion of the Canada.ca website.

-

¹ "Acceptable risks" as defined by subsection 2(2) of the *Pest Control Products Act*.

[&]quot;Value" as defined by subsection 2(1) of the *Pest Control Products Act*: "...the product's actual or potential contribution to pest management, taking into account its conditions or proposed conditions of registration, and includes the product's (a) efficacy; (b) effect on host organisms in connection with which it is intended to be used; and (c) health, safety and environmental benefits and social and economic impact."

Before making a final registration decision on Broflanilide, Cimegra, Teraxxa and Teraxxa F4 Health Canada's PMRA will consider any comments received from the public in response to this consultation document.³ Health Canada will then publish a Registration Decision⁴ on Broflanilide, Cimegra, Teraxxa and Teraxxa F4 which will include the decision, the reasons for it, a summary of comments received on the proposed registration decision and Health Canada's response to these comments.

For more details on the information presented in this Overview, please refer to the Science Evaluation of this consultation document.

What Is Broflanilide?

The active ingredient broflanilide is a new non-systemic conventional insecticide with contact activity that affects the nervous system of insects. Broflanilide is used as a soil treatment to control wireworm in potatoes and wireworm and corn rootworm in corn, and as a seed treatment to control wireworm in small cereal grains and wheat. Broflanilide has value as a new mode of action for use in resistance management.

Health Considerations

Can Approved Uses of Broflanilide Affect Human Health?

Cimegra, Teraxxa, and Teraxxa F4, containing broflanilide, are unlikely to affect your health when used according to label directions.

Potential exposure to broflanilide may occur through the diet (food and drinking water), when handling and applying the end-use products, or when coming into contact with treated surfaces. When assessing health risks, two key factors are considered: the levels where no health effects occur and the levels to which people may be exposed. The dose levels used to assess risks are established to protect the most sensitive human population (for example, children and nursing mothers). As such, sex and gender are taken into account in the risk assessment. Only uses for which the exposure is well below levels that cause no effects in animal testing are considered acceptable for registration.

Toxicology studies in laboratory animals describe potential health effects from varying levels of exposure to a chemical and identify the dose level at which no effects are observed. The health effects noted in animals occur at doses more than 100-times higher (and often much higher) than levels to which humans are normally exposed when pesticide products are used according to label directions.

In laboratory animals, the technical grade active ingredient broflanilide was of low acute toxicity via the oral, dermal and inhalation routes of exposure. It was non-irritating to the eyes and skin, and did not cause an allergic skin reaction.

[&]quot;Consultation statement" as required by subsection 28(2) of the Pest Control Products Act.

[&]quot;Decision statement" as required by subsection 28(5) of the Pest Control Products Act.

The acute toxicity of the end-use products Cimegra and Teraxxa, containing broflanilide, was low via the oral, dermal and inhalation routes of exposure. It was minimally irritating to the eyes and skin and did not cause an allergic skin reaction.

The acute toxicity of the end-use product Teraxxa F4 containing broflanilide was low via the oral, dermal and inhalation routes of exposure. It was minimally irritating to the eyes and slightly irritating to the skin. Teraxxa F4 caused an allergic skin reaction; consequently the hazard statement "POTENTIAL SKIN SENSITIZER" is required on the product label.

Registrant-supplied short- and long-term (lifetime) animal toxicity tests, as well as information from the published scientific literature, were assessed for the potential of broflanilide to cause neurotoxicity, immunotoxicity, chronic toxicity, cancer, reproductive and developmental toxicity, and various other effects. The most sensitive endpoints for risk assessment were effects on the adrenal glands and the ovaries. There was no evidence that broflanilide damaged genetic material; however, it did cause tumours of the ovaries, uterus, adrenal gland, and testes in rats. There was no evidence of increased sensitivity of the young compared to adult animals. The risk assessment protects against the effects noted above and other potential effects by ensuring that the level of exposure to humans is well below the lowest dose at which these effects occurred in animal tests

Residues in Water and Food

Dietary risks from food and drinking water are not of health concern.

Animal studies revealed no acute health effects. Consequently, a single dose of broflanilide is not likely to cause acute health effects in the general population (including infants and children).

Aggregate dietary intake estimates (food plus drinking water) revealed that the general population and Children 1–2 years old, the subpopulation which would ingest the most broflanilide relative to body weight, are expected to be exposed to less than 6% of the acceptable daily intake. Based on these estimates, the chronic dietary risk from broflanilide is not of health concern for all population subgroups.

The lifetime cancer risk from the use of broflanilide on potato, corn (all types) and small grains is not of health concern.

The *Food and Drugs Act* prohibits the sale of adulterated food, that is, food containing a pesticide residue that exceeds the established maximum residue limit (MRL). Pesticide MRLs are established for *Food and Drugs Act* purposes through the evaluation of scientific data under the *Pest Control Products Act*. Food containing a pesticide residue that does not exceed the established MRL does not pose an unacceptable health risk.

Residue trials conducted throughout Canada and the United States using broflanilide on potatoes, corn and small cereal grains are acceptable. The MRLs for this active ingredient can be found in the Science Evaluation of this consultation document.

Teraxxa F4 is also formulated with the active ingredients pyraclostrobin, triticonazole, metalaxyl and fluxapyroxad. These other active ingredients are currently registered for use in Canada at rates equivalent to or greater than those proposed.

Risks in Residential and Other Non-Occupational Environments

A residential assessment was not required since these products are not permitted for use by residential handlers or for use in residential areas.

Occupational Risks From Handling Cimegra, Teraxxa F4 and Teraxxa

Occupational risks are not of concern when broflanilide is used according to the proposed label directions for the end-use products, which include protective measures.

Workers who mix, load and apply Cimegra as an in-furrow and/or T-band treatment during planting of potato or corn can come in direct contact with broflanilide on the skin and or through inhalation. Therefore, the label specifies that anyone mixing/loading and applying broflanilide must wear a long-sleeved shirt, long pants, chemical-resistant gloves, shoes and socks. Gloves are not required during application within a closed cab. As Cimegra is applied to subsurface soil as an in-furrow and/or T-band, exposures to broflanilide during postapplication activities are considered negligible. As such, a restricted-entry interval is not required on the label.

Workers in commercial seed treatment facilities, mobile treaters, on-farm treaters and planters handling seed treated with Teraxxa F4 or Terraxxa can come into direct contact with broflanilide through residues on the skin and by inhaling dust. Therefore, the label states that workers in commercial seed treatment facilities and mobile treaters must wear coveralls over a long-sleeved shirt, long pants, chemical-resistant gloves, shoes, socks and a dust-mask. Workers cleaning or repairing seed treatment equipment must wear chemical-resistant coveralls over a long-sleeved shirt, long pants, chemical-resistant gloves, socks, chemical-resistant footwear and a dust-mask. Workers completing on-farm seed treatment must wear a long-sleeved shirt, long pants, chemical-resistant gloves, shoes and socks. Workers planting and handling treated seed must wear coveralls over a long-sleeved shirt, long pants, shoes and socks and use only a closed cab tractor. A dust-mask must be worn during the on-farm transfer of treated seed to planters/seeders.

For bystanders, exposure is considered to be negligible and are not of concern when drift statements are added to the labels.

Environmental Considerations

What Happens When Broflanilide Is Introduced Into the Environment?

When broflanilide is used according to the label directions, the risks to the environment have been determined to be acceptable.

Broflanilide enters the environment when applied as a soil or seed treatment to potatoes, corn and small cereal grains to control insect pests. Broflanilide is persistent in soil, but is not expected to move through the soil and reach groundwater because it binds strongly to the soil surface. In water bodies, broflanilide will move to sediments where it may remain over time.

Broflanilide is not expected to be found in the air or to travel long distances from where it was applied. Broflanilide is not expected to build-up in the tissues of organisms. Broflanilide is not expected to be taken up by plants and move inside plant tissues (it is not systemic) and its residues will remain mostly in the soil.

When used according to the label directions, broflanilide poses acceptable risk to wild mammals, birds, beneficial insects, earthworms, terrestrial and aquatic plants, fish, or amphibians. Exposure to broflanilide may affect freshwater and marine invertebrates if they are exposed to high enough levels; therefore, precautionary label statements for aquatic organisms are required on product labels. Precautionary label statements and best management practices are also required for pollinators to minimize potential bee exposure to dust during planting of treated seed; however, when used according to label directions, minimal exposure or risk to bees is expected.

Value Considerations

What Is the Value of Cimegra?

Cimegra provides a new mode of action for controlling wireworm in potatoes and wireworm and corn rootworm in corn.

Cimegra has value for control of corn rootworm (western and northern) and wireworm, and to reduce wireworm populations in treated fields. Wireworms are major pests of potatoes and corn, and are difficult to kill with currently registered pest control products, and corn rootworms are a major pest of corn. Broflanilide has value as a new mode of action for use in resistance management; there are no reported cases of cross-resistance of broflanilide to currently registered insecticide modes of action.

What Is the Value of Teraxxa F4 and Teraxxa?

Teraxxa F4 and Teraxxa provide a new mode of action for controlling wireworm in small cereal grains (barley, buckwheat, pearl millet, proso millet, oats, rye, sorghum, triticale, canary seed, annual canarygrass (grown for human consumption)) and wheat (all types: winter, spring and durum).

Teraxxa F4 and Teraxxa have value for control of wireworms and to reduce wireworm populations in treated fields. Wireworms are major pests of small cereal grains and wheat, and are difficult to kill with currently registered pest control products. In addition, as Teraxxa F4 is a pre-mix formulation with pyraclostrobin, fluxapyroxad, triticonazole, and metalaxyl, it provides control or suppression of certain seed- and soil-borne diseases. Broflanilide has value as a new mode of action for use in resistance management; there are no reported cases of cross-resistance of broflanilide to currently registered insecticide modes of action.

Measures to Minimize Risk

Labels of registered pesticide products include specific instructions for use. Directions include risk-reduction measures to protect human and environmental health. These directions must be followed by law.

The key risk-reduction measures being proposed on the label of Broflanilide Technical Insecticide, Cimegra, Teraxxa and Teraxxa F4 to address the potential risks identified in this assessment are as follows:

Key Risk-Reduction Measures

Human Health

As direct contact with broflanilide on the skin or through inhalation can occur, workers mixing, loading and applying Cimegra must wear a long-sleeved shirt, long pants, chemical-resistant gloves, shoes and socks. Chemical-resistant gloves are not required during application within a closed cab.

Workers in commercial seed treatment facilities (and mobile treaters) must wear coveralls over a long-sleeved shirt, long pants, chemical resistant gloves, shoes and socks when applying or in contact with Teraxxa F4 or Teraxxa treated seed. Cleanout/repair personnel must wear chemical-resistant coveralls over a long-sleeved shirt, long pants, chemical-resistant gloves, chemical-resistant footwear, socks and a dust-mask. Workers treating cereal seed on farm must wear a long-sleeved shirt, long pants, chemical-resistant gloves, shoes and socks. Workers planting and handling treated seed must wear coveralls over a long-sleeved shirt, long pants, shoes and socks and use only a closed cab tractor. A dust-mask must be worn during the on-farm transfer of treated seed to planters/seeder.

Environment

- Label statements indicating toxicity to bees and best management practices to minimize bee exposure to dust during planting of treated seed
- Precautionary label statements indicating toxicity to aquatic organisms
- Precautionary label statements to mitigate runoff

Next Steps

Before making a final registration decision on Broflanilide, Cimegra, Teraxxa and Teraxxa F4, Health Canada's PMRA will consider any comments received from the public in response to this consultation document. Health Canada will accept written comments on this proposal up to 45 days from the date of publication of this document. Please note that, to comply with Canada's international trade obligations, consultation on the proposed MRLs will also be conducted internationally via a notification to the World Trade Organization. Please forward all comments to Publications (contact information on the cover page of this document). Health Canada will then publish a Registration Decision, which will include its decision, the reasons for it, a summary of comments received on the proposed decision and Health Canada's response to these comments.

Other Information

Then the Health Canada makes its registration decision, it will publish a Registration Decision Broflanilide, Cimegra, Teraxxa and Teraxxa F4 (based on the Science Evaluation of this possultation document). In addition, the test data referenced in this consultation document will be a likely of the science of the PMPA of the science of the published of the science o
e available for public inspection, upon application, in the PMRA's Reading Room (located in ttawa).

Science Evaluation

Broflanilide

1.0 The Active Ingredient, Its Properties and Uses

1.1 Identity of the Active Ingredient

Active substance Broflanilide

Function Insecticide

Chemical name

1. International Union of 6'-bromo- α , α , α , 2-tetrafluoro-3-(N-methylbenzamido)-4'-[1,2,2,2-

Pure and Applied tetrafluoro-1-(trifluoromethyl)ethyl]benz-o-toluidide

Chemistry (IUPAC)

2. Chemical Abstracts 3-(benzoylmethylamino)-*N*-[2-bromo-4-[1,2,2,2-tetrafluoro-1-

Service (CAS) (trifluoromethyl)ethyl]-6-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]-2-

fluorobenzamide

CAS number 1207727-04-5

Molecular formula $C_{25}H_{14}BrF_{11}N_2O_2$

Molecular weight 663.28

Structural formula

Purity of the active

ingredient

99.68%

1.2 Physical and Chemical Properties of the Active Ingredient and End-Use Product

Technical Product—Broflanilide Technical

Property	Result
Colour and physical state	Beige solid
Odour	No discernible odour
Melting range	154.0–155.5 °C
Boiling point or range	Not required for solid products
Density	$1.6-1.7 \text{ g/cm}^3$
Vapour pressure at 25 °C	$8.9 \times 10^{-9} \text{Pa}$

Property		Result	
Ultraviolet (UV)-visible spectrum	pH		
	7.2 23	39 17 200	
	27	74 5000	
	28	82 4090	
	_	39 17 000	
	27	74 4980	
	28	82 4120	
		48 17 600	
	29	93 5560	
Solubility in water at 20°C	0.71 mg/L		
Solubility in organic solvents at	Solvent	Solubility (g/L)	
20 °C	n-hexane	0.096	
	xylene	6.0	
	n-octanol	7.4	
	1,2-dichloroethan	ne 110	
	methanol	>250	
	acetone	>250	
	ethyl acetate	>250	
<i>n</i> -Octanol-water partition	<u>pH</u>	$\log K_{ m ow}$	
coefficient (K_{ow})	7	5.7	
Dissociation constant (p K_a)	9.92		
Stability (temperature, metal)	The product is stable for 14 days at 54 °C upon exposure to iron, iron acetate, aluminium, aluminium acetate, zinc and zinc acetate.		

End-Use Product—Cimegra

Property	Result
Colour	Milky white
Odour	Slight smell
Physical state	Liquid
Formulation type	SU (suspension)
Label concentration	Broflanilide100 g/L
Container material and description	HDPE jugs, drum or totes
Density	1.034–1.069 g/mL at 20 °C
pH of 1% dispersion in water	6.0–8.0
Oxidizing or reducing action	The product was determined to be compatible with oxidizing agents, reducing agents, fire extinguishing agents and water.
Storage stability	Stable for 2 weeks when stored in HDPE containers at 54 °C.
Corrosion characteristics	No corrosion to HDPE containers was observed after 2 weeks storage at 54 $^{\circ}\text{C}$.
Explodability	Not explosive

End-Use Product—Teraxxa F4

Property	Result	
Colour	Red	
Odour	Odourless	
Physical state	Liquid	
Formulation type	SU (suspension)	
Label concentration	Broflanilide16.7 g/L	
	Pyraclostrobin16.7 g/L	
	Triticonazole16.7 g/L	
	Metalaxyl10.0 g/L	
	Fluxapyroxad8.35 g/L	
Container material and description	HDPE jugs, drums, totes, 0.1 L to bulk	
Density	1.064–1.086 g/mL at 20 °C	
pH of 1% dispersion in water	6.86	
Oxidizing or reducing action	The product is not an oxidizing, but a reducing agent.	
Storage stability	The product was stored at a temperature of 40 °C for a period of 8	
	weeks in HDPE containers.	
Corrosion characteristics	After storage for 8 weeks in HDPE containers at a temperature of	
	40 °C, the product did not have any adverse effects on its commercial	
	packaging.	
Explodability	Not explosive	

End-Use Product—Teraxxa

Property	Result
Colour	Cream
Odour	Slight smell
Physical state	Liquid
Formulation type	SU (suspension)
Label concentration	Broflanilide 300 g/L
Container material and description	HDPE jugs, drums, totes, 0.1 L to bulk
Density	1.144–1.166 g/mL at 20 °C
pH of 1% dispersion in water	6.0–8.0
Oxidizing or reducing action	The product was determined to be compatible with oxidizing agents, reducing agents, fire extinguishing agents and water.
Storage stability	The product was stable for 14 days when stored at 54 °C or stable for 2 years when stored at 25 °C in HDPE containers.
Corrosion characteristics	No corrosion of the HDPE container was observed.
Explodability	Not explosive

1.3 Directions for Use

Cimegra

Cimegra provides control of wireworm in potatoes and wireworm and corn rootworm (western and northern) in corn when applied at planting at an application rate of 250 mL product (25 g broflanilide) per hectare. Cimegra is applied in a minimum application volume of 50 L per hectare. Potato applications are applied in-furrow, while corn applications are applied in-furrow or as a 10 to 20 cm T-band spray over the top of the open seed furrow.

Teraxxa

Terraxxa is applied as a seed treatment at 16.7 mL product (5 g broflanilide) per 100 kg seed to small cereal grains (barley, buckwheat, pearl millet, proso millet, oats, rye, sorghum, triticale, canary seed, and annual canarygrass (grown for human consumption)), and wheat (all types: winter, spring and durum) to control wireworm.

Teraxxa F4

Teraxxa F4 is applied as a seed treatment at 300 mL product (5 g broflanilide; 5 g pyraclostrobin; 2.5 g fluxapyroxad; 5 g triticonazole; and 3 g metalaxyl) per 100 kg seed to small cereal grains (barley, oats, rye, triticale, canary seed, and annual canarygrass (grown for human consumption)), and wheat (all types: winter, spring and durum) to control wireworm and to control or suppress certain seed- and soil-borne diseases of small cereal grains and wheat.

1.4 Mode of Action

The active ingredient broflanilide is a new non-systemic conventional insecticide with contact activity that affects the nervous system of insects. Broflanilide binds to an inter-subunit allosteric site on the GABA (Gamma-amino butyric acid) receptor, resulting in a block of inhibitory neurotransmission, convulsions and death of target insect. It is classified by the Insecticide Resistance Action Committee (IRAC) as a Group 30 insecticide (GABA-gated chloride channel allosteric modulators) and represents a new mode of action with no known cross resistance.

2.0 Methods of Analysis

2.1 Methods for Analysis of the Active Ingredient

The methods provided for the analysis of the active ingredient and impurities in the technical product have been validated and assessed to be acceptable.

2.2 Method for Formulation Analysis

The method provided for the analysis of the active ingredient in the formulation has been validated and assessed to be acceptable for use as an enforcement analytical method.

2.3 Methods for Residue Analysis

High-performance liquid chromatography methods with tandem mass spectrometry (HPLC-MS/MS) were developed and proposed for data generation and enforcement purposes. These methods fulfilled the requirements with regards to selectivity, accuracy and precision at the respective method limit of quantitation. Acceptable recoveries (70–120%) were obtained in environmental media. Methods for residue analysis are summarized in Appendix I, Table 1.

High performance liquid chromatography methods with tandem mass spectrometric detection (HPLC-MS/MS; Method D1417/01 in plant matrices and Method D1604/01 in animal matrices) were developed and proposed for data gathering and enforcement purposes. These methods fulfilled the requirements with regards to specificity, accuracy and precision at the respective method limits of quantitation. Acceptable recoveries (70–120%) were obtained in plant and animal matrices. The proposed enforcement methods for plant and animal matrices were successfully validated by independent laboratories. Extraction solvents used in the methods were similar to those used in the metabolism studies; thus, further demonstration of extraction efficiency with bioincurred residues of broflanilide in plant and animal matrices was not required for the enforcement methods.

3.0 Impact on Human and Animal Health

3.1 Toxicology Summary

Broflanilide, also identified as MCI-8007, is a meta-diamide insecticide. Its metabolite, desmethyl broflanilide (DM-8007), is considered the insecticidally active compound. The proposed insecticidal mode of action (MOA) involves binding to an inter-subunit allosteric site on the gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) receptor, resulting in a block of inhibitory neurotransmission, convulsions, and death of target insects. GABA binding is expected to be highly specific to invertebrates given interspecies differences in subunit amino acid positioning.

A detailed review of the toxicological database for broflanilide was conducted. The database is complete, consisting of the full array of toxicity studies currently required for hazard assessment purposes. Additional studies included a dietary repeat-dose study investigating hormonal effects to support a proposed MOA for Leydig cell tumour formation in rats. The applicant submitted a position paper that discussed the carcinogenic potential of broflanilide and a proposed MOA for Leydig cell tumour formation in rats, as well as the human relevance of toxicological effects that occurred at dose levels above a proposed kinetically-derived maximum dose (KMD). Finally, acute oral toxicity studies, repeat-dose dietary studies as well as genotoxicity studies for several broflanilide metabolites were conducted. The required studies in the broflanilide database were carried out in accordance with currently accepted international testing protocols and Good Laboratory Practices. The scientific quality of the data is high and the database is considered adequate to characterize the potential health hazards associated with broflanilide.

Metabolism and toxicokinetic studies were conducted in rats via the oral route. In these studies, broflanilide was carbon (C)14-radiolabelled on the phenyl ring (identified as the C-ring) or the trifluorophenyl ring (identified as the B-ring) portion of the broflanilide molecule. Broflanilide was rapidly but poorly absorbed and widely distributed to tissues following single low- or high-

dose gavage administration. The plasma elimination half-lives were 42–79 hours and 8–58 hours, for low- and high-dose groups, respectively. Highest levels of radioactivity were observed in the liver, pancreas, adrenal gland, thyroid gland, epididymides and ovaries at 4 hours with the B-ring label, and in the kidney and liver at 1 hour with the C-ring label. Concentrations of radioactivity in tissues were generally greater in males than in females.

Radioactivity was readily excreted within 48–72 hours of administration of a single dose, with the majority of radioactivity excreted via the faeces and lower amounts excreted via the urine. Results from bile duct-cannulated rats suggested that biliary excretion accounted for very little of the eliminated radioactivity when compared to the excretion via feces. The levels of radioactivity in urine and bile decreased as the dose increased, whereas those in faeces increased as the dose increased (only tested in males). In these studies, bioavailability was not significantly different between sexes.

The toxicokinetics of C14- radiolabelled broflanilide were also examined following 14 days of gavage administration to rats. Maximum plasma and whole blood concentrations occurred at 4 hours after the final dose. Peak tissue concentrations occurred 24 hours after the final dose with greatest concentrations in the fat, and notable concentrations also present in liver, pancreas, adrenal gland, thyroid gland, epididymides and ovaries. Concentrations of radioactivity observed in tissues following repeated dosing were generally greater than those in plasma except for whole blood, blood cells, brain, testes and bone. This observation was similar to findings observed in tissues following single dosing. Levels of radioactivity retained in tissues following repeat dosing of a low dose level of the B-ring radiolabel were higher when compared to the single dose study, suggesting increased tissue retention with repeated dosing. There was no notable sex difference in the distribution of radioactivity in the repeat-dose study, and the majority of the administered radioactivity was excreted via the feces.

Broflanilide was only partially metabolised in the rat with no significant sex differences identified. Following single gavage dosing with a low- or high-dose of C14-radiolabelled test material, unchanged broflanilide was the major component in fecal extracts. Other metabolites detected in the feces included DM-(C-H2O)-8007, DM-(A,C-diOH)-8007, DC-DM-(A-OH)-8007, and DM-8007. In urine, hippuric acid was the predominant metabolite. The proposed metabolic pathway involves the metabolism of broflanilide to either S(PFP-OH)-8007 or DM-8007, followed by hydroxylation and conjugation of DM-8007 to form DM-(C-H2O)-8007 cysteine conjugate, or hydroxylation of DM-8007 to form DM-(A,C-OH)-8007 and DM-(A,C-diOH)-8007. DM-8007 was also subject to hydrolysis of the amide bond to form DC-DM-8007, followed by hydroxylation to form DC-DM-(A-OH)-8007, and conjugation to form DC-DM-(A-OH)-8007 cysteine conjugate. Additionally, hydrolysis of DM-8007 also resulted in the formation of benzoic acid, which was subsequently metabolized to hippuric acid. The identity of metabolites that were further characterized are presented in Appendix I, Table 2.

Plasma concentrations of non-radiolabelled broflanilide and metabolite DM-8007 were determined in select repeat-dose oral toxicity studies conducted with rats, mice, and dogs. DM-8007 was generally detected at much higher concentrations than broflanilide. Unchanged broflanilide and DM-8007 levels increased with increasing dose level, but not in a dose-proportional manner.

Plasma concentrations of broflanilide and DM-8007 generally showed a sublinear dose-response compared to external dose, a trend which was more profound at higher concentrations. Based on the plasma level analyses of broflanilide and DM-8007, there was some evidence to support the occurrence of saturation of absorption. There were no clear or consistent differences between sexes in any species.

The applicant suggested that nonlinear kinetics resulting in saturation of absorption were observed in the database at oral dose levels greater than 16–20 mg/kg bw/day in rats. This, it was argued, would lead to a lower than expected increase in plasma concentration of broflanilide with increasing dose levels based on an assumption of linear kinetics. The applicant reasoned that toxicological effects that occur at dose levels above a KMD would be of questionable human relevance. The available toxicokinetic data did not demonstrate complete saturation of oral absorption. The toxicokinetics studies demonstrated that the proportion of radioactive dose administered to rats that was absorbed following oral administration decreased with increasing dose level. However, maximum serum concentration (Cmax) and area-under-the-curve (AUC) data presented by the applicant showed that these parameters increased with increasing dose level, although not proportional to dose level, suggesting a change in oral absorption and not a complete saturation of oral absorption. In addition, despite lower relative oral absorption at higher dose levels, there were clear treatment-related dose-responsive toxic effects observed throughout the database. The utility of the plasma kinetic data from the repeat-dose oral toxicity studies was limited by the fact that only broflanilide and the metabolite DM-8007 were measured, and that analysis was limited to the plasma. The kinetics of other metabolites were not accounted for, and plasma levels of broflanilide or the DM-8007 metabolite may not have provided a reliable indication of the internal dose given that greater levels of radioactivity in tissues when compared to plasma were observed in the toxicokinetic data. It was concluded that the applicant's position regarding the lack of human relevance for effects observed at dose levels above a KMD could not be supported.

In acute toxicity testing, broflanilide was of low acute toxicity to rats via the oral, dermal and inhalation routes of exposure, not irritating to the eyes or skin of rabbits, and negative for skin sensitization in guinea pigs using the Maximization test protocol and in mice using the local lymph node assay.

The end-use products Cimegra, Teraxxa, and Teraxxa F4, each containing broflanilide, were of low acute toxicity to rats via the oral, dermal and inhalation routes of exposure, and minimally irritating to the eyes of rabbits. Cimegra and Teraxxa were minimally irritating to the skin of rabbits, and negative for skin sensitization in guinea pigs using the Buehler test protocol. Teraxxa F4 was slightly irritating to the skin of rabbits, and was a potential skin sensitizer in guinea pigs according to the Buehler test protocol.

Repeat-dose dietary toxicity studies with broflanilide were available in mice and rats, and capsule administration studies were available in dogs. In these studies, which involved short-term to longer-term testing, the most sensitive species for toxicity appeared to be the rat, followed by the dog and the mouse. The adrenal gland was the primary target tissue following repeated oral dosing in the three test species. Adrenal gland toxicity was evidenced by increased organ weight, adrenal gland enlargement and vacuolation. In the dog and rat, adrenal gland hypertrophy was also observed, whereas adrenal gland accessory nodules and inflammatory cell

foci were observed in the mouse. Discoloration, the presence of masses, fatty changes, as well as cystic degeneration, were additional findings in rat adrenal glands. Additional findings outside of the adrenal included decreases in red blood cell counts, hemoglobin concentrations, and hematocrit concentrations in rats; increases in alkaline phosphatase and triglycerides in dogs; and increased cholesterol in rats and dogs.

Effects on several reproductive organs were also observed following repeated oral dosing with broflanilide. Increased ovarian weight and ovarian cysts were observed in rats and mice, and ovarian vacuolation was observed in rats. In rats, uterine hyperplasia was observed, as well as increased testicular and epididymal weights. At high dose levels in rats, discoloration, foci, and masses of the testes were also observed.

There was some evidence to suggest a slight increase in toxicity with extended duration of dosing in the rat and dog studies. In rats, increased adrenal gland weight and adrenal gland vacuolation were observed at lower dose levels in the long-term study when compared to studies of shorter duration, although this comparison is hindered by the dose levels selected for testing in these studies. The dose levels at which ovarian vacuolation was observed were more clearly affected by dosing duration. Furthermore, uterine glandular hyperplasia, and testes effects (discolouration, foci, and masses) were observed in rats only at terminal sacrifice in the long-term dietary study. In dogs, 12 months of dosing resulted in additional findings not seen after 90 days of dosing, such as adrenal gland hypertrophy and vacuolation and reduced body weight. Additionally in dogs, some effects that were observed in both studies were observed at a lower dose level in the longer-term study.

In a 28-day dermal toxicity study in rats, there was no indication of systemic toxicity up to the limit dose of testing. In a 28-day inhalation toxicity study in rats, there were a number of findings that were consistent with those observed in the repeat-dose dietary toxicity studies, namely, increases in adrenal gland and ovary weights, as well as adrenal gland and ovary vacuolation. There were findings specific to the inhalation route of administration, such as effects in the lung, which included increased organ weight, regenerative bronchiolar hyperplasia, alveolar histiocytosis, and debris, as well as larynx epithelial alteration. Additionally in the 28-day inhalation toxicity there were effects noted in the spleen, namely, an increase in the severity of the organ pigment storage, as well as extramedullary hematopoiesis.

There was no indication of neurotoxicity in an acute neurotoxicity study in rats conducted via oral gavage, or in a 90-day dietary neurotoxicity study rats. Decreases in offspring brain weight were noted in the 2-generation reproductive toxicity study, which are discussed in greater detail below. No other nervous system effects were noted in the database.

In a 28-day dietary immunotoxicity study in rats dosed with broflanilide, no treatment-related effects were identified. There was no evidence of immune dysregulation noted in this study, or in other studies in the broflanilide database.

In a 2-generation dietary reproductive toxicity study conducted in rats, the systemic toxicity observed in parental animals was generally consistent with findings reported in other repeat-dose dietary studies in rats, and included increased adrenal gland and ovary weights, as well as adrenal gland and ovary vacuolation, and adrenal gland hypertrophy. Effects on reproductive

tissues were observed at dose levels that were toxic to the parental animals. Reproductive effects included increased epididymides, cauda epididymides, and testes weight, as well as the previously mentioned increase in ovary weight, and ovary vacuolation. Of note, the effects observed in the testes and epididymides were in F1 males, but not in P males, suggesting that the second generation of males is more sensitive to these effects than the first generation. Effects noted in the offspring were observed at higher dose levels than those resulting parental toxicity. Effects in the offspring included decreased body weight and body weight gain, as well as decreases in thymus, brain, and spleen weight. The effect on brain weight was a unique effect seen in offspring that was not observed in parental animals. However, concern for this unique finding was tempered by the fact that it was observed at a much higher dose level (approximately 15-fold) than that which resulted in toxic effects in parental animals. Increased pup death in the early post-natal period was noted at the highest dose level tested, resulting in a lower viability index. This effect was only observed above the limit dose and in the presence of maternal toxicity. The findings identified in the 2-generation reproductive toxicity study conducted in rats suggested that there was no increased sensitivity of the young animal when compared to the adult animal.

A supplemental developmental/reproductive toxicity screening study was conducted in rats. Parental animals administered broflanilide in the diet exhibited increased adrenal gland weight (both sexes) and adrenal gland hypertrophy (females only). There were no treatment-related effects on reproductive performance, although there was one complete litter loss that occurred in the early post-natal period at a dose level in excess of the limit dose of testing. Additionally at this dose level, there was an increase in the number of pup deaths between post-natal days 1 and 4. Similar to the findings from the 2-generation reproductive toxicity study conducted in rats, the reproductive and offspring effects noted in the developmental/reproductive toxicity screening study occurred at the limit dose and in the presence of parental toxicity.

Developmental toxicity studies were conducted via oral gavage in rats and rabbits. No adverse maternal or developmental effects were identified up to the limit dose of testing in either species, suggesting that there was no sensitivity of the young animal.

Broflanilide was negative in a genotoxicity testing battery which included a bacterial reverse mutation assay in S. typhimurium and E. coli, an in vitro chromosomal aberration assay in Chinese hamster lung cells, an in vitro forward mutation assay in Chinese hamster ovary cells, and an in vivo micronucleus assay in mice.

There was no evidence of oncogenicity in an 18-month dietary oncogenicity study conducted in mice. In a 24-month dietary chronic toxicity/oncogenicity study conducted in rats, there was a statistically significant increase in the incidence of Leydig cell adenomas in males at the highest dose level tested. At the highest dose level tested in females, there were non-statistically significant increases in the incidences of ovarian luteomas and the combined incidence of ovarian tumours of sex cord stromal origin (luteomas, thecomas, granulosa cell tumours, and sex cord stromal tumours), as well as adrenal cortex carcinomas. Additionally in females at the two highest dose levels, there were increases in ovarian granulosa cell tumours which were only statistically significant at the next-to-highest dose level, and in uterine adenocarcinomas which were statistically significant at the highest dose level. A statistically significant linear trend was observed for these tumours, except for the ovarian granulosa cell tumours. The provided

historical control data for these tumour types, when available, indicated that incidences in broflanilide-exposed rats at the above-noted dose levels exceeded the upper end of the historical control ranges and that the concurrent control incidences were generally similar to the historical control means, suggesting they were related to treatment.

The applicant submitted a proposed MOA and a human relevance framework analysis for the rat Leydig cell adenomas. The proposed MOA involves the following key events (KE): KE 1) a transient decrease in serum testosterone (T) levels; KE 2) increased serum luteinizing hormone (LH) levels with subsequent LH binding to LH receptors on Levdig cells; KE 3) the promotion of Leydig cell hyperplasia; and KE 4) the promotion/progression to Leydig cell tumours.

In support of the proposed MOA, a non-guideline subchronic toxicity study investigating effects on various hormone levels was performed in Wistar rats exposed to either a low- or high-dose level of broflanilide via the diet for 91 days. Although there appeared to be a slight decrease in serum T levels observed towards the end of the study period, which would support KE 1, the more apparent observation was a large increase in T observed at the beginning of the dosing period (study day 10). Additionally, there appeared to be an increase in LH observed towards the end of the study period, which provided some supporting evidence for KE 2. It should be noted that the interpretation of these findings is confounded by the fact that hormone levels were not measured prior to the initiation of the dosing period, there was a high degree of variability in the data, and the group sizes were relatively small when considering the sample size recommended for the reliable detection of changes in T levels in rats. Moreover, in regards to the increased LH levels, this is a KE that is common to various hormone-based MOAs for the formation of Leydig cell tumours and is not specific to the applicant's proposed MOA. Although there was a clear increase in Leydig cell hyperplasia observed in the 24-month rat chronic/oncogenicity study, which supported KE 3, the findings from the non-guideline subchronic toxicity study investigating effects on various hormone levels were not considered adequate to support KEs 1 or 2. Therefore, the submitted data were not considered adequate to support the proposed MOA for Leydig cell tumours in rats. No MOAs were proposed by the applicant for the other tumour types identified in the rat (ovarian luteomas, ovarian tumours of sex cord stromal origin, ovarian granulosa cell tumours, adrenal cortex carcinomas, and uterine adenocarcinoma). Overall, a quantitative linear low-dose extrapolation approach was deemed appropriate for the cancer risk assessment.

A number of studies were provided for seven broflanilide metabolites: DM-8007, DC-DM-8007, S(PFP-OH)-8007, DC-8007, MFBA, AB-oxa, and S(Br-OH)-8007. All seven metabolites were found to be of low acute toxicity via the oral route in rats, and negative in bacterial reverse mutation assays in S. typhimurium and E. coli. In an in vitro assay in Chinese hamster lung cells with MFBA, an increase in chromosomal aberrations was observed but only in the presence of test compound precipitation, and without a dose-response. Additionally, MFBA was negative for clastogenicity in an in vivo micronucleus assay.

Repeat-dose dietary toxicity studies in rats of 28 or 90 days duration were provided for DM-8007, DC-DM-8007, and S(PFP-OH)-8007, which allowed a comparison of toxic effects with the 90-day repeat-dose dietary toxicity with broflanilide. For metabolite MFBA, only a 28-day repeat-dose gavage toxicity study in rats was provided.

In the repeat-dose dietary toxicity studies, no toxic effects were observed with DM-8007 when tested at higher dose levels than broflanilide. DC-DM-8007 produced toxic effects at a similar dose level and in the same tissues when compared to broflanilide, with the addition of spleen as a target tissue as evidenced by increased spleen weight, enlarged spleen, and extramedullary hematopoiesis. Dosing with S(PFP-OH)-8007 resulted in a similar spectrum of toxicity to broflanilide but at a lower dose level. However, when considering the dose spacing and the magnitude of the effects following repeated dosing with S(PFP-OH)-8007 and broflanilide, S(PFP-OH)-8007 was considered to be of comparable toxicity to broflanilide. In the 28-day rat gavage study with MFBA, effects occurred only at the limit dose of testing. Although a comparable 28-day oral toxicity study was not available for broflanilide, the effect levels in the available repeat-dose oral toxicity studies in rats with broflanilide were orders of magnitude lower than those determined in the 28-day study with MFBA.

Based on the available information, it was concluded that metabolites DM-8007, DC-DM-8007, S(PFP-OH)-8007, DC-8007, MFBA, AB-oxa, and S(Br-OH)-8007 are to be considered of equal toxicity as broflanilide.

The identification of select broflanilide metabolites is presented in Appendix I, Table 2. Results of the toxicology studies conducted on laboratory animals with broflanilide, its metabolites, and its associated end-use products, are summarized in Appendix I, Tables 3, 4 and 5. The toxicological reference values for use in the human health risk assessment are summarized in Appendix I, Table 6.

Incident Reports

Broflanilide is a new active ingredient pending registration for use in Canada and the United States, and as of 4 September 2019, no incident reports involving broflanilide had been submitted to the PMRA.

There was a repeated exposure scenario of animals accidentally ingesting seed treated with seed treatment products containing other registered active ingredients that are present in Terexxa F4 Seed Treatment. Of the incidents considered to be associated with the pesticide exposure, the majority of the reported effects were minor to moderate in severity and included effects such as vomiting, tremors and lethargy; a low number of animal deaths were also reported. The presence of multiple active ingredients in the reported products introduces confounding elements due to the simultaneous exposure to other pesticides. Therefore, it is not possible to determine which pesticide may have contributed to the reported health effects in animals. In addition, the concern for the serious effects in animals is tempered by the low acute toxicity potential of Terexxa F4 Seed Treatment. Based on the health concerns identified from incident reports related to seed treatment products, it is proposed that the tags and bags of treated seed include a statement "Keep out of reach of children and animals" to reduce the likelihood of exposure of children and pets to treated seed.

3.1.1 Pest Control Products Act Hazard Characterization

For assessing risks from potential residues in food or from products used in or around homes or schools, the *Pest Control Products Act* requires the application of an additional 10-fold factor to threshold effects to take into account completeness of the data with respect to the exposure of, and toxicity to, infants and children, and potential prenatal and postnatal toxicity. A different factor may be determined to be appropriate on the basis of reliable scientific data.

With respect to the completeness of the toxicity database as it pertains to the toxicity to infants and children, the database contains the full complement of required studies including gavage developmental toxicity studies in rats and rabbits, and a dietary 2-generation reproductive toxicity study in rats. A supplemental developmental and reproductive toxicity screening study, in which rats were exposed to broflanilide via the diet, was also available.

With respect to potential prenatal and postnatal toxicity, there was no indication of increased sensitivity of fetuses or offspring compared to parental animals in the reproductive or developmental toxicity studies. In the 2-generation rat reproductive toxicity study, there was a decrease in offspring bodyweight, and decreases in offspring brain, thymus and spleen weights; however, these effects occurred in the presence of maternal toxicity. Additionally, increased pup death was noted at the highest dose level in the early post-natal period, resulting in a lower viability index. Concern for this serious finding was low given that it was only observed above the limit dose of testing and in the presence of maternal toxicity. Similarly, in the supplemental developmental/reproductive toxicity screening study there were reproductive and offspring effects (one litter loss and increased pup death) observed only at the limit dose and in the presence of maternal toxicity.

Overall, the database is adequate for determining the sensitivity of the young. There is a low level of concern for sensitivity of the young as effects in the young are well-characterized and occurred in the presence of maternal toxicity. On the basis of this information, the *Pest Control Products Act* factor (PCPA factor) was reduced to onefold.

3.2 Acute Reference Dose (ARfD)

Establishment of an acute reference dose is not required, as an endpoint of concern attributable to a single exposure was not identified in the oral toxicity studies.

3.3 Acceptable Daily Intake (ADI)

To estimate risk following repeated dietary exposure, the dermal no observed adverse effect level (NOAEL) of 1.7 mg/kg bw/day from the 12-month interim sacrifice group in the 24-month dietary chronic toxicity/oncogenicity study in the rat was selected. It is worth noting that the lower NOAEL from the 12-month interim sacrifice group, when compared to the 24-month sacrifice group, was due to dose selection as the 12-month group included an additional low-dose level that was not tested in the 24-month portion of the study. At the lowest observed adverse effect level (LOAEL) of 5.7 mg/kg bw/day, increases in adrenal gland vacuolation and adrenal gland and heart weight, as well as in reticulocytes and cholesterol were observed. This study provides the lowest NOAEL in the database. The selection of this study for use in risk

assessment is supported by a similar parental NOAEL of 2.3 mg/kg bw/day observed in the 2-generation reproductive toxicity study in rats. At the LOAEL of 7.5 mg/kg bw/day in the 2-generation reproductive toxicity study, increases in adrenal gland vacuolation and adrenal gland weight were observed. Standard uncertainty factors of 10-fold for interspecies extrapolation and 10-fold for intraspecies variability were applied. As discussed in the *Pest Control Products Act* Hazard Characterization section, the PCPA factor was reduced to onefold. The composite assessment factor (CAF) is thus 100.

The ADI is calculated according to the following formula:

$$ADI = NOAEL = 1.7 \text{ mg/kg bw/day} = 0.02 \text{ mg/kg bw/day}$$
 of broflanilide CAF 100

The ADI provides a margin of over 50 000 to the dose levels at which increased pup deaths were observed in the supplemental reproductive/developmental toxicity screening study and the 2-generation dietary reproductive toxicity study in rats.

Cancer Assessment

Broflanilide is considered to have carcinogenic potential based on the weight of evidence. There was evidence of treatment-related tumours in rats in the form of increased incidences of Leydig cell adenomas in males, and increased incidences of ovarian luteomas, ovarian granulosa cell tumours, and ovarian tumours of sex cord stromal origin (combined incidences of luteomas, thecomas, granulosa cell tumours, and sex cord stromal tumours), as well as adrenal cortex carcinomas and uterine adenocarcinoma in females. The supporting data for the proposed Leydig cell adenoma MOA were not considered adequate due to inconsistent results and a paucity of data to support certain key events. The applicant did not propose a MOA for the other tumour types identified. Furthermore, the applicant's argument that tumours were observed at high dose levels that exceeded a KMD, and were, therefore, not relevant to human health, was not supported. Therefore, a linear low-dose extrapolation (non-threshold approach) was deemed appropriate for the cancer risk assessment. A cancer potency factor (q^{1*}) of 2.1×10^{-3} (mg/kg bw/day)⁻¹ was derived based on the incidence of Leydig cell adenomas in male rats treated orally with broflanilide. This cancer potency factor was selected as it reflected the most conservative potency factor for the various tumour types and was considered relevant to all routes of exposure except for the inhalation route. Given the low oral absorption demonstrated for broflanilide at the dose levels tested in the oral toxicity studies, a 10-fold factor was applied to the inhalation cancer risk assessment to account for differences in absorption when extrapolating from an oral toxicity study to the inhalation route of exposure, for which absorption is assumed to be near 100%. Therefore, for the inhalation cancer risk assessment a q^{1*} of 2.1×10^{-2} (mg/kg bw/day)⁻¹ was determined to be appropriate. The cancer potency factor was not adjusted for assessing cancer risks via the dermal route of exposure, as the available data suggested that absorption of broflanilide via the dermal route is also quite low and similar to absorption via the oral route.

3.4 Occupational and Residential Risk Assessment

3.4.1 Occupational and Residential Routes and Durations of Exposure

Cimegra

Workers are expected to be exposed via the dermal and inhalation routes during mixing, loading and application of Cimegra during in-furrow and/or T-band application at planting to potato or corn. The duration of exposure is expected to be short-term in duration. Due to the use pattern of Cimegra, where it is applied to subsurface soil during in-furrow and/or T-band application at planting, exposure to postapplication workers entering fields is expected to be negligible.

Teraxxa F4 and Teraxxa

Commercial seed treatment workers, mobile treaters, on-farm treaters, planters and anyone handling seed treated with Teraxxa F4 or Teraxxa are expected to be exposed via the dermal and inhalation routes. The duration of exposure for those working in commercial seed treatment facilities is expected to be intermediate-term and short-term for mobile and on-farm treaters and those planting and handling treated seed on-farm.

3.4.2 Toxicological Reference Values

Short- and Intermediate-term Dermal

For short- and intermediate-term dermal occupational exposures, the NOAEL of 1000 mg/kg bw/day from the 28-day dermal toxicity study in rats was selected for risk assessment. A LOAEL was not established since there were no adverse effects observed up to the highest dose level tested.

The target margin of exposure (MOE) is 100 for short-term occupational exposure scenarios, which includes uncertainty factors of 10-fold for interspecies extrapolation and 10-fold for intraspecies variability. The target MOE is 300 for intermediate-term occupational exposure scenarios, which includes uncertainty factors of 10-fold for interspecies extrapolation and 10-fold for intraspecies variability, as well as an additional threefold factor to account for uncertainty in extrapolating from a short-term study to a longer-term exposure scenario, given evidence in the database suggesting a slight increase in toxicity with an extended duration of dosing. The selection of this study is protective of all populations, including nursing infants and the unborn children of exposed female workers.

Short- and Intermediate-term Inhalation

For short- and intermediate-term inhalation occupational exposures, the no observed adverse effect concentration (NOAEC) of 0.041 mg/L (equivalent to 8.4 mg/kg bw/day) from the 28-day inhalation toxicity study in rats was selected for risk assessment. At the lowest observed adverse effect concentration (LOAEC) of 0.193 mg/L (equivalent to 52 mg/kg bw/day), adrenal gland and ovarian vacuolation, extramedullary hematopoiesis of the spleen, and increased adrenal gland and heart weight were observed. The target MOE is 100 for short-term occupational exposure scenarios, which includes uncertainty factors of 10-fold for interspecies extrapolation

and 10-fold for intraspecies variability. The target MOE is 300 for intermediate-term occupational exposure scenarios, which includes uncertainty factors of 10-fold for interspecies extrapolation and 10-fold for intraspecies variability, as well as an additional threefold factor to account for uncertainty in extrapolating from a short-term study to a longer-term exposure scenario, given evidence in the database suggesting a slight increase in toxicity with an extended duration of dosing. The selection of this study is protective of all populations, including nursing infants and the unborn children of exposed female workers.

Aggregate Risk Assessment

Aggregate exposure is the total exposure to a single pesticide that may occur from dietary (food and drinking water), residential and other non-occupational sources, and from all known or plausible exposure routes (oral, dermal and inhalation). For broflanilide, the aggregate assessment consisted of combining food and drinking water exposure only, since residential exposure is not expected. An endpoint of concern attributable to a single exposure was not identified in the oral toxicity studies; therefore, an acute oral aggregate risk assessment is not required. The most relevant toxicological endpoint and assessment factors for chronic oral aggregate exposure are the same as those selected for the ADI (see Section 3.3).

Cumulative Assessment

The Pest Control Products Act requires that the PMRA consider the cumulative exposure to pesticides with a common mechanism of toxicity. Accordingly, an assessment of a potential common mechanism of toxicity with other pesticides was undertaken for broflanilide. Based on its pesticidal MOA, broflanilide has been classified into IRAC Group 30: GABA-gated chloride channel allosteric modulators. The only other active ingredient included in IRAC Group 30 is fluxametamide. Fluxametamide is a novel insecticide belonging to the isoxazoline class of chemicals; exposure to this pesticide is not expected to occur in Canada. As previously noted, binding of broflanilide to GABA receptors is expected to be highly specific to invertebrates given interspecies differences in subunit amino acid. There was no evidence in the toxicological database to suggest that broflanilide exerts its toxic action in mammals via GABA-receptor binding. Broflanilide has also been classified as a diamide insecticide based on its chemical structure (the presence of two amide groups substituted on a benzene ring), along with other insecticides registered for use in Canada, including chlorantraniliprole, cyantraniliprole, and cyclaniliprole. However, broflanilide is further sub-categorized as a meta-diamide, meaning that the amide groups are located in the meta-substituted positions of the benzene ring, whereas chlorantraniliprole, cyantraniliprole, and cyclaniliprole are considered anthranilic diamides. Anthranilic diamides have their amide groups in the ortho-substituted positions of the benzene ring and are known to target insect ryanodine receptors. It has been determined that there is insufficient evidence to link the apical endpoints observed in the toxicology databases for the anthranilic diamide class of pesticides to a common mechanism. Overall, for the current evaluation, the PMRA did not identify information indicating that broflanilide shares a common mechanism of toxicity with other pest control products. Therefore, no cumulative health risk assessment is required at this time.

3.4.2.1 Dermal Absorption

The applicant submitted an in vivo dermal absorption study where male Wistar Han IGS rats were administered nominal doses of 1.25, 2.5 or $1000~\mu g/cm^2$ of ^{14}C -MCI 8007 in BAS 450 00 I and monitored up to 120 hours post-dosing. The total exposure duration was 8 hours prior to conducting the first skin wash. Excreta (feces and urine) were collected at multiple time points from the time of dosing to the time of sacrifice for all exposure groups. Analyzed matrices included excreta, cage wash, blood cells, plasma, carcass, protective cover, skin wash, skin (at and surrounding the application site) and tape strips. Overall mean group recoveries of the applied dose of ^{14}C -MCI 8007 ranged from 93–109%.

Estimates of dermal absorption (total absorbed dose) were calculated by summing the amount recovered (% of the applied dose) in the stratum corneum (tape strips), application skin test site, untreated skin, cage wash, urine, faeces, blood and carcass. The study authors did not include the skin at the application site and surrounding area or the tape strips (stratum corneum) in the dermal absorption estimates but these have been incorporated into the estimates as the data demonstrated that the skin bound residues continued to be bioavailable. Mean group residues found in the stratum corneum ranged from 0.07–1.07%, while mean group residues in the application skin site ranged from 0.65 to 8.19%. Mean dermal absorption values for the group sacrificed at 120 hours (n=4), were 5.2%, 5.3% and 2.4% at the low, mid and high doses respectively.

Mean group total absorbed doses with a skin wash at 8 hours ranged from 4.4–7.8%, 4.6–10.4% and 2.3–5.7% of the applied doses from the low, mid and high dose groups, respectively. Maximum mean percent absorption values were observed for the groups of rats sacrificed at 8 hours for all dose groups due to residues remaining in the skin at the application site. While some of the residues remaining in the skin after 8 hours were absorbed, as evidenced by increasing percentages of the applied dose in the faeces and carcass over time, some of the residues were also recovered in the second skin wash. These results indicate that the dermal absorption values from the groups sacrificed after 8 hours likely overestimate the percent of the dose that will be taken up into the body. The observed pattern of dermal absorption suggests that ¹⁴C-MC1 8007 might reach a threshold of absorption with increasing dose as the amount of total absorbed dose decreases as the applied dose concentration increases.

Given the variability in the mean dermal absorption between the various exposure groups, in order to select a conservative value to represent dermal absorption, the maximum group mean dermal absorption was chosen. The maximum mean dermal absorption (10.4%) was observed for the mid dose ($2.5 \,\mu \text{g/cm}^2$) exposure group when sacrificed immediately after the 8 hour exposure period. Therefore, a dermal absorption value of 10% was selected for risk assessment purposes. Additionally, there were some minor limitations to the study; however, these limitations did not impact the confidence in the selected dermal absorption value.

The dermal absorption study was not required in the non-cancer risk assessment as the NOAEL was derived from a dermal toxicological study representing the durations of exposure relevant to the proposed end-use products. The dermal absorption value is typically included in the calculation of the absorbed daily dose (ADD) when estimating the cancer risk. However, in a toxicokinetic study, where Wistar rats were given a single dose (gavage) of radiolabelled

broflanilide at 5 mg/kg bw or 500 mg/kg bw, oral absorption of the administered dose was 14–23% and 2% for the low and high dose, respectively. The rat in vivo dermal absorption study demonstrated that absorption of broflanilide ranged from 2.3–10.4%, which is within the range of oral absorption demonstrated in the toxicokinetic study. As such, dermal and oral absorption are considered to be similar and thus, the dermal absorption value of 10% will not be applied to the dermal exposure calculations since an oral toxicity study was relied upon to determine the cancer potency factor.

3.4.3 Occupational Exposure and Risk

3.4.3.1 Mixer/loader/applicator Exposure and Risk Assessment

Cimegra

Non-Cancer Risk Assessment

Exposure estimates were derived for mixers/loaders/applicators handling Cimegra for the infurrow and/or T-band treatment of potato and corn. The dermal and inhalation exposure estimates are based on workers wearing a long-sleeved shirt, long pants, chemical-resistant gloves, socks and shoes and were generated using the unit exposure values from the Agricultural Handlers Exposure Task Force (AHETF) database.

Chemical-specific data for assessing human exposures during pesticide handling activities were not submitted.

Dermal and inhalation exposures were estimated by combining the unit exposure values with the amount of product handled per day. Exposures were normalized to mg/kg bw/day by using 80 kg adult body weight.

Exposure estimates were compared to the toxicological reference values to obtain the margin of exposure (MOE); the target MOE is 100 for short-term exposures (Appendix I, Table 6). Calculated MOEs are above the target MOE of 100 for M/L/A scenarios for potato and corn and are, therefore, not of concern (Appendix I, Table 7).

Cancer Risk

In addition, a cancer risk assessment was conducted for M/L/As of Cimegra. The cancer risk was calculated separately for both the dermal and inhalation routes by estimating the ADD and then the lifetime average daily dose (LADD). The ADD was based on exposure estimates from the non-cancer risk assessment as presented in Appendix I, Table 7. The LADD was calculated by amortizing the ADD over the number of exposure days per year and the working lifetime of an agricultural worker. The LADD for each route was multiplied by the route-specific cancer potency factors (q¹*) prior to being combined for the total cancer risk.

For occupational workers, lifetime cancer risks of less than 1×10^{-5} is considered acceptable and as the total cancer risk for Cimegra is less than 2×10^{-7} , cancer risks are not of concern (Appendix I, Table 8).

Teraxxa F4 and Teraxxa

Non-Cancer Risk Assessment

Commercial Facilities and Mobile Treaters

Broflanilide is proposed as a seed treatment of barley, buckwheat, pearl millet, proso millet, oats, rye, sorghum, triticale, canary seed, annual canarygrass (grown for human consumption) and wheat (winter, spring and durum). Individuals have the potential for exposure to broflanilide while treating seed in commercial seed treatment facilities and by mobile treaters as well as while bagging, sewing and stacking of treated seed in commercial treatment facilities and during clean-up and repair of treatment equipment. Occupational exposure to Teraxxa F4 or Teraxxa is characterized as intermediate-term in duration for seed treatment workers in commercial facilities and short-term for mobile treaters and occurs predominantly by the dermal and inhalation routes.

To estimate exposure to those mixing/loading, treating and calibrating and other workers in commercial facilities (including mobile treaters), two different wheat passive dosimetry studies were used. Wheat was used as the surrogate seed for all cereal seeds. An open pour passive dosimetry study was used for treaters in commercial facilities and mobile treaters. This type of study may overestimate exposure to treaters in commercial facilities, as they typically use closed mix/load/treatment/calibration systems; however, it is representative of mobile treaters who may use open mix/load systems. The unit exposure values for treaters were based on subjects wearing a single layer of personal protectice equipment (PPE) (long-sleeved shirt and long pants) and chemical-resistant gloves. This is less PPE than that on the proposed label, which includes coveralls over a single layer, so the latter will be maintained.

For workers in commercial facilities bagging/sewing/stacking treated cereals and cleaning seed treatment equipment, the passive dosimetry study was selected based on the similarity between the use pattern of the study and the new end-use products. Workers in the study were monitored wearing a single layer and no chemical resistant gloves. This is also less PPE than that on the proposed label, which includes coveralls over a single layer. The cleaners from this surrogate study wore chemical-resistant coveralls and chemical-resistant gloves which is greater PPE than the coveralls over a single layer on the proposed label. As such, the PPE on the label will be amended to match that of the study.

The risk assessment is presented for wheat only but is representative of exposure to the other cereals. Similarly, exposure to workers in commercial treatment facilities is representative of that of mobile treaters because of the larger seed throughput capacities in commercial facilities.

Dermal and inhalation exposures were calculated by combining unit exposure values with the maximum application rate and the AHETF throughput values for wheat. Exposures were normalized to mg/kg bw/day by using 80 kg adult body weight. The calculated MOEs were greater than the intermediate-term target MOE of 300 and the short-term target MOE of 100 for both dermal and inhalation routes (Appendix I, Table 9). As such, no health risks of concern are expected for workers in commercial treatment facilities or mobile treaters provided they wear the PPE specified on the proposed label.

On-Farm Treatment and Planting

Exposures to workers treating cereal seeds on-farm and then planting or only planting seeds treated in commercial facilities were represented through two surrogate passive dosimetry studies. The on-farm treating and planting study monitored workers wearing a single layer of PPE and chemical-resistant gloves and the planting study monitored workers wearing coveralls over a single layer of PPE and chemical-resistant gloves. The non-cancer risk was calculated by combining the application rate, the seeding rate for wheat and the maximum planting area per day with unit exposure values. The exposure and risk estimates for on-farm treaters and planters are presented in Appendix I, Table 10. As the calculated MOEs are above the short-term target MOE of 100, there are no health risks of concern for on-farm treaters when wearing a long-sleeved shirt, long pants, chemical-resistant gloves, shoes and socks or for planters when wearing coveralls over a long-sleeved shirt, long pants, chemical-resistant gloves, shoes and socks. As the dermal and inhalation unit exposure values for planters are derived from studies which used closed cab tractors, this restriction will be added to the label.

Cancer Risk Assessment

A cancer risk assessment was conducted for workers in direct contact with Teraxxa F4 and Teraxxa or with seeds treated with the end-use products. The cancer risk was calculated separately for both the dermal and inhalation routes by estimating the ADD and then the LADD. The ADD was based on exposure estimates from the non-cancer risk assessment but amended using a lower seed throughput in commercial facilities. The LADD was calculated by amortizing the ADD over the number of exposure days per year and the working lifetime of an agricultural worker. The LADD for each route was multiplied by the route-specific cancer potency factors (q^{1*}) prior to being combined for the total cancer risk.

For occupational workers, lifetime cancer risks of less than 1×10^{-5} are considered acceptable and as the total cancer risk for Teraxxa F4 and Teraxxa is less than 4×10^{-6} , cancer risks are not of concern (Appendix I, Table 11).

3.4.3.2 Exposure and Risk Assessment for Workers Entering Treated Areas

Postapplication exposure to workers is expected to be negligible following soil in-furrow and/or T-band application of Cimegra at planting.

3.4.4 Residential Exposure and Risk Assessment

3.4.4.1 Handler Exposure and Risk

As the end-use products containing broflanilide are proposed as commercial marketing class products, a residential handler risk assessment is not required.

3.4.4.2 Postapplication Exposure and Risk

The end-use products containing broflanilide are not proposed for use in residential areas, therefore a postapplication residential risk assessment is not required.

3.4.4.3 Bystander Exposure and Risk

Bystander exposure is expected to be negligible since the potential for drift is expected to be minimal and label restrictions to minimize drift are to be added to the labels.

3.5 Exposure from Drinking Water

3.5.1 Concentrations in Drinking Water

For the human health assessment, estimated environmental concentrations (EEC) in potential drinking water sources are calculated for both groundwater and surface water. For surface water, Pesticide in Water Calculator (PWC) calculates the amount of pesticide entering a water body by runoff and drift, and the subsequent degradation of the pesticide in the water system. EECs are calculated by modelling a total land area of 173 ha draining into a 5.3 ha reservoir with a depth of 2.7 m. Groundwater EECs are calculated by simulating leaching through a layered soil profile and reporting the average concentration in the top 1 meter of a water table.

Drinking water modelling follows a tiered approach consisting of progressive levels of refinement. Level 1 EECs are conservative values intended to screen out pesticides that are not expected to pose any concern related to drinking water. These are calculated using conservative inputs with respect to application rate, application timing, and geographic scenario. Level 2 EECs are based on a narrower range of application timing, methods, and geographic scenarios, and are not considered conservative values that cover all regions of Canada. Only Level 1 modelling was required for broflanilide.

The residue definition consisted of parent broflanilide only. EECs for surface water were calculated based on a single standard scenario. EECs in groundwater were calculated for several scenarios representing different regions of Canada; only the highest EECs from across these scenarios are reported. The surface scenario was run for 50 years, and groundwater scenarios were run for 100 years due to the slower breakthrough of broflanilide in the soil. The major fate inputs used for the modelling are presented in Table 3.5.1. Level 1 EECs of broflanilide are reported in Table 3.5.2. Further details of water modelling inputs and calculations are available upon request.

Table 3.5.1 Major fate inputs for the modelling of broflanilide

Fate Parameter	Value
$K_{\rm oc}$ (L/kg)	5735 ¹
Aerobic water half-life (d) at 20 °C	1430^2
Anaerobic water half-life life (d) at 20 °C	1411^2
Photolysis half-life (d) at 40 °N	80
Hydrolysis life (d) at pH 7 and 20 °C	Stable
Soil half-life (d) at 25 °C	4168^3

¹ 20th percentile of 7 values

² Longer of 2 available values

³ 90th percentile confidence bound on the mean of four soil half-lives

Table 3.5.2 EECs (µg a.i./L) for the drinking water risk assessment of broflanilide

Use pattern	Groundwater (µg a.i./L)		Surface Water (µg a.i./L)	
	Daily ¹	Yearly ²	Daily ³	Yearly ⁴
A single application of 25 g a.i./ha	0.72	0.72	0.97	0.39

¹ 90th percentile of daily average concentrations

3.6 **Food Residues Exposure Assessment**

3.6.1 **Residues in Plant and Animal Foodstuffs**

The residue definition for risk assessment and enforcement in plant products is broflanilide. The residue definition for enforcement and risk assessment in animal commodities is broflanilide and the metabolite DM-8007, expressed as parent equivalents. The data gathering/enforcement analytical methods are valid for the quantitation of broflanilide in plant matrices, and broflanilide and the metabolite DM-8007 residues in livestock matrices. The residues of broflanilide are stable in five crop commodity categories (high water, high oil, high protein, high starch and high acid content) for up to 24 months when stored at approximately -20 °C. Therefore, broflanilide residues are considered stable in all plant matrices and processed fractions for up to 24 months. Broflanilide and the metabolite DM-8007 residues are stable in all livestock matrices for up to 60 days. The raw agricultural commodities of potato, field corn and wheat were processed. Adequate feeding studies were carried out to assess the anticipated residues in livestock matrices resulting from the current uses. Crop field trials conducted throughout Canada and the United States using end-use products containing broflanilide at exaggerated rates in or on potato, field corn, sweet corn, wheat and barley are sufficient to support the proposed maximum residue limits.

3.6.2 Dietary Risk Assessment

Chronic (non-cancer and cancer) dietary risk assessments were conducted using the Dietary Exposure Evaluation Model (DEEM–FCIDTM).

3.6.2.1 Acute Dietary Exposure Results and Characterization

No appropriate toxicological reference value attributable to a single dose for the general population (including children and infants) was identified.

3.6.2.2 Chronic Dietary Exposure Results and Characterization

The following criteria were applied to the basic chronic non-cancer analysis for broflanilide: 100% crop treated, default processing factors (where available), the proposed MRLs for the plant and animal commodities. The basic chronic dietary exposure from all supported broflanilide food

² 90th percentile of 365-day moving average concentrations

³ 90th percentile of the peak concentrations from each year

⁴ 90th percentile of yearly average concentrations

uses (alone) for the total population, including infants and children, and all representative population subgroups is 5.6% of the ADI. Aggregate exposure from food and drinking water is considered acceptable. The PMRA estimates that chronic dietary exposure to broflanilide from food and drinking water is 1.3% (0.000253 mg/kg bw/day) of the ADI for the total population. The highest exposure and risk estimate is for Children 1–2 years old at 5.7% (0.001134 mg/kg bw/day) of the ADI.

The basic chronic cancer risk assessment was conducted with the same criteria used for the chronic non-cancer assessment. The lifetime cancer risk from exposure to broflanilide in food and drinking water was estimated to be 5×10^{-7} for the general population, which is not of health concern.

3.6.3 Aggregate Exposure and Risk

The aggregate risk for broflanilide consists of exposure from food and drinking water sources only; there are no residential uses.

3.6.4 Maximum Residue Limits

Table 3.6.1 Proposed Maximum Residue Limits

Commodity	Recommended MRL (ppm)
Tuberous and corm vegetables (Crop Subgroup 1C)	0.04
Eggs, fat, meat, and meat byproducts of cattle, goats, hogs, horses, sheep and poultry, milk	0.02
Cereal grains (CG 15), except rice and wild rice, amaranth grain, annual canarygrass seeds, cañihua grain, chia grain, cram-cram grain, huauzontle grain, quinoa, spelt grain, teff grain	0.011
Food commodities (other than those listed in this item)	0.01^{1}

¹ The uses are not on the Canadian label. Proposed MRLs are to allow importation from the United States.

MRLs are proposed for each commodity included in the listed crop groupings in accordance with the Residue Chemistry Crop Groups webpage in the Pesticides section of Canada.ca

For additional information on Maximum Residue Limits (MRLs) in terms of the international situation and trade implications, refer to Appendix II.

The nature of the residues in animal and plant matrices, analytical methodologies, field trial data, and acute and chronic dietary risk estimates are summarized in Appendix I, Tables 1, 12 and 13.

4.0 Impact on the Environment

4.1 Fate and Behaviour in the Environment

Hydrolysis is not expected to be an important route of dissipation for broflanilide in the environment as < 10% hydrolyzed after 5 days at 50 °C in pH 4, 7, and 9 buffer solutions. Phototransformation on soil is also not expected to be an important route of dissipation for

broflanilide as <10% was transformed after 14 days of continuous irradiation. Similarly, phototransformation in water under neutral conditions (pH 7) is not expected to be an important route of dissipation for broflanilide (half-life = 80 days). Under acidic and basic conditions there is a potential for phototransformation (half-lives = 17 and 4 days at pH 5 and 9, respectively). Four major aqueous phototransformation products were identified: AB-oxa, S(BR-OH)-8007, MFBA and benzoic acid.

Biotransformation is not an important route of dissipation for broflanilide based on laboratory studies. Broflanilide is persistent in both soil and aquatic systems. In laboratory aerobic and anaerobic biotransformation studies, the DT_{50} values for broflanilide were 157-5742 days in soil and 871-1411 days in aquatic systems. The only major transformation product identified was DC-8007. Broflanilide was found to be strongly bound to soil and sediment.

Terrestrial field studies showed that the dissipation of broflanilide was significantly faster under field conditions compared to the laboratory, with field DT₅₀ values of 3.3–182 days. All transformation products observed under field conditions were minor (<10% applied radioactivity (AR)) and were the same as those observed in the laboratory studies. Broflanilide and its transformation products were not detected below the 15 cm soil depth, indicating that movement to groundwater is not anticipated. Overall, taking into consideration results of laboratory studies, sorption data, assessments using Groundwater Ubiquity Scores (GUS) and criteria of Cohen et al. (1984), and terrestrial field dissipation studies, broflanilide and its residues are unlikely to leach to groundwater. Broflanilide and its residues are persistent, however, are likely irreversibly bound to soil and therefore not bioavailable. The residue definition was parent only for both drinking water and ecoscenario, as all transformation products were excluded on the basis of exposure.

The log octanol-water partition coefficients (K_{ow}) of 4.34–5.75 for broflanilide suggest a potential for bioaccumulation; however, bioconcentration factors (BCF) of 96–119 demonstrated that broflanilide did not bioconcentrate appreciably in fish tissue.

Broflanilide is non-systemic. Therefore, broflanilide applied as an in-furrow spray or seed treatment is expected to mostly remain in the soil at the point of application.

The transformation products of broflanilide detected in laboratory and field dissipation studies are summarized in Appendix I, Table 14. The fate and behaviour of broflanilide and its transformation products in the environment is summarized in Appendix I, Table 15.

4.2 Environmental Risk Characterization

The environmental risk assessment integrates environmental exposure and ecotoxicology information to estimate the potential for adverse effects on non-target species. This integration is achieved by comparing exposure concentrations with concentrations at which adverse effects occur. The EECs are concentrations of pesticide in various environmental media, such as food, water, soil and air. The EECs are estimated by taking into consideration the application rate(s), chemical properties and environmental fate properties, including the dissipation of the pesticide between applications. Ecotoxicology information includes acute and chronic toxicity data for various organisms or groups of organisms from both terrestrial and aquatic habitats including

invertebrates, vertebrates, and plants. Toxicity endpoints used in risk assessments may be adjusted to account for potential differences in species sensitivity as well as varying protection goals (in other words, protection at the community, population, or individual level).

Initially, a screening level risk assessment is performed to identify pesticides and/or specific uses that do not pose a risk to non-target organisms, and to identify groups of organisms for which there may be a potential risk. The screening level risk assessment uses simple methods, conservative exposure scenarios (for example, direct application at a maximum cumulative application rate) and sensitive toxicity endpoints. A risk quotient (RQ) is calculated by dividing the exposure estimate by an appropriate toxicity value (RQ = exposure/toxicity), and the risk quotient is then compared to the level of concern (LOC). If the screening level risk quotient is below the LOC, the risk is considered negligible and no further risk characterization is necessary. If the screening level risk quotient is equal to or greater than the LOC, then a refined risk assessment is performed to further characterize the risk. A refined assessment takes into consideration more realistic exposure scenarios (such as drift to non-target habitats) and may consider different toxicity endpoints. Refinements may include further characterization of risk based on exposure modelling, monitoring data, results from field or mesocosm studies, and probabilistic risk assessment methods. Refinements to the risk assessment may continue until the risk is adequately characterized or no further refinements are possible.

The individual fungicide components comprising the proposed end-use product, Teraxxa F4 Insecticide and Fungicide Seed Treatment, are all registered in Canada. The proposed use pattern, including application rates and crops, are consistent with the current registered use pattern for the registered co-formulated active ingredients. Only risk characterization from the proposed new active ingredient, broflanilide is discussed here.

4.2.1 Risks to Terrestrial Organisms

A risk assessment for broflanilide was conducted for terrestrial organisms based on available toxicity data. For acute toxicity studies, uncertainty factors (UF) of 1/2 and 1/10 of the EC₅₀ (LC₅₀) are typically used in modifying the toxicity values for terrestrial invertebrates, birds, and mammals when calculating risk quotients. No uncertainty factors are applied to chronic NOEC endpoints. A summary of terrestrial toxicity data for broflanilide, its transformation products, and end-use products is presented in Appendix I, Table 16. The screening level risk assessment for broflanilide is presented in Appendix I, Table 17, for terrestrial organisms other than birds and mammals, and Appendix I, Table 18, for birds and mammals.

Earthworms: The EEC for a direct application on soil was calculated using the maximum proposed application rate of Cimegra Insecticide (25 g a.i./ha). There are also two proposed seed treatment products; however, seed treatments are expected to result in much lower soil levels of broflanilide than spray applications and are thus considered to be covered by the soil application EECs. As a conservative estimate, all endpoints were compared to the Cimegra application rate.

Broflanilide, its transformation products, and end-use products were not acutely toxic to earthworms at concentrations up to 1000 mg/kg dw soil. Chronic exposure to broflanilide can affect reproduction at rates greater than 30 mg a.i./kg dw soil.

The risk quotients for earthworms resulting from acute and chronic exposure to broflanilide, its end-use products and its transformation products do not exceed the level of concern at the screening level. The use of broflanilide is not expected to pose an acute or chronic risk to earthworms.

Other soil-dwelling invertebrates: Chronic broflanilide exposure in soils significantly affected the survival and reproduction of the soil mite, *Hypoaspis aculeifer*, at concentrations of 0.36 mg a.i./kg dry soil and greater. The risk quotient for *Hypoaspis aculeifer* resulting from chronic exposure to broflanilide does not exceed the level of concern at the screening level. The use of broflanilide is not expected to pose a chronic risk to soil-dwelling invertebrates.

Bees: The pollinator risk assessment followed the tiered framework developed jointly by the PMRA, USEPA (United States Environmental Protection Agency) and CDPR (California Department of Pesticide Regulation) in 2012 with guidance published in 2014 (*Guidance for Assessing Pesticide Risks to Bees*). The tiered risk assessment framework consists of exposure and effects characterization relative to bees and moves from a highly conservative risk assessment at lower tiers to a more realistic assessment at higher tiers.

Tier I screening level assessment

Broflanilide, its transformation product, DM-8007, and end-use products, Cimegra and Teraxxa, were highly toxic to bees on an acute and contact basis. However, other tested transformation products were practically nontoxic. Broflanilide was also toxic to both adult and larval bees on a chronic basis, with NOAEL values based on mortality of 0.62 ng a.i./bee/day and 0.088 ng a.i./larva/day, respectively. The Tier I effects information indicated that bumble bees (a non-Apis bee) and honey bees have similar acute oral sensitivity to broflanilide exposure, and bumble bees may be less sensitive from contact exposure. Effect endpoints derived from the Tier I honey bee laboratory studies are considered suitable as a surrogate for non-Apis bees, and the results of the Tier I screening and refined risk assessment for Apis bees are considered relevant to non-Apis bees.

The Tier I risk assessment for oral exposure through pollen and nectar was based on default exposure values and assumed the pesticide might be systemic (Appendix I, Table 17). There was negligible risk to adult bees from acute oral exposure to soil applications. There was a potential risk to adult bees from acute oral exposure to seed treatment applications. There was potential risk identified for adult bees from chronic oral exposure to both soil and seed treatment applications. There was also a potential risk to larval bees from acute oral exposure to seed treatment applications and from chronic oral exposure to both soil and seed treatment applications. In the conservative Tier I approach, it is assumed that all soil-applied and seed-treated pesticides are systemic and able to be transported to pollen and nectar. Broflanilide is not a systemic pesticide, and contact toxicity is its mode of action. Therefore, the risk assessment from oral exposure through pollen and nectar from soil and seed treatments was further refined considering the evidence that broflanilide is not systemic.

For the proposed soil application types (in-furrow and T-band), it is assumed that honey bees will not be directly exposed through contact because they are not expected to be present on the surface of the soil. However, some non-*Apis* bees may be exposed through contact with soil, for

example if they nest in soil. There is not currently an established quantitative method to assess exposure to non-*Apis* bees through soil. Qualitatively, for these proposed uses, there is expected to be minimal exposure of bees nesting in soil, as applications are made in limited areas via infurrow and T-band, and only to potato and corn. Therefore, it is not likely that broflanilide will be found in important nesting areas for non-*Apis* bees.

For some seed types, bees may also be exposed through pesticide-containing dust generated during planting of treated seed. Generation of dust from planting of treated seed is related to many factors, including the planting equipment and seed type. The proposed seeds to be treated in Canada include small cereal grains only (in other words, wheat, barley, buckwheat, oats, etc.). Small cereal seeds are not typically planted with equipment likely to generate dust during planting. Due to the type of seeds being treated, there is no requirement for use of a dust-reducing fluency agent. Because of the high toxicity of broflanilide to bees, it was determined that best management practices to minimize dust exposure during planting of treated seed will be required to be followed.

Tier II refined assessment

Physical-chemical data: The mobility of a chemical in the environment depends largely on its physical-chemical parameters, including water solubility, octanol/water partition coefficient (log K_{ow}), and coefficient of dissociation (pKa). Broflanilide has low water solubility (0.71 mg/L at 20 °C), as do its transformation products (0.006–1.6 mg/L at 20 °C).

Broflanilide log $K_{\rm ow}$ values range from 4.34–5.91. The Briggs' model used to estimate dietary exposure from soil applications is applicable only for chemicals with log $K_{\rm ow} \le 5$. Soil partition coefficient ($K_{\rm oc}$) values for broflanilide range from 3261–23 342 in different soil types, with an average $K_{\rm oc}$ value of 9274. Based on its high $K_{\rm oc}$ and log $K_{\rm ow}$ values, broflanilide's mobility in aqueous environments and across plant root membranes is expected to be very low. Broflanilide's transformation products are also expected to show very low mobility based on the available physical-chemical data.

Overall, the physical-chemical properties of broflanilide and its transformation products indicate that they are unlikely to move systemically through translocation in plant tissues.

Translocation studies to determine residues in bee relevant matrices: Empirical data can be used to refine conservative exposure estimates and reduce uncertainties associated with the Tier I exposure assessment by providing direct pesticide concentration measurements in pollen and nectar resulting from field use. To study root uptake and translocation, three studies investigating concentrations of broflanilide and relevant transformation products in bee-relevant matrices were available to provide residue data for crops, including corn (following soil in-furrow spray), canola (following seed treatment), and oilseed rape (succeeding crop grown in a corn field previously treated with in-furrow application). Data showed essentially no translocation of broflanilide or its transformation products in bee relevant matrices including pollen, nectar or flowers. As no residues were detected, no exposure through pollen and nectar residues is expected.

Plant metabolism data: Plant metabolism studies conducted with radiolabeled broflanilide suggested very limited translocation from treated to untreated plant parts in crops. These results were also observed in a confined rotational crop study where only limited uptake of broflanilide into succeeding crops was observed after application to bare-soil (above the proposed Canadian label rates). The results from the radiolabelled studies indicating a non-systemic nature and very low translocation were confirmed in the supervised field trials. The residue levels found in corn, wheat, and barley destined for human food or animal feed were in most cases below the LOD of the method (0.0002 mg/kg). Findings of residues above LOQ (for example, potatoes) can be best explained by direct contact with treated soil rather than by actual uptake. Overall, plant metabolism studies further support the non-systemic nature of broflanilide and its transformation products.

Crop attractiveness considerations:

Seed treatments: The majority of the cereal grains proposed for seed treatment (barley, pearl millet, proso millet, oats, rye, sorghum, triticale, canary seed, and wheat) are not considered attractive to bees, and thus, the exposure through bee resources such as pollen and nectar would be negligible regardless of whether or not the product is systemic. These cereal grains do not require insect pollination and are not a major source of pollen or nectar for honey bees, bumble bees, or solitary bees. Of the (cereal grains/crops) proposed for seed treatment, the one exception is buckwheat, which is highly attractive to bees and has both pollen and nectar sources. The refined assessment considers the non-systemic nature of broflanilide and its transformation products, and the lack of detectable residues (<LOD 0.0002 mg/kg) in pollen and nectar of canola grown from treated seed. Therefore, buckwheat poses a negligible risk to bees via pollen and nectar as negligible exposure is expected.

Soil treatments: Soil applications are made in-furrow or via T-band, at planting, to corn and potato. Corn and potato have moderate pollinator exposure potential. Corn does not require insect pollination, has only pollen, and is considered a minor source of pollen for honey bees but is not attractive to bumble bees or solitary bees. Potato plants produce no nectar and very little pollen, which is not attractive to most bees. Bumble-bees and solitary bees may visit potato occasionally, whereas honey bees typically do not utilize potato pollen.

Corn and potato have only moderate pollinator exposure potential. Additionally, there is negligible risk expected through pollen and nectar based on the refined risk assessment. The refined assessment considers the non-systemic nature of broflanilide and its transformation products, and the lack of detectable residues in pollen and nectar of soil-treated corn and in canola grown in a field previously containing soil-treated corn (succeeding crop). Corn and potato pose a negligible risk to bees via pollen and nectar as negligible exposure is expected.

SUMMARY OF REFINED RISK ASSESSMENT

The proposed seed treatments include small grain cereals, which are not attractive to bees, with the exception of buckwheat. Proposed soil treatments include potato and corn, both of which have only pollen and a low/moderate potential for pollinator exposure. Residue data showed essentially no translocation of broflanilide or its transformation products in bee-relevant matrices, including pollen, nectar, and flowers. As no residues were detected, no exposure

through residues in pollen and nectar is expected from the proposed uses. Plant metabolism studies and physical-chemical properties support the non-systemic nature of broflanilide. Therefore, risk to bees from broflanilide residues in nectar and/or pollen after soil or seed treatment applications is expected to be negligible.

Based on the high toxicity of broflanilide to bees, best management practices should be followed and are required on the seed treatment product labels to mitigate risks from dust exposure during planting of treated seed.

Beneficial arthropods:

Tier I screening level assessment

Acute exposure on glass plates of the predatory mite, *Typhlodromus pyri*, and the parasitoid wasp, *Aphidius rhopalosiphi*, to the broflanilide end-use product, Cimegra, resulted in significant survival effects. The risk quotients for *Typhlodromus pyri* and *Aphidius rhopalosiphi* exceeded the level of concern for both soil and seed treatment applications (Appendix I, Table 17).

The screening level exposure estimates are highly conservative, as the seed treatment rates and soil application rates are not expected to result in plant residues comparable to those from direct residues on the plant. Foliar applications are not proposed for any of the broflanilide end-use products. The predatory mite, *Typhlodromus pyri*, and the parasitoid wasp, *Aphidius rhopalosiphi*, are not soil organisms; however, they are used as surrogates for all predatory and parasitic arthropods.

Tier II refined assessment

The risk to predatory and parasitic arthropods was further characterized using results from higher tier, extended laboratory toxicity studies. Based on exposure to spray residues of Cimegra onfield from a direct application of 25 g a.i./ha, the risk quotients for survival and reproduction of *Aphidius rhopalosiphi* and *Typhlodromus pyri* exceeded the level of concern (Appendix I, Table 19).

All higher tier toxicity studies with terrestrial arthropod species were conducted with the end-use product, Cimegra. Cimegra Insecticide is proposed as an in-furrow or T-band spray and is not to be applied directly to the soil surface. Most predatory and parasitic arthropod species will not be directly exposed through spray contact because they are not expected to be present on the surface of the soil at the time of application. The risk assessment conducted for earthworms and the soil mite, *Hypoaspis aculeifer*, is more relevant based on broflanilide's use pattern and chemical characteristics. Because broflanilide does not have systemic activity in plants, negligible exposure of non-target arthropods is expected from both soil and seed treatment applications. No mitigation is required on broflanilide end-use product labels.

Birds: Broflanilide was practically nontoxic to birds on an acute basis when exposed by dietary consumption or through oral administration. Effects on reproduction were observed in birds following 21-week exposures in chronic reproductive studies. For the screening level risk assessment (Appendix I, Table 18), the most sensitive endpoints were chosen from acute and reproductive toxicity studies. The risk quotients for birds resulting from acute oral exposure to

broflanilide did not exceed the level of concern at the screening level. The screening level risk quotients for birds resulting from reproductive exposure slightly exceeded the level of concern for small and medium sized birds (RQs of 2.8 and 2.2, respectively).

There were no treatment-related mortalities in any of the acute, dietary, or reproductive studies. The most sensitive endpoint from the reproductive toxicity studies was chosen for the screening level risk assessment, which corresponded to a NOAEL of 4.6 mg a.i./kg bw/day for the mallard duck based on slight statistically significant (5–6%) reductions in survivor weights at the two higher treatment levels. Aside from the reduction in survivor weights and reductions in egg production (19%) at the highest treatment level (35 mg a.i./kg bw/day), there were no other treatment-related effects on any other reproductive parameters measured. In the other available mallard duck study there were slight statistically significant (5–6%) reductions in mean egg shell thickness at all treatment levels resulting in a NOAEL of <32.8 mg a.i./kg bw/day. At higher treatment levels, effects on other reproductive parameters (offspring weight and number of eggs/pen) were observed. In the northern bobwhite study, the NOAEL was determined to be 22.2 mg a.i./kg bw/day based on inhibitions in survivors/hatchlings at the next treatment level.

Based on the effects observed in the reproductive studies, the use of the LOAEL from the mallard duck study (13.0 mg a.i./kg bw/day) is considered to be more representative of potential effects on birds. When using the LOAEL, all risk quotients were <1.0 (Appendix I, Table 20). In addition, there would also be no risk if considering the reproductive NOAEL from the bobwhite quail study (22.2 mg a.i./kg bw/d). Based on these results, the concern for risks of broflanilide to birds is low.

Mammals: Broflanilide and its end-use products were practically non-toxic to rats, with no observed acute toxicity at the highest dose tested. For chronic effects, the two-generation rat reproduction study resulted in a NOAEL of 26 mg a.i./kg bw/day based on decreased body weight/body weight gain in rats observed at the next higher dose. The acute and chronic risk quotients for mammals did not exceed the screening level of concern. Broflanilide is expected to pose negligible risk to mammals.

Terrestrial vascular plants: Cabbage was considered the most sensitive species tested in the seedling emergence study with an ER_{25} of 11 g a.i./ha for survival. No species tested in the vegetative vigor study exhibited significant effects for survival, length, or dry weight at the maximum application rate of 102 g a.i./ha.

The risk to terrestrial vascular plants at the screening level was assessed using the maximum application rate of Cimegra Insecticide (25 g a.i./ha). The calculated risk quotients for in-field exposure slightly exceeded the level of concern for seedling emergence (RQ = 2.3) but did not exceed the level of concern for vegetative vigour. Direct overspray is assumed in the screening level assessment, and the EEC represents a conservative (maximum) exposure to non-target terrestrial plants. Based on the proposed use pattern of broflanilide as an in-furrow soil or seed treatment, off-field exposure to non-target terrestrial plants is not expected. The use of broflanilide is not expected to pose a risk to non-target terrestrial vascular plants.

4.2.2 Risks to Aquatic Organisms

A risk assessment for broflanilide and its transformation products was conducted for freshwater and marine aquatic organisms based on available toxicity data. A summary of aquatic toxicity data is presented in Appendix I, Table 21. For acute toxicity studies, uncertainty factors of 1/2 and 1/10 of the EC₅₀ (LC₅₀) are typically used for aquatic plants, invertebrates, and fish species when calculating risk quotients. No uncertainty factors are applied to chronic NOEC endpoints. For groups where the level of concern is exceeded (RQ \geq 1), a refined Tier 1 assessment is conducted to determine risk resulting from spray drift and runoff separately. Risk quotients were calculated based on the highest maximum application rate for all uses. The screening-level and Tier 1 refined risk quotients for broflanilide are summarized in Appendix I, Tables 22 and 23.

Invertebrates:

Pelagic invertebrates: Broflanilide was very highly toxic to aquatic invertebrates on an acute basis, with the lowest $EC_{50} = 21.5$ ng a.i./L for the mysid shrimp. The mysid shrimp also had the most sensitive chronic NOEC of 6.23 ng a.i./L, with effects on survival, growth, and reproduction. The screening level risk quotients for acute and chronic exposure of *Daphnia magna* to broflanilide and its transformation products do not exceed the level of concern at the screening level. Therefore, the use of broflanilide is not expected to pose a risk to freshwater invertebrates.

For marine invertebrates, the screening level risk quotients for acute and chronic exposure of the mysid shrimp, *Americamysis bahia*, to broflanilide exceeded the level of concern (RQs of 290 and 501, respectively). Acute exposure to broflanilide's transformation products does not exceed the level of concern. The screening level risk quotient for acute exposure of the eastern oyster, *Crassostrea virginica*, to broflanilide does not exceed the level of concern. The acute and chronic risk of broflanilide to marine invertebrates was further characterized through the refined runoff assessment.

Benthic invertebrates: Toxicity tests with freshwater and marine invertebrates conducted with midges (*Chironomus* sp.) and amphipods (*Hyalella azteca* and *Leptocheirus plumulosus*) indicate that benthic invertebrate species are generally equally sensitive to acute and chronic broflanilide exposure. These tests were designed to simulate exposure to accumulated pesticide in sediment from runoff. The risk of broflanilide to freshwater and marine benthic invertebrates was characterized directly through the refined aquatic risk assessment.

Refined risk assessment (runoff)

The EEC used for the screening level assumes a direct application to a water body. In order to better characterize the risk, the risk from exposure to runoff was determined. It is noted that exposure of aquatic organisms through spray drift is negligible from in-furrow or T-band (10 to 20 cm band over the top of the open seed furrow) applications and will not occur from seed treatment applications. Spray buffer zones are not required for the proposed broflanilide end-use products.

Exposure through surface run-off was estimated using the PWC model, which simulates pesticide runoff from a treated field into an adjacent water body and the fate of a pesticide within that water body. The water body consists of a 1 ha wetland with an average depth of 80 cm and a drainage area of 10 ha. The risk quotients for exposure to broflanilide through runoff are provided in Appendix I, Table 23. Two EECs were used for each organism endpoint to represent the highest soil application (corn T-band) and highest seed treatment (spring wheat). Based on the toxicity endpoints and EECs representing the 90th percentile of concentrations for a timeframe reflecting the exposure duration of the toxicity tests, the level of concern is still exceeded for freshwater and marine invertebrates (Appendix I, Table 23).

Runoff EECs for both marine and freshwater exposures are calculated using models that assume no outflow. This is a very conservative assessment as the resulting EECs do not account for tides and dilution present in the Canadian marine environment. The primary runoff risk is for chronic exposure of pelagic marine invertebrates. Broflanilide is expected to partition to sediment; therefore, chronic exposure would be more likely for benthic (sediment-dwelling) invertebrates. Studies show that broflanilide is less toxic to benthic invertebrates than pelagic invertebrates.

Seed treatments had lower RQs than soil applications (seed treatment maximum RQ = 5.8; soil application maximum RQ = 91). The model conservatively assumes 100% removal of active ingredient from the seeds into surrounding soil, therefore the runoff risk from seed treatment uses is considered to be negligible and no mitigation measures are required for runoff for the two seed treatment products. In order to mitigate potential exposure of broflanilide to freshwater and marine invertebrates from soil applications, standard label statements to mitigate runoff into aquatic habitats are required on the Cimegra end-use product label.

Fish: Broflanilide is considered highly toxic to most freshwater and marine fish on an acute basis; however, no mortality was observed at the highest tested concentration (which was at or near the limit of solubility) for some fish species. In chronic studies, effects on fish survival and growth were observed, with the most sensitive NOEC being 11.1 μg a.i./L for sheepshead minnow. The risk quotients for freshwater and marine fish resulting from acute and early-life stage exposure to broflanilide do not exceed the level of concern at the screening level. The use of broflanilide is not expected to pose a risk to freshwater and marine fish.

Amphibians: Using the endpoints from acute and early-life stage studies with fish as a surrogate, along with an EEC for broflanilide in a 15-cm deep body of water, the risk quotients for amphibians resulting from acute and early-life stage exposure to broflanilide do not exceed the level of concern at the screening level. The use of broflanilide is not expected to pose a risk to amphibians.

Algae: The toxicity of broflanilide was tested with four different algae species. The most sensitive species was the marine diatom (*Skeletonema costatum*) with an IC₅₀ of 0.31 mg/L. For all other species, the IC₅₀ was higher than the highest concentration tested (at or near the limit of solubility). The risk quotients for freshwater and marine algae resulting from acute exposure to broflanilide do not exceed the level of concern at the screening level. The use of broflanilide is not expected to pose a risk to freshwater or marine algae.

Aquatic vascular plants: In a study with the aquatic vascular plant, *Lemna gibba*, there were no treatment-related effects at the highest tested concentration. The risk quotient for aquatic vascular plants resulting from exposure to broflanilide does not exceed the level of concern at the screening level. The use of broflanilide is not expected to pose a risk to aquatic vascular plants.

4.2.3 Environmental Incident Reports

Environmental incident reports are obtained from two main sources: the Canadian pesticide incident reporting system (including both mandatory reporting from the registrant and voluntary reporting from the public and other government departments) and the USEPA Ecological Incident Information System (EIIS). Specific information regarding the mandatory reporting system regulations that came into force 26 April 2007, under the *Pest Control Products Act* can be found on the <u>Report a Pesticide Incident page</u> on Canada.ca .

Broflanilide is a new active ingredient pending registration for use in Canada. As of 4 September 2019, no incident reports had been submitted to the PMRA. The USEPA EIIS, which was last updated on 5 October 2015, did not have any environment incidents involving broflanilide.

A number of incident reports involving the registered active ingredients (pyraclostrobin, fluxapyroxad, triticonazole and metalaxyl) in the proposed end-use product, Teraxxa F4 Insecticide and Fungicide Seed Treatment, were available. The reported incidents were mainly minor in severity or had unlikely causality. Many involved products containing multiple active ingredients thus introducing confounding elements due to the simultaneous exposure to other pesticides. Furthermore, for many of the incidents, the exposure pathways are not relevant to the proposed seed treatment product (for example, direct application exposure, drift, etc.) or are related to potential misuse, product spills, or possible direct damage to the treated crop.

The environmental precautions and directions for use statements on the Teraxxa F4 Seed Treatment label are expected to mitigate environmental risks associated with accepted use of the product. No additional mitigation measures are recommended based on the available incident reports.

5.0 Value

Value information reviewed in support of Cimegra included scientific rationales and 12 field trials on wireworm in potato, 4 field trials on wireworm in corn, and 4 trials on corn rootworm (northern and western) in corn. Wireworm species identified in the potato trials were *Conoderus* sp., *Melatonus* sp., *Limonius californicus*, *Hypnoides bicolor*, *Agriotes obscurus*, *and Agriotes sputator*. Wireworm species identified in the corn trials were *Limonius infuscatus* and *Melanotus cribulosus*. Trials were conducted in Canada and the United States. Applications of Cimegra in the efficacy field trials demonstrated control of wireworms in potato and wireworms and corn rootworm in corn. The trials supported a claim that Cimegra, when applied in-furrow at an application rate of 250 mL product per ha, controls wireworms in potato and wireworms and corn rootworm (northern and western) in corn. No phytotocixity was observed in any of the trials.

Value information reviewed in support of Teraxxa and Teraxxa F4 included rationales and 12 field trials conducted in Canada and the United States on wireworm in cereals (spring barley and spring wheat). Wireworm species identified in these trials were *Limonius californicus*, *Limonius agonis*, *Limonius* spp., *Agriotes obscurus*, *Agriotes sputator*, and *Agriotes mancus*. The field trials also assessed crop safety of both Teraxxa and Teraxxa F4. In addition to the field trials, two laboratory studies were conducted to evaluate wireworm mortality upon exposure to insecticide treatments. Six additional fungicide trials were conducted to confirm that there was no antagonism between the broflanilide and fungicides in the Teraxxa F4 premix. Applications of Teraxxa at 16.7 mL product per 100 kg seed and Teraxxa F4 at a rate of 300 mL product per 100 kg seed in the efficacy field trials demonstrated control of wireworms. Follow-up studies on wireworm populations in the year following treatment and the additional laboratory trials further supported the claim that broflanilide seed treatments provide control of wireworm. The value information supported claims that Teraxxa F4 controls or suppresses certain seed- and soil-borne diseases of specified small cereal grains and wheat. No phytotoxicity was observed in any of the trials.

Broflanilide has value as a new mode of action for use in resistance management. Alternative active ingredients registered for control of wireworms and/or corn rootworms in the labelled crops include IRAC mode of action Group 1B (chlorpyrifos), Group 3A (bifenthrin, tefluthrin), Group 4A (clothianidin, thiamethoxam, imidacloprid) and Group 28 (cyantraniliprole, chlorantraniliprole) insecticides. There are no reported cases of cross-resistance of broflanilide to currently registered insecticide modes of action.

The reviewed efficacy trials demonstrated that broflanilide can provide control of wireworms and corn rootworms. In addition, broflanilide was demonstrated to reduce wireworm populations in treated fields. Broflanilide has value in providing control of corn rootworms and wireworms, which are major pests of the labelled crops, and wireworms are difficult to kill with currently registered pest control products. Details of the supported uses can be found in Appendix I, Table 24.

6.0 Pest Control Product Policy Considerations

6.1 Toxic Substances Management Policy Considerations

The *Toxic Substances Management Policy* (TSMP) is a federal government policy developed to provide direction on the management of substances of concern that are released into the environment. The TSMP calls for the virtual elimination of Track 1 substances, in other words, those that meet all four criteria outlined in the policy: persistent (in air, soil, water and/or sediment), bio-accumulative, primarily a result of human activity and toxic as defined by the *Canadian Environmental Protection Act*. The *Pest Control Products Act* requires that the TSMP be given effect in evaluating the risks of a product.

During the review process, broflanilide and its transformation products were assessed in accordance with the PMRA Regulatory Directive DIR99-03⁵ and evaluated against the Track 1 criteria. The PMRA has reached the conclusion that broflanilide and its transformation products do not meet all of the TSMP Track 1 criteria (Appendix I, Table 25).

6.2 Formulants and Contaminants of Health or Environmental Concern

During the review process, contaminants in the active ingredient as well as formulants and contaminants in the end-use products are compared against Parts 1 and 3 of the List of Pest Control Product Formulants and Contaminants of Health or Environmental Concern. ⁶ The list is used as described in the PMRA Notice of Intent NOI2005-01⁷ and is based on existing policies and regulations, including the *Toxic Substance Management Policy* and *Formulants Policy*, 8 and taking into consideration the Ozone-Depleting Substance Regulations, 1998, of the Canadian Environmental Protection Act (substances designated under the Montreal Protocol).

The PMRA has reached the conclusion that broflamilide and its end-use products, Cimegra Insecticide, Teraxxa Insecticide Seed Treatment, and Teraxxa F4 Insecticide and Fungicide Seed Treatment, do not contain any formulants or contaminants identified in the List of Pest Control Product Formulants and Contaminants of Health or Environmental Concern.

The use of formulants in registered pest control products is assessed on an ongoing basis through the PMRA formulant initiatives and Regulatory Directive DIR2006-02.

7.0 Summary

7.1 **Human Health and Safety**

The toxicology database is adequate to characterize the potential health hazards associated with broflanilide. There was evidence of treatment-related tumours in rats after long-term dosing, with increased incidences of Leydig cell adenomas in males, and ovarian luteomas, ovarian tumours of sex cord stromal origin, ovarian granulosa cell tumours, as well as adrenal cortex carcinomas and uterine adenocarcinoma in females. There was no evidence of increased sensitivity of the young in reproductive or developmental toxicity studies. There was not evidence of neurotoxicity. In short-term and chronic studies on laboratory animals, the primary targets of toxicity were the adrenal glands and the ovaries as evidenced by increased organ weight and vacuolation. The risk assessment protects against the toxic effects noted above by ensuring that the level of human exposure is well below the lowest dose at which these effects occurred in animal tests.

DIR99-03, The Pest Management Regulatory Agency's Strategy for Implementing the Toxic Substances Management Policy

SI/2005-114, last amended on June 25, 2008. See Justice Laws website, Consolidated Regulations, List of Pest Control Product Formulants and Contaminants of Health or Environmental Concern..

PMRA's Notice of Intent NOI2005-01, List of Pest Control Product Formulants and Contaminants of Health or Environmental Concern under the New Pest Control Products Act.

DIR2006-02, Formulants Policy and Implementation Guidance Document.

Mixers, loaders and applicators handling Cimegra are not expected to be exposed to levels of broflanilide that will result in unacceptable risks when used according to label directions. Workers handling Cimegra must wear a long-sleeved shirt, long pants, chemical-resistant gloves, shoes and socks. Chemical-resistant gloves are not required when applying using a closed cab tractor. Postapplication exposure to workers is expected to be negligible as the end-use product is only applied to the subsurface soil as an in-furrow and/or T-band application when potato and corn are planted. As such, a restricted-entry interval is not required.

Workers in commercial seed treatment facilities, mobile treaters, on-farm workers treating and planting and workers planting and/or handling treated cereal seeds are not expected to be exposed to levels of broflanilide that will result in unacceptable risks when used according to label directions. The PPE for workers in commercial seed treatment facilities and for mobile treaters is coveralls over a long-sleeved shirt, long pants, chemical-resistant gloves, shoes, socks and a dust-mask. When cleaning seed treatment equipment, workers must wear chemical-resistant coveralls over a long-sleeved shirt, long pants, chemical-resistant gloves, chemical-resistant footwear, socks and a dust-mask. Workers completing on-farm seed treatment must wear a long-sleeved shirt, long pants, chemical-resistant gloves, shoes and socks. Workers planting and handling treated seed must wear coveralls over a long-sleeved shirt, long pants, chemical-resistant gloves, shoes and socks and use only a closed cab tractor. A dust-mask must be worn during the on-farm transfer of treated seed to planters/seeders.

Bystander and residential exposure is not of concern.

The nature of the residues in plants and animals is adequately understood. The residue definition for enforcement is broflanilide in plant products, and broflanilide and the metabolite DM-8007 in animal matrices. The proposed use of broflanilide on potatoes, corn and small cereal grains does not constitute a risk of concern for chronic (cancer and non-cancer) dietary exposure (food and drinking water) to any segment of the population, including infants, children, adults and seniors. Sufficient crop residue data have been reviewed to recommend MRLs. The PMRA recommends that the following MRLs be specified.

Commodity	Recommended MRL (ppm)
CSG 1C	0.04
Eggs, fat, meat, and meat byproducts of cattle, goats, hogs, horses, sheep and poultry, milk	0.02
Cereal grains (CG 15), except rice, amaranth grain, annual canarygrass seeds, cañihua grain, chia grain, cram-cram grain, huauzontle grain, quinoa, spelt grain, teff grain	0.01^{1}
Food and wild rice commodities (other than those listed in this item)	0.01^{1}

¹ The uses are not on the Canadian label. Proposed MRLs are to allow importation from the United States.

7.2 Environmental Risk

When used according to the label directions, broflanilide does not present a risk of concern to wild mammals, birds, beneficial insects, earthworms, terrestrial and aquatic plants, fish, or amphibians. Exposure to broflanilide can affect freshwater and marine invertebrates if they are

exposed to high levels, therefore, precautionary label statements are required on product labels. Precautionary label statements and best management practices are also required for pollinators to minimize potential exposure to dust during planting of treated seed; however, when used according to label directions, minimal exposure or risk to bees is expected. With the proposed mitigation measures in place, the use of broflanilide and its associated end-use products poses an acceptable risk to the environment.

7.3 Value

Cimegra has value for control of wireworm in potatoes and corn rootworm (western and northern) and wireworm in corn. Corn rootworms are a major pests of corn. Wireworms are major pests of potatoes and corn, and are difficult to kill with currently registered pest control products.

Teraxxa F4 and Teraxxa have value for control of wireworms in small cereal grains (barley, buckwheat, pearl millet, proso millet, oats, rye, sorghum, triticale, canary seed, and annual canarygrass (grown for human consumption), and wheat (all types: winter, spring and durum). Wireworms are major pests of the small cereal grains and wheat, and are difficult to kill with currently registered pest control products. In addition, as Teraxxa F4 is a pre-mix formulation with pyraclostrobin, fluxapyroxad, triticonazole, and metalaxyl, it provides control or suppression of certain seed- and soil-borne diseases.

Broflanilide has value as a new mode of action for use in resistance management; there are no reported cases of cross-resistance of broflanilide to currently registered insecticide mode of actions.

8.0 Proposed Regulatory Decision

Health Canada's Pest Management Regulatory Agency (PMRA), under the authority of the *Pest Control Products Act*, is proposing registration for the sale and use of Broflanilide Technical Insecticide, Cimegra, Teraxxa and Teraxxa F4 containing the technical grade active ingredient Broflanilide, to be used as a soil treatment to control wireworm in potatoes and wireworm and corn rootworm in corn, and as a seed treatment to control wireworm in small cereal grains and wheat.

An evaluation of available scientific information found that, under the approved conditions of use, the health and environmental risks and the value of the pest control products are acceptable.

Additional Information Being Requested

Since this technical product is manufactured only at pilot scale before registration, five-batch data representing commercial-scale production will be required as post-market information after registration.

List of Abbreviations

↑ increased
↓ decreased
♂ male
♀ female
°C degree Cel

°C degree Celsius

µg micrograms

a.i. active ingredient

ADD absorbed daily dose

ADI acceptable daily intake

AHETF Agriculture Handler Exposure Task Force

ALS acetolactate synthase ARfD acute reference dose

atm atmosphere

AUC area-under-the-curve BAF bioaccumulation factor BCF bioconcentration factor

bw body weight

C_{max} maximum concentration CAF composite assessment factor CAS Chemical Abstracts Service

CDPR California Department of Pesticide Regulation

cm centimetres

DEEM-FCID Exposure Evaluation Model

DF dry flowable

DT₅₀ dissipation time 50% (the dose required to observe a 50% decline in

concentration)

DT₉₀ dissipation time 90% (the dose required to observe a 90% decline in

concentration)

dw dry weight

 EC_{25} effective concentration on 25% of the population EC_{50} effective concentration on 50% of the population

EEC estimated environmental concentration ER₂₅ effective rate for 25% of the population

FDA Food and Drugs Act

g gram

GABA Gamma-aminobutyric acid GUS Groundwater Ubiquity Score

ha hectare(s)

HDPE high-density polyethylene

HDT highest dose tested

Hg mercury

HPLC high performance liquid chromatography IRAC Insecticide Resistance Action Committee

IUPAC International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry

kg kilogram

K_d soil-water partition coefficientK_F Freundlich adsorption coefficient

KE key events km kilometre

KMD kinetically-derived maximum dose K_{oc} organic-carbon partition coefficient K_{ow} n—octanol-water partition coefficient

L litre

LADD lifetime average daily dose LC₅₀ lethal concentration 50%

LD₅₀ lethal dose 50% LH luteinizing hormone

LOAEC lowest observed adverse effect concentration

LOAEL lowest observed adverse effect level LOEC low observed effect concentration

 $\begin{array}{ccc} LOQ & limit of quantitation \\ LR_{50} & lethal \ rate \ 50\% \\ mg & milligram \\ mL & millilitre \end{array}$

MAS maximum average score

MOA mode of action
MOE margin of exposure
MRL maximum residue limit
MS mass spectrometry
N/A not applicable

NOAEL no observed adverse effect level

NOAEC no observed adverse effect concentration

NOEC no observed effect concentration

NOEL no observed effect level NOER no observed effect rate

N/R not required

NZW New Zealand white
OC organic carbon content
OM organic matter content
PBI plantback interval
PHI preharvest interval

pH measure of the acidity or basicity of an aqueous solution

pKa dissociation constant

PMRA Pest Management Regulatory Agency

PPE Personal protective equipment

ppm parts per million

PWC Pesticide in Water Calculator

q1* cancer potency factor

RO risk quotient

RSD relative standard deviation

SC soluble concentrate

T testosterone

 $t_{1/2}$ half-life

T3 tri-iodothyronine

T4 thyroxine

TRR total radioactive residue

TSMP Toxic Substances Management Policy

UAN urea ammonium nitrate UF uncertainty factor

USEPA United States Environmental Protection Agency

UV ultraviolet

v/v volume per volume dilution

Appendix I Tables and Figures

Table 1 Residue Analysis

Analyte	Matrix	Method ID	Method Type	LOQ (ng/L)	Reference
Broflanilide	Soil/sediment*	D1603/01	HPLC-MS/MS	1 ppb	PMRA# 2828143
	Surface water	D1608/01	HPLC-MS/MS	5	PMRA# 2828146
	Drinking water	D1608/01	HPLC-MS/MS	5	PMRA# 2828146
DC-DM-8007	Soil/sediment*	D1603/01	HPLC-MS/MS	1 ppb	PMRA# 2828143
	Surface water	D1608/01	HPLC-MS/MS	25	PMRA# 2828146
	Drinking water	D1608/01	HPLC-MS/MS	25	PMRA# 2828146
DC-8007	Soil/sediment*	D1603/01	HPLC-MS/MS	1 ppb	PMRA# 2828143
	Surface water	D1608/01	HPLC-MS/MS	25	PMRA# 2828146
	Drinking water	D1608/01	HPLC-MS/MS	25	PMRA# 2828146
DM-8007	Soil/sediment*	D1603/01	HPLC-MS/MS	1 ppb	PMRA# 2828143
	Surface water	D1608/01	HPLC-MS/MS	25	PMRA# 2828146
	Drinking water	D1608/01	HPLC-MS/MS	25	PMRA# 2828146
S(PFP-OH)-	Soil/sediment*	D1603/01	HPLC-MS/MS	1 ppb	PMRA# 2828143
8007	Surface water	D1608/01	HPLC-MS/MS	25	PMRA# 2828146
	Drinking water	D1608/01	HPLC-MS/MS	25	PMRA# 2828146
S(Br-OH)-8007	Surface water	D1705/01	HPLC-MS/MS	25	PMRA# 2828147
	Drinking water	D1705/01	HPLC-MS/MS	25	PMRA# 2828147
AB-Oxa	Surface water	D1705/01	HPLC-MS/MS	25	PMRA# 2828147
	Drinking water	D1705/01	HPLC-MS/MS	25	PMRA# 2828147
MFBA	Surface water	D1705/01	HPLC-MS/MS	25	PMRA# 2828147
	Drinking water	D1705/01	HPLC-MS/MS	25	PMRA# 2828147

Analytical Methods	Matrix	Analyte(s)	Method ID/ Type	LOQ	Reference
Livestock Commod	ities				
Enforcement Method	Bovine muscle, liver, kidney, fat, egg and milk		D1604/01/ LC-MS/MS	0.01 ppm/	PMRA# 2828140
ILV of Enforcement Method	Bovine muscle, liver, milk, fat, egg	Broflanilide and DM-8007	D1604/01/ LC-MS/MS	analyte in all matrices, except: 0.001 ppm/ analyte in milk	PMRA# 2828141
Data-Gathering Method	Bovine muscle, liver, milk, fat, egg		D1710/01/ LC-MS/MS		PMRA# 2828142
Radiovalidation	Radiovalidation No radiovalidation study was conducted. Same extraction solvents (acetonitrile/water) as those of the enforcement method were used in the livestock metabolism studies.				

Analytical Methods	Matrix	Analyte(s)	Method ID/ Type	LOQ	Reference
Plant Commodities					
Enforcement Method	Wheat grain, dry bean seed, tomato, citrus whole fruit, coffee bean and soybean seed	Broflanilide	D1417/01/ LC-MS/MS	0.001 ppm	PMRA# 2828136
ILV of Enforcement Method	Green coffee bean, kidney bean, soybean, grape, lettuce and potato	Broflanilide	D1417/01/ LC-MS/MS	0.01 ppm	PMRA# 2828139
Data-Gathering Method	Kidney bean, soybean, grape, lettuce and potato	Broflanilide	D1713/01/ LC-MS/MS	0.01 ppm	PMRA# 2828137
Radiovalidation	No radiovalidation study was conducted. Same extraction solvents (acetonitrile/water) as those of the enforcement method were used in the plant metabolism studies.				

 Table 2
 Identification of Select Broflanilide Metabolites

Chemical Name (IUPAC)	Matrices ^a
3-benzamido-N-[2-bromo-4-(perfluoropropan-2-yl)-6-	Rat, plants, poultry, goat
	Rat, poultry, goat
	Rat, plants
ethylbenzamido)benzamide	
N-[2-bromo-4-(perfluoropropan-2-yl)-6-	Environmental degradate
(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]-2-fluoro-3-(methylamino)benzamide	
2-fluoro-3-(N-methylbenzamido)benzoic acid	Environmental degradate
N-{2-fluoro-3-[6-(perfluoropropan-2-yl)-4-(trifluoromethyl)-	Environmental degradate
2-fluoro-N-[2-hydroxy-4-(perfluoropropan-2-yl)-6-	Rat, environmental
(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]-3-(Nmethylbenzamido)benzamide	degradate
Not provided	Rat
Not provided	Rat
Not provided	Rat
N-[2-bromo-4-(perfluoropropan-2-yl)-6-	Rat
(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]-3-(3,4-dihydroxybenzamido)-2-	
fluorobenzamide	
N-[2-bromo-4-(1,1,1,3,3,3-hexafluoro-2-	Rat, plants
hydroxypropan-2-yl)-6-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]-2-	
fluoro-3-(N-methylbenzamido)benzamide	
N-[2-bromo-4-(perfluoropropan-2-yl)-6-	Rat, goat
(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]-2-fluoro-3-(4-	
hydroxybenzamido)benzamide	
	3-benzamido-N-[2-bromo-4-(perfluoropropan-2-yl)-6- (trifluoromethyl)phenyl]-2-fluorobenzamide 3-amino-N-[2-bromo-4-(perfluoropropan-2-yl)-6- (trifluoromethyl)phenyl]-2-fluorobenzamide N-[2-bromo-4-(1,1,1,3,3,3-hexafluoro-2-hydroxypropan-2-yl)-6- (trifluoromethyl)phenyl]-2-fluoro-3-(N- ethylbenzamido)benzamide N-[2-bromo-4-(perfluoropropan-2-yl)-6- (trifluoromethyl)phenyl]-2-fluoro-3-(methylamino)benzamide 2-fluoro-3-(N-methylbenzamido)benzoic acid N-{2-fluoro-3-[6-(perfluoropropan-2-yl)-4-(trifluoromethyl)- 1,3-benzooxazol-2-yl]phenyl}-Nmethylbenzamide 2-fluoro-N-[2-hydroxy-4-(perfluoropropan-2-yl)-6- (trifluoromethyl)phenyl]-3-(Nmethylbenzamido)benzamide Not provided Not provided Not provided Not provided N-[2-bromo-4-(perfluoropropan-2-yl)-6- (trifluoromethyl)phenyl]-3-(3,4-dihydroxybenzamido)-2- fluoro-3-(N-methylbenzamido)benzamide N-[2-bromo-4-(1,1,1,3,3,3-hexafluoro-2- hydroxypropan-2-yl)-6-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]-2- fluoro-3-(N-methylbenzamido)benzamide N-[2-bromo-4-(perfluoropropan-2-yl)-6- (trifluoromethyl)phenyl]-2-fluoro-3-(4-

^a Observed in matrices based on information provided by the applicant.

Table 3 Toxicity Profile of End-use Products Containing Broflanilide

Effects are known or assumed to occur in both sexes unless otherwise noted; in such cases, sex-specific effects are separated by semi-colons

Study Type/Animal/PMRA#	Study Results
Cimegra	
Acute oral toxicity (acute toxic class)	Low acute toxicity
Wistar rats (♀)	$LD_{50} > 2000 \text{ mg/kg bw } (\stackrel{\frown}{\downarrow})$
D) (D) 4 2027000	No clinical signs of toxicity.
PMRA# 2827889	The second of th
Acute dermal toxicity	Low acute toxicity
Wistar rats	$LD_{50} > 5000 \text{ mg/kg bw } (3/2)$
PMRA# 2827890	No clinical signs of toxicity.
Acute inhalation toxicity (nose-only)	Low acute toxicity
	$LC_{50} > 4.3 \text{ mg/L } (3/2)$
Wistar rats	
D) (D) 4 2027001	No clinical signs of toxicity.
PMRA# 2827891	MCataudi Sattata
Skin irritation	Minimally irritating
NZW rabbits	MAS = 0.44
142W Tabbits	MIS = 1.33 at 1 hr and 24 hrs
PMRA# 2827892	1.33 at 1 in and 2 1 in 5
Eye irritation	Minimally irritating
NZW rabbits	MAS = 0.22
	MIS = 2 at 1 hr
PMRA# 2827893	
Dermal sensitization	Negative
(Buehler)	
Dunkin-Hartley guinea pigs	
PMRA# 2827894	
Teraxxa	
Acute oral toxicity (acute toxic	Low acute toxicity
class)	
	$LD_{50} > 2000 \text{ mg/kg bw } (\stackrel{\bigcirc}{+})$
Wistar rats $(?)$	
	No clinical signs of toxicity.
PMRA# 2828019	
Acute dermal toxicity	Low acute toxicity
Wistar rats	$LD_{50} > 5000 \text{ mg/kg bw } (3/2)$
PMRA# 2828020	No clinical signs of toxicity.
11111111 2020020	r to chinear digits of tohicity.

Acute inhalation toxicity (nose-	Low acute toxicity
only)	$LC_{50} > 4.4 \text{ mg/L } (?/ ?)$
Wistar rats	LC50 > 4.4 mg/L (0/ ‡)
	Clinical signs of toxicity included hunched posture, ruffled fur and ↓ activity.
PMRA# 2828021	
Skin irritation	Minimally irritating
NZW rabbits	MAS = 0.2
	MIS = 1 at 1 hr
PMRA# 2828022	No. 1 and 1 and 2
Eye irritation	Minimally irritating
NZW rabbits	MAS = 1.6
	MIS = 3.3 at 1 hr and 24 hrs
PMRA# 2828023	NY
Dermal sensitization (Buehler)	Negative
(Bueiner)	
Dunkin-Hartley guinea pigs	
PMRA# 2828024	
	ide as well as pyraclostrobin, triticonazole, metalaxyl, and fluxapyroxad)
Acute oral toxicity (acute toxic	Low acute toxicity
class)	$LD_{50} > 2000 \text{ mg/kg bw } (\diamondsuit)$
Wistar rats (\mathcal{P})	ED50 > 2000 mg/kg 0w (+)
	No clinical signs of toxicity.
PMRA# 2827945	
Acute dermal toxicity	Low acute toxicity
Wistar rats	$LD_{50} > 5000 \text{ mg/kg bw } (\circlearrowleft/\circlearrowleft)$
PMRA# 2827946	No clinical signs of toxicity.
Acute inhalation toxicity (nose-	Low acute toxicity
only)	T.C. > 5.54 /T. (2/0)
Wistar rats	$LC_{50} > 5.54 \text{ mg/L } (3/2)$
Wistai lats	Clinical signs of toxicity included irregular respiration.
PMRA# 2827947	
Skin irritation	Slightly irritating
NZW rabbits	MAS = 1.33
112W Tubblis	MIS = 2 at 1 hr and 24 hrs
PMRA# 2827948	
Eye irritation	Minimally irritating
NZW rabbits	MAS = 1.33
	MIS = 5.67 at 1 hr
PMRA# 2827949	
Dermal sensitization (Buehler)	Positive
Dunkin-Hartley guinea pigs	Potential dermal sensitizer
PMRA# 2827950	

Table 4 Toxicity Profile of Technical Broflanilide

Effects observed in both sexes are presented first followed by sex-specific effects in males, then females, each separated by semi-colons. Organ weight effects reflect both absolute organ weights and relative organ to bodyweights unless otherwise noted. Effects seen above the LOAEL(s) have not been reported in this table for most studies for reasons of brevity.

Study Type/Animal/PMRA#	Study Results
Toxicokinetic Studies	
Absorption, distribution, toxicokinetics metabolism and excretion study following single gavage or i.v. doses (low and high)	Single gavage dose administered at 5 mg/kg bw ([C-ring-U- ¹⁴ C]broflanilide or [B-ring-U- ¹⁴ C]broflanilide) or 500 mg/kg bw ([C-ring-U- ¹⁴ C]broflanilide); i.v. dose administered at 1.6 mg/kg bw ([B-ring-U- ¹⁴ C]broflanilide); 4/sex/group for excretion/distribution, and 12/sex/group for plasma/blood cell kinetics.
Wistar rats	Absorption: Absorption was rapid, with T_{max} of 0.5–2 hrs for the B-ring and 4 hrs for the C-ring. The oral bioavailability following oral dosing (B-ring) was $16/13\%$ of the AD in $3/2$ at 5 mg/kg bw based on the ratio of plasma AUC
PMRA# 2828152	following oral and intravenous administration (adjusted for AD).
	Excretion: Mainly via the faeces (77–96% of the AD). Urinary excretion at 5 mg/kg bw for the B-ring (0.3–0.5% of the AD) was lower than that observed for the C-ring at 5 mg/kg bw (8/13% of the AD in \Im / \Im) and 500 mg/kg bw (1.4–1.5% of the AD). By 48 hrs, approximately 90% of the AD had been eliminated, with the exception of \Im at 5 mg/kg bw dosed with the C-ring (81%). Radioactivity was negligible in expired air (\Im 0.02% of the AD).
	Distribution: At 168 hrs, retention of radioactivity in tissues was low and accounted for $0.7/1.5\%$ of the AD in $3/2$ (C-ring) and $0.3/0.5\%$ of the AD in $3/2$ (B-ring) at 5 mg/kg bw, and 0.10% of the AD (C-ring) at 500 mg/kg bw. The pattern of distribution was similar at both dose levels and with both radiolabel positions, with the greatest concentration of radioactivity observed in the fat. In all groups, concentrations of radioactivity in tissues were generally greater in 2 than 3 .
	Toxicokinetics: Levels of radioactivity in plasma and whole blood were generally similar between sexes with the exception of the rats administered 5 mg/kg bw of the C-ring where values were higher in ♀. The terminal half-life of plasma radioactivity following oral dosing was 42–79 hrs at 5 mg/kg bw and 8-58 hrs at 500 mg/kg bw.
	Plasma concentrations of radioactivity and AUC values were not proportional to dose (2–16-fold increases compared to a 100-fold increase in dose).
	Metabolites: Unchanged broflanilide was the major component in faecal extracts, accounting for 52–75% of the AD (both radiolabel positions) at 5 mg/kg bw, and 91–94% of the AD (C-ring) at 500 mg/kg bw. Metabolites detected in faeces were DM-(C-H ₂ O)-8007 cysteine conjugate, which accounted for 2–6% of the AD (both radiolabel positions), and DM-8007, which accounted for 3–5% of the AD (both radiolabel positions) at 5 mg/kg bw. These metabolites were less significant at 500 mg/kg bw and accounted for 6 -11% of the AD (C-ring) at 5 mg/kg bw and 0.7 –0.8% at 500 mg/kg bw.

Absorption, and metabolism (biliary excretion) following single gavage doses (low and high) Single gavage dose administered at 5 mg/kg bw ([C-ring-U-¹⁴C]broflanilide or [B-ring-U-¹⁴C]broflanilide) or 500 mg/kg bw ([C-ring-U-¹⁴C]broflanilide); 4/sex/group.

Wistar rats

Absorption: The total absorbed radioactivity was 14–23% of the AD at 5 mg/kg bw ([B- and C-ring]) and 2% of the AD at 500 mg/kg bw based on levels in the bile, urine, liver and remaining carcass.

PMRA# 2828153

Metabolites: Unchanged broflanilide was the major component in faecal extracts accounting for 60–71% of the AD at 5 mg/kg bw and 89% of the AD at 500 mg/kg bw. Metabolites detected in feces were DM-(C-H₂O)-8007 cysteine conjugate, DM-(A,C-diOH)-8007, DC-DM-(A-OH)-8007 and DM-8007, each of which accounted for <5% of the AD. In urine, broflanilide was metabolised to one major metabolite following administration of [C-ring-U-¹⁴C] broflanilide which accounted for a maximum of 7–9% of the AD and was confirmed in another study to be hippuric acid. In the bile, broflanilide was metabolised to at least seven identified minor metabolites following administration of both B-ring and C-ring radiolabel, each of which accounted for a maximum of 3% of the AD. Six Phase II metabolites were identified. Overall, only unchanged broflanilide and hippuric acid accounted for >5% of the AD

Distribution, metabolism (tissue depletion) following single gavage doses (low and high) Single gavage dose administered at 5 mg/kg bw of [B-ring-U-¹⁴C]broflanilide or 500 mg/kg bw of [C-ring-U-¹⁴C]broflanilide (12/sex/group). Groups of 4/sex were sacrificed at 4, 24 and 72 hrs post dosing with [B-ring-U-¹⁴C]broflanilide at 5 mg/kg bw, and groups of 4/sex were sacrificed at 1, 8 and 24 hrs post dosing with [C-ring-U-¹⁴C]broflanilide at 500 mg/kg bw.

Wistar rats

Distribution: With the (B-ring) radiolabel, peak tissue concentrations occurred at 4 hrs with greatest concentrations present in the liver, pancreas, adrenal, thyroid, epididymis and ovaries. Concentrations of radioactivity observed in tissues were generally greater than those in plasma except for whole blood, blood cells, brain, spleen (\circlearrowleft only), testes, bone and bone marrow (\Lsh only). At 24 hrs, concentrations in fat increased approximately twofold compared to those at 4 hrs. Concentrations in liver, pancreas, adrenal, thyroid, epididymis and ovaries also increased over time but to a lesser extent. Concentrations in most other tissues remained similar to those at 4 hrs or had declined. Thereafter concentrations of radioactivity generally declined; the pattern of distribution remained similar.

PMRA# 2828154

With the (C-ring) radiolabel, peak tissue concentrations occurred at 1 hr with greatest concentrations observed in the kidney and liver. Initially the majority of tissues had radioactivity concentrations less than those in plasma. At 8 hrs the pattern of distribution changed with concentrations in the majority of tissues exceeding those in plasma, despite the overall radioactivity levels declining. The greatest concentrations at 8 hrs were observed in liver, adrenal and fat. Levels continued to decline at 24 hrs, but the pattern of distribution was similar to that at 8 hrs. Concentrations of radioactivity in \circlearrowleft tissues were greater than those in \Im , particularly at 8 and 24 hrs.

Metabolites: With the (B-ring) radiolabel, profiles of radioactivity in plasma, liver, kidney and fat indicated that DM-8007 was the main component in tissues at 4 hrs accounting for 42–58% of tissue radioactivity. DC-DM-8007 was a significant component in tissues accounting for 3–17% of tissue radioactivity. With the (C-ring) radiolabel, profiles of radioactivity at peak concentrations in plasma, liver, kidney and fat indicated that DM-8007 was the main component accounting for 8–50% of tissue radioactivity. In plasma and kidney, a polar component(s), accounted for up to 49% of tissue radioactivity. In liver, DM-

	(C34-diOH)-8007, S(PFPOH)-8007, DM-(C4-OH)-8007 and S(Br-OH)-8007 were characterised as low level components accounting for up to 15% of tissue radioactivity collectively. Unchanged broflanilide accounted for 1.2–8.5% of tissue radioactivity.
Toxicokinetics following single gavage doses (three dose levels)	Single gavage dose at 20, 100, 500 mg/kg bw of [B-ring-U- ¹⁴ C]broflanilide (4/sex/group).
Wistar rats	RBC concentration-versus-time curves were more variable and showed more multiple peak patterns than those for plasma.
PMRA# 2828155	The increases in C _{max} and AUC values in plasma and RBC were less than dose proportional: values increased by roughly a factor 10–19 from 20 to 500 mg/kg bw, compared to a 25-fold increase in dose.
	Concentrations in RBC were lower than in plasma during the first part of the concentration time curves; however, after approximately 72–96 hrs, the concentrations in RBC were similar to or even higher than those in plasma. This result together with the longer t1/2 values in RBC suggests that the [14C]broflanilide was significantly distributed to RBC and only slowly released.
Distribution, excretion, metabolism, and toxicokinetics following repeat gavage doses (one dose	Repeat gavage dosing at 5 mg/kg bw/day for 14 days of [B-ring-U-\frac{14}{C}]broflanilide (4/sex (excretion/tissue distribution), 12/sex (plasma/blood cell kinetics)).
level)	Excretion: Mainly via faeces (87–89% of the AD), with only 0.3–0.8% of the AD excreted in urine.
Wistar rats	
PMRA# 2828156	Distribution: At 168 hrs after the final dose, retention of radioactivity in tissues accounted for 5/8% of the AD in \Im/\Im . Peak tissue concentrations occurred 24 hrs after the final (14th) dose with greatest concentrations in the fat and notable concentrations also present in liver, pancreas, adrenal, thyroid, epididymis and ovaries. Concentrations of radioactivity observed in tissues were generally greater than those in plasma except for whole blood, blood cells, brain, testes and bone. Thereafter, concentrations in tissues declined, with a similar pattern of distribution at 96 and 168 hrs. There was no notable sex difference in the distribution of radioactivity. At 168 hrs after the final dose, the majority of the AD was recovered in the residual carcass (2–3%) with significant levels also recovered in fat (2–3%). Radioactivity in the remaining tissues was generally <1% of the AD.
	Toxicokinetics: Pharmacokinetic parameters indicated that the rate and extent of exposure was similar in 3 and 4 . Maximum plasma and whole blood concentrations occurred at 4 hrs after the final dose.
	Metabolites: Unchanged broflanilide was identified as the major component in faecal extracts, accounting for 53–75% of the AD during the 24 hr period after the first dose and 61–77% of the AD during the 24 hr period after the seventh dose. During 0–96 hrs after the final dose, unchanged broflanilide accounted for 57–65% of the AD.
Acute Toxicity Studies	
Acute oral toxicity (up-down	Low acute toxicity
method) Wistar rats (\mathcal{P})	$LD_{50} > 5000 \text{ mg/kg bw } (\updownarrow)$
PMRA# 2828159	No clinical signs of toxicity.
Acute dermal toxicity	Low acute toxicity

Wistar rats	$LD_{50} > 5000 \text{ mg/kg bw } (3/2)$
PMRA# 2828160	No clinical signs of toxicity.
Acute inhalation toxicity (nose-only)	Low acute toxicity
Wistar rats	$LC_{50} > 2.2 \text{ mg/L } (3/2)$ No clinical signs of toxicity.
PMRA# 2828161	ino chinical signs of toxicity.
Skin irritation	Non-irritating
NZW rabbits	MAS = 0 $MIS = 0 (at 1 hr)$
PMRA# 2828162	Wis = 0 (at 1 iii)
Eye irritation	Non-irritating
NZW rabbits	MAS = 0 MIS = 3.3 (at 1 hr)
PMRA# 2828163	14115 – 3.5 (at 1 iii)
Dermal sensitization (LLNA)	Supplemental
CBA mice	Negative
PMRA# 2828164	Limitations: only one dose tested.
Dermal sensitization (LLNA)	Negative
CBA mice	
PMRA# 2828165	
Dermal sensitization (Maximization test)	Negative
Hartley guinea pigs	
PMRA# 2828167	
Short-Term Toxicity Studies	
28-day oral toxicity (diet)	Supplemental
CD1 mice	NOAEL and LOAEL not established
PMRA# 2828169	Effects at $\geq 107/119$ mg/kg bw/day: \uparrow abs spleen wt (\circlearrowleft); \uparrow glucose (\updownarrow) Effects at 1068 mg/kg bw/day: \uparrow total protein (\circlearrowleft)
	Limitations: limited pathology.
90-day oral toxicity (diet)	Supplemental
CD1 mice	NOAEL and LOAEL not established
PMRA# 2828173	Effects at \geq 230 mg/kg bw/day: \uparrow adrenal wt (\updownarrow)
	Effects at 955/1148 mg/kg bw/day: ↓ bwg (♂); ↑ adrenal cortical vacuolation (♀)
	Unchanged broflanilide and DM-8007 levels ↑ with increasing dose level, but not in a dose-proportional manner, and were generally similar between ♂ and ♀. DM-8007

	concentrations were much higher than those of unchanged broflanilide.
	Limitations: clinical chemistry not assessed.
90-day oral toxicity (diet)	NOAEL not established
Wistar rats	LOAEL = 35/41 mg/kg bw/day (3/2)
PMRA# 2828174	Effects at LOAEL: \uparrow adrenal wt, \uparrow adrenal cortex vacuolation (\Im/\Im); \uparrow reticulocytes, \uparrow adrenal cortex hypertrophy, \uparrow ovarian interstitial gland vacuolation (\Im)
	Effects at the highest dose tested (1007/1212 mg/kg bw/day in \Im / \Im) that subsided after a 4-week recovery period: \downarrow bw/bwg, \uparrow urine volume, \downarrow urine specific gravity (\Im); \downarrow bwg, \uparrow ovary wt, \uparrow reticulocytes, \uparrow adrenal cortex hypertrophy, \uparrow adrenal cortex vacuolation (\Im)
	Effects at the highest dose tested that persisted after a 4-week recovery period: \uparrow adrenal wt $(3/2)$; \uparrow adrenal cortex vacuolation (3) ; \uparrow ovarian interstitial gland vacuolation (2)
	Unchanged broflanilide and DM-8007 levels \uparrow with increasing dose level, but not in a dose-proportional manner. For broflanilide, \supsetneq had higher plasma levels than \circlearrowleft , while DM-8007 levels were generally similar between \circlearrowleft and \supsetneq . DM-8007 concentrations were much higher than those of unchanged broflanilide.
90-day oral toxicity (diet)	Complimentary study to PMRA 2828174 to establish a NOAEL for effects identified at the lowest dose tested.
Wistar rats	identified at the lowest dose tested.
PMRA# 2828175	NOAEL = $2.0/2.2$ mg/kg bw/day (\Im / \Im) LOAEL not established
	No treatment-related findings in parameters examined.
	Limitations: only one dose level tested, pathology examinations limited to target organs identified at lowest dose tested in PMRA# 282174 (adrenal gland, ovary), no hematology or clinical chemistry.
14-day oral toxicity (capsule) –	Supplemental
Dose range-finding	No treatment-related findings at 1000 mg/kg bw/day.
Beagle dogs	No treatment-related findings at 1000 mg/kg bw/day.
	Limitations: one dose level tested, limited reporting, no hematology/clinical
PMRA# 2828171	chemistry, no pathology.
28-day oral toxicity (capsule)	NOAEL = $300/1000$ mg/kg bw/day ($3/2$) LOAEL = 1000 mg/kg bw/day/not established ($3/2$)
Beagle dogs	EOTED 1000 mg kg ownaug/not established (07+)
PMRA# 2828172	Effects at LOAEL: \uparrow cholesterol, \uparrow liver wt, \uparrow adrenal wt, \downarrow prostate wt, \downarrow testes wt, \downarrow thyroid wt (\circlearrowleft)
	Unchanged broflanilide and DM-8007 levels were detected and quantified in all examined dog plasma samples, and were generally similar between ♂ and ♀. DM-8007 concentrations were much higher than those of unchanged broflanilide.
90-day oral toxicity (capsule)	NOAEL = 300 mg/kg bw/day $(\sqrt[3]{2})$
Beagle dogs	LOAEL = 1000 mg/kg bw/day (3/2)
PMRA# 2828182	Effects at LOAEL: \uparrow ALP, \uparrow cholesterol, \uparrow liver wt, \uparrow adrenal wt $(\circlearrowleft/\subsetneq)$; \downarrow fc, \uparrow triglycerides (\circlearrowleft) ; \uparrow liver wt (\subsetneq)
	DM-8007 levels were higher compared to unchanged broflanilide. Broflanilide and

	DM 00071 1 A 'd' ' 1 1 1 1 1 (' 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
	DM-8007 levels ↑ with increasing dose levels, but not in a dose-proportional manner. Broflanilide and DM-8007 levels were generally similar between ♂ and ♀.
12-month oral toxicity (capsule)	NOAEL not established
12-month of al toxicity (capsule)	LOAEL not established LOAEL = 100 mg/kg bw/day (\lozenge/\lozenge)
Beagle dogs	ECTEL 100 mg/kg 0 m/day (0/+)
	Effects at LOAEL: \uparrow ALP ($\circlearrowleft/$?); \uparrow adrenal wt, enlargement of the adrenal, adrenal
PMRA# 2828183	hypertrophy (\lozenge); \uparrow ALT, \downarrow bw/bwg, adrenal vacuolation (\updownarrow)
28-day dermal toxicity	NOAEL = $1000 \text{ mg/kg bw/day } (3/2)$
	LOAEL not established
Wistar rats	
DMD 4 # 2020107	No treatment-related adverse findings.
PMRA# 2828186 5 day inhelation toyicity (head	Supplemental
5-day inhalation toxicity (headnose) – Dose range-finding	Supplemental
nose) – Bose range-iniding	NOAEL and LOAEL not established
Wistar rats	I VOTED and DOTED NOT established
	Effects at ≥ 0.10 mg/L: \uparrow heart wt (\updownarrow)
PMRA# 2828184	
	Effects at ≥ 0.32 mg/L: \downarrow bwg ($\circlearrowleft/\updownarrow$); \uparrow rel eosinophil count (\circlearrowleft)
	Effects at 1.1 mg/L: ↑ inflammatory cell infiltrates in the bronchio-alveolar region, ↑
	hypertrophy/hyperplasia of terminal bronchioles, ↑ epithelial alteration of the larynx
	$(3/2)$; \uparrow adrenal wt, \uparrow rel brain wt, \uparrow heart wt, \uparrow rel kidney wt, \uparrow rel liver wt, \downarrow abs
	thymus wt (♀)
	Limitations: limited reporting, short duration of study.
28-day inhalation toxicity (nose-	NOAEC = 0.031 mg/L (8.4 mg/kg bw/day) (\Im / \Im)
only)	LOAEC = 0.19 mg/L (52 mg/kg bw/day) ($\frac{3}{2}$)
3,	5 1 1 3 (1 8 8 1 m mg) (0 m)
Wistar rats	Effects at LOAEC: ↑ reticulocytes, ↑ adrenal vacuolation, spleen extramedullary
	hematopoiesis, \uparrow adrenal wt, larynx epithelial alteration (\Im / \Im); \uparrow neutrophil count, \downarrow
PMRA# 2828185	lymphocyte count (\Diamond); \uparrow heart wt, \uparrow ovary wt, \uparrow severity of spleen pigment storage,
	ovary vacuolation $(?)$
	Effects at the highest deep tested (0.04 mg/l) that subsided after a 4 mg-l manager
	Effects at the highest dose tested (0.94 mg/L) that subsided after a 4-week recovery period: ↓ bwg, ↑ cholesterol, ↑ reticulocytes, ↑ lung wt, ↑ adrenal wt, spleen
	extramedullary hematopoiesis, larynx epithelial alteration, regenerative bronchiolar
	hyperplasia in the lung, alveolar histocytosis in the lung, debris in the lung ($3/2$); \uparrow
	neutrophil count, \$\psi\$ lymphocyte count, \$\gamma\$ severity of spleen pigment storage,
	cribriform change in the epididymides (δ); \uparrow GGT, \uparrow total bilirubin, \uparrow ovary wt, \uparrow
	heart wt, \uparrow adrenal vacuolation, \uparrow severity of spleen pigment storage (\updownarrow)
	Effects at the highest dose tested that persisted after a 4-week recovery period: \(\)
	thyroid wt, adrenal vacuolation (\lozenge); \downarrow bwg, \downarrow creatinine, ovary vacuolation (\lozenge)
Chronic Toxicity/Oncogenicity	
18-month oncogenicity (diet)	NOAEL = $745/172 \text{ mg/kg bw/day} \left(\frac{3}{2} \right)$
CD1 mice	LOAEL = not established/820 mg/kg bw/day (\Im / \Im)
CD1 IIIICE	Effects at LOAEL: pale lower teeth, abnormal teeth, ↑ adrenal wt, ↑ ovary wt, large
PMRA# 2828194	adrenal, ovarian cysts, adrenal lesions (accessory nodules, hemopoiesis, cortical and
	corticomedullary vacuolation, inflammatory cell foci) (\updownarrow)
	J , , (T)
	Unchanged broflanilide and DM-8007 levels ↑ with increasing dose level, but not in
	a dose-proportional manner, and were generally similar between ♂ and ♀. DM-8007
	concentrations were much higher than those of unchanged broflanilide.

	T				
	No evidence of tumourigenicity				
24-month chronic	24-month sacrifice				
toxicity/oncogenicity (diet)	NOAEL = 4.5 mg/kg bw/day/not established ($3/2$)				
toxicity/olicogenicity (diet)					
XXV.	$LOAEL = 14/5.9 \text{ mg/kg bw/day } (\Im/2)$				
Wistar rats					
PMRA# 2828193	Effects at LOAEL: \uparrow adrenal vacuolization, \uparrow adrenal wt (\circlearrowleft); \uparrow adrenal wt, \uparrow ovarian interstitial gland vacuolation (\updownarrow)				
	12-month sacrifice				
	NOAEL = $1.7/2.1$ mg/kg bw/day ($3/2$)				
	LOAEL = $5.7/7.2 \text{ mg/kg bw/day} \left(\frac{3}{2} \right)$				
	Effects at LOAEL: \uparrow adrenal vacuolization (\circlearrowleft); \uparrow reticulocytes, \uparrow cholesterol, \uparrow adrenal wt, \uparrow abs heart wt (\updownarrow)				
	Unahangad huaflanilida waa not dataatad in plaama DM 2007 waa dataatad and				
	Unchanged broflanilide was not detected in plasma. DM-8007 was detected and quantified in all treated rat plasma sample and levels ↑ with increasing dose level. DM-8007 levels were generally similar between ♂ and ♀.				
	Tumour incidences (in %)				
	Ovarian luteomas: 0/2/0/0/6				
	Ovarian granulosa cells: 2/2/6/22/12				
	Combined ovarian tumours of sex cord stromal origin: 6/6/6/22//24				
	Uterine adenocarcinomas: 12/8/12/22/28				
	Adrenal carcinoma (\mathcal{P}): 0/0/0/0/4				
	Leydig cell adenomas: 2/4/10/8/28				
	Evidence of carcinogenicity				
Developmental/Reproductive T					
Developmental/reproductive	Supplemental				
toxicity (diet) – Screening study					
toxicity (diet) – Screening study	Donantal NOAEL and LOAEL not astablished				
XXV.	Parental NOAEL and LOAEL not established				
Wistar rats PMRA# 2828170	Effects at \geq 299/360 mg/kg bw/day: \uparrow reticulocytes, \uparrow adrenal wt (\circlearrowleft / \hookrightarrow); \downarrow RBC, enlarged adrenal, splenic hematopoiesis (\hookrightarrow)				
	Effects at \geq 644/711 mg/kg bw/day: splenic hematopoiesis (\circlearrowleft); \downarrow potassium, \uparrow rel liver wt, \uparrow abs heart wt (\circlearrowleft)				
	Effects at 983/1067 mg/kg bw/day: ↓ potassium (♂); ↑ mean platelet volume, ↑ platelet distribution width, ↑ glucose, adrenal cortical hypertrophy (♀)				
	Reproductive NOAEL and LOAEL not established				
	Effects at 983/1067 mg/kg bw/day: 1 complete litter loss (5 pups PND 0-1)				
	Offspring NOAEL and LOAEL not established				
	Effects at 1067 mg/kg bw/day: ↑ pup deaths PND 1-4 (pup basis only)				
	Limitation: small group sizes, limited examination of reproductive and developmental parameters, hematology and histopathology were not examined in littering females.				

2 compaction some directive	Deport NOAEL - 22/25 mg/kg hw/dov (A/O)					
2-generation reproductive toxicity (diet)	Parental NOAEL = $2.3/2.5$ mg/kg bw/day (\circlearrowleft / \updownarrow) Parental LOAEL = $7.5/8.3$ mg/kg bw/day (\circlearrowleft / \updownarrow)					
Wistar rats	Effects at LOAEL: \uparrow adrenal vacuolation [P, F1] (\circlearrowleft/\citc); \uparrow adrenal wt [F1] (\circlearrowleft); \uparrow adrenal wt [P] (\citc)					
PMRA# 2828201	Reproductive NOAEL = 7.5/2.5 mg/kg bw/day (\Im / \Im) Reproductive LOAEL = 23/8.3 mg/kg bw/day (\Im / \Im)					
	Effects at LOAEL: \uparrow cauda epididymis wt [F1], \uparrow epididymides wt [F1], \uparrow testes wt [F1] (\circlearrowleft); \uparrow ovary vacuolation [P] (\circlearrowleft)					
	Offspring NOAEL = 27 mg/kg bw/day ($\circlearrowleft/\updownarrow$) Offspring LOAEL = 126 mg/kg bw/day ($\circlearrowleft/\updownarrow$)					
	Effects at LOAEL: \downarrow bw [F1, PND 21; F2, PND 4-21], \downarrow bwg [F1, PND 1-21; F2, PND 1-21], \downarrow thymus wt [F1, F2], \downarrow abs brain wt [F2] ($\circlearrowleft/$?); \downarrow abs brain wt [F1] (\circlearrowleft)					
	No evidence of sensitivity of the young.					
Developmental toxicity (gavage)						
 Dose range-finding 						
	NOAEL and LOAEL not established					
Wistar rats						
	No treatment-related findings up to 1000 mg/kg bw/day.					
PMRA# 2828204						
	Limitations: non-pregnant ♀ tested, limited reporting, small group sizes.					
Developmental toxicity (gavage)	Supplemental					
 Dose range-finding 						
	NOAEL and LOAEL not established					
Wistar rats						
D) (D) A 2020207	No treatment-related findings up to 1000 mg/kg bw/day.					
PMRA# 2828206						
D1	Limitations: limited reporting, small group sizes, limited fetal examination.					
Developmental toxicity (gavage)	Maternal NOAEL = 1000 mg/kg bw/day Maternal LOAEL not established					
Wistar rats	IMACHAI LOAEL HULESIAUHSHEU					
vi istai lats	No treatment-related findings.					
PMRA# 2828202	to douthout related initings.					
	Developmental NOAEL = 1000 mg/kg bw/day Developmental LOAEL not established					
	No treatment-related findings.					
	No evidence of sensitivity of the young.					
Developmental toxicity (gavage) – Dose range-finding	Supplemental					
	NOAEL and LOAEL not established					
NZW rabbits						
	No treatment-related findings up to 1000 mg/kg bw/day.					
PMRA# 2828211						
	Limitations: non-pregnant ♀ tested, limited reporting, small group sizes.					

	la .
Developmental toxicity (gavage)	Supplemental
 Dose range-finding 	
	NOAEL and LOAEL not established
NZW rabbits	
	No treatment-related findings up to 1000 mg/kg bw/day.
PMRA# 2828212	
	Limitations: limited reporting, small group sizes, limited fetal examination.
Developmental toxicity (gavage)	Maternal NOAEL = 1000 mg/kg bw/day
Beveropmental toxicity (gavage)	Maternal LOAEL not established
NZW rabbits	Waternal EOAEE not established
NZW raddits	NT. double of the first of the
D) (D) 2020210	No treatment-related adverse findings.
PMRA# 2828210	
	Developmental NOAEL = 1000 mg/kg bw/day
	Developmental LOAEL not established
	No treatment-related findings.
	C
	No evidence of sensitivity of the young.
Genotoxicity Studies	to evidence of sensitivity of the young.
· · ·	Nagativa - matabalia activation
Bacterial reverse mutation assay	Negative ± metabolic activation
g . 1	
S. typhimurium strains TA100,	Tested up to a limit concentration.
TA1535, TA98, and TA1537 and	
E. Coli strain WP2 uvrA	
PMRA# 2828187	
In vitro chromosomal aberration	Negative + metabolic activation
assay	
ussay	Tested up to a precipitating concentration.
China a hamatan lama a 11a	rested up to a precipitating concentration.
Chinese hamster lung cells	
L	
PMRA# 2828188	
In vitro forward mutation assay	Negative ± metabolic activation
in mammalian cells	
	Tested up to a precipitating concentration.
Chinese hamster ovary cells	1 T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T
PMRA# 2828189	
In vivo micronucleus assay (3)	Negative
m vivo micronucieus assay (5)	inegative
haray :	
NMRI mice	No mortality or clinical signs of toxicity.
PMRA# 2828190, 2828191	Tested up to a limit concentration.
Neurotoxicity Studies	
Acute oral neurotoxicity	Supplemental
(gavage) – Dose range-finding	
Service and the service and th	NOAEL and LOAEL not established
Wistar rats	NOTED and DOADE not established
vv istal Tats	No treatment related findings at 2000 mg/l 1
DMD A # 2020212	No treatment-related findings at 2000 mg/kg bw.
PMRA# 2828213	
	Limitations: limited reporting, small group size, one dose level tested.

Acute oral neurotoxicity	NOAEL = 2000 mg/kg bw (3/2)					
	NOAEL = 2000 mg/kg bw (\bigcirc/\bigcirc) LOAEL not established					
(gavage)	LOAEL not established					
Wistar rats	No treatment-related findings.					
PMRA# 2828214	No evidence of neurotoxicity.					
90-day neurotoxicity	NOAEL = $1041/1137 \text{ mg/kg bw/day } (3/2)$					
(diet)	LOAEL not established					
Wistar rats	No treatment-related findings.					
PMRA# 2828215	No evidence of neurotoxicity.					
Other Studies						
28- day immunotoxicity study	NOAEL = 1020 mg/kg bw/day (3)					
(diet)	LOAEL not established					
Wistar rats (♂)	No treatment-related findings. No treatment-related effect on anti-SRBC IgM antibody response.					
PMRA# 2828157	• •					
	No evidence of immune dysregulation.					
90-day toxicity study (diet) to	Supplemental					
determine treatment-related						
effects on hormone levels	NOAEL and LOAEL not established					
Wistar rats	Effects at $\geq 32/36$ mg/kg bw/day: \uparrow aldosterone/creatinine ratio, \uparrow corticosterone					
	(post-challenge with ACTH), ↑ adrenal wt, ↑ enlarged and discoloured adrenal, ↑					
PMRA# 2828195, 2828199	incidence and severity of adrenal i) cortical vacuolation, ii) lipid content, iii)					
,	cholesterol content $(\mathring{\Diamond}/\mathring{\Diamond})$; \uparrow prolactin, \uparrow testosterone (day 10), \downarrow number and overall					
	intensity of staining of LH-positive epithelial cells, ↑ cytoplasmic vacuolation of LH					
	cells (δ); \downarrow progesterone (day 10 and 91 all \circlearrowleft), \downarrow prolactin (diestrus \circlearrowleft), \uparrow LH (45					
	days all and estrous \mathcal{Q}), \uparrow ovary wt, \uparrow incidence and severity adrenal hypertrophy, \uparrow					
	incidence and severity ovarian interstitial gland cell vacuolation, \(\frac{1}{2}\) severity of ovary					
	lipid content (\$\begin{array}{c}\)					
	Effects at 972/1127 mg/kg bw/day: ↑ LH (day 45 and 91) (♂); ↓ bwg, ↓					
	progesterone (day 45 all $\stackrel{\frown}{\downarrow}$), \uparrow LH (91 days all and estrous $\stackrel{\frown}{\downarrow}$), \uparrow corticosterone (90					
	days, all and estrus $\ \ $ without ACTH, and satellite pre-challenge), $\ \ $ uterus wt, $\ \ $ pituitary wt ($\ \ \ \ \ \ $)					
	No apparent effects on FSH or estradiol. Interpretation of hormone data confounded					
	by high variability and lack of hormone assessment prior to initiation of dosing.					
	Limitation: non-guideline study.					

Table 5 Toxicity Profile of Metabolites of Broflanilide

Effects observed in both sexes are presented first followed by sex-specific effects in males, then females, each separated by semi-colons. Organ weight effects reflect both absolute organ weights and relative organ to bodyweights unless otherwise noted. Effects seen above the LOAEL(s) have not been reported in this table for most studies for reasons of brevity.

Study Type/Animal/PMRA#	Study Results
DM-8007	Study Results
Acute oral toxicity (up-down	Low acute toxicity
method)	·
_	$LD_{50} > 2000 \text{ mg/kg bw } (\stackrel{\bigcirc}{\hookrightarrow})$
Wistar rats $(?)$	
D) (D) 202021 (No clinical signs of toxicity.
PMRA# 2828216	C 1 1
14-day oral toxicity (diet) – Dose	Supplemental
range-finding	NOAEL and LOAEL not established
Wistar rats	IVALL and LOALL not established
Wister rates	No treatment-related findings up to 1527/1594 mg/kg bw/day (\lozenge / \diamondsuit).
PMRA# 2828220	to dedicate to account to account to the second sec
	Limitations: small group sizes, limited pathology, short duration of study.
28-day oral toxicity (diet)	NOAEL = $85/378$ mg/kg bw/day $(3/2)$
	LOAEL = 278 mg/kg bw/day/not established (\lozenge/\lozenge)
Wistar rats	
	Effects at LOAEL: ↑ seminal vesicles wt, ↑ prostate wt, ↓ testes wt
PMRA# 2828221	10.177 400.045 (1.1.440)
90-day oral toxicity (diet)	NOAEL = $190/215 \text{ mg/kg bw/day} \left(\frac{3}{7} \right)$
Wistar rats	LOAEL not established
Wistarrats	No treatment-related adverse effects.
PMRA# 2828222	No treatment-related adverse effects.
Bacterial reverse mutation assay	Negative + metabolic activation
Bucterial reverse matation assay	r reguli re = membone den ranon
S. typhimurium strains TA100,	Tested up to a limit concentration.
TA1535, TA98, and TA1537 and	
E. Coli strain WP2 uvrA	
PMRA# 2828219	
Metabolite DC-DM-8007	
Acute oral toxicity (up-down	Low acute toxicity
method)	$LD_{50} > 2000 \text{ mg/kg bw } (\mathfrak{P})$
Wistar rats (\mathcal{L})	LD50 > 2000 mg/kg 0w (+)
(+)	No clinical signs of toxicity.
PMRA# 2828223	to chilical signs of toxicity.
14-day oral toxicity (diet) – Dose	Supplemental
range-finding	**
_	NOAEL and LOAEL not established
Wistar rats	
	Effects at \geq 196/274 mg/kg bw/day: piloerection, \downarrow fc, \downarrow wc, \downarrow bw/bwg, grey-white
PMRA# 2828225	discolouration of the adrenal cortex, enlarged liver $(3/2)$; tremors, poor general
	health, encrusted nose, semi-closed eyelid (only at this dose) $(?)$
	Effects at 699 mg/kg bw/day: poor general health, encrusted nose, semi-closed
	Effects at 699 filg/kg bw/day: poor general neatth, encrusted nose, semi-closed

	eyelids, tremors, black focus in glandular stomach (♂)
	All animals sacrificed on day 6 due to severe clinical signs of toxicity.
	Limitations: small group sizes, limited pathology, short duration of study.
14-day oral toxicity (diet) – Dose range-finding	Supplemental
	NOAEL and LOAEL not established
Wistar rats	
PMRA# 2828226	Effects at ≥ 11 mg/kg bw/day: \uparrow rel adrenal wt, \uparrow rel liver wt (\updownarrow)
	Effects at \geq 32 mg/kg bw/day: \uparrow abs adrenal wt, discoloured spleen (\updownarrow)
	Effects at 150/152 mg/kg bw/day: \uparrow spleen wt, enlarged adrenal cortex, enlarged spleen (\circlearrowleft / \hookrightarrow); discoloured spleen, enlarged liver, \downarrow bwg, \uparrow adrenal wt (\circlearrowleft); \downarrow wc, \uparrow abs liver wt, focus in the liver (\hookrightarrow)
	Limitations: limited pathology, short duration of study.
28-day oral toxicity (diet)	NOAEL not established LOAEL = $11/12 \text{ mg/kg bw/day } (3/2)$
Wistar rats	DOTEL 11/12 mg/kg ow/day (0/+)
	Effects at LOAEL: ↑ reticulocyte, ↑ extramedullary hematopoiesis in the spleen
PMRA# 2828229, 2923484	$(\sqrt[3]{2})$; \uparrow spleen wt $(\sqrt[2]{2})$
90-day oral toxicity (diet)	NOAEL = $5.3/2.2$ mg/kg bw/day ($\circlearrowleft/$ \circlearrowleft) LOAEL = $54/5.7$ mg/kg bw/day ($\circlearrowleft/$ \circlearrowleft)
Wistar rats	BOTTLE 34/3.7 mg/kg bw/ddy (0/+)
PMRA# 2828230	Effects at LOAEL: \uparrow thyroid wt, \uparrow liver wt, \uparrow adrenal wt, \downarrow MCHC, \uparrow MCV, ectasia vessels in the spleen, \downarrow eosinophils (\circlearrowleft); \downarrow RBC, \downarrow HGB, \downarrow HCT, \uparrow reticulocytes, \uparrow extramedullary hematopoiesis in the spleen, \uparrow spleen wt (\updownarrow)
Bacterial reverse mutation assay	Negative ± metabolic activation
S. typhimurium strains TA100, TA1535, TA98, and TA1537 and E. Coli strain WP2 uvrA	Tested up to a limit concentration.
PMRA# 2828224	
S(PFP-OH)-8007	
Acute oral toxicity (up-down method)	Low acute toxicity
Winter reta (O)	$LD_{50} > 2000 \text{ mg/kg bw } (\mathfrak{P})$
Wistar rats $(?)$	No clinical signs of toxicity.
PMRA# 2828231	
14-day oral toxicity (diet) – Dose range-finding	
Wistar rats	NOAEL and LOAEL not established
	Effects at $\geq 472/503$ mg/kg bw/day: adrenal discoloration ($\circlearrowleft/\circlearrowleft$)
PMRA# 2828233	Effects at 1450 mg/kg bw/day: enlarged adrenal (♀)
	Limitations: small group sizes, limited pathology, short duration of study.

28-day oral toxicity (diet)	NOAEL = 26 mg/kg bw/day/not established $(3/2)$
	LOAEL = 81/30 mg/kg bw/day (3/2)
Wistar rats	
D	Effects at LOAEL: ↑ adrenal wt, ↑ adrenal vacuolation, adrenal discoloration
PMRA# 2828234	(ð/♀)
90-day oral toxicity (diet)	NOAEL not established
Wistar rats	LOAEL = 8.3/9.1 mg/kg bw/day (\Im / \Im)
Wistai lats	Effects at LOAEL: ↑ adrenal wt, ↑ vacuolation in the adrenal cortex (♂/♀); ↑
PMRA# 2828236	ovary wt, \uparrow ovarian interstitial gland vacuolation (\updownarrow)
	Negative ± metabolic activation
S. typhimurium strains TA100,	Tested up to a limit concentration.
TA1535, TA98, and TA1537 and	
E. Coli strain WP2 uvrA	
DMD 4# 2020222	
PMRA# 2828232 DC-8007	
Acute oral toxicity (up-down	Low acute toxicity
method)	Don dedic to Meny
	$LD_{50} > 2000 \text{ mg/kg bw } (\mathfrak{P})$
Wistar rats (\c)	
	No clinical signs of toxicity.
PMRA# 2828238	
Bacterial reverse mutation assay	Negative ± metabolic activation
C + 1 in i make TA 100	
S. typhimurium strains TA100, TA1535, TA98, and TA1537 and	Tested up to a limit concentration.
E. Coli strain WP2 uvrA	
E. Con strain W12 aV171	
PMRA# 2828239	
MFBA	
Acute oral toxicity (up-down	Low acute toxicity
method)	
W	$LD_{50} > 2000 \text{ mg/kg bw } (\stackrel{\bigcirc}{\hookrightarrow})$
Wistar rats $(?)$	No clinical signs of toxicity
PMRA# 2828240	No clinical signs of toxicity.
In vitro chromosomal aberration	Negative + metabolic activation
assay	- 1-5-monto dell'adion
	↑ chromosomal aberrations noted after 24 hrs of exposure in the absence of
Chinese hamster lung cells	metabolic activation at precipitating concentrations
PMRA# 2828242	Tested up to cytotoxic and precipitating concentrations.
In vivo micronucleus assay	Negative
Sprague-Dawley rats (♂)	No mortalities or clinical signs of toxicity.
Sprague-Dawley rats (0)	inortainties of chinical signs of toxicity.
PMRA# 2828243	Tested up to a limit dose.
28-day oral toxicity (gavage)	NOAEL = 330 mg/kg bw/day (\Im / \Im)
	LOAEL = 1000 mg/kg bw/day $(\mathring{O}/\mathring{\uparrow})$
Sprague-Dawley rats	
	Effects at LOAEL: ↑ infiltration of lymphocyte in the prostate (♂); ↑ lymphocyte
PMRA# 2828250	count, \(\gamma\) hyperplasia in the squamous cells of the limiting ridge of the forestomach
	(♀)
Ī	

	infiltration of lymphocyte in the prostate (♂); ↑ lymphocyte count, ↑ hyperplasia
	in the squamous cells of the limiting ridge of the forestomach (\mathcal{L})
	There were no effects at the highest dose tested that persisted after a 14-day
	recovery period.
Bacterial reverse mutation assay	Negative ± metabolic activation
C to bissessions of a 100	
S. typhimurium strains TA100, TA1535, TA98, and TA1537 and	Tested up to a limit concentration.
E. Coli strain WP2 uvrA	
L. Con strain W12 uVIA	
PMRA# 2828241	
AB-oxa	
Acute oral toxicity (acute toxic	Low acute toxicity
class) $(?)$	
	$LD_{50} > 2000 \text{ mg/kg bw } (?)$
Wistar rats	
	Clinical signs of toxicity included impaired general state and piloerection.
PMRA# 2828244	
Bacterial reverse mutation assay	Negative ± metabolic activation
S transition atmains TA 100	Tested up to a limit concentration.
S. typhimurium strains TA100, TA1535, TA98, and TA1537 and	
E. Coli strain WP2 uvrA	
E. Con suam W12 uviA	
PMRA# 2828247	
S(Br-OH)-8007	
	Low acute toxicity
class)	
	$LD_{50} > 2000 \text{ mg/kg bw } (\mathfrak{P})$
Wistar rats $(?)$	
	Clinical signs of toxicity included impaired general state, piloerection and
PMRA# 2828248	dyspnea.
Bacterial reverse mutation assay	Negative ± metabolic activation
S. typhimurium strains TA100,	Tested up to a limit concentration.
TA1535, TA98, and TA1537 and	
E. Coli strain WP2 uvrA	
L. Con suam WIZ uviA	
PMRA# 2828249	

Effects at the highest dose tested that subsided after a 14-day recovery period: ↑

Table 6 Toxicological Reference Values for Use in Health Risk Assessment for Broflanilide

Exposure Scenario	Study	Point of Departure and Endpoint	CAF¹ or Target MOE			
Acute dietary general population	Not established as no endpoin	t of concern attributable to a single exposure wa	as identified.			
	ARfD not established	ARfD not established				
	rats (from the 24-month oncogenicity study)	NOAEL = 1.7 mg/kg bw/day Adrenal gland vacuolization and ↑ adrenal gland wt	100			
	ADI = 0.02 mg/kg bw/day	Benne				

Short-term dermal	28-day dermal toxicity in rats	NOAEL = 1000 mg/kg bw/day	100		
		No adverse effects			
Intermediate-term dermal	28-day dermal toxicity in rats	NOAEL = 1000 mg/kg bw/day	300		
		No adverse effect			
Short-term	28-day inhalation toxicity in	NOAEL = 8.4 mg/kg bw/day	100		
inhalation	rats				
		Adrenal gland vacuolation, spleen			
		extramedullary hematopoiesis, † severity of			
		spleen pigment storage, ovary vacuolation, ↑			
		adrenal gland wt, ↑ ovary wt, ↑ heart wt			
Intermediate-term	28-day inhalation toxicity in	NOAEL = 8.4 mg/kg bw/day	300		
inhalation	rats				
		Adrenal gland vacuolation, spleen			
		extramedullary hematopoiesis, \u03b1 severity of			
		spleen pigment storage, ovary vacuolation, ↑			
		adrenal gland wt, ↑ ovary wt, ↑ heart wt			
Cancer		e rats, and ovarian luteomas, ovarian granulosa o			
		tromal origin (combined incidences of luteomas			
	~	ex cord stromal tumours), as well as adrenal cor	tex carcinomas		
	and uterine adenocarcinoma i	n female rats.			
	$a^{1*} = 2.1 \times 10^{-3}$ (mg/kg bw/dg	ay)-1, based on the incidence of Leydig cell ader	nomas in male rats		
	in the 2-year dietary study.	iy), based on the incidence of Leydig een ader	iomas m maic rais		
	in the 2-year dictary study.				
	For inhalation cancer risk ass	essment, $q^{1*} = 2.1 \times 10^{-2} \text{ (mg/kg bw/day)}^{-1}$, base	ed on the		
incidence of Leydig cell adenomas in male rats in the 2-year dietary study and the app					
		to account for differences in absorption when e			
	an oral toxicity study to the ir		1 0		
CAE (same saits a		al af an a satainte and DCDA footons for distance			

¹ CAF (composite assessment factor) refers to a total of uncertainty and PCPA factors for dietary assessments; MOE refers to a target MOE for occupational assessments.

Table 7 M/L/A Non-Cancer Risk Assessment for Application of Cimegra In-furrow and/or T-Band at Planting

Crop	ATPD (ha/day)	Dermal UE (μg/kg a.i. handled)	Dermal Exposure (mg/kg bw/day) 1	Dermal MOE ²	Inhalation UE (µg/kg a.i. handled)	Inhalation Exposure (mg/kg bw/day) ¹	Inhalation MOE ³
Potato	104	92.0	0.00273	367000	2.21	7.51×10^{-5}	1.12×10^{5}
Corn	100	83.9	0.00262	381000	2.31	7.22×10^{-5}	1.16×10^{5}

¹ Exposure (μ g/kg a.i. handled) = (ATPD × Rate × Unit exposure)/(80 kg bw × 1000 μ g/mg)

² MOE = Dermal NOAEL of 1000 mg/kg bw/day ÷ Dermal Exposure (mg/kg bw/day); Target MOE = 100

³ MOE = Inhalation NOAEL of 8.4 mg/kg bw/day ÷ Inhalation Exposure (mg/kg bw/day); Target MOE = 100

Table 8 M/L/A Cancer Risk Assessment for Application of Cimegra In-furrow and/or T-Band at Planting

Crop	Crop Dermal $q^{1*} = 0.0021 \text{ (mg/kg bw/day)}^{-1}$			Inhalation q ¹ *= 0.021 (mg/kg bw/day) ⁻¹			Total Cancer
F	ADD ¹	LADD ²	Cancer Risk ³	ADD ¹	LADD ²	Cancer Risk ³	Risk ⁴
Potato	2.73×10^{-3}	5.75×10^{-5}	1.2×10^{-7}	7.51×10^{-5}	1.58×10^{-6}	3.3×10^{-8}	2×10^{-7}
Corn	2.62×10^{-3}	5.53×10^{-5}	1.2×10^{-7}	7.22×10^{-5}	1.52×10^{-6}	3.2×10^{-8}	1×10^{-7}

Absorbed Daily Dose (ADD) = Dermal/Inhalation Exposure (Table 1)

Table 9 Exposure and non-cancer risk estimates to Teraxxa F4 and Teraxxa for workers in commercial seed treatment facilities and mobile treaters

Scenario/T	kg a.i. handled per day ¹	Unit Exposure ² (µg/kg a.i. handled)		Exposure ^{3,4} (mg/kg bw/day)		MOE	
ask		Dermal	Inhalation	Dermal	Inhalation	Dermal 5	Inhalation 6
Treating	4.6	265.7	2.47	1.53×10^{-2}	1.42×10^{-4}	65 500	59 100
Bagging		17.67	0.89	1.02×10^{-3}	5.12×10^{-5}	984 000	164 000
Cleaning	Applicatio n Rate: 5 g a.i./100 kg seed	18.46 µg/g a.i./100 kg seed	0.016 µg/g a.i./100 kg seed	1.15×10^{-3}	1.00 × 10 ⁻⁶	867 000	8 400 000

 $^{^{1}}$ Estimated amount (kg) a.i. handled per day = Throughput (92 000 kg seed/day) × Application Rate (0.00005 kg a.i./kg seed)

Exposure (mg/kg bw/day) = $\underline{\text{Unit exposure }}(\mu g/\text{kg a.i. handled per day}) \times \text{kg a.i. handled per day}$

 $80~kg~bw \times 1000~\mu g/mg$

Exposure (mg/kg bw/day) = Unit exposure (µg/g a.i./100 kg seed/day) × application rate (g a.i./100 kg seed)

 $80~kg~bw \times 1000~\mu g/mg$

² Lifetime Average Daily Dose (LADD) = ADD × Number of Days of Exposure (15 days/year) × Duration of Exposure (40 years/lifetime) ÷ 365 days/year ÷ Life Expectancy (78 years/lifetime)

³ Cancer Risk = LADD (mg/kg bw/day) \times q*₁ for the relevant route

⁴ Total Cancer Risk = Dermal Cancer Risk + Inhalation Cancer Risk

²Unit exposure values from surrogate worker exposure studies on file with the PMRA.

³ For treating and bagging:

⁴ For cleaning, unit exposures are normalized for application rate therefore:

⁵ MOE = Dermal NOAEL of 1000 mg/kg bw/day ÷ Dermal Exposure (mg/kg bw/day); Target MOE = 300 or 100

 $^{^6}$ MOE = Inhalation NOAEL of 8.4 mg/kg bw/day \div Inhalation Exposure (mg/kg bw/day); Target MOE = 300 or 100 o

Table 10 Exposure and Non-Cancer Risk Estimates to Teraxxa F4 and Teraxxa from On-farm Treatment and Planting

Scenario/Task	kg a.i. handled per day ¹	Unit Exposure (μg/kg a.i. handled) ²		Exposure (mg/kg bw/day) ³		МОЕ	
Scenario/Task		Dermal	Inhalation	Dermal	Inhalation	Dermal 4	Inhalation ⁵
Planting	1.34	1166.14	360.64	1.95 × 10 ⁻²	6.04×10^{-3}	51 200	1390
On-farm Treating and Planting		145.22	7.61	2.43 × 10 ⁻³	1.27 × 10 ⁻⁴	411 000	65 900

 $^{^{1}}$ Estimated amount (kg) a.i. handled per day by a planter = Application Rate (kg a.i./kg seed) \times Area planted per day (200 ha/day) \times Wheat Seeding Rate (134 kg seed/ha) (PMRA# 2828009)

Table 11 Cancer Risk Assessment to Teraxxa F4 and Teraxxa from On-farm Treatment and Planting

Scenario/Tas k	Dermal q ¹ *=0.0021			Inhalation $q^{1*} = 0.021$			Total
	ADD	LADD 1	Cancer Risk ²	ADD	LADD 1	Cancer Risk ²	Cancer Risk ³
Treating	7.97×10^{-3}	3.36×10^{-4}	7.06×10^{-7}	7.41×10^{-5}	3.12×10^{-6}	6.56×10^{-8}	3 × 10 ⁻⁶
Bagging	5.30 × 10 ⁻⁴	2.23×10^{-5}	4.69×10^{-8}	2.67×10^{-5}	1.13×10^{-6}	2.36×10^{-8}	1 × 10 ⁻⁶
Cleaning	1.15×10^{-3}	4.86×10^{-5}	1.02×10^{-7}	1.00×10^{-6}	4.21×10^{-8}	8.85×10^{-10}	4×10^{-8}
Planting	1.95×10^{-2}	4.12×10^{-4}	8.64 × 10 ⁻	6.04×10^{-3}	1.27×10^{-4}	2.67×10^{-6}	4 × 10 ⁻⁶
On-farm Treating and Planting	2.43×10^{-3}	5.13 × 10 ⁻⁵	1.08 × 10 ⁻	1.27 × 10 ⁻⁴	2.69 × 10 ⁻⁶	5.64 × 10 ⁻⁸	2 × 10 ⁻⁷

¹ Lifetime Average Daily Dose (LADD) = ADD × Number of Days of Exposure (30 days/year for treating/bagging/cleaning and 15 days/year for Planting and On-Farm Treating and Planting) × Duration of Exposure (40 years/lifetime) ÷ 365 days/year ÷ Life Expectancy (78 years/lifetime)

Table 12 Integrated Food Residue Chemistry Summary

NATURE OF THE RESIDU	PMRA# 2828264					
Species and Numbers 24 laying hens (Gallus gallus domesticus)						
IRadiolabel position	[14C-B-ring] (specific activity: 4.44 GBq/mmol) and [14C-C-ring] (specific activity: 4.0 GBq/mmol)					
	[14C-B-ring]-label: 14.10 mg/kg feed (corresponding to 0.86 mg/kg bw/day) [14C-C-ring]-label: 14.72 mg/kg feed (corresponding to 0.84 mg/kg bw/day)					
	Once daily/Oral in gelatin capsule, in the morning after collection of eggs and excreta					

² Unit exposure values from surrogate worker exposure studies on file with the PMRA.

³ Exposure (mg/kg bw/day) = Unit exposure (μ g/kg a.i. handled per day) × kg a.i. handled per day 80 kg bw × 1000 μ g/mg

⁴MOE = Dermal NOAEL of 1000 mg/kg bw/day ÷ Dermal Exposure (mg/kg bw/day); Target MOE = 100

⁵ MOE = Inhalation NOAEL of 8.4 mg/kg bw/day ÷ Inhalation Exposure (mg/kg bw/day); Target MOE = 100

² Cancer Risk = LADD (mg/kg bw/day) \times q¹* for the relevant route

³ Total Cancer Risk = Dermal Cancer Risk + Inhalation Cancer Risk

Study period	14 consecutive da	2010					
Collection time							
Tissues collected		rning and evening); Excreta: 2/day					
Interval from last dose to	Muscie (breast, ti	nigh), fat (abdominal and subcutaneous), live	er and eggs				
sacrifice	6 hours						
Plateau of residues in eggs	Not determined						
Extraction solvent(s)		(1:1, v/v), acetone/hexane (1:4, v/v) and ac	otonitrilo for	fot			
Extraction solvent(s)	accionitine/water	[14C-B-ring]		C-C-ring]			
		[C-D-Img]	L	% of			
Matrices	TRRs (ppm)	% of Administered Dose	TRRs (ppm)	Administered Dose			
Liver	0.5	2.631	0.4	1.843			
Thigh (Leg) Muscle	0.8	2.140	0.5	1.397			
Breast Muscle	0.1	0.330	0.1	0.240			
Abdominal Fat	3.9	19.132	3.4	15.770			
Subcutaneous Fat	1.5	18.549	1.0	14.579			
Egg White (average of Day 1–14)	0.0	0.014	0.0	0.012			
Egg Yolk (average of Day 1–14)	4.1	3.605	3.365				
Excreta	56.0	4.782 65.0 5.49					
GI Tract	6.1	6.581	6.0	6.715			
Cagewash	0.1	0.1 0.139 0.1 0.152					
Summary of Major Identi	fied Metabolites in						
Radiolabel Position		[¹⁴ C-B-ring], [¹⁴ C-C-ring]					
Metabolites Identified		Major Metabolite					
Breast muscle		-					
Thigh muscle							
Abdominal fat							
Subcutaneous fat		DM-8007					
Egg yolk							
Egg white							
Liver							
NATURE OF THE RESII	DUE IN LACTATI	NG GOAT	PMRA# 2	828265			
Species and Numbers		2 goats (Capra hircus)					
Dadialahal masirian		[14C-B-ring] (specific activity: 4.44 GBq/mr	nol) and $[^{14}C$	C-C-ring] (specific			
Radiolabel position	1	activity: 4.0 GBq/mmol)					
		[14C-B-ring]-label: 19.49 mg/kg feed (corresponding to 0.62 mg/kg					
Average dose		bw/day)					
Average dosc		[14C-C-ring]-label: 20.19 mg/kg feed (corresponding to 0.73 mg/kg					
		bw/day)					
Treatment Regimen Once daily/Oral in gelatin capsule, in the morning after milking							
Study period	**						
Collection time		Milk: 2/day (morning and evening); Excreta					
Tissues collected	Muscle (flank, loin), fat (subcutaneous, omental, renal), kidney, liver and milk						
Interval from last dose to sa	Interval from last dose to sacrifice 8-12 hours						
Plateau of residues in milk		Not determined					
Extraction solvent(s)		acetonitrile/water (1:1, v/v), acetone/hexane fat	(1:4, v/v) an	acetonitrile for			
	1:	1411					

		[14	[¹⁴ C-C-ring]		
Matrices	TRRs (ppm)	% of Administered Dose	TRRs (ppm)	% of Administered Dose	
Flank Muscle	0.0	0.300	0.0	0.370	
Loin Muscle	0.0	0.216	0.0	0.228	
Skim Milk 1)	0.0	0.017	0.0	0.028	
Milk Fat 1)	0.7	2.967	0.7	1.628	
Whole Milk 2)	0.7	0.254	0.7	0.269	
Omental Fat	0.9	3.411	0.8	3.422	
Subcutaneous Fat	0.1	2.598	0.1	2.830	
Renal Fat	0.2	3.065	0.3	3.290	
Liver	0.7	2.197	0.1	0.457	
Kidney	0.0	0.250	0.0	0.265	
Blood	0.0	0.095	0.0	0.071	
Urine	0.7	0.068	23.6	4.187	
Bile	0.0	6.511	0.0	1.122	
Faeces	75.4	19.154	51.0	9.057	
Cagewash	0.0	0.045	0.8	0.513	
Gastrointestinal Tract	13.0	3.181	9.6	2.795	
Muscle					

¹⁾ TRR for skim milk and milk fat was calculated based on the average dpm/g of Day 1 pm to Day 10 pm samples.
2) TRR (ppm) in whole milk = (TRR in skim milk+TRR in milk fat)/sample weight of whole milk.

That (ppm) in whole mink = (That in skini mink That in mink rule) sample weight of whole mink.					
n Goat Matrices					
[¹⁴ C-B-ring], [¹⁴ C-C-ring]					
Major Metabolites					
DM-8007, DC-DM-8007					
DM-8007, DC-DM-8007					
DM-8007, DC-DM-8007					
DM-8007, DC-DM-8007					
DM-8007, DC-DM-8007					
DM-8007, DC-DM-8007, DC-DM-(A4-OH)-8007, DC-DM-(A6-OH)-					
8007, DM-(C2-OH)-8007, Hippuric acid					
DM-8007, DC-DM-8007, Hippuric acid					
DM-8007, DC-DM-8007, Hippuric acid					
DM-8007, DC-DM-8007					

FREEZER STORAGE STABILITY IN ANIMAL MATRICES		
Tested Matrices	Analyte(s)	Tested Intervals (months)
Whole milk, fat, liver, kidney and muscle	Broflanilide and DM-8007	60 days (2 months)

Concurrent freezer storage stability study was conducted as part of the cattle feeding study and the analytes were confirmed stable during the freezer storage period of 60 days.

All laying hen samples in the feeding study were stored frozen and analyzed within 15 days of storage. No freezer storage stability test is required.

LIVESTOCK FEEDING – Dairy cattle PMRA# 2828271

Lactating dairy cows were administered broflanilide at dose levels of 0.015 ppm, 0.152 ppm, 1.5 ppm and 10.1 ppm in the feeds for 41 consecutive days. The dose levels represent 2.1-fold, 22-fold, 214-fold, and 1443-fold, respectively, the estimated more balanced diet (MBD) for beef cattle (0.007 ppm) and 2.5-fold, 25-fold, 250-fold, and 1683-fold, respectively, for dairy cattle (0.006 ppm). Animals were sacrificed within 24 hours after the last dose. A depuration study was conducted using the 10.1 ppm feeding level and selected animals were sacrificed at 44, 48 and 55 days after the last dose. Clearance of residues of broflanilide and its metabolites from milk and tissues during the depuration period was observed.

Commodity/Collection Day	Actual Feeding	Highest Re (ppm		Combined Residues *
Commounty/Concetion Day	Level	Broflanilide	DM-	(ppm)
	(ppm)		8007	(ppiii)
	0.015	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.0020
Milk	0.152	< 0.001	0.0025	0.0036
WHIK	1.5	< 0.001	0.016	0.0174
	10.1	0.0018	0.120	0.1244
	0.015	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.0020
Skimmed milk	0.152	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.0020
Skimmed milk	1.5	< 0.001	0.0016	0.0026
	10.1	< 0.001	0.0140	0.0153
	0.015	< 0.001	0.0044	0.0055
Cream	0.152	< 0.001	0.022	0.0235
Cream	1.5	0.0051	0.18	0.1891
	10.1	0.016	1.3	1.3446
	0.015	< 0.001	< 0.01	0.020
Muscle	0.152	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.020
Muscle	1.5	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.020
	10.1	< 0.01	0.038	0.049
	0.015	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.020
Liver	0.152	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.020
Liver	1.5	< 0.01	0.013	0.023
	10.1	< 0.01	0.078	0.090
	0.015	< 0.01	0.01	0.020
Kidney	0.152	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.020
Kidiley	1.5	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.020
	10.1	< 0.01	0.080	0.092
	0.015	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.020
Fat	0.152	< 0.01	0.013	0.023
Perirenal	1.5	< 0.01	0.11	0.122
	10.1	< 0.01	0.61	0.633
	0.015	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.020
Fat	0.152	< 0.01	0.016	0.026
Mesenterial	1.5	< 0.01	0.16	0.174
	10.1	< 0.01	0.79	0.817
	0.015	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.020
Fat	0.152	< 0.01	0.01	0.020
Subcutaneous	1.5	< 0.01	0.11	0.122
	10.1	< 0.01	0.55	0.572

^{*} Sum of broflanilide and DM-8007, expressed as parent equivalents based on the conversion factor of 1.02 for DM-8007. The calculations were performed using LOQ values when the DM-8007 residues were <LOQ.

Anticipated Residues in Animal Matrices

Matrices	Residue Definition	Dietary Burden (ppm)	Anticipated Combined Residues (ppm)
Be	ef/Dairy Cattle		
Cattle Milk	Parent+DM-8007	0.006	0
Cattle Milk fat	Parent+DM-8007	0.006	0.001
Cattle Skim milk	Parent+DM-8007	0.006	0
Beef Muscle	Parent+DM-8007	0.007	< LOQ (0.02)
Beef Liver	Parent+DM-8007	0.007	< LOQ (0.02)
Beef Kidney	Parent+DM-8007	0.007	< LOQ (0.02)
Beef Perirenal fat	Parent+DM-8007	0.007	0.001
Beef Mesenterial fat	Parent+DM-8007	0.007	0.001
Beef Subcutaneous fat	Parent+DM-8007	0.007	< LOQ (0.02)

LIVESTOCK FEEDING – Laying hens

PMRA# 2828270

Laying hens were administered broflanilide at dose levels of 0.021 ppm, 0.102 ppm and 0.509 ppm in the feeds for 29 (Low dose), 36 (Mid dose) and 50 (High dose) consecutive days. The dose levels represent 3x, 15x, and 73x, respectively, the estimated MBD to poultry (0.007 ppm). Animals were sacrificed approximately 6 hours after the last dose. A depuration study was conducted using the 0.509 ppm feeding level and selected animals were sacrificed at 52, 56, 59 and 63 days after the last dose. Residues of broflanilide were <LOQ (0.01 ppm) throughout depuration period. Residues of DM-8007 decreased from 0.0218 ppm on Day 52 to <LOQ (0.01 ppm) on Day 62.

G 111.10		Actual Feeding	Highest Residu (ppm)	ues	Combined
Commodity/C	ollection Day	Level (ppm)	Broflanilide	DM- 8007	Residues (ppm)
		0.021	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.020
Whole eggs		0.102	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.020
		0.509	< 0.01	0.0226	0.033
Muscle		0.021	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.020
(Thigh and Breast)		0.102	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.020
(Thigh and Breast)		0.509	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.020
		0.021	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.020
Liver		0.102	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.020
		0.509	< 0.01	0.0211	0.032
Fat		0.021	< 0.01	0.0108	0.021
(Abdominal + Subcutaneous)		0.102	< 0.01	0.0392	0.050
Sum of broflanilide and DM-80					
equivalents based on the conver		0.509	< 0.01	0.1522	0.166
8007. The calculations were per			10101	0.1022	0.100
when the DM-8007 residues we					
Anticipated Residues in Po	uitry Matrices	T		A 4° - °	4.10
		Residue	Dietary Burden	Anticipated Combined Residues	
Mati	rices	Definition	(ppm)		
			(FF)		(ppm)
Poultry Whole eggs	Parent+DM- 8007	0.007	< L	OQ (0.02)	
Poultry Muscle		Parent+DM- 8007	0.007	< LOQ (0.02)	
Poultry Liver		Parent+DM- 8007	0.007	< LOQ (0.02)	
Poultry Fat		Parent+DM- 8007	0.007	0.004	
NATURE OF THE RESIDU	JE IN Cabbage			PMRA# 28	328258
Radiolabel Position	[14C-B-ring] (specific active GBq/mmol)	ity: 4.33 GBq/i	mmol) and [14C-C-rin	ng] (specific	activity: 4.44
Freatment	-				
Γest Site	In individual pots maintain	ed in a outdoor			
Freatment Treatment	Two post-emergence foliar				
Total Rate	Both radio-labels: 2×25 g			./ha	
Formulation Formulation	Suspension concentrate (SC		01 02.0 0 1. 1 g u.1		
Ormulation	Immature cabbage: 6 days		ation (6DAT1)		
Harvest	Mature cabbage: 21 days at	fter 2 nd applica			
Extraction solvent(s)	acetonitrile:water (1:1, v/v)				
Matrices	PHI		C-B-ring]		C-C-ring]
VIGITICES	(days)	TI	RR (ppm)	TR	RR (ppm)
Wrapper leaves	6		0.288		0.199
Wrapper leaves Head without wrapper leaves	6 (6DAT1)		0.288 0.064		0.199 0.105

0.000

0.005

(21DAT2)

Head without wrapper leaves

Summary of Major Identi	fied Metabolit	es in Cabl	hage Matrices			
Radiolabel Position	1,104,011	es III eus	[14C-B-ring], [14C-C-rin	າດໄ		
Matrices		Major Residue				
Immature cabbage			major residue			
Mature cabbage			Broflanilide			
NATURE OF THE RESI	DUE IN Japan	ese Radis	h	PMRA# 2828261		
			ng] (specific activity: 4.19 GBq/mmol)			
Radiolabel Position			4.44 GBq/mmol)	und [C C Img] (specific		
Treatment						
Test Site			dual pots maintained in a greenhouse			
Treatment			ch first at BBCH 00, followed by post-e			
Total Rate		Both rad 610–621	io-labels: 1 st at 390–394 g a.i./ha; 2 nd at 2 g a.i./ha	220–227 g a.i./ha; total of		
Formulation		Suspensi	on concentrate (SC) formulation			
***			nd roots: 40 days after 1st treatment (40I	DAT1), 14 days after 2 nd		
Harvest			t (14DAT2) and 29 days after 2 nd treatm			
Extraction solvent(s)			ile:water (8:2, v/v)	,		
		PHI	[¹⁴ C-B-ring]	[14C-C-ring]		
Matrices		(days)		TRR (ppm)		
Radish leaves (40DAT1)		40	0.0059	0.0069		
Radish leaves (40DAT1) Radish leaves (14DAT2)		14	3.8682	4.4428		
Radish leaves (29DAT2)		29	4.1775	3.6079		
Radish roots (40DAT1)		40	0.0038	0.0076		
Radish roots (14DAT2)		14	0.0038	0.0076		
Radish roots (29DAT2)		29	0.0113			
` /	C 13.4 1 114			0.0119		
Summary of Major Identi	fied Metabolit	es in Japa		• 1		
Radiolabel Position		[14C-B-ring], [14C-C-ring]				
Matrices		Major Residue				
Radish leaves		Broflanilide				
NATURE OF THE RESI				PMRA# 2828263		
Radiolabel Position	GBq/mmol)	specific act	tivity: 2.788 GBq/mmol) and [14C-C-ring	g] (specific activity: 2.093		
Treatment						
Test Site	In individual p	ots mainta	nined greenhouse			
Treatment	Applied to flo	oding wate	er on paddy soil, first at transplanting, fo	llowed by post-emergence		
Treatment	foliar treatmen	nt				
Total Rate			295–299 g a.i./ha; 2 nd at 147-148 g a.i./h	a; total of 442–447 g a.i./ha		
Formulation			(SC) formulation			
Harvest	Foliage at a Pl	HI of 13 da	ays, Brown rice, hull, straw and root at a	PHI of 32 days		
Extraction solvent(s)	acetonitrile:wa	ater, 8:2, v	/v			
Matriana	PHI		[¹⁴ C-B-ring]	[¹⁴ C-C-ring]		
Matrices	(days	3)	TRR (ppm)	TRR (ppm)		
Foliage	13		1.1491	1.9096		
Brown rice			0.0207	0.1114		
Hull	1		5.5093	6.7494		
Straw	32		4.8864	4.1665		
Root	1		1.6821	0.7560		
Summary of Major Identi	fied Metabolit	es in Rice				
Radiolabel Position	- I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I		[14C-B-ring], [14C-C-ring]			
Matrices			Major Residue			
Foliage, brown rice, hull	1		•			
and straw			Broflanilide			

D. 4. 1.1. 1 D. 4.	DUE IN Soybean		PMRA# 2828259			
	[14C-B-ring] (specific activity	cy: 4.33 GBq/mmol) and [14C-C-rin	g] (specific activity: 4.44			
Radiolabel Position	GBq/mmol)	1 / 1				
Treatment	,					
Test Site	Grown outdoor					
Treatment	2 post-emergence foliar trea	tments at BBCH 49-51 and BBCH	79-81			
Total Rate		a.i./ha; Total rate of 50.4-53.6 g a.i.				
Formulation	Suspension concentrate (SC		, 114			
	Forage: 21 days after 1 st app					
Harvest	Hay: 35 days after 1 st applic					
Trai vost		Soybean seed: 12 days after 2 nd application (12DAT2)				
Extraction solvent(s)	acetonitrile:water (1:1, v/v)					
. ,	PHI [14C-B-ring] [14C-C-ring]					
Matrices	(days)	TRR (ppm)	TRR (ppm)			
Forage (21DAT1)	21	0.460	0.433			
Hay (35DAT1)	35	0.261	0.287			
Soybean seed (12DAT2)	12	0.008	0.008			
` ′	ified Metabolites in Soybean I		0.008			
Radiolabel Position	ned Metabolites in Soybean I	[14C-B-ring], [14C-C-ring]	ual .			
Matrices		Major Residue	181			
	Broflanilide					
Soybean forage and hay			DI (D.) 40202/0			
NATURE OF THE RESI			PMRA# 2828260			
Radiolabel Position	[14C-B-ring] (specific activit GBq/mmol)	ry: 4.33 GBq/mmol) and [14C-C-rin	g] (specific activity: 4.44			
Treatment						
Test Site	Grown outdoor					
Treatment	2 post-emergence foliar trea	tments (growth stage was not provi	ided in the study report)			
Total Rate		a.i./ha; Total rate of 224–225 g a.i				
Formulation			./ha			
TT	Suspension concentrate (SC) formulation					
Harvest	Tea leaves: 7 and 14 days after the 2 nd application					
			./ha			
Extraction solvent(s)	Tea leaves: 7 and 14 days af acetonitrile:water (1:1, v/v) PHI	ter the 2 nd application				
	acetonitrile:water (1:1, v/v) PHI	ter the 2 nd application [14C-B-ring]	[¹⁴ C-C-ring]			
Extraction solvent(s) Matrices	acetonitrile:water (1:1, v/v) PHI (days)	ter the 2 nd application [14C-B-ring] TRR (ppm)	[¹⁴ C-C-ring] TRR (ppm)			
Extraction solvent(s) Matrices Tea leaves	acetonitrile:water (1:1, v/v) PHI	[14C-B-ring] TRR (ppm) 19.359	[14C-C-ring] TRR (ppm) 20.289			
Extraction solvent(s) Matrices Tea leaves Tea leaves	acetonitrile:water (1:1, v/v) PHI (days) 7 14	[14C-B-ring] TRR (ppm) 19.359 17.016	[¹⁴ C-C-ring] TRR (ppm)			
Extraction solvent(s) Matrices Tea leaves Tea leaves Summary of Major Identi	acetonitrile:water (1:1, v/v) PHI (days) 7	[14C-B-ring] TRR (ppm) 19.359 17.016	[14C-C-ring] TRR (ppm) 20.289 15.000			
Extraction solvent(s) Matrices Tea leaves Tea leaves Summary of Major Identi Radiolabel Position	acetonitrile:water (1:1, v/v) PHI (days) 7 14	Ter the 2 nd application [14C-B-ring] TRR (ppm) 19.359 17.016 es [14C-B-ring], [14C-C	[14C-C-ring] TRR (ppm) 20.289 15.000 C-ring]			
Extraction solvent(s) Matrices Tea leaves Tea leaves Summary of Major Identi Radiolabel Position Matrices	acetonitrile:water (1:1, v/v) PHI (days) 7 14	Ter the 2 nd application [14C-B-ring] TRR (ppm) 19.359 17.016 es [14C-B-ring], [14C-C Major Residue	[14C-C-ring] TRR (ppm) 20.289 15.000 C-ring]			
Extraction solvent(s) Matrices Tea leaves Tea leaves Summary of Major Identi Radiolabel Position Matrices Tea leaves	acetonitrile:water (1:1, v/v) PHI (days) 7 14 ified Metabolites in Tea Leave	Ter the 2 nd application [14C-B-ring] TRR (ppm) 19.359 17.016 es [14C-B-ring], [14C-C-C-C-C-C-C-C-C-C-C-C-C-C-C-C-C-C-C-	[14C-C-ring] TRR (ppm) 20.289 15.000 C-ring]			
Extraction solvent(s) Matrices Tea leaves Tea leaves Summary of Major Identi Radiolabel Position Matrices Tea leaves	acetonitrile:water (1:1, v/v) PHI (days) 7 14 ified Metabolites in Tea Leave	Ter the 2 nd application [14C-B-ring] TRR (ppm) 19.359 17.016 es [14C-B-ring], [14C-C Major Residu Broflanilide	[14C-C-ring] TRR (ppm) 20.289 15.000 C-ring] e			
Extraction solvent(s) Matrices Tea leaves Tea leaves Summary of Major Identi Radiolabel Position Matrices Tea leaves NATURE OF THE RESI	acetonitrile:water (1:1, v/v) PHI (days) 7 14 ified Metabolites in Tea Leave	Ter the 2 nd application [14C-B-ring] TRR (ppm) 19.359 17.016 es [14C-B-ring], [14C-C-C-C-C-C-C-C-C-C-C-C-C-C-C-C-C-C-C-	[14C-C-ring] TRR (ppm) 20.289 15.000 C-ring] e			
Extraction solvent(s) Matrices Tea leaves Tea leaves Summary of Major Identi Radiolabel Position Matrices	acetonitrile:water (1:1, v/v) PHI (days) 7 14 ified Metabolites in Tea Leave DUE IN TOMATO [14C-B-ring] (specific activity)	Ter the 2 nd application [14C-B-ring] TRR (ppm) 19.359 17.016 es [14C-B-ring], [14C-C Major Residu Broflanilide	[14C-C-ring] TRR (ppm) 20.289 15.000 C-ring] e			
Extraction solvent(s) Matrices Tea leaves Tea leaves Summary of Major Identi Radiolabel Position Matrices Tea leaves NATURE OF THE RESI Radiolabel Position	acetonitrile:water (1:1, v/v) PHI (days) 7 14 ified Metabolites in Tea Leave DUE IN TOMATO [14C-B-ring] (specific activity)	Ter the 2 nd application [14C-B-ring] TRR (ppm) 19.359 17.016 es [14C-B-ring], [14C-C Major Residu Broflanilide Ty: 4.33 GMBq/mmol) and [14C-C-1	[14C-C-ring] TRR (ppm) 20.289 15.000 C-ring] e			
Extraction solvent(s) Matrices Tea leaves Tea leaves Summary of Major Identi Radiolabel Position Matrices Tea leaves NATURE OF THE RESI Radiolabel Position Treatment	acetonitrile:water (1:1, v/v) PHI (days) 7 14 ified Metabolites in Tea Leave DUE IN TOMATO [14C-B-ring] (specific activit GMBq/mmol) In individual pots maintaine	Ter the 2 nd application [14C-B-ring] TRR (ppm) 19.359 17.016 es [14C-B-ring], [14C-C Major Residu Broflanilide Ty: 4.33 GMBq/mmol) and [14C-C-1	[14C-C-ring] TRR (ppm) 20.289 15.000 C-ring] e PMRA# 2828257 ring] (specific activity: 4.44			
Extraction solvent(s) Matrices Tea leaves Tea leaves Summary of Major Identi Radiolabel Position Matrices Tea leaves NATURE OF THE RESI Radiolabel Position Treatment Test Site	acetonitrile:water (1:1, v/v) PHI (days) 7 14 ified Metabolites in Tea Leave DUE IN TOMATO [14C-B-ring] (specific activit GMBq/mmol) In individual pots maintaine 2 post-emergence foliar trea	Ter the 2 nd application [14C-B-ring] TRR (ppm) 19.359 17.016 es [14C-B-ring], [14C-C-Major Residum Broflanilide Ey: 4.33 GMBq/mmol) and [14C-C-maior and greenhouse	[14C-C-ring] TRR (ppm) 20.289 15.000 C-ring] e PMRA# 2828257 ring] (specific activity: 4.44			
Extraction solvent(s) Matrices Tea leaves Tea leaves Summary of Major Identi Radiolabel Position Matrices Tea leaves NATURE OF THE RESI Radiolabel Position Treatment Test Site Treatment	acetonitrile:water (1:1, v/v) PHI (days) 7 14 ified Metabolites in Tea Leave DUE IN TOMATO [14C-B-ring] (specific activit GMBq/mmol) In individual pots maintaine 2 post-emergence foliar trea	Ter the 2 nd application [14C-B-ring] TRR (ppm) 19.359 17.016 es [14C-B-ring], [14C-C-14] Major Residue Broflanilide Ey: 4.33 GMBq/mmol) and [14C-C-14] d in a greenhouse tments at BBCH 49-50 and BBCH a.i./ha; Total rate of 50.3–52.7 g a.i	[14C-C-ring] TRR (ppm) 20.289 15.000 C-ring] e PMRA# 2828257 ring] (specific activity: 4.44			
Extraction solvent(s) Matrices Tea leaves Tea leaves Summary of Major Identi Radiolabel Position Matrices Tea leaves NATURE OF THE RESI Radiolabel Position Treatment Test Site Treatment Total Rate	acetonitrile:water (1:1, v/v) PHI (days) 7 14 ified Metabolites in Tea Leave DUE IN TOMATO [14C-B-ring] (specific activit GMBq/mmol) In individual pots maintaine 2 post-emergence foliar trea Both radio-labels: 2 × 25 g a Suspension concentrate (SC	Ter the 2 nd application [14C-B-ring] TRR (ppm) 19.359 17.016 es [14C-B-ring], [14C-C-14] Major Residue Broflanilide Ey: 4.33 GMBq/mmol) and [14C-C-14] d in a greenhouse tments at BBCH 49-50 and BBCH a.i./ha; Total rate of 50.3–52.7 g a.i	[14C-C-ring] TRR (ppm) 20.289 15.000 C-ring] e PMRA# 2828257 ring] (specific activity: 4.44			

N/ - 4-2	PHI		[¹⁴ C-B-ring]	[14C-C-ring]	
Matrices	(days)		TRR (ppm)	TRR (ppm)	
Leaves			≤0.001	1.596	
Leaves Surface Rinse			0.000	1.057	
Rinsed Leaves	71		0.001	0.539	
Fruits	(71DAT1)		≤0.001	0.01	
Fruit Surface Rinse			Not detectable	0.007	
Rinsed Fruits	_		Not detectable	0.003	
Leaves			≤0.001	0.904	
Leaves Surface Rinse			0.000	0.678	
Rinsed Leaves	10		0.000	0.226	
Fruits	(10DAT2)		≤0.001	0.01	
Fruit Surface Rinse			Not detectable	0.008	
Rinsed Fruits			Not detectable	0.002	
Summary of Major Iden	tified Metabolites in T	omato N	Tatrices		
Radiolabel Position		[14C-B-ring], [14C-C-ring]			
Metabolites Identified			Major Resid	lue	
Tomato leaves		Broflanilide			
Tomato fruits					
NATURE OF THE RESIDUE IN WHEAT PMRA# 2828257					
Radiolabel Position	[14C-B-ring] (specif	ic activit	y: 6.71 MBq/mg) only		
Treatment					
Test Site	In individual pots m	naintaine	d in a greenhouse		
Treatment	Seed treatment				
Total Rate	10 g a.i./100 kg see	d			
Formulation	Suspension concent	rate (SC)) formulation		
Harvest	Forage and hay: 77	days afte	er planting		
narvest	Straw and grain: 15		fter planting		
Extraction solvent(s)	acetonitrile:water, 1	:1, v/v			
Matrices	PHI		[14C-B-ring]	[¹⁴ C-C-ring]	
Matrices	(days)		TRR (ppm)	TRR (ppm)	
Wheat forage	77		0.002		
Wheat hay	11		0.006	Not applicable	
Wheat straw	154		0.029	пот аррпсавіе	
Wheat grain	134		0.011		
Summary of Major Iden	tified Metabolites in W	heat Ma			
Radiolabel Position		[¹⁴ C-B-ring]			
Matrices		Major Residue			
Wheat forage, hay, straw	and grain		None identif	ied	

Proposed Metabolic Scheme in Primary Plants

FREEZER STORAGE	PMRA# 2828254, 3004631, 3004632			
Tested Matrices	Analyte(s)	Tested Intervals (months)	Temperature (°C)	Category
Lettuce				High-water
Kidney bean				High-protein
Potato	Broflanilide	0, 3, 5, 9, 12, 15 and 24	-20	High-starch
Soybean seed				High-oil
Grape				High-acid

CROP FIELD TRIALS AND RESIDUE DECLINE ON POTATO PMRA# 2828266

Twenty independent field trials on potatoes were conducted in 2015-2016 in Canada and the United States encompassing North American growing regions 1 (3 trials in New York and 1 trial in Pennsylvania), 2 (1 trial in Georgia), 3 (1 trial in Florida), 5 (1 trial each in Iowa, North Dakota and Nebraska), 5A (1 trial in Wisconsin), 7 (1 trial in Saskatchewan), 8 (1 trial in Kansas), 9 (2 trials in Utah), 10 (1 trial in California), 11 (2 trials in Idaho and 1 trial in Washington), 12 (1 trial in California), 14 (1 trial in Alberta).

At each test location, one untreated (Plot 1) and two treated plots (Plots 2 and 3) were established. Treated Plot 2 (in 19 of 20 trials) received two foliar broadcast applications of BAS 450 00 I (SC formulation containing 100 g/L of active substance), the first of which was 20-22 days prior to potato harvest at 23.9-28.2 g a.i./ha, and the last application was 13–14 days prior to potato harvest at 23.1–26.1 g a.i./ha, with total rates of 47.8–53.9 g a.i./ha/season. An adjuvant (nonionic spreader/sticker/surfactant) was included in the spray mixture. Treated Plot 3 (in 19 of 20 trials) received one infurrow application, at planting, of BAS 450 00 I (containing 100 g/L of active substance) at 47.7–53.8 g a.i./ha. Potato RAC samples were harvested 146 days after the in-furrow application. The locations of the field trials conducted did not meet the requirements of DIR2010-05. Given the total number and the geographic representation of the trials, the locations of the potato trials are considered adequate. At two field trial sites (Plot 2 only), samples were collected at different time intervals (0, 7, 18, and 21 days after last application) to monitor residue decline. Broflanilide residues were not quantifiable in all potato samples except for one sample at 0.0013 ppm with a PHI of 0 day. Therefore, no trend was observed.

Adequate storage stability data for broflanilide are available on diverse crop types to support the storage intervals of the crop field trials. Samples were analyzed using a validated analytical method.

Cron	Total Applicatio	PHI	Analysta			Re	esidue L	evels (ppm)	
Crop	n Rate (g a.i./ha)	(days)	Analyte	n	LAFT	HAFT	Media n	Mean	SDEV
Potato tuber (foliar)	47.8–53.9	13–14	D (1.11)	19	< 0.001	0.0068	0.001	0.0019	0.002
Potato tuber (in-furrow)	47.7–53.8	80–146	Broflanilide	19	< 0.001	0.0335	0.0017	0.0044	0.008
(in-furrow) n = number of inde				1)	\0.001	0.0333	0.0017	0.0044	0.000

CROP FIELD TRIALS AND RESIDUE DECLINE ON CORN (FIELD AND

PMRA# 2828267

Nineteen independent trials (in seven of these trials, field corn was sampled at milking stage to simulate sweet corn RAC samples (K+CWHR and forage)) were conducted on field corn in the United States encompassing the North America Growing Regions 1 (1 trial each in New York and New Jersey), 5 (3 trials in Iowa, 1 trial in Minnesota, 1 trial in Indiana, 3 trials in Illinois, 1 trial in Missouri, 3 trials in Nebraska and 1 trial in Kansas), 5A (1 trial in Michigan and 2 trials in Wisconsin), 6 (1 trial in Oklahoma). Five independent trials were conducted on sweet corn in Canada and the United States encompassing NAFTA Growing Regions 3 (1 trial in Florida), 7A (1 trial in Alberta), 10 (1 trial in California), 11 (1 trial in Washington), and 12 (1 trial in Oregon).

At each location, one untreated control plot (treatment 1) and one treated plot (treatment 2) were established for field corn and sweet corn. The treated plots received one in-furrow application of broflanilide (SC formulation, nominal concentration 100 g a.i./L) at 48.8-51.2 g a.i./ha. No adjuvant was included in the spraying mixtures. Sweet corn kernel + cob with husk removed (K+CWHR) and forage RAC samples were collected 62-94 days after application (DAA). Sweet corn stover RAC samples were collected 99–146 DAA. Field corn forage RAC samples were collected 73–110 DAA. Field corn grain and stover RAC samples were collected 112-164 DAA. No residue decline trial was conducted.

Adequate storage stability data for broflanilide are available on diverse crop types to support the storage intervals of the crop field trials. Samples were analyzed using a validated analytical method. The locations of the field trials conducted meet the requirements of DIR2010-05 for field corn, but do not meet the requirements for sweet corn, Given the total number and geographic representation of the trials, the sweet corn trials are considered adequate.

Total Application		PHI	Analyte	Residue Levels (ppm)						
Стор	Rate (g a.i./ha)	(days)	randy te	n	LAFT	HAFT	Median	Mean	SDEV	
K+CWHR	49.7-51.2	62-94		12	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	0	
Forage (field and sweet)	48.8–51.2	62–110		25	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	0	
Stover (field and sweet)		99–164	Broflanilide	25	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	0	
Grain	48.8–51.2	112- 164		20	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	0	

n = number of independent trials.

CROP FIELD TRIALS AND RESIDUE DECLINE ON WHEAT

PMRA# 2828268

A total of 23 independent trials were conducted on wheat during the 2015-2016 growing seasons, including 10 independent winter wheat trials in the United States encompassing the North America Growing Regions 2 (1 trial in North Carolina), 4 (1 trial in Arkansas), 5 (1 trial each in Iowa, Illinois and Kansas), 6 (1 trial each in Texas and 1 Oklahoma) and 8 (2 trials in Texas and 1 trial in Kansas) and 13 independent trials on spring wheat in Canada and the United States encompassing Growing Regions 5 (1 trial in Nebraska), 7 (2 trials each in North Dakota and Nebraska), 7A (1 trial in Alberta), 11 (1 trial in Indiana), 14 (3 trials in Manitoba, 2 trials in Saskatchewan and 1 trial in Alberta).

At each location, one untreated control plot (Treatment 1) and one treated plot (Treatment 2) were established in wheat (winter and spring wheat). The treated plot was planted with wheat seed treated with broflanilide at 9.52–10.7 g a.i./100 kg of seed. No adjuvant was used in the seed treatment. RAC samples (wheat forage, hay, grain and straw) were harvested at maturity.

Adequate storage stability data for broflanilide are available on diverse crop types to support the storage intervals of the crop field trials. Samples were analyzed using a validated analytical method. The locations of the field trials conducted did not meet the requirements of DIR2010-05. Given the total number and the geographic representation of the trials, the locations of the potato trials are considered adequate.

Total Application		PHI		Residue Levels (ppm)						
Стор	Rate (g a.i./100 kg seed)	(days)	Analyte	n	LAFT	HAFT	Median	Mean	SDEV	
Wheat forage		25-190		23	< 0.001	0.0011	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.000020	
Wheat hay	9.52–10.7	46-220	Broflanilide	23	< 0.001	0.0012	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.000035	
Wheat grain	9.32-10.7	83-274		23	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	0	
Wheat straw		83-274		23	< 0.001	0.0011	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.00002	

n = number of independent trials.

CROP FIELD TRIALS AND RESIDUE DECLINE ON BARLEY PMRA# 2828269

A total of 16 independent trials were conducted on barley during the 2015–2016 growing seasons in Canada and the United States encompassing North America Growing Regions 1 (1 trial in New York), 5 (1 trial in North Dakota), 5A (1 trial in Wisconsin), 7 (2 trials in Nebraska and 1 trial in North Dakota), 7A (1 trial in Alberta), 9 (1 trial in Utah), 10 (1 trial in California), 11 (1 trial in Idaho), 14 (2 trials in Manitoba, 3 trials in Saskatchewan and 1 trial in Alberta).

At each location, one untreated control plot (Treatment 1) and one treated plot (Treatment 2) were established. The treated plot was planted with barley seed treated with broflanilide at 9.48–10.44 g a.i./100 kg of seed. No adjuvant was used in the seed treatment. RAC samples (barley forage, hay, grain and straw) were harvested at maturity.

Adequate storage stability data are available on diverse crop types to support the storage intervals of the crop field trials. Samples were analyzed using a validated analytical method. The locations of the field trials conducted did not meet the requirements of DIR2010-05. Given the total number and the geographic representation of the trials, the locations of the potato trials are considered adequate.

Crop Total Applicatio PHI		Analyte	Residue Levels (ppm)						
Стор	n Rate (g a.i./ha)	(days)	Analyte	n	LAFT	HAFT	Median	Mean	SDEV
Barley hay	9.48-	57-420		16	< 0.001	0.0032	0.0010	0.0012	0.0006
Barley grain	9.48- 10.44	72-448	Broflanilide	16	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.0010	0.0010	0
Barley straw	10.44	72-448		16	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.0010	0.0010	0

n = number of independent trials.

PROCESSED FOOD AND FEED – Potato, field corn and wheat PMRA# 2828274,2828275 and 2828276

Processing studies were conducted in 2 distinctive North American growing regions using SC formulation of broflanilide at 8–36-fold of maximum single seasonal use rates in/on potato, field corn and wheat. Adequate storage stability data are available on diverse crop types to support the storage intervals of the processed food and feed. Samples were analyzed using a validated analytical method.

RAC	Processed Fractions	HAFT _[RAC] at GAP (ppm)	Median Processing Factor of Broflanilide	Anticipated Residues of Broflanilide (ppm)
	Peeled potato		<0.1	< 0.0034
	Peel, wet		2.4	0.0804
	Boiled potatoes		< 0.1	< 0.0034
	Microwave/boiled potatoes (unpeeled)		0.22	0.0074
	Baked potato		0.18	0.0060
	Fried potato		< 0.1	< 0.0034
Potato	Crisps	0.0335	<0.1	< 0.0034
	Chips		0.16	0.0054
	Granules/Flakes		<0.1	< 0.0034
	Process waste		< 0.19	< 0.0064
	Ensiled		0.35	0.0117
	Starch		< 0.19	< 0.0064
	Dried pulp		1.2	0.0402
	Potato protein		1.4	0.0469
	Bran		1.7	< 0.0017
Field corn	Dry Milling Grits	< 0.001	0.23	< 0.0002
	Dry Milling Meal		1.4	< 0.0014

	Dry Milling l			2.1	< 0.0021			
	Flour - Wet Pr	rocess		0.16	< 0.0002			
	Germ			0.74	< 0.0007			
	Germ (Wet M	illing)		1.2	< 0.0012			
	Gluten			1.5	< 0.0015			
	Gluten Feed			5.2	< 0.0052			
	Milled Bypro			6.3	< 0.0063			
	Wet Milling R			0.35	< 0.00035			
	Dry Milling RI			0.81	<0.0008			
	Wet Milling S	Starch		0.16	<0.00016			
	Bran			0.92	<0.00092			
	Flour			0.44	<0.00044			
	Middling	S		0.38	<0.00038			
	Shorts Gluten			0.47	<0.00047 <0.0041			
Wheat		Maal	<0.001	4.1				
Wheat	Gluten Feed		< 0.001	1.8	<0.0018			
	Milled Bypro Starch	ducts		0.02	<0.0083 <0.00002			
	Germ			1.8	<0.0002			
	Whole Meal	Flour		0.63	<0.0018			
	Whole Grain			0.63	<0.00063			
CONFINED AC			PATIONAL CI		<0.0003			
Lettuce, radish a		ON IN ROI	IATIONAL CI	PM	RA# 2828277			
Lettuce, radish a	ind wheat	Ir14G	A ' 1 / 'C'	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	15/4C D : 1/ :C :::			
Radiolabel Posit	ion	-	O- 1	c activity: 6.53 MBq/mg) ar	nd [14C-B-ring] (specific activity:			
1		6.69	.69 MBq/mg)					
Treatment								
Test Site				Field plots outdoors				
Soil Type				Sandy loam				
Son Type					3–158 g a.i./ha, and aged for 30,			
Treatment				120 and 270 days.	3–130 g a.i./iia, and aged 101 30,			
				1120 and 270 days.				
Hormulation					(1) formulation of Broflanilide			
Formulation	nt(c)			Suspension concentrate (So	C) formulation of Broflanilide			
Formulation Extraction solver	nt(s)		DDI	Suspension concentrate (SO Acetonitrile:water (8:2, v/v	7)			
	nt(s)		PBI	Suspension concentrate (SO Acetonitrile:water (8:2, v/v [14C-A-ring]	(1) [14C-B-ring]			
Extraction solver Matrices			PBI days)	Suspension concentrate (SC Acetonitrile:water (8:2, v/v [14C-A-ring] TRR (ppm)	[14C-B-ring] TRR (ppm)			
Extraction solver Matrices Lettuce (In	nmature)			Suspension concentrate (SC Acetonitrile:water (8:2, v/v [14C-A-ring] TRR (ppm) 0.002	(14C-B-ring) TRR (ppm) 0.007			
Extraction solver Matrices Lettuce (In	nmature) Mature)			Suspension concentrate (SC Acetonitrile:water (8:2, v/v [14C-A-ring] TRR (ppm) 0.002 0.005	(14C-B-ring] TRR (ppm) 0.007 0.008			
Extraction solver Matrices Lettuce (In Lettuce (Radish	nmature) Mature) (Top)			Suspension concentrate (SC Acetonitrile:water (8:2, v/v [14C-A-ring] TRR (ppm) 0.002 0.005 0.003	(1) [14C-B-ring] TRR (ppm) 0.007 0.008 0.006			
Extraction solver Matrices Lettuce (In Lettuce (In Radish Radish (nmature) Mature) (Top) (Root)			Suspension concentrate (SC Acetonitrile:water (8:2, v/v [14C-A-ring] TRR (ppm) 0.002 0.005 0.003 0.002	(1) [14C-B-ring] TRR (ppm) 0.007 0.008 0.006 0.002			
Extraction solver Matrices Lettuce (In Lettuce (In Radish Radish (Wheat (F	nmature) Mature) (Top) (Root) Forage)		days)	Suspension concentrate (SO Acetonitrile:water (8:2, v/v [14*C-A-ring] TRR (ppm) 0.002 0.005 0.003 0.002 0.003	(1) [14C-B-ring] TRR (ppm) 0.007 0.008 0.006 0.002 0.006			
Extraction solver Matrices Lettuce (In Lettuce (New York) Radish (New York) Wheat (Fee Wheat (New York) Extraction solver Matrices	nmature) Mature) (Top) (Root) Forage) (Hay)		days)	Suspension concentrate (SO Acetonitrile:water (8:2, v/v [14*C-A-ring] TRR (ppm) 0.002 0.005 0.003 0.002 0.003 0.014	(1) [14C-B-ring] TRR (ppm) 0.007 0.008 0.006 0.002 0.006 0.030			
Extraction solved Matrices Lettuce (In Lettuce (In Radish Radish (Wheat (F Wheat ()	nmature) Mature) (Top) (Root) Forage) (Hay) Straw)		days)	Suspension concentrate (SO Acetonitrile:water (8:2, v/v [14*C-A-ring] TRR (ppm) 0.002 0.005 0.003 0.002 0.003 0.014 0.026	(1) [14C-B-ring] TRR (ppm) 0.007 0.008 0.006 0.002 0.006 0.030 0.052			
Extraction solver Matrices Lettuce (In Lettuce (In Radish (Radish (Wheat (F Wheat (Wheat (Wheat (Wheat (Wheat (nmature) Mature) (Top) (Root) Forage) (Hay) Straw) Grain)		days)	Suspension concentrate (SC Acetonitrile:water (8:2, v/v [14*C-A-ring] TRR (ppm) 0.002 0.005 0.003 0.002 0.003 0.014 0.026 0.004	(1) [14C-B-ring] TRR (ppm) 0.007 0.008 0.006 0.002 0.006 0.030 0.052 0.007			
Extraction solver Matrices Lettuce (In Lettuce (R Radish (R Radish (Wheat (F Wheat (S Wheat (L Lettuce (In Lettuce (In Lettuce (In Lettuce (In	nmature) Mature) (Top) (Root) Forage) (Hay) Straw) Grain) nmature)		days)	Suspension concentrate (SC Acetonitrile:water (8:2, v/v [14C-A-ring] TRR (ppm) 0.002 0.005 0.003 0.002 0.003 0.014 0.026 0.004 0.008	(1) [14C-B-ring] TRR (ppm) 0.007 0.008 0.006 0.002 0.006 0.030 0.052 0.007 0.013			
Extraction solver Matrices Lettuce (In Lettuce (In Radish Radish (Wheat (F Wheat (In Wheat (In Wheat (In Lettuce (In Lettuce (In Lettuce (In Lettuce (In	nmature) Mature) (Top) (Root) Forage) (Hay) Straw) Grain) nmature) Mature)		days)	Suspension concentrate (SC Acetonitrile:water (8:2, v/v [14C-A-ring] TRR (ppm) 0.002 0.005 0.003 0.002 0.003 0.014 0.026 0.004 0.008 0.009	(1) [14C-B-ring] TRR (ppm) 0.007 0.008 0.006 0.002 0.006 0.030 0.052 0.007 0.013 0.020			
Extraction solver Matrices Lettuce (In Lettuce (In Radish Radish (Wheat (F Wheat (In Wheat (In Lettuce (In Lettuce (In Lettuce (In Radish)	nmature) Mature) (Top) (Root) Forage) (Hay) Straw) Grain) nmature) Mature) (Top)	(,	30	Suspension concentrate (SC Acetonitrile:water (8:2, v/v [14C-A-ring] TRR (ppm) 0.002 0.005 0.003 0.002 0.003 0.014 0.026 0.004 0.008 0.009 0.006	(1) [14C-B-ring] TRR (ppm) 0.007 0.008 0.006 0.002 0.006 0.030 0.052 0.007 0.013 0.020 0.008			
Extraction solver Matrices Lettuce (In Lettuce (In Radish Radish (Wheat (In Wheat (In Wheat (In Lettuce (In Lettuce (In Lettuce (In Radish Radish (In	nmature) Mature) (Top) (Root) Grage) (Hay) Straw) Grain) nmature) Mature) (Top) (Root)	(,	days)	Suspension concentrate (SC Acetonitrile:water (8:2, v/v [14C-A-ring] TRR (ppm) 0.002 0.005 0.003 0.002 0.003 0.014 0.026 0.004 0.008 0.009 0.006 0.003	(1) [14C-B-ring] TRR (ppm) 0.007 0.008 0.006 0.002 0.006 0.030 0.052 0.007 0.013 0.020 0.008 0.008 0.006			
Extraction solver Matrices Lettuce (In Lettuce (In Radish (Radish (Wheat (F Wheat (In Lettuce (In Lettuce (In Lettuce (In Lettuce (In Radish (Radish (Radish (Wheat (F	nmature) Mature) (Top) (Root) Forage) (Hay) Straw) Grain) nmature) Mature) (Top) (Root) Forage)	(,	30	Suspension concentrate (SC Acetonitrile:water (8:2, v/v [14C-A-ring] TRR (ppm) 0.002 0.005 0.003 0.002 0.003 0.014 0.026 0.004 0.008 0.009 0.006 0.003 0.004	(1) [14C-B-ring] TRR (ppm) 0.007 0.008 0.006 0.002 0.006 0.030 0.052 0.007 0.013 0.020 0.008 0.008 0.006 0.006			
Extraction solver Matrices Lettuce (In Lettuce (In Radish Radish (Wheat (F Wheat (In Lettuce (In Lettuce (In Lettuce (In Lettuce (In Radish (Radish (Wheat (F Wheat (F Wheat (F	nmature) Mature) (Top) (Root) Forage) (Hay) Straw) Grain) mmature) Mature) (Top) (Root) Forage) (Hay)	(,	30	Suspension concentrate (SC Acetonitrile:water (8:2, v/v [14C-A-ring] TRR (ppm) 0.002 0.005 0.003 0.002 0.003 0.014 0.026 0.004 0.008 0.009 0.006 0.003 0.004 0.004 0.016	(1) [14C-B-ring] TRR (ppm) 0.007 0.008 0.006 0.002 0.006 0.030 0.052 0.007 0.013 0.020 0.008 0.008 0.008 0.006			
Extraction solver Matrices Lettuce (In Lettuce (In Radish Radish (Wheat (F Wheat (In Lettuce (In Lettuce (In Lettuce (In Lettuce (In Radish (Wheat (F	nmature) Mature) (Top) (Root) Forage) (Hay) Straw) Grain) nmature) Mature) (Top) (Root) Forage) (Hay) Straw)	(,	30	Suspension concentrate (SC Acetonitrile:water (8:2, v/v [14C-A-ring] TRR (ppm) 0.002 0.005 0.003 0.002 0.003 0.014 0.026 0.004 0.008 0.009 0.006 0.003 0.004 0.004 0.016 0.022	(1) [14C-B-ring] TRR (ppm) 0.007 0.008 0.006 0.002 0.006 0.030 0.052 0.007 0.013 0.020 0.008 0.008 0.008 0.006 0.008 0.006			
Extraction solver Matrices Lettuce (In Lettuce (In Radish Radish (Wheat (F Wheat (In Lettuce (In Lettuce (In Lettuce (In Lettuce (In Radish (In Radish (In Radish (In Wheat (nmature) Mature) (Top) (Root) Forage) (Hay) Straw) Grain) nmature) Mature) (Top) (Root) Forage) (Hay) Straw) Grain)	(,	30	Suspension concentrate (SC Acetonitrile:water (8:2, v/v [14C-A-ring] TRR (ppm) 0.002 0.005 0.003 0.002 0.003 0.014 0.026 0.004 0.008 0.009 0.006 0.003 0.004 0.004 0.016	(1) [14C-B-ring] TRR (ppm) 0.007 0.008 0.006 0.002 0.006 0.030 0.052 0.007 0.013 0.020 0.008 0.008 0.006 0.006 0.008 0.006 0.016 0.045 0.038 0.004			
Extraction solver Matrices Lettuce (In Lettuce (In Radish Radish (Wheat (F Wheat (In Lettuce (In Lettuce (In Lettuce (In Lettuce (In Lettuce (In Radish (Wheat (F Wheat (In Wheat (In Wheat (In Lettuce (In	mmature) Mature) (Top) (Root) Forage) (Hay) Straw) Grain) nmature) Mature) (Top) (Root) Forage) (Hay) Straw) Grain) mmature)	(,	30	Suspension concentrate (SC Acetonitrile:water (8:2, v/v [14C-A-ring] TRR (ppm) 0.002 0.005 0.003 0.002 0.003 0.014 0.026 0.004 0.008 0.009 0.006 0.003 0.004 0.016 0.022 0.005 0.005 0.012	(1) [14C-B-ring] TRR (ppm) 0.007 0.008 0.006 0.002 0.006 0.030 0.052 0.007 0.013 0.020 0.008 0.008 0.006 0.016 0.045 0.038 0.004 0.004			
Extraction solver Matrices Lettuce (In Lettuce (In Radish Radish (Wheat (F Wheat (In Lettuce (In Lettuce (In Lettuce (In Lettuce (In Lettuce (In Radish (Wheat (F Wheat (In Wheat (In Wheat (In Lettuce (In	mmature) Mature) (Top) (Root) Forage) (Hay) Straw) Grain) nmature) Mature) (Top) (Root) Forage) (Hay) Straw) Grain) mmature) Mature) Mature) Mature)	(,	30	Suspension concentrate (SO Acetonitrile:water (8:2, v/v I*C-A-ring] TRR (ppm) 0.002 0.005 0.003 0.002 0.003 0.014 0.026 0.004 0.008 0.009 0.006 0.003 0.004 0.006 0.003 0.004 0.016 0.022 0.005 0.002	(1) [14C-B-ring] TRR (ppm) 0.007 0.008 0.006 0.002 0.006 0.030 0.052 0.007 0.013 0.020 0.008 0.008 0.006 0.016 0.045 0.038 0.004 0.016 0.016 0.016 0.016 0.016			
Extraction solver Matrices Lettuce (In Lettuce (In Radish Radish (Wheat (F Wheat (In Lettuce (In Lettuce (In Lettuce (In Lettuce (In Lettuce (In Radish (Wheat (F Wheat (In Wheat (In Wheat (In Lettuce (In	nmature) Mature) (Top) (Root) Forage) (Hay) Straw) Grain) nmature) Mature) (Top) (Root) Forage) (Hay) Straw) Grain) nmature) Mature) (Top) (Group) (Hay) Mature) (Top) (Group) (Top) (Top) (Top)	((30 120	Suspension concentrate (SC Acetonitrile:water (8:2, v/v [14C-A-ring] TRR (ppm) 0.002 0.005 0.003 0.002 0.003 0.014 0.026 0.004 0.008 0.009 0.006 0.003 0.004 0.016 0.022 0.005 0.005 0.012	(1) [14C-B-ring] TRR (ppm) 0.007 0.008 0.006 0.002 0.006 0.030 0.052 0.007 0.013 0.020 0.008 0.008 0.006 0.016 0.045 0.038 0.004 0.004			
Extraction solver Matrices Lettuce (In Lettuce (In Radish Radish (Wheat (F Wheat (In Lettuce (In Radish Radish (Radish (In Lettuce (In Radish	nmature) Mature) (Top) (Root) Forage) (Hay) Straw) Grain) nmature) Mature) (Top) (Root) Forage) (Hay) Straw) Grain) nmature) Mature) (Top) (Groot) Forage) (Hay) (Top) (Root) (Top) (Root) (Root)	((30	Suspension concentrate (SC Acetonitrile:water (8:2, v/v I ¹⁴ C-A-ring] TRR (ppm) 0.002 0.005 0.003 0.002 0.003 0.014 0.026 0.004 0.008 0.009 0.006 0.003 0.004 0.006 0.005 0.001 0.001 0.002 0.005 0.001 0.002 0.005 0.005 0.001	(7) [14C-B-ring] TRR (ppm) 0.007 0.008 0.006 0.002 0.006 0.030 0.052 0.007 0.013 0.020 0.008 0.008 0.006 0.016 0.045 0.038 0.004 0.016 0.016 0.016 0.016 0.011 0.014			
Extraction solver Matrices Lettuce (In Lettuce (In Radish Radish (Wheat (F Wheat (In Lettuce (In Lettuce (In Lettuce (In Lettuce (In Lettuce (In Lettuce (In Wheat (In Wheat (In Wheat (In Wheat (In Wheat (In Lettuce (In Radish Radish (Wheat (In Radish (In Radish (In Wheat (In Radish (In Wheat (In Radish (In	mmature) Mature) (Top) (Root) Forage) (Hay) Straw) Grain) mmature) Mature) (Top) (Root) Forage) (Hay) Straw) Grain) mmature) (Top) (Root) Grain) mmature) Mature) (Top) (Root) Forage) (Top) (Root) Forage)	((30 120	Suspension concentrate (SC Acetonitrile:water (8:2, v/v I*C-A-ring] TRR (ppm) 0.002 0.005 0.003 0.002 0.003 0.014 0.026 0.004 0.008 0.009 0.006 0.003 0.001 0.001 0.002 0.005 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.002 0.005 0.005 0.005 0.001 0.002	(7) [14C-B-ring] TRR (ppm) 0.007 0.008 0.006 0.002 0.006 0.030 0.052 0.007 0.013 0.020 0.008 0.008 0.006 0.016 0.045 0.038 0.004 0.016 0.016 0.011 0.011 0.014 0.003			
Extraction solver Matrices Lettuce (In Lettuce (In Radish Radish (Wheat (F Wheat (In Lettuce (In Radish Radish (Radish (In Lettuce (In Radish	nmature) Mature) (Top) (Root) Forage) (Hay) Straw) Grain) nmature) Mature) (Top) (Root) Forage) (Hay) Straw) Grain) mature) (Top) (Root) Forage) (Top) (Root) Forage) (Top) (Root) Forage) (Hay)	((30 120	Suspension concentrate (SC Acetonitrile:water (8:2, v/v [14C-A-ring] TRR (ppm) 0.002 0.005 0.003 0.002 0.003 0.014 0.026 0.004 0.009 0.006 0.009 0.006 0.001 0.001 0.002 0.001 0.001 0.002 0.005 0.001 0.005 0.005 0.005 0.005 0.005 0.001	(7) [14C-B-ring] TRR (ppm) 0.007 0.008 0.006 0.002 0.006 0.030 0.052 0.007 0.013 0.020 0.008 0.008 0.006 0.016 0.045 0.038 0.004 0.016 0.011 0.014 0.001 0.003 0.003 0.0016			

Summary of Ma	Summary of Major Identified Metabolites in Rotated Crops (>10% TRRs)								
Plant-back	1st Dotation (20 day DDI)	2nd Rotation	3 rd Rotation						
Intervals (PBI)	1 st Rotation (30-day PBI)	(120-day PBI)	(270-day PBI)						
Radiolabel		[14C A min a] [14C D min a]							
Position		[¹⁴ C-A-ring], [¹⁴ C-B-ring]							
Matrices	Major Residues								
Wheat forage	-	B-urea	B-oxam-acid, B-urea, broflanilide						
Wheat hay	B-oxam-acid, B-urea	B-oxam-acid, B-urea	B-oxam-acid, B-urea						
Wheat straw	B-oxam-acid, broflanilide	B-oxam-acid, B-urea, broflanilide	B-oxam-acid, B-urea						
Radish foliage	-	-	Broflanilide, B-oxam-acid, B-urea						
Immature		Proflanilida Puras	Broflanilide, B-urea						
lettuce		Broflanilide, B-urea	Dionamnue, D-urea						
Mature lettuce	-	Broflanilide	Broflanilide, B-urea						

Proposed Metabolic Scheme in Rotational Crops

RESIDUE DATA IN ROTATIONAL CROPS

PMRA# 2828278, 3004633

Eighteen trials (two each for radish, lettuce and winter wheat) were conducted during the 2016 growing season in North American growing regions 5 and 10. One broadcast application was made to bare soil with broflanilide in SC formulation at a rate of 48-52 g a.i./ha. No adjuvants were used at all trial sites. Adequate storage stability data are available on diverse commodity categories to support the storage intervals of the rotational crop field trials. Samples were analyzed using a validated analytical method.

ere anaryzea a	were analyzed using a varidated analytical method.						
	Total	DDI		Residue Levels (ppm)			
Commodity	Application Rate (g a.i./ha)	PBI (days)	n	Broflanilide			
Wheat forage				<0.01, <0.01			
Wheat hay	49.9	30	2	<0.01, <0.01			
Wheat grain	49.9	30	2	<0.01, <0.01			
Wheat straw				<0.01, <0.01			
Wheat forage			2	<0.01, <0.01			
Wheat hay	49.9-51.9	60		<0.01, <0.01			
Wheat grain	49.9-31.9	00		<0.01, <0.01			
Wheat straw				<0.01, <0.01			
Wheat forage				<0.01, <0.01			
Wheat hay	49.7-51.9	91	2	<0.01, <0.01			
Wheat grain	47.7-31.7)1	2	<0.01, <0.01			
Wheat straw				<0.01, <0.01			
	47.7–49.9	30	2	< 0.01, 0.015			
Lettuce	48.6-51.9	58-60	2	<0.01, <0.01			
	50.2-51.9	91–92	2	<0.01, <0.01			
Radish tops	49.5-49.9	30	2	<0.01, <0.01			

B 11 1		I	l e	0.01 0.01
Radish roots				<0.01, <0.01
Radish tops	48.3–51.9	58-60	2	<0.01, <0.01
Radish roots	46.3–31.9	38-00		<0.01, <0.01
Radish tops	40.9.51.0	91–92	2	<0.01, <0.01
Radish roots	49.8–51.9	91-92		<0.01, <0.01
n = number of indentity	ependent field t	rials.		

Based on the results of the field accumulation study, immediate plant-back is permitted for labelled crops. A plant-back interval of 30 days is recommended for all other crops.

Table 13 Food Residue Chemistry Overview of Metabolism Studies and Risk Assessment

	PLANT STU	DIES		
RESIDUE DEFINITION FOR ENFO				
Primary crops (cabbage, radish, rice,	soybean, tea, tomato	Broflanilide		
and wheat)	•			
Rotational crops (wheat, lettuce and	radish)			
RESIDUE DEFINITION FOR RISK	ASSESSMENT			
Primary crops		Duo	flanilide	
Rotational crops		БІО	mannide	
METABOLIC PROFILE IN DIVER	SE CROPS	0 .	sh, rice, soybean, tea, tomato	
	ANIMAL STU		whou.	
ANIMALS			at and Poultry	
RESIDUE DEFINITION FOR ENFO	RCEMENT	Broflanilid	e and DM-8007	
RESIDUE DEFINITION FOR RISK		Broflanilid	e and DM-8007	
METABOLIC PROFILE IN ANIMA	LS	Cimilan in 1a station and	han and not	
(goat, hen, rat)		Similar in lactating goat,	nen and rat	
FAT SOLUBLE RESIDUE		Yes		
DIETARY RISK FROM FOOD ANI	DRINKING WATER			
			ATED RISK	
	POPULATION	% of ACCEPTABLE DAILY INTAKE (ADI)		
Basic chronic [non-cancer] dietary		Food Alone	Food and Drinking Water	
exposure analysis	Total population	1.2	1.3	
	All infants <1 year	1.8	2.1	
ADI = 0.02 mg/kg bw/day	Children 1–2 years	5.6	5.7	
	Children 3–5 years	3.5	3.6	
Estimated chronic drinking water	Children 6–12 years	2.0	2.1	
concentration (Level I) = 0.00072	Youth 13–19 years	1.1	1.1	
ppm	Adults 20–49 years	0.8	0.9	
	Adults 50–99 years	0.7	0.8	
	Females 13–49 years	0.8	0.9	
Basic cancer dietary exposure	POPULATION		ETIME CANCER RISK	
analysis	101021111011	Food Alone	Food and Drinking Water	
the state of the s				
$a_1^* = 2.1 \times 10^{-3} (\text{mg/kg hw/dov})^{-1}$				
$q_1^* = 2.1 \times 10^{-3} \text{ (mg/kg bw/day)}^{-1}$		_	_	
Estimated chronic drinking water	Total population	5x10 ⁻⁷	5x10 ⁻⁷	
	Total population	5x10 ⁻⁷	5x10 ⁻⁷	

Table 14 Transformation Products of Broflanilide Detected in Laboratory and Field Dissipation Studies

Compound		S		Max %AR (day)	%AR at study end (study length) ¹	
I	MAJOR TRA	NSFORMA	TION PRODU	JCTS		
DC-8007	Hydrolysis				N	A
		PMRA# 2	828126 (pH 7)		N	A
<i>N</i> -[2-bromo-4-			pH 5: B-ring-labelled		1.0(1)	ND (16)
(perfluoropropan-2-yl)-6-	Aqueous	PMRA#	pH 5: C-ring-	labelled	ND (0-16)
(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]-2-	photolysis	2828128	pH 9: B-ring-		1.3 (0)	ND (16)
fluoro-3-			pH 9: C-ring-		ND (
(methylamino)benzamide	Soil photoly	sis			ND (,
Formula: C ₁₈ H ₁₀ BrF ₁₁ N ₂ O				B-ring-	11.8	,
MW: 559.17 g/mol				labelled	(273)	9.9 (365)
F F			Brandywine	C-ring-	ì	
Br F F	Aerobic	PMRA#		labelled	ND (0)-365)
	aquatic	2828303	Ch	B-ring- labelled	7.1 (365)	7.1 (365)
			Choptank	C-ring- labelled	ND (0)-365)
Ė	Anaerobic aquatic			B-ring-	18.2	18.2
		PMRA# 2828305	Brandywine	labelled	(365)	(365)
				C-ring-	ND (0-365)	
				labelled	ND (t	J-303)
				B-ring-	13.3	13.3
			Choptank	labelled	(365)	(365)
			labelled		ND (0-365)	
		PMRA# 2			<3%	
			Illinois	Processed soil	<loq< td=""><td>(0-365)</td></loq<>	(0-365)
				Intact core	ND (0)-365)
	Aerobic			Processed	<loq (0-365)<="" td=""></loq>	
	soil	PMRA#	North	soil	TOO	
	5011	2828290	Carolina	Intact core	0.5 (30)	<loq (365)</loq
			Tennessee	Processed soil	ND (0)-365)
				Intact core	ND (0)-365)
			California (A-labelled)	_	18.7 (300)	6.0 (363)
			California (B-labelled)		23.8 (300)	5.4 (363)
	Anaerobic	PMRA#	California (C-ring-labelled)		ND (0)-363)
	soil	2828282	Illinois (A-ring-labelled)		71.7 (363)	71.7 (363)
			North Carolin labelled)	a (A-ring-	6.5 (363)	6.5 (363)
			Tennessee (A labelled)	-ring-	13.9 (300)	11.0 (363)

Compound		s	Study			
AB-oxa	Hydrolysis			NA		
	,	PMRA#	pH 7: B-ring-labelled	6.1 (12)	4.7 (16)	
<i>N</i> -{2-fluoro-3-[6-		2828126	pH 7: C-ring-labelled	1.6 (12)	1.5 (16)	
(perfluoropropan-2-yl)-4-	Aqueous		pH 5: B-ring-labelled	6.9 (6)	2.1 (16)	
(trifluoromethyl)-1,3-	photolysis	PMRA#	pH 5: C-ring-labelled	4.7 (3)	0.6 (16)	
benzooxazol-2-yl]phenyl}- <i>N</i> -		2828128	pH 9: B-ring-labelled	37.6 (3)	1.3 (16)	
methylbenzamide			pH 9: C-ring-labelled	27.8 (2)	ND (16)	
Formula: $C_{25}H_{13}F_{11}N_2O_2$	Soil photoly	sis	l been a seed of the seed of t		0-14)	
MW: 582.37 g/mol	Aerobic			Ì	ŕ	
	aquatic	PMRA# 28	828303	ND (0)-365)	
	Anaerobic aquatic	PMRA# 28	828305	ND (0)-365)	
	Aerobic	PMRA# 28	828280	ND (0)-365)	
	soil	PMRA# 28	828290	ND (0		
	Anaerobic soil	PMRA# 28	828282	ND (()-363)	
S(Br-OH)-8007	Hydrolysis			NA		
		PMRA# 28	828126 (pH 7)	ND (0-16)	
2-fluoro- <i>N</i> -[4-(1,1,1,2,3,3,3-		PMRA# 2828128	pH 5: B-ring-labelled	14.3 (9)	11.4 (16)	
heptafluoropropan-2-yl)-2-	Aqueous		pH 5: C-ring-labelled	7.0 (6)	4.6 (16)	
hydroxy-	photolysis		pH 9: B-ring-labelled	5.5 (9)	1.0 (16)	
6-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]-3-			pH 9: C-ring-labelled	3.6 (6)	ND (16)	
(N-methylbenzamido)	Soil photoly	sis		ND (0-14)	
benzamide Formula: C ₂₅ H ₁₅ F ₁₁ N ₂ O ₃	Aerobic aquatic	1 PMR A# 7878303			ND (0-365)	
MW: 600.38 g/mol	Anaerobic aquatic	PMRA# 28	828305	ND (0-365)		
,	Aerobic	PMRA# 28	828280	ND (0-365)		
	soil	PMRA# 28		ND (0-365)		
HO P	Anaerobic soil	PMRA# 28	828282	ND ((ND (0-363)	
MFBA	Hydrolysis			N		
		PMRA# 28	828126 (pH 7)	N		
2-fluoro-3-(N-	Aqueous		pH 5: B-ring-labelled	ND (0-16)	
methylbenzamido)benzoic acid	photolysis	PMRA#	pH 5: C-ring-labelled	19.7 (16)	19.7 (16)	
Formula: C ₁₅ H ₁₂ FNO ₃	photorysis	2828128	pH 9: B-ring-labelled	,	0-16)	
MW: 273.26 g/mol			pH 9: C-ring-labelled	25.6 (16)	25.6 (16)	
	Soil photoly	sis		N	A	
	Aerobic aquatic	PMRA# 28	828303	N	A	
OH	Anaerobic aquatic	PMRA# 28	828305	N	A	
U U	Aerobic	PMRA# 28	828280	N	A	
	soil	PMRA# 28		N		
	Anaerobic soil	PMRA# 28		N		

Compound		\$	Max %AR (day)	%AR at study end (study length) ¹			
Benzoic acid	Hydrolysis	lysis			NA		
	· ·	PMRA# 2	PMRA# 2828126 (pH 7)			NA	
Formula: C ₇ H ₆ O ₂	Aguagua		pH 5: B-ring-	labelled	ND (0-16)	
MW: 122.1 g/mol	Aqueous photolysis	PMRA#		pH 5: C-ring-labelled		25.6 (16)	
	photorysis	2828128	pH 9: B-ring-			0-16)	
l			pH 9: C-ring-	labelled	43.5 (9)	42.9 (16)	
	Soil photoly	sis			N	A	
ОН	Aerobic aquatic	PMRA# 2	828303		N	A	
	Anaerobic aquatic	PMRA# 2				A	
	Aerobic	PMRA# 2)-365)	
	soil	PMRA# 2	828290		N	A	
	Anaerobic soil	PMRA# 2			ND (0	0-363)	
MINOR TRANSFORMATION PRODUCTS							
S(PFP-OH)-8007	Hydrolysis	T ==	T ** = .			A	
N 10 1 4 (1 1 1 2 2 2		PMRA#	pH 7: B-ring-		5.0 (16)	5.0 (16)	
N-[2-bromo-4-(1,1,1,3,3,3-		2828126	pH 7: C-ring-		3.4 (12)	2.5 (16)	
hexafluoro-2-hydroxypropan-2-yl)-6-		PMRA# 2828128	pH 5: B-ring-		6.4 (16)	6.4 (16)	
(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]-2-			pH 5: C-ring- pH 9: B-ring-		3.5 (16) 8.3 (6)	3.5 (16) 5.5 (16)	
fluoro-3-(N-		2020120	pH 9: B-ring- pH 9: C-ring-		3.2 (6)	ND (16)	
methylbenzamido)benzamide	Soil photoly	cic	pri 9. C-ring-	labelled	` '	0-14)	
Formula: $C_{25}H_{15}BrF_{10}N_2O_3$	Aerobic			, ,			
MW: 661.29 g/mol	Anaerobic PMRA# 2828303				ND (0-365)		
OH F	aquatic	PMRA# 2	PMRA# 2828305		ND ((0-365)	
		DIAD A II	California (A-ring-labelled)		1.1 (0)	ND (365)	
F F		PMRA# 2828280	California (B-ring-		1.0 (120)	ND (365)	
		2828280	labelled) California (C-ring-labelled)		1.0 (30)	ND (365)	
				Processed soil	0.9 (58)	<loq (365)</loq 	
	Aerobic soil		Illinois	Intact core	1.1 (30)	<loq (365)</loq 	
		PMRA# 2828290	North Carolina	Processed soil	1.0 (15)	0.5 (365)	
		2020230	Caronna	Intact core	1.2 (0)	0.5 (365)	
			Tennessee	Processed soil	1.1 (30)	<loq (365)</loq 	
				Intact core	0.7 (15)	<loq (365)</loq 	
	Anaerobic	PMRA#	California (A-ring-labelled)		3.9 (14)	<loq (363)</loq 	
	soil	2828282	California (B- labelled)		1.9 (37)	<loq (363)</loq 	
			California (C-ring-		1.8 (7)	<loq< td=""></loq<>	

Compound		S	Study		Max %AR (day)	%AR at study end (study length) ¹		
			labelled)			(363)		
			Illinois (A-ring-labelled)		1.5 (30)	<loq (363)</loq 		
			North Carolin labelled)		1.8 (14)	<loq (363)</loq 		
			Tennessee (A labelled)	-ring-	2.4 (0)	<loq (363)</loq 		
DM-8007	Hydrolysis				N			
	Aqueous pho	otolysis			N			
3-benzamido- <i>N</i> -[2-bromo-4-	Soil	PMRA#	A-ring-labell		2.3 (14)	2.3 (14)		
(perfluoropropan-2-yl)-6- (trifluoromethyl)phenyl]-2- fluorobenzamide Formula: C ₂₄ H ₁₂ BrF ₁₁ N ₂ O ₂ MW: 649.25 g/mol	photolysis	2828284	B-ring-labelle			0-14)		
	•		C-ring-labelle	ed	4.2 (6)	2.6 (14)		
	Aerobic aquatic	PMRA# 2	828303		ND (0)-365)		
	Anaerobic aquatic	PMRA# 2			ND (0)-365)		
	Aerobic soil	PMRA# 2828280 PMRA# 2828290	California (A-ring- labelled)		1.0 (269)	0.9 (365)		
			California (B-ring- labelled) California (C-ring- labelled)		1.3 (91)	1.1 (365)		
					1.6 (91)	<0.6 (365)		
			Illinois	Processed soil	1.4 (0)	<loq (365)</loq 		
	3011			Intact core	2.3 (15)	1.6 (365)		
					North Carolina	Processed soil	1.7 (15)	<loq (365)</loq
				Intact core	2.9 (365)	2.9 (365)		
			Tennessee	Processed soil	1.1 (120)	<loq (365)</loq 		
				Intact core	4.9 (177)	3.0 (365)		
			California (A labelled)		ND (0)-363)		
			California (B labelled)		0.9 (30)	ND (363)		
	Anaerobic soil	PMRA# 2828282	California (C labelled)		1.1 (300)	ND (363)		
	SOII	2020202	Illinois (A-rin	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1.5 (30)	ND (363)		
			North Carolin labelled)	na (A-ring-	ND (0)-363)		
			Tennessee (A labelled)	-ring-	ND (()-363)		
DC-DM-8007	Hydrolysis			N				
	Aqueous	PMRA# 2				A		
3-amino-N-[2-bromo-4-	photolysis	photolysis PMRA# 2828128			A			
(perfluoropropan-2-yl)-6-	Soil photolys	sis			ND (0-14)			
(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]-2- fluorobenzamide	Aerobic aquatic	PMRA# 2	828303		ND (0)-365)		
Formula: C ₁₇ H ₈ BrF ₁₁ N ₂ O MW: 545.15 g/mol	Anaerobic aquatic	PMRA# 2	828305		ND (0	ND (0-365)		

Compound		S	Study		Max %AR (day)	%AR at study end (study length) ¹
- [-		PMRA# 2828280				1%
F 9 Br	F		Illinois	Processed soil	0.7 (58)	<loq (365)</loq
H ₂ N,	F			Intact core	0.7 (365)	0.7 (365)
H H	Aerobic soil	PMRA#	North Carolina	Processed soil	0.9 (259)	<loq (365)</loq
F 4		2828290		Intact core	1.7 (86)	0.5 (365)
		Tennessee	Processed soil	ND (0	·	
				Intact core	2.3 (58)	<loq (365)</loq
	Anaerobic soil	PMRA# 2	828282		ND (0)-363)
S(F-OH)-8007	Hydrolysis				N	A
		PMRA# 2	828126 (pH 7)		ND (0-16)
<i>N</i> -[2-bromo-4-	Aqueous		pH 5: B-ring-		ND (
(perfluoropropan-2-yl)-6-	photolysis	PMRA#	pH 5: C-ring-	labelled	ND (0-16)
(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]-2-	photorysis	2828128 pH 9: B-ring-labelled 3.8 (6)		0.7 (16)		
hydroxy-3-(N-			pH 9: C-ring-	labelled	ND (0-16)	
methylbenzamido)benzamide	Soil photoly	sis			ND (0-14)	
Formula: C ₂₅ H ₁₅ BrF ₁₀ N ₂ O ₃ MW: 661.29 g/mol	Aerobic aquatic PMRA# 2828303			ND (0-365)		
	Anaerobic aquatic PMRA# 2828305			ND (0-365)		
OH V	Aerobic	PMRA# 2	828280		ND (0-365)	
	soil	PMRA# 2	828290		ND (0-365)	
B B F F	Anaerobic soil	robic PMRA# 2828282			ND (0-365)	
DBr-8007	Hydrolysis				N	A
			828126 (pH 7)		N	
2-fluoro-3-(N-	Aqueous		pH 5: B-ring-		3.8 (2)	0.2 (16)
methylbenzamido)-N-[4-	photolysis	PMRA#	pH 5: C-ring-		0.6 (3)	ND (16)
(perfluoropropan-2-yl)-2-		2828128	pH 9: B-ring-		3.8 (6)	1.7 (16)
(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]benzam ide		<u> </u>	pH 9: C-ring-	labelled	0.3 (6)	ND (16)
Formula: C ₂₅ H ₁₅ F ₁₁ N ₂ O ₂	Soil photoly	S1S			ND (0-14)
MW: 584.4 g/mol	Aerobic aquatic	PMRA# 2	828303		ND (0)-365)
F F	Anaerobic aquatic PMRA# 2828305			ND (0	,	
F F	Aerobic	Aerobic PMRA# 2828280 pMRA# 2828290		N		
	soil			N	A	
F F F	Anaerobic soil	PMRA# 28	828282		N	A
B-oxa	Hydrolysis	•			N	A
	Aqueous	PMRA# 2	828126		,	0-16)
6-(1,1,1,2,3,3,3-	photolysis	PMRA# 2	828128	-	ND (0-16)	

Compound		\$	Max %AR (day)	%AR at study end (study length) ¹		
heptafluoropropan-2-yl)-4-	Soil photoly	ND (0-14)			
(trifluoromethyl)-1,3- Benzoxazole	Aerobic aquatic	PMRA# 2	828303		ND (0)-365)
Formula: C ₁₁ H ₃ F ₁₀ NO MW: 355.13 g/mol	Anaerobic aquatic	PMRA# 2			ND (0	·
F F	Aerobic	PMRA# 2			ND (0	
	soil	PMRA# 2	828290		ND (0)-365)
	Anaerobic soil	PMRA# 2	828282		ND (0)-363)
		OTHE	R			
Carbon dioxide	Hydrolysis				N/	
	Aqueous	PMRA# 2			<5 (` '
Formula: CO ₂	photolysis	PMRA# 2	828128		<10	` '
MW: 44.0 g/mol	Soil photolysis	PMRA# 2	828284			(14)
		PMRA# 2828303	Brandywine	B-ring- labelled	0.15	
	Aerobic aquatic		Brandy write	C-ring- labelled	13.5	(365)
			Choptank	B-ring- labelled	0.25	(365)
			Спортанк	C-ring- labelled		(365)
	Anaerobic aquatic	PMRA# 2828305		<1 (
		PMRA# 2	328280		<5 (
			Illinois	Processed soil	<loq< td=""><td></td></loq<>	
				Intact core	1.6 (
	Aerobic soil	PMRA# 2828290	North Carolina	Processed soil	0.5 (
				Intact core	4.0 (
			Tennessee	Processed soil	`	365)
				Intact core	2.7 (
	Anaerobic soil	PMRA# 2	828282			(363)
Non-extracted Residues (NER)	Hydrolysis	1			N/	
	Aqueous pho	otolysis				/A
	Soil photolysis	PMRA# 2	828284			(14)
			Brandywine	B-ring- labelled		(365)
	Aerobic aquatic	PMRA# 2828303	Dianay wine	C-ring- labelled	7.8 (· ,
			Choptank	B-ring- labelled	14.2	(365)

Compound	Study				Max %AR (day)	%AR at study end (study length) ¹
				C-ring- labelled	6.0 (365)
	Anaerobic aquatic	PMRA# 2	828305		<10	(365)
		PMRA# 2	828280		<10	(365)
			Illinois	Processed soil	·	365)
	Aerobic soil			Intact core	12.1	
		PMRA# 2828290	North Carolina	Processed soil		(365)
		2020270	Caronna	Intact core	40.6	
			Tennessee	Processed soil		(365)
	Anagrahia			Intact core	26.2	
	Anaerobic soil	PMRA# 2828282			<10	(363)
Total Unidentified Extracted Residues (UER)	Hydroly- sis	PMRA# 2828123			<10 (0-5)	
		PMRA# 2828126 (pH 7)			<15 (
	Aqueous	Aqueous photolysis PMRA# 2828128			45.4 (16)	45.4 (16)
					5.0 (16)	5.0 (16)
					64.8 (16)	64.8 (16)
	Soil	pH 9: C-ring-labelled		labelled	18.4 (16)	18.4 (16) 0-14)
	photolysis	PMRA# 2	828284		<i>\</i> 3 (()-1 <i>+)</i>
	Aerobic aquatic	PMRA# 2	828303		· ·)-365)
	Anaerobic aquatic	PMRA# 2			,	-365)
		PMRA# 2	828280		<10 (0)-365)
			Illinois	Processed soil	1.0 (365)	1.0 (365)
				Intact core	3.4 (58)	0.9 (365)
	Aerobic soil	PMRA# 2828290	North Carolina	Processed soil	1.9 (259)	0.7 (365)
		2020270	Caronna	Intact core	6.9 (259)	5.5 (365)
			Tennessee	Processed soil	1.9 (365)	1.9 (365)
	A 1.*			Intact core	9.1 (259)	1.8 (365)
	Anaerobic soil	PMRA# 2	828282		<5 (0-363)	

¹ In DAT (days after treatment)

Bolded when appearing at >10%

N/A = Not Applicable

NA = Not Analysed (either no reference standard used or minor non-volatile compounds that were not identified)

ND = Not Detected

Table 15 Fate and Behaviour of Broflanilide and its Transformation Products in the Environment

Study type	Test material/test system	Value ¹	Transformation products	Comments	PMRA#
Abiotic transfe					
Hydrolysis	Broflanilide [B-ring- ¹⁴ C]- labelled pH 4, 7 and 9 at 50°C	Stable to hydrolysis at pH 4, 7 and 9 at 50°C.	None identified. Total unknown peaks remained <10% AR, and no individual peak exceeded 6.2% AR.	Hydrolysis is not expected to be an important route of dissipation for broflanilide in the environment.	2828123
Phototrans- formation on soil	Broflanilide [A-ring- ¹⁴ C], [B-ring- ¹⁴ C], and [C-ring- ¹⁴ C]-labelled	Stable to phototransformation on soil.	Major: none Minor: DM-8007 Soil bound residues and CO ₂ <5% AR.	Phototransformation on soil is not expected to be an important route of dissipation for broflanilide in the environment.	2828284
Phototrans- formation in water	Broflanilide [B-ring- ¹⁴ C], and [C-ring- ¹⁴ C]-labelled Phosphate buffered solutions at pH 7 and 25°C	DT ₅₀ = 80 days (SFO, combined labels) Environmental phototransformation half-lives are reported here (12-hour/day photoperiod under summer sunlight at 40°N latitude).	Major: none Minor: AB-oxa, S(PFP-OH)-8007, CO ₂ Unidentified minor transformation products were observed at maximum individual concentrations <6% AR, with total concentrations remaining <15% AR.	Phototransformation in water is not expected to be an important route of dissipation for broflanilide in the environment; however, there is a potential for phototransformation in more basic or acidic aquatic environments.	2828126
	Broflanilide [B-ring- ¹⁴ C], and [C-ring- ¹⁴ C]-labelled Phosphate buffered solutions at pH 5 and pH 9 and 25°C	pH 5 buffer: DT ₅₀ = 17 days (SFO, combined labels) pH 9 buffer: DT ₅₀ = 4 days (SFO, combined labels) Environmental phototransformation half-lives are reported here (12-hour/day photoperiod under summer sunlight at 40°N latitude).	Major: AB-oxa, S(Br-OH)-8007, MFBA, benzoic acid Minor: S(PFP-OH)-8007, DC-8007, S(F-OH)-8007, DBr-8007, CO ₂ Unidentified minor transformation products were observed at maximum individual		2828128

Study type	Test material/test system	Value ¹	Transformation products	Comments	PMRA#
			concentrations		
			<5% AR, with		
			total		
			concentrations		
			reaching 65%.		
Phototrans-		ot expected to be volatile i			
formation in		constant. Transformation			o be
air	biotransformation	eld conditions based on lov	w detection of volatil	e organics in soil	
Biotransforma		on studies.			
Biotransfor-	Broflanilide	$DT_{50} = 1173 \text{ days}$	Majore none	Broflanilide is	2828280
mation in	Dionamine	(SFO)	Major: none Minor: S(PFP-	persistent.	2020200
aerobic soil	[A-ring- ¹⁴ C],	(51'0)	OH)-8007, DM-	persistent.	
actobic son	[B-ring- ¹⁴ C],		8007, DC-8007,	Biotransformation	
	and [C-ring-		DC-DM-8007,	in aerobic soil is	
	¹⁴ C]-labelled		CO_2	not an important	
	Cjaboned			route of	
	1 soil:		NER and UER	dissipation for	
	California		<10% AR	broflanilide.	
	Study				
	duration: 365				
	days				
	Broflanilide	IL: $DT_{50} = 5742 \text{ days}$	Major: none	Broflanilide is	2828290
		(SFO)	Minor: S(PFP-	persistent.	
	[A-ring- ¹⁴ C]-		OH)-8007, DM-		
	labelled	NC: $DT_{50} = 804 \text{ days}$	8007, DC-8007,	Biotransformation	
		(SFO)	DC-DM-8007,	in aerobic soil is	
	3 soils: Illinois		CO_2	not an important	
	(IL), North	TN: $DT_{50} = 1546$ days		route of	
	Carolina (NC),	(SFO)	NER and UER	dissipation for	
	and Tennessee	NT - M - 14	<15% AR	broflanilide.	
	(TN)	Note: Testing with			
	C4	intact soil cores was			
	Study	also conducted; however the results for			
	duration: 120 days (The	intact soil cores are			
	study was	considered			
	conducted for	supplemental to the			
	365 days;	main study, which was			
	however,	conducted with			
	microbial	processed soil samples.			
	biomass was	The half-life values in			
	determined to	the intact soil cores			
	be	(438, 288 and 282 days			
	unacceptably	for IL, NC and TN			
	low at 365	soils, respectively) are			
	days, and only	considered			
	the 120 day	supplemental			
	values are	information that could			
	appropriate for	be used during			
	use in risk	refinement in the risk	i		1

Study type	Test	Value ¹	Transformation	Comments	PMRA#
	material/test system		products		
Biotransformation in anaerobic soil	Broflanilide [A-ring-14C], [B-ring-14C], and [C-ring- 14C]-labelled 4 soils: California (CA), Illinois (IL), North Carolina (NC), and Tennessee (TN) Study duration: 365 days Broflanilide	IL: $DT_{50} = 157$ days (SFO) NC: $DT_{50} = 2354$ days (SFO) TN: $DT_{50} = 1113$ days (SFO) CA: $DT_{50} = 1117$ days (SFO)	Major: DC-8007 Minor: S(PFP- OH)-8007, DM- 8007, CO ₂ NER and UER <10% AR	With the exception of the IL soil (where the DT ₅₀ was just under 180 days, in other words, moderately persistent), the results of this study indicate that broflanilide is persistent. Biotransformation in anaerobic soil is not an important route of dissipation for broflanilide. Broflanilide is	2828282
Biotransfor- mation in aerobic water systems	[B-ring-14C], and [C-ring-14C]-labelled 2 test systems: Brandywine Creek and Choptank River Study duration: 365 days	Brandywine Creek: $DT_{50} = 1294$ days (DFOP) $t_R = 1430$ days Choptank River: $DT_{50} = 945$ days (SFO) Note: All values are for the whole system	Major: DC-8007, CO ₂ Minor: None identified as all <5% NER and UER <15%	Broflanilide is persistent. Biotransformation in aerobic water systems is not an important route of dissipation for broflanilide.	2828303
Biotransfor- mation in anaerobic water systems	Broflanilide [B-ring-14C], and [C-ring-14C]-labelled. Note: DT ₅₀ is based on Bring only. 2 test systems: Brandywine Creek and Choptank River Study duration: 365 days	Brandywine Creek: DT ₅₀ = 871 days (SFO) Choptank River: DT ₅₀ = 1411 days (SFO) Note: All values are for the whole system	Major: DC-8007, CO ₂ Minor: CO ₂ , None identified as all <5% NER and UER <10%	Broflanilide is persistent. Biotransformation in anaerobic water systems is not an important route of dissipation for broflanilide.	2828305

Study type	Test	Value ¹	Transformation	Comments	PMRA#
	material/test system		products		
Mobility	system				
Adsorption/de sorption	Broflanilide [B-ring-14C]- labelled Values obtained in 6 soils and 1 sediment.	$K_{\rm oc} = 3261-23\ 342$	N/A	Broflanilide is classified as immobile to having a slight potential for mobility in soil.	2828297
	DC-8007 [A-ring- ¹⁴ C]- labelled	$K_{\rm oc} = 1773 - 5263$	N/A	DC-8007 is classified as immobile to having a low	2828302
	Values obtained in 5 soils.			potential for mobility in soil.	
	DC-DM-8007 [B-ring-14C]- labelled Values	$K_{\rm oc} = 724 - 2514$	N/A	DC-DM-8007 is classified as having a slight to low potential for mobility in soil.	2828301
	obtained in 5 soils.				
	S(PFP-OH)- 8007 Modelled results using EPI Suite.	Program estimated K_{oc} = 442–1815	N/A	S(PFP-OH)-8007 is classified as having a medium to low potential for mobility in soil.	2828300
	DM-8007 Modelled results using EPI Suite.	Program estimated K_{oc} = 2412–4015	N/A	DM-8007 is classified as having a slight potential for mobility in soil.	2828299
Soil leaching Volatilization	A supplemental indicating that b potential to be s (2.5 days) being volatile under fi °C), Henry's law	study with broflanilide was study containing AOPWIN proflanilide could potentially ubject to long-range transpagates than 2 days. However the conditions based on its way constant (4.1×10^{-11}) atm-s in laboratory biotransform	N results was submitted by the persistent in air port, due to its predictioner, broflanilide is not vapour pressure (<8. m ³ /mol at 20 °C), and	ed by the applicant and has the ed half-life in air ot expected to be 9×10^{-9} Pa at 25	2828286
Field studies Terrestrial field dissipation	BAS 450 00 I, SC formulation 100 g a.i./L Five bare ground sites in	$\begin{aligned} &\text{NC: DT}_{50} = 5.1 \text{ days }, \\ &t_R = 37.8 \text{ days (IORE)} \end{aligned}$ $&\text{FL: DT}_{50} = 6.0 \text{ days }, t_R \\ &= 57 \text{ days (IORE)} \end{aligned}$ $&\text{CA: DT}_{50} = 18.2 \text{ days }, \end{aligned}$	Major: None Minor: S(PFP- OH)-8007, DC- 8007, DC-DM- 8007	Broflanilide is unlikely to accumulate in soil and carry over to the next growing season.	2828292

Study type	Test	Value ¹	Transformation	Comments	PMRA#
	material/test		products		
	system North	$t_R = 118 \text{ days (IORE)}$		At the sites tested,	
	Carolina (NC),	, ,		neither	
	Florida (FL),	WA: $DT_{50} = 5.5 \text{ days}$,		broflanilide nor it	
	California	$t_R = 12.8 \text{ days (IORE)}$		residues appeared	
	(CA),	ND DE 221		to be inherently	
	Washington (WA), and	ND: $DT_{50} = 3.3 \text{ days}$, $t_R = 188 \text{ days}$ (DFOP)		susceptible to leaching.	
	North Dakota	ir – 100 days (DPOF)		leaching.	
	(ND).	Mean residues of			
		broflanilide and its			
	Study	transformation			
	duration: 450	products were not			
	days	detected in soil below			
	Note: Only the	15 cm soil depth at any of the five locations.			
	WA and ND	or the five locations.			
	sites are in				
	ecoregions				
	representing				
	Canadian field use conditions.				
	Broflanilide	CA: $DT_{50} = 16.2 \text{ days}$,	Major: None	Broflanilide is	2828295
	Dionamiae	$t_R = 56.8 \text{ days (IORE)}$	Minor: DM-	unlikely to	2020273
	[A-ring- ¹⁴ C]		8007, S(Br-OH)-	accumulate in soil	
	and [B-ring-	GA: $DT_{50} = 182 \text{ days}$	8007, DC-8007,	and carry over to	
	¹⁴ C]-labelled	(SFO)	DC-DM-8007,	the next growing	
	Two bare	Mean residues of	AB-oxa, S(PFP- OH)-8007	season.	
	ground sites in	broflanilide and its	OH)-8007	At the sites tested,	
	California and	transformation		neither	
	Georgia.	products were not		broflanilide nor it	
		detected in soil below		residues appeared	
	Study	10 cm soil depth at		to be inherently	
	duration: 181	both locations.		susceptible to leaching.	
	days			leaching.	
	Note: CA and				
	GA do not				
	represent				
	Canadian field				
	use conditions;				
	however, these				
	results support				
	binding of				
	broflanilide to				
	soil under field				
	conditions.				
Aquatic field		dissipation study with bro	flanilide was submitte	ed and none is require	ed.
dissipation	-	•		•	
Field leaching	No field leaching	g study with broflanilide w	as submitted and non	ne is required.	

Study type	Test	Value ¹	Transformation	Comments	PMRA#
	material/test		products		
D' 4 4'	system				
	on/bioaccumulati		T	Th:	2020250
Bioconcentra- tion in fish	Broflanilide Flow-through screening study – to be used qualitatively Rainbow trout were exposed to [14C]-broflanilide at a nominal concentration of 200 ng/L for an uptake period of 28 days, followed by a depuration period of 14 days.	BCF _K = 181 (kinetic bioconcentration factor)	Transformation products were not measured.	This screening test was designed using a modified version of a guideline bioconcentration study; therefore, this study provides supplementary information and the results are not appropriate for risk assessment purposes. The results can be used qualitatively as they suggest that broflanilide does not readily bioconcentrate in fish tissue under the conditions of	2828359
	Broflanilide Flow-through study Rainbow trout were exposed to [14C]-broflanilide at a nominal concentrations of 1.0 and 10 µg/L for an uptake period of 28 days, followed by a depuration period of 10 days.	BCF _K = 97 and 96 (kinetic bioconcentration factor for whole fish, low does and high dose, respectively) BCF _{SS} = 119 and 104 (steady state bioconcentration factor for whole fish, low does and high dose, respectively)	DM-8007	the study. Broflanilide does not readily bioconcentrate in fish tissue under the conditions of the study.	2828362

¹ DT₅₀ and DT₉₀ values for each fit are the times the fitted curve reaches 50% and 90%, respectively, of the fitted initial concentration. These values are used for descriptive characterization and persistence classification for soil (Goring *et al*, 1975) and natural waters (McEwen and Stephenson, 1979). The representative half-life (t_R), is the half-life of an exponential curve that is considered to be a conservative approximation of the measured concentration decline, and is used for exposure modelling. The DT₅₀ for the SFO (single first-order) model is t_R if the SFO model is deemed acceptable. The t_R value from DFOP (double first-order in parallel) is a half-life determined from the slow degradation rate from the DFOP model. The t_R value from IORE (indeterminate order rate equation) is the half-life of an exponential curve passing through the DT₉₀ of the IORE model fit.

UER - Unidentified Extracted Residues

NER – Non-extracted Residues

AR - Applied Radioactivity

Table 16 Toxicity of Broflanilide, its Transformation Products and End-use Products to Non-target Terrestrial Species

Organism	Exposure	Test	Endpoint value	Degree of	PMRA#
		substance		toxicity ¹	
Invertebrates	1	1		,	1
Earthworm, Eisenia fetida	14d-Acute	Broflanilide (technical grade active	$LC/EC_{50} > 987 \text{ mg a.i./kg dw soil}$ $NOAEC \ge 987 \text{ mg a.i./kg dw soil}$	N/A	2828440
		ingredient, purity	No statistically significant effects on mortality or body weight for		
	14d-Acute	98.67%) DC-8007 (broflanilide	any of the treatment levels tested. LC/EC ₅₀ > 996 mg a.i./kg dw soil NOAEC \geq 996 mg a.i./kg dw soil	N/A	2828442
		transformation product), purity 99.60%	No statistically significant effects on mortality or body weight for		
	14d-Acute	DC-DM-8007	any of the treatment levels tested. LC/EC ₅₀ > 997 mg a.i./kg dw soil	N/A	2828444
		(broflanilide transformation	NOAEC ≥ 997 mg a.i./kg dw soil		
		product), purity 99.67%	No statistically significant effects on mortality or body weight for		
	14d-Acute	End-use	any of the treatment levels tested. LC/EC ₅₀ > 94.7 mg a.i./kg dw soil	N/A	2827864
	14d-Acute	product, Cimegra (SC, 100 g a.i./L)	(or >1000 mg end-use product/kg dw soil) NOAEC ≥ 94.7 mg a.i./kg dw soil	IVA	2027004
			(or ≥1000 mg end-use product/kg dw soil)		
			No statistically significant effects on mortality or body weight for any of the treatment levels tested.		
	14d-Acute	End-use product, Teraxxa (FS, 300 g a.i./L)	LC/EC ₅₀ > 260 mg a.i./kg dw soil (or >1000 mg end-use product/kg dw soil) NOAEC \geq 260 mg a.i./kg dw soil (or \geq 1000 mg end-use product/kg dw soil)	N/A	2827994
			No statistically significant effects on mortality or body weight for any of the treatment levels tested.		
	56d-Chronic	Broflanilide (technical grade active	NOAEC = 30.86 mg a.i./kg dw soil (reproduction)	N/A	2828447
		ingredient, purity 98.67%)	No statistically significant effects on survival or body weight for any of the treatment levels tested. The reproduction rate was significantly		

Organism	Exposure	Test	Endpoint value	Degree of	PMRA#	
Organism:	Exposure	substance	Znapome varae	toxicity ¹	111111111	
			lower than the control at the two			
			highest test concentrations (55.56			
			and 100 mg a.i./kg dw soil).			
	56d-Chronic	End-use	NOAEC = 65 mg a.i./kg dw soil	N/A	2827996	
		product,	(or 250 mg end-use product/kg dw			
		Teraxxa (FS,	soil) based on effects on biomass			
		300 g a.i./L)	and reproduction.			
			No statistically significant effects			
			on survival for any of the			
			treatment levels tested. Biomass			
			change and reproduction rate were			
			significantly lower than the			
			control at the highest test			
			concentration (500 mg end-use product/kg dw soil).			
Soil mite,	14d-Chronic	End-use	Reproduction:	N/A	2827998	
Hypoaspis		product,	NOAEC = 0.24 mg a.i./kg dw soil			
aculeifer		Teraxxa (FS,	(or 0.92 mg end-use product/kg			
		300 g a.i./L)	dw soil)			
			There were treatment-related			
			effects on mite survival (at the			
			highest treatment concentration)			
			and number of offspring (at the			
			four highest treatment			
Honey bee,		<u> </u>	concentrations). UTE LABORATORY STUDIES			
Apis mellifera	96h-Oral,	Broflanilide	$LD_{50} = 14.9 \text{ ng a.i./bee}$	Highly	2828408	
npis menijera	adults	(technical	LD50 = 14.9 lig a.i./occ	toxic	2020400	
	96h-Contact,	grade active	$LD_{50} = 8.8 \text{ ng a.i./bee}$	Highly		
	adults	ingredient,	2230 0.0 ng a.i., eee	toxic		
		purity				
		98.67%)				
	96h-Oral,	DM-8007	$LD_{50} = 1.92 \ \mu g \ a.i./bee$	Highly	2828414	
	adults	(broflanilide		toxic		
	96h-Contact,	transformation	$LD_{50} = 0.19 \mu g \text{ a.i./bee}$	Highly		
	adults	product),		toxic		
	401- O1	purity 98.84%	ID > 5.6	Nan tania	2020417	
	48h-Oral, adults	S(PFP-OH)- 8007	$LD_{50} > 5.6 \mu g \text{ a.i./bee}$	Non-toxic up to the	2828417	
	adults	(broflanilide		approximate		
	48h-Contact,	transformation	$LD_{50} > 5.0 \mu g \text{ a.i./bee}$	solubility		
	adults	product),	LD ₅₀ > 3.0 μg a.i./σec	limit		
		purity 98.84%				
	96h-Oral, adults	DC-8007 (broflanilide	$LD_{50} > 100 \mu g \text{ a.i./bee}$	Practically nontoxic	2828420	
	96h-Contact,	transformation	$LD_{50} = 33.2 \mu g \text{ a.i./bee}$	Practically		
	adults	product),	LD ₅₀ = 33.2 μg a.i./υσε	nontoxic		
		purity 99.6%		HOHIOAIC		
	48h-Oral,	B-urea	$LD_{50} > 100 \ \mu g \ a.i./bee$	Practically	2828423	
	adults	(broflanilide		nontoxic		
	48h-Contact,	transformation	$LD_{50} > 20 \mu g \text{ a.i./bee}$	Practically		
	adults	product),		nontoxic		

Organism	Exposure	Test	Endpoint value	Degree of	PMRA#	
		substance		toxicity ¹		
		purity 99.19%				
	48h-Oral,	DC-DM-8007	$LD_{50} > 20.27 \mu g \text{ a.i./bee}$	Practically	2828426	
	adults	(broflanilide		nontoxic		
	48h-Contact,	transformation	$LD_{50} > 100 \mu g \text{ a.i./bee}$	Practically		
	adults	product),		nontoxic		
		purity 99.67%				
	48h-Oral,	B-oxam-acid	$LD_{50} > 23.55 \mu g \text{ a.i./bee}$	Practically	2828429	
	adults	(broflanilide		nontoxic		
	48h-Contact,	transformation	$LD_{50} > 100 \ \mu g \ a.i./bee$	Practically		
	adults	product),		nontoxic		
		purity 99.86%				
	96h-Oral,	End-use	$LD_{50} = 45 \text{ ng a.i./bee} \text{ (or 466.1 ng)}$	Highly	2827855	
	adults	product,	end-use product/bee)	toxic		
	96h-Contact,	Cimegra (SC,	$LD_{50} = 17 \text{ ng a.i./bee (or 175 ng)}$	Highly		
	adults	100 g a.i./L)	end-use product/bee)	toxic		
	96h-Oral,	End-use	$LD_{50} = 69.3 \text{ ng a.i./bee}$ (or 261 ng	Highly	2827992	
	adults	product,	end-use product/bee)	toxic		
	96h-Contact,	Teraxxa (FS,	$LD_{50} = 12.4 \text{ ng a.i./bee}$ (or 46.8 ng	Highly		
	adults	300 g a.i./L)	end-use product/bee)	toxic		
	96-h Oral,	Broflanilide	96-h LD ₅₀ > 29 ng a.i./larva	Highly	2828436	
	larva	(technical	3.5	toxic		
		grade active	Maximum mortality was 36% in			
		ingredient,	the highest test level.			
		purity				
	DT Ct 1	98.67%)	DT 21.	NT/A	2020424	
	RT ₂₅ Study –	End-use	$RT_{25} < 3$ hours	N/A	2828434	
	Cimegra	product,	Broflanilide residues did not cause			
	applied to	Cimegra (SC,				
	alfalfa at a	100 g a.i./L)	unacceptable adverse effects on			
	rate of 250 mL/ha (25 g		honeybee survival after 3 hours of			
	a.i./ha), 24		weathering. 24-hour mortality was less than 25% in 3, 8, and 24			
	hour study		hours weathering intervals post			
	duration		application.			
	duration	СНВ	ONIC LABORATORY STUDIES			
	10-d Chronic,	Broflanilide	$10-d \text{ LD}_{50} = 1.29 \text{ ng a.i./bee/day}$	N/A	2828432	
	adults	(technical	$[10\text{-d LC}_{50} = 1.29 \text{ lig a.i./bcc/day}]$	IV/A	2626432	
	adults	grade active	diet diet			
		ingredient,				
		purity	10-d NOAEL = 0.62 ng a.i./bee			
		98.67%)	[10-d NOAEC = 0.018 mg a.i./kg]			
		70.0770)	diet]			
			1			
			Endpoints are based on mortality.			
	22-d Chronic,	Broflanilide	22-d NOAEL = 0.088 ng	N/A	2828438	
	larva	(technical	a.i./larva/day			
	1417 (4	grade active	$[22-d \text{ NOAEC} = 2.289 \mu\text{g a.i./kg}]$			
		ingredient,	diet]			
		purity				
		98.67%)	NOAEC/NOAEL is based on			
			larval mortality. Effects on pupal			
			mortality and adult emergence			
			were observed at higher test item			
			concentrations.			
	İ	I .	concentrations.	I .	I	

Organism	Exposure	Test	Endpoint value	Degree of	PMRA#
		substance		toxicity1	
	A	CUTE NON-AI	PIS LABORATORY STUDIES	-	-
Bumblebee,	96h-Oral,	Broflanilide	$LD_{50} = 19.5 \text{ ng a.i./bee}$	Highly	2828411
Bombus	adults	(technical		toxic	
terrestris L.	96h-Contact,	grade active	$LD_{50} > 120 \text{ ng a.i./bee}$	Highly	
	adults	ingredient,		toxic	
		purity			
	0.61- 01	98.67%)	ID 12.2 / / 127	TT' . 1. 1	2027052
	96h-Oral,	End-use	$LD_{50} = 13.2 \text{ ng a.i./bee} \text{ (or } 137 \text{ ng}$	Highly	2827852
	adults	product,	end-use product/bee)	toxic	_
	96h-Contact, adults	Cimegra (SC, 100 g a.i./L)	LD ₅₀ = 122 ng a.i./bee (or 1270 ng end-use product/bee)	Highly toxic	
	adults	100 g a.i./L)	end-use product/bee)	toxic	
			RESIDUE STUDIES		
	77 day field	End-use	No residues of broflanilide and its	N/A	2828398
	study to	product,	transformation products, S(PFP-		
	determine	Cimegra (SC,	OH)-8007 and DM-8007 were		
	residues in	100 g a.i./L)	detected in pollen samples at or		
	pollen from		above the LOD (0.0002 mg/kg) in		
	corn treated		any treated samples, at any		
	once at		sampling interval.		
	seeding as a soil in-furrow		Due to a number of limitations		
			Due to a number of limitations		
	application with 500 mL		with this study, the data can only be used qualitatively to		
	Cimegra/ha		demonstrate that broflanilide is		
	(50 g a.i./ha).		unlikely to be present in pollen		
	(50 g a.i./iia).		under the conditions of this study.		
	Study		Considering this active is non-		
	conducted in		systemic, residues are not		
	one field trial		expected to translocate into		
	in Germany.		pollen/nectar.		
	Determination	End-use	No residues of broflanilide and its	N/A	2828400
	of residues in	product,	transformation products were		
	pollen and	Cimegra (SC,	detected in pollen or nectar		
	nectar from	100 g a.i./L)	samples of the successive oilseed		
	oilseed rape		rape crop at or above the LOD		
	grown as a		(0.0002 mg/kg) up to 343 DAA,		
	succeeding		except for one replicate above the		
	crop in a		LOQ for an unidentified		
	former corn		transformation product, Reg. No.		
	field		6066332, in pollen (0.0015 mg/kg at 326 DAA). Soil samples		
	previously treated once		collected 100 DAA yielded		
	as a soil in-		broflanilide residues of 0.0011 to		
	furrow		0.0061 mg/kg in the treatment		
	application		plots; while no transformation		
	with 500 mL		product residues were detected.		
	Cimegra/ha		_		
	(50 g a.i./ha)		Due to a number of limitations		
	(study		with this study, the data can only		
	summarized		be used qualitatively to		
	above)		demonstrate that broflanilide is		
			unlikely to be present in pollen or		
			nectar under the conditions of this		

Organism Exposure Test Substance Endpoint value		Endpoint value	Degree of toxicity ¹	PMRA#	
			study. Considering this active is non-systemic, residues are not expected to translocate into pollen/nectar.		
	53 day greenhouse study to determine residues in leaves and flowers of canola after one seed treatment with Teraxxa at 25 g a.i./ha.	End-use product, Teraxxa (FS, 300 g a.i./L)	No residues of broflanilide and its transformation products were detected in leaf and flower samples at or above the LOD (0.0002 mg/kg) up to 53 DAA. Due to a number of limitations with this study, the data can only be used qualitatively to demonstrate that broflanilide is unlikely to be present in leaves and flowers under the conditions of this study. Considering this active is non-systemic, residues are not expected to translocate	N/A	2828406
Predatory arthropod (mite), Typhlodromus pyri	7d-Contact, glass plates	End-use product, Cimegra (SC, 100 g a.i./L)	into pollen/nectar. LR ₅₀ = 0.0573 g a.i./ha or 0.573 mL end-use product/ha (mortality) In all treatment levels, there were a large number of drowned/stuck/missing mites that contributed to the mortality count.	N/A	2827856
	14d-Contact, spray residue leaf discs	End-use product, Cimegra (SC, 100 g a.i./L)	LR ₅₀ = 0.1141 g a.i./ha or 1.141 mL end-use product/ha (mortality) NOAER = 0.0625 g a.i./ha or 0.625 mL end-use product/ha (based on number of eggs/female)	N/A	2827860
Parasitic arthropod (wasp), Aphidius	48h-Contact, glass plates	End-use product, Cimegra (SC, 100 g a.i./L)	LR ₅₀ = 0.17 g a.i./ha or 1.7 mL end-use product/ha (mortality)	N/A	2827858
rhopalosiphi	13d-Contact, spray residue on barley seedlings	End-use product, Cimegra (SC, 100 g a.i./L)	LR ₅₀ = 0.88 g a.i./ha or 8.8 mL end-use product/ha (mortality) NOAER < 0.3 g a.i./ha or < 3.0 mL end-use product/ha (based on effects on reproduction (number of mummies/female) in all treatment groups)	N/A	2827862
Birds					
Bobwhite quail, Colinus virginianus	14d-Acute Oral	Broflanilide (technical grade active ingredient, purity 98.67%)	LD ₅₀ > 2000 mg a.i./kg bw	Practically nontoxic	2828307
	5d-Dietary	Broflanilide (technical	$LC_{50} > 5075 \text{ mg a.i./kg diet} $ $LD_{50} > 1364 \text{ mg a.i./kg bw/day}$	Practically nontoxic	2828317

Organism Exposure Test Endpoint value		Endpoint value	Degree of toxicity ¹		
		grade active ingredient, purity		toxicity ²	
	22-w Reproduction	98.67%) Broflanilide (technical grade active ingredient, purity 98.67%)	NOAEC = 254 mg a.i./kg diet NOAEL = 22.2 mg a.i./kg bw/day LOAEC = 506 mg a.i./kg diet LOAEC = 42.1 mg a.i./kg bw/day NOAEC/NOAEL is based on effects on most sensitive reproductive endpoints.	N/A	2828321
Mallard duck, Anas platyrhynchos	14d-Acute Oral	Broflanilide (technical grade active ingredient, purity 98.67%)	LD ₅₀ > 2000 mg a.i./kg bw	Practically nontoxic	2828309
	5d-Dietary	Broflanilide (technical grade active ingredient, purity 98.67%)	$LC_{50} > 5073$ mg a.i./kg diet $LD_{50} > 2081$ mg a.i./kg bw/day	Practically nontoxic	2828314
	21-w Reproduction	Broflanilide (technical grade active ingredient, purity 98.67%)	NOAEC = 29.7 mg a.i./kg diet NOAEL = 4.6 mg a.i./kg bw/day LOAEC = 87.4 mg a.i./kg diet LOAEC = 13.0 mg a.i./kg bw/day NOAEC/NOAEL is based on effects on most sensitive reproductive endpoints.	N/A	2828323
	21-w Reproduction	Broflanilide (technical grade active ingredient, purity 98.67%)	NOAEC < 258 mg a.i./kg diet NOAEL < 32.8 mg a.i./kg bw/day NOAEC/NOAEL is based on effects on most sensitive reproductive endpoints.	N/A	2828319
Canary, Serinus canaria	14d-Acute Oral	Broflanilide (technical grade active ingredient, purity 98.67%)	LD ₅₀ > 2000 mg a.i./kg bw	Practically nontoxic	2828311
Mammals					•
Rat (Wistar)	Acute oral	Broflanilide (technical grade active ingredient, purity 99.67%)	LD ₅₀ > 5000 mg a.i./kg bw No clinical signs of toxicity at highest tested concentration.	Practically nontoxic	2828159

Organism	Exposure	Test	Endpoint value	Degree of	PMRA#	
		substance		toxicity ¹		
		End-use	$LD_{50} > 2000 \text{ mg/kg bw } (> 190 \text{ mg})$	Practically	2827889	
		product,	a.i./kg bw)	nontoxic		
		Cimegra (SC,				
		100 g a.i./L, 9.51%)	No clinical signs of toxicity at			
			highest tested concentration.			
			$LD_{50} > 2000 \text{ mg/kg bw (> 519 mg}$	Practically	2828019	
			a.i./kg bw)	nontoxic		
		Teraxxa (FS,	NT - 11 -1 -1 -1 C4 - 1 -144			
		300 g a.i./L,	No clinical signs of toxicity at highest tested concentration.			
		25.97%) End-use	$LD_{50} > 2000 \text{ mg/kg bw (> 31 \text{ mg})}$	Practically	2827945	
		product,	a.i./kg bw)	nontoxic	2021943	
		Teraxxa F4	a.i./kg bw)	HOHIOXIC		
		(FS, 16.7 g	No clinical signs of toxicity at			
		a.i./L,	highest tested concentration.			
		1.552%)	ingliest tested concentration.			
	2-Generation	Broflanilide	NOAEC = 300 ppm	N/A	2828201	
	Reproduction	(technical	NOAEL = 26 mg a.i./kg bw/day	1,712	2020201	
		grade active				
		ingredient,	Based on toxicity in the F0, F1			
		purity	and F2 rats (decreased body			
		98.67%)	weight/body weight gain) at the			
			next higher dose.			
Vascular plant						
Monocot and	21-d Seedling	End-use	Tier I:	N/A	2827872	
dicot crop	emergence	product,	NOAEC for lettuce and cabbage			
species		Cimegra (SC,	(dry weight) < 0.091 lbs a.i./A (<			
(onion,		100 g a.i./L)	102 g a.i./ha)			
ryegrass,			NOAEC for all other species ≥			
wheat, corn,			0.091 lbs a.i./A (≥ 102 g a.i./ha)			
sugarbeet, oilseed rape,			Tier II:			
cabbage,			NOAEC for lettuce and tomato ≥			
soybean,			0.091 lbs a.i./A (\ge 102 g a.i./ha)			
lettuce and			NOAEC and EC ₂₅ for cabbage =			
tomato)			0.014 and 0.0101 lbs a.i./A (16			
tomato			and 11 g a.i./ha), respectively (not			
			dose-dependent)			
			NOAEC for sugarbeet < 0.0023			
			lbs a.i./A (< 2.6 g a.i./ha) (not			
			dose-dependent)			
Monocot and	21-d	End-use	Tier I:	N/A	2827870	
dicot crop	Vegetative	product,	NOAEC for tomato < 0.088 lbs			
species	vigour	Cimegra (SC,	a.i./A (< 99 g a.i./ha)			
(onion,		100 g a.i./L)	NOAEC for oilseed rape, onion,			
ryegrass,			ryegrass, wheat ≥ 0.088 lbs a.i./A			
wheat, corn,			(≥ 99 g a.i./ha)			
sugarbeet,			NOAEC for cabbage, corn,			
oilseed rape,			lettuce, soybean, sugarbeet ≥			
cabbage,			0.091 lbs a.i./A (≥ 102 g a.i./ha)			
soybean,			T: II.			
lettuce and			Tier II:			
tomato)			NOAEC for all species ≥ 0.091			
			lbs a.i./A (≥ 102 g a.i./ha)			

Table 17 Screening Level Risk Assessment of Broflanilide, its Transformation Products and End-use Products for Non-target Terrestrial Species Other than Birds and Mammals

Organism	Exposure ¹	Endpoint value (endpoint/UF)	EEC	RQ	Level of Concern ²
Invertebrates		(3 1)			
Earthworm	Acute – a.i.	LC ₅₀ /2: >493.5 mg a.i./kg soil	0.0111 mg a.i./kg soil	<0.1	Not exceeded
	Acute – DC-8007	LC ₅₀ /2: >498.0 mg a.i./kg soil	0.0111 mg a.i./kg soil	<0.1	Not exceeded
	Acute – DC-DM-8007	LC ₅₀ /2: >498.5 mg a.i./kg soil	0.0111 mg a.i./kg soil	<0.1	Not exceeded
	Acute – Cimegra	LC ₅₀ /2: >47.3 mg a.i./kg soil	0.0111 mg a.i./kg soil	<0.1	Not exceeded
	Acute – Teraxxa	LC ₅₀ /2: >130.0 mg a.i./kg soil	0.0111 mg a.i./kg soil	<0.1	Not exceeded
	Reproduction – a.i.	NOEC: 30.86 mg a.i./kg soil	0.0111 mg a.i./kg soil	<0.1	Not exceeded
	Reproduction – Teraxxa	NOEC: 65 mg a.i./kg soil	0.0111 mg a.i./kg soil	<0.1	Not exceeded
Soil mite, Hypoaspis aculeifer	Reproduction – Teraxxa	NOEC: 0.24 mg a.i./kg soil	0.0111 mg a.i./kg soil	<0.1	Not exceeded
Honey bee, Apis mellifera	Acute oral, adults – a.i.	LD ₅₀ : 0.0149 μg a.i./bee	Soil application: 0.003 µg a.i./bee	0.2	Not exceeded
			Seed treatment: 0.292 µg a.i./bee	19.6	Exceeded
	Acute oral, adults – DM-8007	LD ₅₀ : 1.92 μg a.i./bee	Soil application: 0.003 µg a.i./bee	<0.1	Not exceeded
			Seed treatment: 0.292 µg a.i./bee	0.15	Not exceeded
	Acute oral, adults – S(PFP-OH)-8007	LD ₅₀ : >5.6 μg a.i./bee	Soil application: 0.003 µg a.i./bee	<0.1	Not exceeded
			Seed treatment: 0.292 µg a.i./bee	<0.1	Not exceeded
	Acute oral, adults – DC-8007	LD ₅₀ : >100 μg a.i./bee	Soil application: 0.003 µg a.i./bee	<0.1	Not exceeded
			Seed treatment: 0.292 µg a.i./bee	<0.1	Not exceeded
	Acute oral, adults – B- urea	LD ₅₀ : >100 μg a.i./bee	Soil application: 0.003 µg a.i./bee	<0.1	Not exceeded
			Seed treatment: 0.292 µg a.i./bee	<0.1	Not exceeded
	Acute oral, adults – DC-DM-8007	LD ₅₀ : >20.27 μg a.i./bee	Soil application: 0.003 µg a.i./bee	<0.1	Not exceeded
			Seed treatment: 0.292 µg a.i./bee	<0.1	Not exceeded
	Acute oral, adults – B- oxam-acid	LD ₅₀ : >23.55 μg a.i./bee	Soil application: 0.003 µg a.i./bee	<0.1	Not exceeded
			Seed treatment: 0.292 µg a.i./bee	<0.1	Not exceeded

¹ Atkins et al.(1981) for bees and USEPA classification for others, where applicable

Organism	Exposure ¹	Endpoint value (endpoint/UF)	EEC	RQ	Level of Concern ²
	Acute oral, adults – Cimegra	LD ₅₀ : 0.045 μg a.i./bee	Soil application: 0.003 µg a.i./bee	<0.1	Not exceeded
	Acute oral, adults – Teraxxa	LD ₅₀ : 0.0693 μg a.i./bee	Seed treatment: 0.292 µg a.i./bee	4.2	Exceeded
	Chronic oral, adults – a.i.	NOAEL: 0.00062 μg a.i./bee	Soil application: 0.003 µg a.i./bee	5.1	Exceeded
			Seed treatment: 0.292 µg a.i./bee	471.0	Exceeded
	Acute oral, larvae – a.i.	LD ₅₀ : >0.029 μg a.i./larva	Soil application: 0.001 µg a.i./bee	< 0.1	Not exceeded
			Seed treatment: 0.124 µg a.i./bee	<4.3	Exceeded
	Chronic oral, larvae – a.i.	NOAEL: 0.000088 μg a.i./bee	Soil application: 0.001 µg a.i./bee	15.2	Exceeded
			Seed treatment: 0.124 µg a.i./bee	1404.5	Exceeded
Predatory mite, Typhlodromus	Contact, glass plates – Cimegra	LR ₅₀ : 0.0573 g a.i./ha	Soil application: 25 g a.i./ha	436.3	Exceeded
pyri	-		Seed treatment: 12.5 g a.i./ha	218.2	Exceeded
Parasitoid wasp, Aphidius	Contact, glass plates – Cimegra	LR ₅₀ : 0.17 g a.i./ha	Soil application: 25 g a.i./ha	147.1	Exceeded
rhopalosiphi	-		Seed treatment: 12.5 g a.i./ha	73.5	Exceeded
Vascular plants					
Vascular plant	Seedling emergence Vegetative vigour	ER ₂₅ : 11 g a.i./ha ER ₂₅ : >102 g a.i./ha	25 g a.i./ha 25 g a.i./ha	2.3 0.2	Exceeded Not exceeded

¹ Cimegra is a soil applied product only, while Teraxxa is a seed treatment product. At the screening level, EECs for soil and seed treatment applications were divided by the effects endpoint from studies conducted with the corresponding Cimegra or Teraxxa end-use product. Where only one study was available for an organism, the endpoint was used indiscriminately in the RQ calculation for both soil and seed treatments.

NOTE for pollinators:

Soil EEC: $(10^{(0.95*LogK_{ow}-2.05)+0.82)*(-0.0648*(LogK_{ow}^{-2})+0.2431*LogK_{ow}+0.5822)*(1.5/(0.2+1.5*K_{oc}*0.01))*(0.5*Srate/1.12) \times consumption rate for adults and larvae (29 <math>\mu$ g a.i./bee per kg a.i./ha for adult oral and 12 μ g a.i./larva per kg/ha for larvae).

As a highly conservative assumption at the screening level that broflanilide is systemic, the Briggs model was used to estimate residue levels that may be translocated into the plant tissues, such as pollen and nectar. For the soil EEC calculation, a Log K_{ow} of 5 (the maximum value permitted by the Briggs' model), average K_{oc} of 9,274 for broflanilide, and a soil application rate of 25 g a.i./ha was used.

Seed treatment EEC: For seed treatments, the Tier I exposure method uses 1 mg a.i./kg concentration as an upper-bound for pesticides in nectar and pollen through translocation through the plant. The oral exposure estimate for adult bees is calculated by multiplying 1 μ g a.i./g by the consumption value for adults (0.292 g/day) or larvae (0.124 g/day).

NOTE for predatory and parasitic arthropods:

The screening level exposure estimates are highly conservative, as seed treatment and soil applications are not expected to result in plant residues comparable to those from direct application to the plant. Foliar applications are not proposed for any of the broflanilide end-use products.

Soil EEC: The EEC for a direct application on soil was calculated using the maximum application rate of Cimegra Insecticide, which is proposed as an in-furrow or T-band spray at a rate of 25 g a.i./ha.

Seed treatment EEC: An exposure estimate on a per hectare basis is determined by applying the loading rate to the sowing rate (for example, kg active/ha = kg active/ $100 \text{ kg seed} \times \text{kg seed/ha}$). The default sowing rate is 250 kg seed/ha for determination of the in-field exposure estimate. Both of the proposed broflanilide seed treatment products are for application to small cereal grains at a rate of 5 g broflanilide per 100 kg seed, therefore the EEC for seed treatments is 12.5 g a.i./ha.

² Level of concern = 1 for most species; 0.4 for acute risk to pollinators; 1 for chronic risk to pollinators; and 2 for glass plate studies using the standard beneficial arthropod test species, *Typhlodromus pyri* and *Aphidius rhopalosiphi*.

Table 18 Screening Level Risk Assessment of Broflanilide for Birds and Mammals

	Study Endpoint (mg a.i./kg	EDE	RQ	Level of
	bw/day/UF)	(mg a.i./kg bw/day) ¹		Concern ²
Small Sized Bird	(0.02 kg)			
Acute	>200	12.7	< 0.1	Not exceeded
Reproduction	4.6	12.7	2.8	Exceeded
Medium Sized Bir	rd (0.10 kg)			
Acute	>200	10.0	< 0.1	Not exceeded
Reproduction	4.6	10.0	2.2	Exceeded
Large Sized Bird	(1.00 kg)			
Acute	>200	2.9	< 0.1	Not exceeded
Reproduction	4.6	2.9	0.6	Not exceeded
Small Sized Mam	mal (0.015 kg)			
Acute	>5003	7.2	< 0.1	Not exceeded
Reproduction	26	7.2	0.3	Not exceeded
Medium Sized Ma	ammal (0.035 kg)			
Acute	>500	6.2	< 0.1	Not exceeded
Reproduction	26	6.2	0.2	Not exceeded
Large Sized Mam	mal (1.00 kg)			•
Acute	>500	3.4	< 0.1	Not exceeded
Reproduction	26	3.4	0.1	Not exceeded

¹ EDE (Estimated daily exposure; expressed in mg a.i./kg bw/day) = EEC (mg a.i./kg seeds) × FIR (in kg seed/day) × BW (1/kg bw). The proposed maximum application rate for broflanilide for use as seed treatment is 5 g a.i./100 kg seed. The number of seeds that are expected to be consumed by a generic-sized group of birds and mammals is calculated using a food ingestion rate (FIR) of 5.1, 19.9 and 58.1 g diet/day for 20, 100 and 1000 g birds, respectively, and 2.2, 4.4 and 68.7 g diet/day for 15, 35 and 1000 g mammals, respectively.

Table 19 Further characterization of the risk to non-target beneficial arthropods using results from extended laboratory studies

Organism	Exposure	Endpoint Value	EEC1	RQ	Level of
					Concern ²
Predatory	Extended laboratory (14d-	LR ₅₀ : 0.1141 g a.i./ha	25 g a.i./ha	219	Exceeded
arthropod,	contact; spray residue on	NOER: 0.0625 g	25 g a.i./ha	400	Exceeded
Typhlodromus	leaf discs), Cimegra	a.i./ha			
pyri	Insecticide				
Parasitoid	Extended laboratory (13d-	LR ₅₀ : 0.88 g a.i./ha	25 g a.i./ha	28.4	Exceeded
arthropod,	contact; spray residue on	NOER: <0.3 g a.i./ha	25 g a.i./ha	83.3	Exceeded
Aphidius	barley seedlings), Cimegra				
rhopalosiphi	Insecticide				

¹ The EEC is based on exposure to spray residues of Cimegra on-field from a direct application of 25 g a.i./ha; however, most predatory and parasitic arthropod species will not be directly exposed through spray contact because they are not expected to be present on the soil at the time of application. Because broflanilide does not have systemic activity in plants, negligible exposure of non-target arthropods is expected from both soil and seed treatment applications.

² Level of concern = 1 for birds and mammals

 $^{^3}$ The acute LD₅₀ value of >5000 mg a.i./kg bw obtained from the study with the technical grade active ingredient was used in the screening level risk assessment. Technical grade broflanilide and its end-use products, Cimegra, Teraxxa, and Teraxxa F4, were all practically nontoxic to rats on an acute oral basis, with oral LD₅₀ values of >2000 mg product/kg bw. There were no clinical signs of toxicity at the highest tested concentration for any of the acute oral studies. When accounting for the active ingredient content of the test substances, the endpoints from the acute oral studies done with the end-use products are more conservative than the one from the study with technical broflanilide; however, this difference in endpoints is more a result of the test compound being a formulated product than an indication of higher toxicity.

 $^{^{2}}$ Level of concern = 1

Table 20 Refined risk assessment of broflanilide for birds using LOAEL from reproductive studies

	Study Endpoint (mg a.i./kg bw/day/UF)	EDE (mg a.i./kg bw/day) ¹	RQ	Level of Concern ²
Small Sized Bird (0.02 kg)			
Acute	>200	12.7	< 0.1	Not exceeded
Dietary	136.4	12.7	< 0.1	Not exceeded
Reproduction	13.0	12.7	0.98	Not exceeded
Medium Sized Bir	rd (0.10 kg)			
Acute	>200	10.0	< 0.1	Not exceeded
Dietary	136.4	10.0	< 0.1	Not exceeded
Reproduction	13.0	10.0	0.77	Not exceeded
Large Sized Bird	(1.00 kg)			
Acute	>200	2.9	< 0.1	Not exceeded
Dietary	136.4	2.9	< 0.1	Not exceeded
Reproduction	13.0	2.9	0.22	Not exceeded

 $^{^{1}}$ EDE (Estimated daily exposure; expressed in mg a.i./kg bw/day) = EEC (mg a.i./kg seeds) × FIR (in kg seed/day) × BW (1/kg bw). The proposed maximum application rate for broflanilide for use as seed treatment is 5 g a.i./100 kg seed. The number of seeds that are expected to be consumed by a generic-sized group of birds and mammals is calculated using a food ingestion rate (FIR) of 5.1, 19.9 and 58.1 g diet/day for 20, 100 and 1000 g birds, respectively, and 2.2, 4.4 and 68.7 g diet/day for 15, 35 and 1000 g mammals, respectively.

Table 21 Toxicity of Broflanilide, its Transformation Products and End-use Products to Non-target Aquatic Species

Organism	Exposure	Test substance	Endpoint value	Degree of toxicity ¹	PMRA#
Freshwater s	pecies	-	•		- i
Daphnia 48h-Acute, static-renewal 48h-Acute, static-renewal 48h-Acute, static 21d-Chronic, static-renewal	static-	Broflanilide (technical grade active ingredient, purity 98.67%)	EC ₅₀ > 332 μg a.i./L (immobilization)	Non-toxic up to the highest concentration tested.	2828364
	· ·	MFBA (broflanilide transformation product), purity 99.3%	EC ₅₀ > 100 mg a.i./L (immobilization)	Non-toxic up to the highest concentration tested.	2828366
	Chronic, static-	Broflanilide (technical grade active ingredient, purity 98.67%)	NOAEC = 5.93 µg a.i./L LOAEC = 11.6 µg a.i./L (length, total offspring, successful birth rate and time to first brood)	N/A	2828368
	21d- Chronic, static- renewal	MFBA (broflanilide transformation product), purity 99.3%	NOAEC ≥ 98.0 mg a.i./L LOAEC > 98.0 mg a.i./L (no treatment-related effects at highest concentration tested)	N/A	2828370
Amphipod, Hyalella azteca	10d-Acute, spiked sediment, static- renewal	Broflanilide (technical grade active ingredient, purity 98.67%)	Dry sediment: NOAEC = 4.9 μ g/kg LOAEC = 9.5 μ g a.i./kg LC ₅₀ = 13.5 μ g a.i./kg	N/A	2828384

 $^{^{2}}$ Level of concern = 1 for birds

Organism	Exposure	Test substance	Endpoint value	Degree of toxicity ¹	PMRA#
			Pore water: NOAEC = $0.16 \mu g \text{ a.i./L}$ LOAEC = $0.30 \mu g \text{ a.i./L}$ LC ₅₀ = $0.461 \mu g \text{ a.i./L}$		
			Overlying water: Not estimated as measured concentrations were, for the most part, all below the LOQ.		
			Endpoints based on treatment-related effects on survival.		
	42d- Chronic, spiked sediment, static- renewal	Broflanilide (technical grade active ingredient, purity 98.67%)	Dry sediment: NOAEC = 1.7 µg/kg LOAEC = 3.3 µg a.i./kg Pore water: NOAEC = 0.039 µg a.i./L LOAEC = 0.099 µg a.i./L	N/A	2828392
			Overlying water: Not estimated as measured concentrations were all below the LOQ.		
			Endpoints based on treatment-related effects on survival.		
Midge, Chironomus dilutus	10d-Acute, spiked sediment, static- renewal	Broflanilide (technical grade active ingredient, purity 99.9%)	Dry sediment: NOAEC = $1.5 \mu g/kg$ LOAEC = $4.8 \mu g$ a.i./kg LC ₅₀ = $9.99 \mu g$ a.i./kg Pore water: NOAEC = $0.032 \mu g$ a.i./L LOAEC = $0.098 \mu g$ a.i./L LC ₅₀ = $0.211 \mu g$ a.i./L	N/A	2828382
			Overlying water: NOAEC = $0.0011 \mu g a.i./L$ LOAEC = $0.0029 \mu g a.i./L$ LC ₅₀ = Not estimated as no test material was detected in the overlying water of the two lowest treatment groups.		
			Endpoints based on treatment-related effects on survival.		
	10d-Acute, spiked sediment, static- renewal	DC-8007 (broflanilide transformation product), purity 99.6%	Dry sediment: NOAEC \geq 3500 μ g/kg LOAEC and LC ₅₀ $>$ 3500 μ g a.i./kg	N/A	2828388
			Pore water: NOAEC ≥ 120 μg a.i./L		

Organism	Exposure	Test substance	Endpoint value	Degree of toxicity ¹	PMRA#
			LOAEC and LC ₅₀ $>$ 120 μg a.i./L		
			Overlying water: NOAEC \geq 4.1 μ g a.i./L LOAEC and LC ₅₀ $>$ 4.1 μ g a.i./L		
			No treatment-related effects on survival or growth at highest concentration tested.		
	60d- Chronic, spiked sediment, static- renewal	Broflanilide (technical grade active ingredient, purity 99.9%)	Dry sediment: NOAEC = 1.5 μg/kg LOAEC = 4.7 μg a.i./kg Pore water: NOAEC = 0.024 μg a.i./L	N/A	2828390
	renewar		LOAEC = 0.024 μg a.i./L LOAEC = 0.079 μg a.i./L Overlying water: NOAEC = 0.00074 μg a.i./L LOAEC = 0.0016 μg a.i./L		
			Endpoints based on treatment-related effects on survival and emergence.		
Rainbow trout, Oncorhynchus mykiss	96h-Acute, static- renewal	Broflanilide (technical grade active ingredient, purity 98.67%)	$LC_{50} = 365 \mu g \text{ a.i./L}$ (mortality)	Highly toxic	2828347
Bluegill, Lepomis macrochirus	96h-Acute, static- renewal	Broflanilide (technical grade active ingredient, purity 98.67%)	$LC_{50} = 251 \mu g \text{ a.i./L}$ (mortality)	Highly toxic	2828349
Fathead minnow, Pimephales promelas	96h-Acute, static- renewal	Broflanilide (technical grade active ingredient, purity 98.67%)	$LC_{50} > 508 \ \mu g \ a.i./L \ (no treatment-related effects at highest concentration tested)$	Non-toxic up to the highest concentration tested.	2828351
	33d-ELS, flow-through	Broflanilide (technical grade active ingredient, purity 98.67%)	NOAEC = 51 μg a.i./L LOAEC = 147 μg a.i./L (larval survival)	N/A	2828355
Carp, Cyprinus carpio	96h-Acute, static- renewal	Broflanilide (technical grade active ingredient, purity 98.67%)	LC ₅₀ > 498 μg a.i./L (no mortality at highest concentration tested) At the highest test concentration, 100% of fish	Non-toxic up to the highest concentration tested.	2828353
Diatom,	96h-Acute,	Broflanilide	were observed surfacing. IC ₅₀ > 0.40 mg a.i./L	N/A	2828378

Organism	Exposure	Test substance	Endpoint value	Degree of toxicity ¹	PMRA#
Navicula pelliculosa	static	(technical grade active ingredient, purity 98.67%)	NOEC = 0.080 mg a.i./L (for most sensitive endpoints of yield and area under the growth curve)		
Green algae, Pseudokirch- neriella subcapitata	72h-Acute, static	Broflanilide (technical grade active ingredient, purity 98.67%)	$\begin{split} IC_{50} > 0.60 \text{ mg a.i./L} \\ NOEC \ge 0.60 \text{ mg a.i./L (no} \\ treatment-related effects at \\ highest concentration tested) \end{split}$	N/A	2828372
	72h-Acute, static	MFBA (broflanilide transformation product), purity 99.3%	$\begin{split} &IC_{50} > 96.1 \text{ mg a.i./L} \\ &NOEC \geq 96.1 \text{ mg a.i./L (no} \\ &treatment\text{-related effects at} \\ &highest concentration tested) \end{split}$	N/A	2828380
Green algae, Raphidocelis subcapitata	96h-Acute, static	Broflanilide (technical grade active ingredient, purity 98.67%)	IC ₅₀ > 0.71 mg a.i./L NOEC = 0.12 mg a.i./L (for most sensitive endpoint of yield)	N/A	2828374
	96h-Acute, static	DC-8007 (broflanilide transformation product), purity 99.6%	$IC_{50} = 1.08$ mg a.i./L NOEC < 0.0197 mg a.i./L (for most sensitive endpoint of area under the growth curve)	N/A	3027824
Blue-green algae, Anabaena flos-aquae	96h-Acute, static	Broflanilide (technical grade active ingredient, purity 98.67%)	$\begin{split} IC_{50} > 0.66 \text{ mg a.i./L} \\ NOEC \ge 0.66 \text{ mg a.i./L (no} \\ treatment-related effects at \\ highest concentration tested) \end{split}$	N/A	2828376
Vascular plant, duckweed, Lemna gibba	7d-Static renewal	Broflanilide (technical grade active ingredient, purity 98.67%)	$\begin{split} & IC_{50} > 0.634 \text{ mg a.i./L} \\ & NOEC \geq 0.634 \text{ mg a.i./L (no} \\ & treatment\text{-related effects at} \\ & highest concentration tested) \end{split}$	N/A	2828396
Marine species	s	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		-	•
Amphipod, Leptocheirus plumulosus	10d-Acute, spiked sediment, static	Broflanilide (technical grade active ingredient, purity 98.67%)	Dry sediment: NOAEC = $9.6 \mu g/kg$ LOAEC = $20 \mu g$ a.i./kg LC ₅₀ = $14 \mu g$ a.i./kg Pore water: Not estimated as no test material was detected at the three lowest treatment levels. The mean-measured concentrations in the two highest treatment levels were 0.099 (corresponding to the LOAEC) and $0.21 \mu g$ a.i./L, respectively, suggesting the LC ₅₀ would be $<0.099 \mu g$ a.i./L.	N/A	2828386
			Overlying water: Not estimated as no test material		

Organism	Exposure	Test substance	Endpoint value	Degree of toxicity ¹	PMRA#
			was detected at any point. Endpoints based on treatment-		
	28d- Chronic, spiked sediment, static	Broflanilide (technical grade active ingredient, purity 98.67%)	related effects on survival. Dry sediment: NOAEC = 3.8 µg/kg LOAEC = 8.4 µg a.i./kg Pore water: Not estimated as no test material was detected at the three lowest treatment levels. The mean-measured concentrations in the two highest treatment levels were 0.034 (corresponding to the LOAEC) and 0.082 µg a.i./L, respectively. Overlying water: Not estimated as no test material was detected at any point. Endpoints based on treatment-related effects on survival.	N/A	2828394
Crustacean, mysid shrimp, Americamysis bahia	96h-Acute, flow-through	Broflanilide (technical grade active ingredient, purity 98.67%)	$LC_{50} = 0.0215 \ \mu g \ a.i./L \ (mortality)$	Very highly toxic	2828332
	96h-Acute, flow-through	S(Br-OH)-8007 (broflanilide transformation product), purity 98.86%	$LC_{50} = 40.6 \mu g a.i./L$ (mortality)	Very highly toxic	2828340
	96h-Acute, flow-through	AB-oxa (broflanilide transformation product), purity 98.64%	$LC_{50} = 30.2 \mu g \text{ a.i./L}$ (mortality)	Very highly toxic	2828342
	96h-Acute, flow-through	MFBA (broflanilide transformation product), purity 99.87%	$LC_{50} > 112 \mu g \text{ a.i./L}$ (mortality)	Non-toxic up to the highest concentration tested.	3050587
	28d- Chronic, flow-through	Broflanilide (technical grade active ingredient, purity 98.67%)	NOAEC = 6.23 ng a.i./L LOAEC = 10.3 ng a.i./L (effects on survival, growth, and number of offspring per female)	N/A	2828336
Mollusk, Eastern oyster, Crassostrea virginica	96h-Acute, flow-through	Broflanilide (technical grade active ingredient, purity 98.67%)	IC ₅₀ > 0.44 mg a.i./L (no treatment-related effects at highest concentration tested)	Non-toxic up to the highest concentration tested.	2828334

Organism	Exposure	Test substance	Endpoint value	Degree of toxicity ¹	PMRA#
Marine diatom, Skeletonema costatum	96h-Acute, static	Broflanilide (technical grade active ingredient, purity 98.67%)	$IC_{50} = 0.31$ mg a.i./L NOEC = 0.13 mg a.i./L (for most sensitive endpoint of area under the growth curve)	N/A	2828330
Sheepshead minnow, Cyprinodon variegatus	96h-Acute, flow-through	Broflanilide (technical grade active ingredient, purity 98.67%)	LC ₅₀ > 1.3 mg a.i./L (10% mortality at highest concentration tested) At the three highest test concentrations, sublethal effects were observed in up to 30% of fish (surfacing and lethargy).	Non-toxic up to the highest concentration tested.	2828338
	34d-ELS, flow-through	Broflanilide (technical grade active ingredient, purity 98.67%)	NOAEC = 11.1 μ g a.i./L LOAEC = 25.2 μ g a.i./L (growth)	N/A	2828357

¹ USEPA classification, where applicable

Table 22 Screening level risk assessment of broflanilide for aquatic organisms

Organism	Exposure	Endpoint value	EEC1	RQ	Level of
		(mg a.i./L/UF)	(mg a.i./L)		Concern ²
Freshwater species	-	•	•		_
Invertebrate, Daphnia	Acute – a.i.	EC ₅₀ /2: >0.166	0.00312	< 0.1	Not exceeded
magna	Acute – MFBA	$EC_{50}/2:>50$	0.00312	< 0.1	Not exceeded
	Chronic – a.i.	NOEC: 0.00593	0.00312	0.5	Not exceeded
	Chronic – MFBA	NOEC: ≥98.0	0.00312	< 0.1	Not exceeded
Rainbow trout,	Acute – a.i.	LC ₅₀ /10: 0.0365	0.00312	< 0.1	Not exceeded
Oncorhynchus mykiss					
Bluegill, Lepomis	Acute – a.i.	LC ₅₀ /10: 0.0251	0.00312	0.1	Not exceeded
macrochirus					
Carp, Cyprinus carpio	Acute – a.i.	LC ₅₀ /10: >0.0498	0.00312	< 0.1	Not exceeded
Fathead minnow,	Acute – a.i.	LC ₅₀ /10: >0.0508	0.00312	< 0.1	Not exceeded
Pimephales promelas	ELS – a.i.	NOEC: 0.051	0.00312	< 0.1	Not exceeded
Amphibians (using fish	Acute – a.i.	LC ₅₀ /10: 0.0251	0.0167	0.7	Not exceeded
data as a surrogate)	ELS – a.i.	NOEC: 0.051	0.0167	0.3	Not exceeded
Aquatic vascular plant,	Acute – a.i.	IC ₅₀ /2: >0.317	0.00312	< 0.1	Not exceeded
Lemna gibba					
Diatom, Navicula	Acute – a.i.	$IC_{50}/2:>0.20$	0.00312	< 0.1	Not exceeded
pelliculosa					
Green algae,	Acute – a.i.	$IC_{50}/2:>0.30$	0.00312	< 0.1	Not exceeded
Pseudokirchneriella	Acute – MFBA	$IC_{50}/2:>48.0$	0.00312	< 0.1	Not exceeded
subcapitata					
Green algae, Raphidocelis	Acute – a.i.	$IC_{50}/2:>0.36$	0.00312	< 0.1	Not exceeded
subcapitata	Acute – DC-8007	IC ₅₀ /2: 0.54	0.00312	< 0.1	Not exceeded
Blue-green algae,	Acute – a.i.	$IC_{50}/2:>0.33$	0.00312	< 0.1	Not exceeded
Anabaena flos-aquae					

Organism	Exposure	Endpoint value	EEC1	RQ	Level of
		(mg a.i./L/UF)	(mg a.i./L)		Concern ²
Marine species	-	•	-	-	
Crustacean, mysid shrimp,	Acute – a.i.	LC ₅₀ /2: 0.00001075	0.00312	290	Exceeded
Americamysis bahia	Acute – S(Br-OH)-	LC ₅₀ /2: 0.0203	0.00312	0.2	Not exceeded
	8007				
	Acute – AB-oxa	LC ₅₀ /2: 0.0151	0.00312	0.2	Not exceeded
	Acute – MFBA	LC ₅₀ /2: >0.056	0.00312	< 0.1	Not exceeded
	Chronic – a.i.	NOEC: 0.00000623	0.00312	501	Exceeded
Mollusk, Eastern oyster,	Acute – a.i.	LC ₅₀ /2: >0.22	0.00312	< 0.1	Not exceeded
Crassostrea virginica					
Sheepshead minnow,	Acute – a.i.	LC ₅₀ /10: >0.13	0.00312	< 0.1	Not exceeded
Cyprinodon variegatus	ELS – a.i.	NOEC: 0.0111	0.00312	0.3	Not exceeded
Marine diatom,	Acute – a.i.	IC ₅₀ /2: 0.155	0.00312	< 0.1	Not exceeded
Skeletonema costatum					

¹ The screening level EECs in water are based on direct application of a pesticide to a body of water, and is intended to be a simple, conservative, and reasonable worst-case estimate of pesticide concentrations in water. The maximum cumulative application rate for broflanilide on water is 25 g a.i./ha, based on the proposed use of Cimegra Insecticide. Based on this application rate, the EEC in water bodies 80 cm and 15 cm deep are 0.00312 mg a.i./L and 0.0167 mg a.i./L, respectively.

Table 23 Risk quotients for aquatic organisms determined for runoff of broflanilide

Organism (exposure)	Endpoint (mg a.i./L/UF)	EEC (mg a.i./L)	RQ	Level of Concern
Freshwater species		-		-
Hyalella azteca (acute;	pore water IC ₅₀ /2:	Corn T-band (pore water peak): 0.00049	2.1	Exceeded
10 days; technical broflanilide)	0.0002305	Spring wheat seed treatment (pore water peak): 0.000033	<1.0	Not exceeded
Hyalella azteca	pore water	Corn T-band (pore water 21d): 0.00049	12.6	Exceeded
(chronic; 42 days; technical broflanilide)	NOEC: 0.000039	Spring wheat seed treatment (pore water 21d): 0.000033	<1.0	Not exceeded
Chironomus dilutus	pore water IC ₅₀ /2:	Corn T-band (pore water peak): 0.00049	4.6	Exceeded
(acute; 10 days; technical broflanilide)	0.0001055	Spring wheat seed treatment (pore water peak): 0.000033	<1.0	Not exceeded
Chironomus dilutus (acute; 10 days; DC-	pore water IC ₅₀ /2: >0.06	Corn T-band (pore water peak): 0.00049	<1.0	Not exceeded
8007)		Spring wheat seed treatment (pore water peak): 0.000033	<1.0	Not exceeded
Chironomus dilutus	pore water	Corn T-band (pore water 21d): 0.00049	20.4	Exceeded
(chronic; 60 days, technical broflanilide)	NOEC: 0.000024	Spring wheat seed treatment (pore water 21d): 0.000033	1.4	Exceeded
Marine species				
Leptocheirus	pore water	Corn T-band (pore water peak): 0.00049	>9.9	Exceeded
plumulosus (acute; 10 days; technical broflanilide) ¹	LC ₅₀ /2: <0.0000495	Spring wheat seed treatment (pore water peak): 0.000033	>0.7	Not exceeded
Leptocheirus	pore water	Corn T-band (pore water 21d): 0.00049	14.4	Exceeded
plumulosus (chronic; 28 days; technical broflanilide) ²	NOEC: 0.000034	Spring wheat seed treatment (pore water 21d): 0.000033	<0.1	Not exceeded

 $^{^{2}}$ Level of concern = 1

Organism (exposure)	Endpoint (mg a.i./L/UF)	EEC (mg a.i./L)	RQ	Level of Concern
Americamysis bahia (acute; 96 hours;	LC ₅₀ /2: 0.00001075	Corn T-band (water column 96h, 80cm): 0.00067	62.3	Exceeded
technical broflanilide)		Spring wheat seed treatment (water column 96h, 80cm): 0.000046	4.3	Exceeded
Americamysis bahia (chronic; 28 days;	NOEC: 0.00000623	Corn T-band (water column 21d, 80cm): 0.00057	91	Exceeded
technical broflanilide)		Spring wheat seed treatment (water column 21d, 80cm): 0.000036	5.8	Exceeded

¹ The LC₅₀ in pore water was not estimated as no test material was detected at the three lowest treatment levels (no significant effects on amphipod survival). The mean-measured concentrations in the two highest treatment levels were 0.099 μ g a.i./L (corresponding to the LOAEC where 100% mortality was observed) and 0.21 μ g a.i./L (100% mortality), respectively, suggesting the LC₅₀ would be <0.099 μ g a.i./L.

Table 24 List of Supported Uses

Product	Supported Uses
Cimegra	Potatoes: • for control of wireworm, apply in furrow at planting at an application rate of 250 mL product (25 g a.i.) per hectare.
	Corn: • for control of wireworm and corn rootworm (western and northern), apply at a rate of 250 mL product (25 g a.i.) per hectare in furrow or as a 10 to 20 cm t-band spray over the top of the open seed furrow at planting.
	Cimegra is applied in a minimum application volume of 50 L per hectare.
Teraxxa	Small cereal grains (barley, buckwheat, pearl millet, proso millet, oats, rye, sorghum, triticale, canary seed, annual canarygrass (grown for human consumption)) and wheat (all types: winter, spring and durum):
	 for control of wireworm, apply at a rate of 16.7 mL product per 100 kg seed (5 g a.i./100 kg seed)
Teraxxa F4	Small cereal grains (barley, buckwheat, pearl millet, proso millet, oats, rye, sorghum, triticale, canary seed, annual canarygrass (grown for human consumption)) and wheat (all types: winter, spring and durum):
	 for control of wireworm, apply at a rate of 300 mL product per 100 kg seed (20.5 g a.i./100 kg seed).
	Control of following diseases on barley, canary seed, annual canarygrass (grown for human consumption), oats, rye, triticale, and wheat (all types: winter, spring and durum) at 300 mL/100 kg seed (20.5 g a.i./100 kg seed):
	 Seed Rot/Pre-emergent Damping-off caused by Fusarium spp., Rhizoctonia solani, Cochliobolus sativus, and Pythium spp. Post-emergent Damping-off caused by Fusarium spp., Rhizoctonia solani, and

² The NOAEC in pore water was not estimated as no test material was detected at the three lowest treatment levels (no significant effects on amphipod survival or reproduction). The mean-measured concentrations in the two highest treatment levels were 0.034 μg a.i./L (corresponding to the LOAEC where 12% reduction in survival was observed) and 0.082 μg a.i./L, respectively.

- Seedling Blight caused by Fusarium spp., Rhizoctonia solani, and Pythium spp.
- Root Rot caused by Fusarium spp., Rhizoctonia solani, and Pythium spp.

Suppression of following diseases on barley, canary seed, annual canarygrass (grown for human consumption), oats, rye, triticale, and wheat (all types: winter, spring and durum) at 300 mL/100 kg seed (20.5 g a.i./100 kg seed):

- Fusarium crown and root rot caused by *Fusarium* spp.
- Seedling blight caused by Cochliobolus sativus
- Root rot caused by Cochliobolus sativus

Control of following diseases on rye, triticale, and wheat (all types: winter, spring and durum) at 300 mL/100 kg seed (20.5 g a.i./100 kg seed):

- Loose Smut (*Ustilago tritici*)
- Common Bunt (*Tilletia tritici*, *T. lavies*)

Control of following diseases on barley at 300 mL/100 kg seed (20.5 g a.i./100 kg seed):

- True Loose Smut (*Ustilago nuda*)
- Covered Smut (*Ustilago hordei*)
- False Loose Smut (*Ustilago nigra*)

Control of following diseases on oat at 300 mL/100 kg seed (20.5 g a.i./100 kg seed):

- Loose Smut (*Ustilago avenae*)
- Covered Smut (Ustilago kolleri)

Table 25 Toxic Substances Management Policy Considerations-Comparison to TSMP
Track 1 Criteria

TSMP Track 1 Criteria	TSMP Track 1 Criterion value		Active Ingredient Endpoints
Toxic or toxic equivalent as defined by the Canadian Environmental Protection Act1	Yes		Yes
Predominantly anthropogenic ²	Yes		Yes
Persistence ³ :	Soil Water Sediment	Half-life ≥ 182 days Half-life ≥ 182 days Half-life ≥ 365 days	Yes: 804–5742 days (laboratory) Yes: 871–1430 days (laboratory, total system, aerobic and anaerobic systems)
	Air	Half-life ≥ 2 days or evidence of long range transport	Yes: 2.5 days (AOPWIN predicted; however, volatilisation is not an important route of dissipation for broflanilide and long-range atmospheric transport is unlikely to occur based on the vapour pressure ($<8.9 \times 10^{-9}$ Pa at 25°C) and Henry's law constant (4.1×10^{-11} atm·m³/mol at 20°C).

Bioaccumulation ⁴	$Log K_{ow} \ge 5$	Yes: 4.34–5.91
	BCF ≥ 5000	No: 96–119
	BAF ≥ 5000	Not available
Is the chemical a TSMP Track 1 substance (all four		No, does not meet TSMP Track 1 criteria.
criteria must be met)?		

¹ All pesticides will be considered toxic or toxic equivalent for the purpose of initially assessing a pesticide against the TSMP criteria. Assessment of the toxicity criterion may be refined if required (in other words, all other TSMP criteria are met).

² The policy considers a substance "predominantly anthropogenic" if, based on expert judgement, its concentration in the environment medium is largely due to human activity, rather than to natural sources or releases.

³ If the pesticide and/or the transformation product(s) meet one persistence criterion identified for one media (soil, water, sediment or air) than the criterion for persistence is considered to be met.

⁴ Field data (for example, BAFs) are preferred over laboratory data (for example, BCFs) which, in turn, are preferred over chemical properties (for example, $\log K_{\text{ow}}$).

Appendix II Supplemental Maximum Residue Limit Information— International Situation and Trade Implications

Broflanilide is an active ingredient that is concurrently being registered in Canada and the United States for use on potato, corn (all types) and small grains. The MRLs proposed for broflanilide in Canada are the same as corresponding tolerances to be promulgated in the United States.

Once established, the American tolerances for broflanilide will be listed in the <u>Electronic Code</u> of <u>Federal Regulations</u>, 40 CFR Part 180, by pesticide.

Currently, there are no Codex MRLs⁹ listed for broflannilide in or on any commodity on the Codex Alimentarius Pesticide Index website.

-

The Codex Alimentarius Commission is an international organization under the auspices of the United Nations that develops international food standards, including MRLs.

References

A. List of Studies/Information Submitted by Registrant

PMRA Document Number	Reference
1.0	Chemistry
2828107	2017, DACO 2.3 - 2.4: Chemistry Requirements for the Registration of a Technical Grade of Active Ingredient (TGAI), DACO: 2.3,2.3.1,2.4,IIA 1.3,IIA 1.5.1,IIA 1.5.2
2828108	2017, MCI-8007 (BAS 450 I) (pure grade) - Physico-chemical properties, DACO: 2.13.2,2.14.1,2.14.10,2.14.11,2.14.12,2.14.13,2.14.2,2.14.3,2.14.4,2.14.5,2.14.6,2. 14.8,2.14.9,2.16,8.2.3.2,8.2.3.3.3,IIA 2.1.1,IIA 2.1.2,IIA 2.1.3,IIA 2.10,IIA 2.2,IIA 2.3.1,IIA 2.3.2,IIA 2.4.1,IIA 2.4.2,IIA 2.5.1.1,IIA 2.5.1.2,IIA 2.5.1.3,IIA 2.5.1.4,IIA 2.7,IIA 2.8.1,IIA 2.9.5
2828109	2017, MCI-8007 (BAS 450 I) (technical grade) - Physico-chemical properties, DACO: 2.16,IIA 2.11.1,IIA 2.11.2,IIA 2.13,IIA 2.14,IIA 2.15,IIA 2.16
2828111	2017, MCI-8007 (BAS 450 I) (technical grade) - Stability to elevated temperature and metal/metal ions, DACO: 2.14.13,IIA 2.17.2
2828112	2017, MCI-8007 (BAS 450 I) (technical grade) - Accelerated storage stability, DACO: 2.14.13, IIA 2.17.2
2828113	2017, MCl-8007 (BAS 450 I) - Water solubility, DACO: 2.14.7,IIA 2.6
2828135	2017, Sample(s) of Analytical Standards and ROC, DACO: 2.15,IIA 4.1.1
2828957	2017, Content Analysis of MCI-8007, DACO: 2.13.3,2.13.4 CBI
2828958	2017, Content Analysis of MCI-8007, DACO: 2.13.3,2.13.4 CBI
2828963	2017, Characterization of BBPA, DACO: 2.13.3,2.13.4 CBI
2828964	2017, Characterization of MDFP, DACO: 2.13.3,2.13.4 CBI
2828965	2017, Characterization of MFDBA, DACO: 2.13.3,2.13.4 CBI
2828966	2017, Characterization of [CBI removed], DACO: 2.13.3,2.13.4 CBI
2828967	2017, [CBI removed]: Confirmation of Identity by MS, DACO: 2.13.3,2.13.4 CBI
2828968	2016, METHODOLOGY/VALIDATION, DACO: 2.13.1 CBI
2828143	2017, Validation of method D1603/01: Method for the determination of residues of BAS 450 I (Reg. No. 5672774) and its metabolites DM-8007 (Reg. No. 5856361), DC-DM-8007 (Reg. No. 5936906), DC-8007 (Reg. No. 5936907) and S(PFP-OH)-8007 (Reg. No. 5959598) in soil by LC-MS/MS (at LOQ of 1ppb), DACO: 8.2.2.1,IIA 4.4
2828144	2017, Evaluation of the limit of detection (LOD) for method D1603/01, method for the determination of residue of BAS 450 I (Reg. No. 5672774) and its metabolites DM-8007 (Reg. No.5856361), DC-DM-8007 (Reg. No. 5936906), DC-8007 (Reg. No. 5936907) and S(PFP-OH)-8007 (Reg. No. 5959598) in soil by LC-MS/MS (at LOQ of 1ppb), DACO: 8.2.2.1,IIA 4.4

2828145	2017, Independent laboratory validation of the following method entitled: BASF analytical method D1603/01: Method for the determination of residues of BAS 450 I (Reg. No. 5672774) and its metabolites DM-8007 (Reg. No. 5856361), DC-DM-8007 (Reg. No. 5936906), DC-8007 (Reg. No. 5936907) and S(PFP-OH)-8007 (Reg. No. 5959598) in soil by LC-MS/MS (at LOQ of 1ppb), DACO: 8.2.2.1,IIA 4.4
2828146	2017, Validation of method D1608/01: Method for the determination of BAS 450 I (Reg. No. 5672774) and Its metabolites DM-8007 (Reg. No. 5856361), DC-DM-8007 (Reg. No. 5936906), DC-8007 (Reg. No. 5936907) and S(PFP-OH)-8007 (Reg. No. 5959598) in surface and drinking water by LC-MS/MS, DACO: 8.2.2.3,IIA 4.5
2828147	2017, Validation of method D1705/01: Method for the determination of S(Br-OH)-8007 (Reg. No. 5959595) and AB-Oxa (Reg. No. 5959600) and MFBA (Reg. No. 6088668) in surface and drinking water by LC MS/MS, DACO: 8.2.2.3,IIA 4.5
2828148	2017, Evaluation of the limit of detection (LOD) for method D1608/01: Method for the determination of BAS 450 I (Reg. No. 5672774) and its metabolites DM-8007 (Reg. No. 5856361), DC-DM-8007 (Reg. No. 5936906), DC-8007 (Reg. No. 5936907) and S(PFP-OH)-8007 (Reg. No. 5959598) in surface and drinking water by LC-MS/MS, DACO: 8.2.2.3,IIA 4.5
2828149	2017, Independent laboratory validation of method D1608/01: Method for the determination of BAS 450 I (Reg. No. 5672774) and its metabolites DM-8007 (Reg. No. 5856361), DC-DM-8007 (Reg. No. 5936906), DC-8007 (Reg. No. 5936907) and S(PFP-OH)-8007 (Reg. No. 5959598) in surface and drinking water by LC-MS/MS, DACO: 8.2.2.3,IIA 4.5
2828150	2017, Evaluation of the limit of detection (LOD) for method D1705/01, method for the determination of S(Br-OH)-8007 (Reg. No. 5959595), AB-Oxa (Reg. No.5959600), and MFBA (Reg. No. 6088668) in surface and drinking water by LC-MS/MS, DACO: 8.2.2.3,IIA 4.5
2828151	2017, Independent laboratory validation of method for the determination of S(Br-OH)-8007 (Reg. No. 5959595), AB-Oxa (Reg. No. 5959600), and MFBA (Reg. No. 6088668) in surface and drinking water by LC MS/MS (BASF method number D1705/01), DACO: 8.2.2.3,IIA 4.5
2827848	2017, DACO 3.1 - Product Identification, DACO: 3.1.1,3.1.2,3.1.3,3.1.4,IIIA 1.1,IIIA 1.2.1,IIIA 1.3
2827849	2017, DESCRIPTION OF STARTING MATERIALS, DACO: 3.2.1,3.2.2,3.2.3,3.3.1,3.3.2,IIIA 1.4.1,IIIA 1.4.2,IIIA 1.4.3.1,IIIA 1.4.3.2,IIIA 1.4.3.3,IIIA 1.4.4,IIIA 1.4.5.1,IIIA 1.4.5.2 CBI
2827850	2017, Formulation Type of Cimegra, DACO: 3.5.4,IIIA 1.5
2827874	2017, Determination of physical / chemical properties of BAS 450 06 I: Accelerated storage stability and corrosion characteristics, 2 weeks @ 54 C in commercial containers, DACO: 3.5.1,3.5.10,3.5.14,3.5.2,3.5.3,3.5.5,3.5.7, 3.5.9,IIIA 2.1,IIIA 2.13,IIIA 2.14,IIIA 2.4.2,IIIA 2.5.1,IIIA 2.5.2,IIIA 2.7.1
2827875	2017, Miscibility of Cimegra, DACO: 3.5.13,IIIA 2.11
2827876	2017, Dielectric Breakdown Voltage - Cimegra, DACO: 3.5.15,IIIA 2.12
2827877	2017, Container Material and Description, DACO: 3.5.5,IIIA 2.14
2827878	2017, Explodability of Cimegra, DACO: 3.5.12,IIIA 2.2.1

2827879	2014, Determination of physico-chemical properties according to Directive 94/37/EC (regulation (EC) No. 440/2008) - BAS 450 00 I, DACO: 3.5.11,3.5.12,IIIA 2.2.1,IIIA 2.3.1,IIIA 2.3.2,IIIA 2.3.3
2827880	2015, BAS 450 00 I, BAS 450 01 I: Determination of oxidation/reduction, DACO: 3.5.8,IIIA 2.2.2
2827881	2017, Physical and chemical properties of BAS 450 00 I: Storage stability and corrosion characteristics in commercial type containers, DACO: 3.5.10,3.5.6,3.7,8.2.2.1,8.2.3.6,IIIA 2.5.3,IIIA 2.6.1,IIIA 2.7.4,IIIA 2.8.2,IIIA 2.8.3.1,IIIA 2.8.3.2,IIIA 2.8.4,IIIA 2.8.5.2,IIIA 2.8.6.1,IIIA 2.8.8.2
2827883	2016, GLP Validation of Analytical Method AFR0108/01: Determination of BAS 450 I in BAS 450 00 I Formulations by GC-FID, DACO: 3.4.1,IIIA 5.2.1
2827884	2017, Method AFR0108/02: Determination of BAS 450 I in Suspension Concentrate (SC) Formulations by GC-FID, DACO: 3.4.1,IIIA 5.2.1
2827926	2017, DACO 3.1 - Product Identification, DACO: 3.1.1,3.1.2,3.1.3,3.1.4,IIIA 1.1,IIIA 1.2.1,IIIA 1.3
2827928	2017, Formulation Type of Teraxxa F4, DACO: 3.5.4,IIIA 1.5
2827932	2017, Physical and chemical properties of BAS 453 UF I: Accelerated storage stability and corrosion characteristics in commercial type containers, DACO: 3.5.1,3.5.10,3.5.14,3.5.2,3.5.3,3.5.5,3.5.6,3.5.7,IIIA 2.1,IIIA 2.13,IIIA 2.14,IIIA 2.4.2,IIIA 2.6.1,IIIA 2.7.1
2827933	2017, Miscibility of Teraxxa F4, DACO: 3.5.13,IIIA 2.11
2827934	2017, Dielectric Breakdown Voltage - Teraxxa F4, DACO: 3.5.15,IIIA 2.12
2827935	2017, Container Material and Description, DACO: 3.5.5,IIIA 2.14
2827936	2017, Explodability of Teraxxa F4, DACO: 3.5.12,IIIA 2.2.1
2827937	2016, BAS 453 00 I - Determination of physico-chemical properties according to UN transport regulation and Directive 94/37/EC (Regulation (EC) No. 440/2008), DACO: 3.5.11,3.5.12,IIIA 2.2.1,IIIA 2.3.1,IIIA 2.3.2,IIIA 2.3.3
2827938	2017, BAS 453 00 I: Determination of physical properties and oxidation reduction, DACO: 3.5.8,3.5.9,IIIA 2.2.2,IIIA 2.5.1,IIIA 2.5.2
2827939	2017, Teraxxa F4- Storage Stability Supplemental Information, DACO: 3.5.10,IIIA 2.7.2
2827942	2017, GLP validation of analytical method AFR0117/02: Determination of Fluxapyroxad, Pyraclostrobin, Triticonazole, Metalaxyl, and Broflanilide in FS formulations by HPLC, DACO: 3.4.1,IIIA 5.2.2
2827943	2017, Method AFR0117/02: Determination of Fluxapyroxad, Pyraclostrobin, Triticonazole, Metalaxyl, and Broflanilide in FS formulations by HPLC, DACO: 3.4.1,IIIA 5.2.2
2827880	2015, BAS 450 00 I, BAS 450 01 I: Determination of oxidation/reduction, DACO: 3.5.8,IIIA 2.2.2
2827987	2017, DACO 3.1 - Product Identification, DACO: 3.1.1,3.1.2,3.1.3,3.1.4,IIIA 1.1,IIIA 1.2.1,IIIA 1.3
2827989	2017, Formulation Type of Teraxxa, DACO: 3.5.4,IIIA 1.5
2828000	2017, Determination of physical / chemical properties of BAS 450 07 I: Accelerated storage stability and corrosion characteristics, 2 weeks @ 54C in commercial containers, DACO: 3.5.1,3.5.10,3.5.14,3.5.2,3.5.3,3.5.5,3.5.7,3.5.9, IIIA 2.1,IIIA 2.13,IIIA 2.14,IIIA 2.4.2,IIIA 2.5.1,IIIA 2.5.2,IIIA 2.7.1
2828001	2017, Miscibility of Teraxxa, DACO: 3.5.13,IIIA 2.11

2828002	2017, Dielectric Breakdown Voltage - Teraxxa, DACO: 3.5.15,IIIA 2.12
2828003	2017, Container Material and Description, DACO: 3.5.5,IIIA 2.14
2828004	2017, Explodability of Teraxxa, DACO: 3.5.12,IIIA 2.2.1
2828005	2014, BAS 450 01 I - Determination of physico-chemical properties according to
	Directive 94/37/EC (Regulation (EC) No. 440/2008), DACO: 3.5.11,3.5.12,IIIA
	2.2.1,IIIA 2.3.1,IIIA 2.3.2,IIIA 2.3.3
2828007	2017, Physical and chemical properties of BAS 450 01 I: Storage stability and
	corrosion characteristics in commercial type containers, DACO:
	3.5.10,3.5.6,3.7,8.2.2.1,8.2.3.6,IIIA 2.5.3,IIIA 2.6.1,IIIA 2.7.4,IIIA 2.8.2,IIIA
	2.8.3.1,IIIA 2.8.3.2,IIIA 2.8.4,IIIA 2.8.5.2,IIIA 2.8.6.1,IIIA 2.8.8.2
2828010	2016, GLP Validation of analytical method AFR0119/01: Determination of BAS
	450 I in BAS 450 01 I formulations by GC-FID, DACO: 3.4.1,IIIA 5.2.1
2828011	2017, Method AFR0119/02: Determination of BAS 450 I in flowable concentrate
	for seed treatment (FS) formulations by GC-FID, DACO: 3.4.1,IIIA 5.2.1

2.0 Human and Animal Health

2827889	2014, BAS 450 00 I - Acute oral toxicity study in rats, DACO: 4.6.1,IIIA 7.1.1
2827890	2014, BAS 450 00 I - Acute dermal toxicity study in rats, DACO: 4.6.2,IIIA 7.1.2
2827891	2014, BAS 450 00 I: 4-hour acute inhalation toxicity study in the rat, DACO:
	4.6.3,IIIA 7.1.3
2827892	2014, BAS 450 00 I - Acute dermal irritation / corrosion in rabbits, DACO:
	4.6.5,IIIA 7.1.4
2827893	2015, BAS 450 00 I - Acute eye irritation in rabbits (Including amendment no. 1),
	DACO: 4.6.4,IIIA 7.1.5
2827894	2014, BAS 450 00 I - Test for delayed contact hypersensitivity in the guinea pig
	using the BUEHLER test, DACO: 4.6.6,IIIA 7.1.6
2827945	2016, BAS 453 00 I - Acute oral toxicity study in rats, DACO: 4.6.1,IIIA 7.1.1
2827946	2016, BAS 453 00 I - Acute dermal toxicity study in rats, DACO: 4.6.2,IIIA 7.1.2
2827947	2016, BAS 453 00 I - Acute inhalation toxicity in rats, DACO: 4.6.3,IIIA 7.1.3
2827948	2017, BAS 453 UD I - Acute dermal irritation / corrosion in rabbits, DACO:
	4.6.5,IIIA 7.1.4
2827949	2017, BAS 453 UD I - Acute eye irritation in rabbits, DACO: 4.6.4,IIIA 7.1.5
2827950	2017, BAS 453 UD I - BUEHLER Test in guinea pigs, DACO: 4.6.6,IIIA 7.1.6
2828019	2014, BAS 450 01 I - Acute oral toxicity study in rats, DACO: 4.6.1,IIIA 7.1.1
2828020	2014, BAS 450 01 I - Acute dermal toxicity study in rats, DACO: 4.6.2,IIIA 7.1.2
2828021	2014, BAS 450 01 I: 4-hour acute inhalation toxicity study in the rat, DACO:
	4.6.3,IIIA 7.1.3
2828022	2014, BAS 450 01 I - Acute dermal irritation / corrosion in rabbits, DACO:
	4.6.5,IIIA 7.1.4
2828023	2015, BAS 450 01 I - Acute eye irritation in rabbits (Including amendment no. 1),
	DACO: 4.6.4,IIIA 7.1.5
2828024	2014, BAS 450 01 I - Test for delayed contact hypersensitivity in the guinea pig
	using the BUEHLER test, DACO: 4.6.6,IIIA 7.1.6
2828152	2017, MCI-8007 (BAS 450 I, Broflanilide): Metabolism and pharmacokinetics in
	rats after single oral and intravenous doses, DACO: 4.5.9,IIA 5.1.1

2828153	2017, MCI-8007 (BAS 450 I, Broflanilide): Biliary excretion in rats, DACO:
2828154	4.5.9,IIA 5.1.1 2017, MCI-8007 (BAS 450 I, Broflanilide): Tissue depletion in rats after single
	oral doses, DACO: 4.5.9,IIA 5.1.1
2828155	2012, Single dose toxicokinetics of (14C)LS 5672774 after oral administration in male and female wistar rats, DACO: 4.5.9,IIA 5.1.1
2828156	2017, MCI-8007 (BAS 450 I, Broflanilide): Metabolism and pharmacokinetics in rats after repeat oral doses, DACO: 4.5.9,IIA 5.1.3
2828157	2015, MCI-8007 - Immunotoxicity study in male Wistar rats - Administration via
2020150	the diet for 4 weeks, DACO: 4.2.9,4.3.8,4.4.5,4.5.8,4.8,IIA 5.10
2828158	Various, 2017, Scientific studies cited in support of Broflanilide: Toxicology, DACO: 4.2.9,4.3.8,4.4.5,4.5.8,4.8,IIA 5.10
2828159	2012, MLP-8607 - Acute oral toxicity study in the female rat (up and down method), DACO: 4.2.1,IIA 5.2.1
2828160	2012, MLP-8607 - Acute dermal toxicity study in the rat, DACO: 4.2.2,IIA 5.2.2
2828161	2014, An acute inhalation toxicity study of MCI-8007 in rats, DACO: 4.2.3,IIA 5.2.3
2828162	2012, MLP-8607 - Assessment of skin irritation, DACO: 4.2.5,IIA 5.2.4
2828163	2012, MLP-8607 - Assessment of skill firitation, DACO: 4.2.5,fiA 5.2.4
2828164	2012, MLP-8607 - Assessment of octuar inflation, DACO: 4.2.4,iiA 3.2.5
	5.2.6
2828165	2012, MLP-8607 - Local lymph node assay in the mouse, DACO: 4.2.6,IIA 5.2.6
2828166	2014, MCI-8007 - Skin Sensitization Preliminary Study in Guinea Pigs - Maximization Test-, DACO: 4.2.6,IIA 5.2.6
2828167	2015, MCI-8007: Skin sensitization study in guinea pigs - Maximization test -, DACO: 4.2.6,IIA 5.2.6
2828168	2010, MLP-8607: Oral (dietary) maximum tolerated dose (MTD) study in the rat, DACO: 4.3.3,IIA 5.3.1
2828169	2014, MCI-8007 - 4 Week Oral (Dietary) Administration Range-finding Study in the Mouse, DACO: 4.3.3,IIA 5.3.1
2828170	2014, MLP-8607 - Oral (Dietary) Combined Repeated Dose Toxicity Study with the Reproduction/Developmental Toxicity Screening Test in the Rat, DACO:
	4.3.3,IIA 5.3.1
2828171	2013, Summary report: Range-finding study in beagle dogs - Oral administration (capsule), DACO: 4.3.3,IIA 5.3.1
2828172	2015, MCI-8007 - Repeated-dose 28-day oral toxicity study in Beagle dogs Oral administration (capsule), DACO: 4.3.3,IIA 5.3.1
2828173	2016, MCI-8007: 13 Week Toxicity Study in the Mouse for Dose Range Finding, DACO: 4.3.1,IIA 5.3.2

2828176 2010, MLP-8607: Development/validation of an analytical method and the determination of homogeneity and stability in dietary formulations, DACO: 4.3.1,IIA 5.3.2

Administration via the diet, DACO: 4.3.1, IIA 5.3.2

2828174

2828175

2017, MCI-8007 - Repeated-dose 90-day toxicity study in Wistar rats including a

recovery period of 4 weeks - Administration via the diet, DACO: 4.3.1,IIA 5.3.2 2015, Summary report: Repeated dose 90-day oral toxicity study in Wistar rats -

2828177	2016, Validation of an Analytical Procedure for the Determination of MCI-8007 and DM-8007 in Mouse Plasma using Protein Precipitation followed by Liquid Chromatography with Tandem Mass Spectrometric Detection (LC-MS/MS), DACO: 4.3.1,IIA 5.3.2
2828178	2015, Determination of MCI-8007 (Reg. No. 5672774) and its metabolite DM-8007 (Reg. No. 5856361) in rat plasma sampled during the course of Project No. 50C0219/10S117, DACO: 4.3.1,IIA 5.3.2
2828179	2017, BAS 450 I (Reg.No. 5672774, MCI-8007) - Validation of an analytical method for the analysis of BAS 450 I (Reg.No. 5672774, MCI-8007) in ground Kliba maintenance diet mouse/rat GLP meal using HPLC-MS (control procedure: 10/0219_05), DACO: 4.3.1,IIA 5.3.2
2828180	2017, MCI-8007: Validation of an analytical method and determination of homogeneity in dietary formulations, DACO: 4.3.1,IIA 5.3.2
2828181	2017, BAS 450 I (Reg.No. 5672774, MCI-8007) - Validation of an analytical method for the analysis of BAS 450 I (Reg.No. 5672774, MCI-8007) in Ground Kliba maintenance diet mouse/rat GLP meal using HPLC-UV (control procedure 10/0219_03), DACO: 4.3.1,IIA 5.3.2
2828182	2016, MCI-8007 - Repeated-dose 90-day oral toxicity study in Beagle dogs Oral administration (capsule), DACO: 4.3.2,IIA 5.3.3
2828183	2017, MCI-8007 - Repeated-dose 12-months toxicity study in Beagle dogs Oral administration (capsule), DACO: 4.3.2,IIA 5.3.4
2828184	2015, Summary report: Range-finding study for a subchronic inhalation study, 5-day exposure wistar rats, dust exposure, DACO: 4.3.7,IIA 5.3.5
2828185	2017, MCI-8007 - Repeated dose 28-day inhalation toxicity study Wistar rats with recovery period; dust exposure, DACO: 4.3.7,IIA 5.3.5
2828186	2015, MCI-8007 - Repeated dose 28-day dermal toxicity study in Wistar rats, DACO: 4.3.5,IIA 5.3.7
2828187	2011, LS 5672774 - Salmonella typhimurium / Eschericia coli - Reverse mutation assay, DACO: 4.5.4,IIA 5.4.1
2828188	2010, Chromosome aberration test with MLP-8607 in cultured mammalian cells, DACO: 4.5.6,IIA 5.4.2
2828189	2014, MCI-8007 - In vitro gene mutation test in CHO cells (HPRT locus assay), DACO: 4.5.5,IIA 5.4.3
2828190	2013, MLP-8607 - Micronucleus test in bone marrow cells of the mouse, DACO: 4.5.7,IIA 5.4.4
2828191	2017, 14C-MCI-8007 - Study on kinetics in mice, DACO: 4.5.7,IIA 5.4.4
2828192	2017, Validation of an analytical method for the analysis of BAS 450 I (Reg.No. 5672774, MCI-8007) in a mixture of dimethyl sulfoxide and corn oil (2+3; V/V) using HPLC-UV (control procedure 10/0219_01) (Including amendment no. 1), DACO: 4.3.1,4.5.7,IIA 5.3.2,IIA 5.4.4
2828193	2017, MCI-8007 - Combined chronic toxicity/carcinogenicity study in Wistar rats - Administration via the diet up to 24 months, DACO: 4.4.1,4.4.2,4.4.4,IIA 5.5.1,IIA 5.5.2
2828194	2016, MCI-8007 - 78 week oral (dietary) administration carcinogenicity study in the mouse, DACO: 4.4.3,IIA 5.5.3
2828195	2017, MCI-8007: 90-day investigative toxicity study in wistar rats by dietary administration, DACO: 4.8,IIA 5.5.4

2828196	2017, Development and validation of an analytical method for the analysis of MCI-8007 in diet including diet analysis, DACO: 4.8,IIA 5.5.4
2828199	2017, An immunohistochemistry study to detect luteinizing hormone expression in the pituitary gland of rats from Charles River laboratories, DACO: 4.8,IIA
2828200	5.5.4 2017, Carcinogenic potential of Broflanilide based on genotoxicity, chronic term, life stages exposure, and dietary admixture investigative studies, DACO: 4.8,IIA
	5.5.4
2828201	2017, MCI-8007 - Two-generation reproduction toxicity study in wistar rats -
	Administration via the diet, DACO: 4.5.1,IIA 5.6.1
2828202	2016, MCI-8007 - Prenatal developmental toxicity study in Wistar rats - Oral administration (gavage), DACO: 4.5.2,IIA 5.6.10
2828203	2017, BAS 450 I - Validation of an analytical method for the analysis of BAS 450 I (Reg.No. 5672774. MCI-8007) in 1.0% (w/v) Carboxymethylcellulose in drinking water using HPLC-UV (control procedure 10/0219_04), DACO: 4.5.2,IIA 5.6.10
2828204	2011, Summary report - LS 5672774, * - Test study in female, non-pregnant Wistar rats - Oral administration (gavage), DACO: 4.5.2,IIA 5.6.10
2828205	2011, Summary report - LS 5672774, * - Test study in female, non-pregnant Wistar rats - Oral administration (gavage), DACO: 4.5.2,IIA 5.6.10 CBI
2828206	2011, Summary report - LS 5672774, * - Maternal toxicity study in Wistar rats (range-finding) - Oral administration (gavage), DACO: 4.5.2,IIA 5.6.10
2828208	2011, Summary report - LS 5672774, * - Maternal toxicity study in Wistar rats (range-finding) - Oral administration (gavage), DACO: 4.5.2,IIA 5.6.10 CBI
2828210	2016, MCI-8007 - Prenatal Developmental Toxicity Study in New Zealand White Rabbits - Oral Administration (Gavage), DACO: 4.5.3,IIA 5.6.11
2828211	2016, MLP-8607 - Test study in female, non-pregnant New Zealand white rabbits - Oral administration (gavage), DACO: 4.5.3, IIA 5.6.11
2828212	2016, MLP-8607 - Maternal toxicity study in New Zealand white rabbits (range-finding) - Oral administration (gavage), DACO: 4.5.3, IIA 5.6.11
2828213	2017, Summary of results: MCI-8007: Peak-finding study in Wistar rats, single administration by gavage and 3-days observation period afterwards, DACO: 4.5.12, IIA 5.7.1
2828214	2017, MCI-8007 - Acute oral neurotoxicity study in Wistar rats - Administration gavage, DACO: 4.5.12,IIA 5.7.1
2828215	2015, MCI-8007 - Repeated Dose 90-day Oral Neurotoxicity Study in Wistar Rats Administration via the Diet, DACO: 4.5.13,IIA 5.7.4
2828216	2015, Acute oral dose toxicity study of DM-8007 in Wistar rats - (Up-and-down procedure), DACO: 4.8,IIA 5.8
2828219	2015, Bacterial reverse mutation test of DM-8007, DACO: 4.8,IIA 5.8
2828220	2016, DM-8007 - Test study in Wistar rats - Administration via the diet for at least 14 days, DACO: 4.8,IIA 5.8
2828221	2017, DM-8007 - Repeated-dose 28 day toxicity study in Wistar rats - Administration via the diet, DACO: 4.8,IIA 5.8
2828222	2017, DM-8007 - Repeated-dose 90-day toxicity study in Wistar rats - Administration via the diet, DACO: 4.8,IIA 5.8

2828223	2015, Acute oral dose toxicity study of DC-DM-8007 in wistar rats (up-and-down
2020224	procedure), DACO: 4.8,IIA 5.8
2828224	2015, Bacterial reverse mutation test of - DC-DM-8007, DACO: 4.8,IIA 5.8
2828225	2016, DC-DM-8007 - Test study in Wistar rats - Administration via the diet for at
2020226	least 14 days, DACO: 4.8,IIA 5.8
2828226	2017, Summary of results: DC-DM-8007: Test study in wistar rats administration via the diet for at least 14 days, DACO: 4.8,IIA 5.8
2020220	2017, DC-DM-8007 - Repeated-dose 28-day toxicity study in Wistar rats -
2828229	Administration via the diet, DACO: 4.8,IIA 5.8
2828230	2017, DC-DM-8007 - Repeated-dose 90-day toxicity study in Wistar rats -
2626230	Administration via the diet, DACO: 4.8,IIA 5.8
2828231	2015, Acute oral dose toxicity study of S(PFP-OH)-8007 in Wistar rats - (Up-and-
2020231	down procedure), DACO: 4.8,IIA 5.8
2828232	2015, Bacterial reverse mutation test of S(PFP-OH)-8007, DACO: 4.8,IIA 5.8
2828233	2016, S(PFP-OH)-8007 - Test study in Wistar rats - Administration via the diet for at least 14 days, DACO: 4.8,IIA 5.8
2828234	2017, S(PFP-OH)-8007 - Repeated-dose 28-day toxicity study in wistar rats -
	Administration via the diet, DACO: 4.8,IIA 5.8
2828236	2017, S(PFP-OH)-8007 - Repeated-dose 90-day toxicity study in wistar rats -
	Administration via the diet, DACO: 4.8,IIA 5.8
2828238	2017, Acute oral dose toxicity study of DC-8007 in Wistar rats (up-and-down
	procedure), DACO: 4.8,IIA 5.8
2828239	2017, Bacterial reverse mutation test of DC-8007, DACO: 4.8,IIA 5.8
2828240	2016, Acute oral dose toxicity study of MFBA in Wistar rats (up-and-down
	procedure), DACO: 4.8,IIA 5.8
2828241	2016, Bacterial reverse mutation test of MFBA, DACO: 4.8,IIA 5.8
2828242	2017, Chromosomal aberration study of MFBA in cultured mammalian cells,
	DACO: 4.8,IIA 5.8
2828243	2017, Bone marrow micronucleus assay of MFBA in rats, DACO: 4.8,IIA 5.8
2828244	2017, Reg.No. 5959600 (metabolite of BAS 450 I) = AB-oxa - Acute oral toxicity
	study in rats, DACO: 4.8,IIA 5.8
2828247	2017, Reg.No. 5959600 (metabolite of BAS 450 I) = AB-oxa - Salmonella
	typhimurium / Eschericia coli - Reverse mutation assay, DACO: 4.8,IIA 5.8
2828248	2017, Reg.No. 5959595 (metabolite of BAS 450 I) = $S(Br-OH)-8007$ - Acute oral
	toxicity study in rats (Including amendment no. 1), DACO: 4.8,IIA 5.8
2828249	2017, Reg.No. 5959595 (metabolite of BAS 450 I) = $S(Br-OH)-8007$ -
	Salmonella typhimurium / Escherichia coli - Reverse mutation assay, DACO:
	4.8,IIA 5.8
2828250	2017, A 28-day repeated dose oral toxicity study of MFBA in rats, DACO:
	4.8,IIA 5.8
2828251	2017, DM-8007- Validation of an analytical method for the analysis of DM-8007
	in Ground Kliba maintenance diet mouse/rat GLP meal using HPLC-UV (control
	procedure 13/0292_01), DACO: 4.8,IIA 5.8
2828252	2017, DC-DM-8007 - Validation of an analytical method for the analysis fo DC-
	DM-8007 in Ground Kliba maintenance diet mouse/rat GLP meal using HPLC-
	UV (control procedure 15/0426_01), DACO: 4.8,IIA 5.8

2828253	2017, S(PFP-OH)-8007 - Validation of an analytical method for the analysis of S(PFP-OH)-8007 in Ground Kliba maintenance diet mouse/rat GLP meal using HPLC (control procedure 15/0427_01), DACO: 4.8,IIA 5.8
2923483	2016, Analytical report - DC-DM-8007 - Stability analysis in ground kliba maintenance diet mouse/rat GLP meal, DACO: 4.8,IIA 5.8
2923484	2018, DC-DM-8007 - Repeated-dose 28-day toxicity study in Wistar rats - Administration via the diet, DACO: 4.8,IIA 5.8
2828136	2017, Validation BASF Method Number D1417/01 for determination of residues of BAS 450 I and its metabolites S(PFP-OH)-8007 and DM-8007 in wheat grain, dry beans seed, tomato fruit, citrus fruit, soybean seed and coffee grain using LC-MS/MS (Including Amendment No. 1), DACO: 7.2.1,7.2.4,IIA 4.3
2828137	2017, Validation of method D1703/01: Analytical method for the determination of Broflanilide (BAS 450 I) metabolites Reg. No. 6066332 and 6065386 at LOQ of 0.01 mg/kg in plant matrices by LC-MS/MS, DACO: 7.2.1,7.2.4,IIA 4.3
2828138	2017, Validation of BASF method D1713/01: Multi-residue method using modified AOAC official method 2007.01 for the determination of residues of BAS 450 I (Reg. No. 5672774) and its metabolites S(PFP-OH)-8007 (Reg.No. 5959598) and DM-8007 (Reg.No. 5856361) in plant matrices using LC-MS/MS, DACO: 7.2.1,7.2.4,IIA 4.3
2828139	2017, Independent laboratory validation of BASF analytical method D1417/01 titled: Analytical method for the determination of BAS 450 I (Reg. No. 5672774) and metabolites (Reg. No. 5959598 and 5856361) in plant matrices by LC-MS/MS, DACO: 7.2.1,7.2.4,IIA 4.3
2828140	2017, Validation of BASF Analytical Method D1604/01:Analytical Method for the Determination of BAS 450 I (Reg. No. 5672774), DM-8007 (Reg. No. 5856361) and DC-DM-8007 (Reg. No. 5936906) in Animal Matrices by LC-MS/MS, DACO: 7.2.1,7.2.4,IIA 4.3
2828141	2017, Independent laboratory validation of BASF analytical method D1604/01: Analytical method for the determination of BAS 450 I (Reg. No. 5672774), DM-8007 (Reg. No. 5856361) and DC-DM-8007 (Reg. No. 5936906) in animal matrices by LC-MS/MS, DACO: 7.2.1,7.2.4,IIA 4.3
2828142	2017, Validation of BASF analytical method D1710/01: Multi-residue method using modified AOAC official method 2007.01 for determination of residues of BAS 450 I (Reg. No. 5672774), DM-8007 (Reg. No. 5856361) and DC-DM-8007 (Reg. No. 5936906) in animal matrices by LC-MS/MS, DACO: 7.2.1,7.2.4,IIA 4.3
2828254	2017, Freezer Storage Stability of BAS 450 I (Reg. No. 5672774) and Metabolites S(PFPOH)- 8007 (Reg. No. 5959598) and DM-8007 (Reg. No. 5856361) in Plant Matrices, DACO: 7.3,IIA 6.1.1
2828255	2017, Freezer Storage Stability of BAS 450 I (Reg. No. 5672774) and Metabolites S(PFP-OH)-8007 (Reg. No. 5959598), DM-8007 (Reg. No. 5856361), B-oxamacid (Reg. No. 6066332) and B-urea (Reg. No. 6065386) in Selected Plant and Bee Matrices, DACO: 7.3,IIA 6.1.1
2828257	2017, A metabolism study with [14C]Broflanilide, also known as [14C]MCI-8007 and [14C]BAS 450 I (2 radiolabels) in tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum), DACO: 6.3,IIA 6.2.1

2828258	2017, A metabolism study with [14C]Broflanilide, also known as [14C]MCI-8007 and [14C]BAS 450 I (2 radiolabels) in cabbage (Brassica oleracea), DACO: 6.3,IIA 6.2.1
2828259	2017, A metabolism study with [14C]Broflanilide, also known as [14C]MCI-8007 and [14C]BAS 450 I (2 radiolabels) in soybean (Glycine max), DACO: 6.3,IIA 6.2.1
2828260	2017, A metabolism study with [14C]Broflanilide, also known as [14C]MCI-8007 and [14C]BAS 450 I (2 radiolabels) in tea (Camelia sinensis), DACO: 6.3,IIA 6.2.1
2828261	2017, [14C]Broflanilide, also known as [14C]MCI-8007 and [14C]BAS 450 I: Metabolism in Japanese radish, DACO: 6.3,IIA 6.2.1
2828262	2017, Metabolism of 14C-BAS 450 I in wheat after seed treatment, DACO: 6.3,IIA 6.2.1
2828263	2017, [14C]Broflanilide, also known as [14C]MCI-8007 and [14C]BAS 450 I: Metabolism in rice, DACO: 6.3,IIA 6.2.1
2828264	2017, A metabolism study with [14C]Broflanilide also known as [14C]MCI-8007 and [14C]BAS 450 I (2 radiolabels) in laying hens, DACO: 6.2,IIA 6.2.2
2828265	2017, A metabolism study with [14C]Broflanilide, also known as [14C]MCI-8007 and [14C]BAS 450 I (2 radiolabels) in the lactating goat, DACO: 6.2,IIA 6.2.3
2828266	2017, Magnitude of the residue of Broflanilide, (BAS 450 I) in potatoes following foliar or in-furrow applications of BAS 450 00 I, DACO: 7.4.1,7.4.2,7.4.6,IIA 6.3.1
2828267	2017, Magnitude of the residues of Broflanilide in or on field corn and sweet corn raw agricultural commodities following one in-furrow application of BAS 450 00 I, DACO: 7.4.1,7.4.2,7.4.6,IIA 6.3.2
2828268	2017, Magnitude of the residues of Broflanilide in or on wheat raw agricultural commodities following seed treatment with BAS 450 01 I, DACO: 7.4.1,7.4.2,7.4.6,IIA 6.3.3
2828269	2017, Magnitude of the residues of BAS 450I in barley following seed treatment with BAS 450 01 I, DACO: 7.4.1,7.4.2,7.4.6,IIA 6.3.3
2828270	2017, Magnitude of the residues in eggs and tissues of laying hens following oral administration of BAS 450 I, DACO: 7.5,7.6,IIA 6.4.1
2828271	2017, A meat and milk magnitude of the residue study with BAS 450 I in lactating dairy cows, DACO: 7.5,7.6,IIA 6.4.2
2828274	2017, Magnitude and concentration of the residue of Broflanilide, (BAS 450 I) in potato processed commodities following in-furrow and foliar applications of BAS 450 00 I, DACO: 7.4.5,IIA 6.5.3
2828275	2017, Magnitude of the Residues of BAS 450 I in Wheat Processed Fractions Following Applications of BAS 450 00 I, DACO: 7.4.5,IIA 6.5.3
2828276	2017, Magnitude of the Residues of BAS 450 I in Corn Processed Fractions Following Applications of BAS 450 00 I, DACO: 7.4.5,IIA 6.5.3
2828277	2017, A Metabolism Study with [14C]Broflanilide, also known as [14C]MCI-8007 and [14C]BAS 450 I, (2 Radiolabels) in Rotational Crops, DACO: 7.4.4,IIA 6.6.2
2828278	2017, Magnitude of residues of BAS 450 I in field rotational crops following applications of BAS 450 00I (including analysis through the targeted 90-day plantback interval), DACO: 7.4.4,IIA 6.6.3

3004631	2019, Freezer Storage Stability of BAS 450 I (Reg. No. 5672774) and Metabolites S(PFPOH)- 8007 (Reg. No. 5959598) and DM-8007 (Reg. No. 5856361) in Plant Matrices, DACO: 7.3
3004632	2019, Freezer Storage Stability of BAS 450 I (Reg. No. 5672774) and Metabolites S(PFPOH)- 8007 (Reg. No. 5959598), DM-8007 (Reg. No. 5856361), B-oxamacid (Reg. No. 6066332) and B-urea (Reg. No. 6065386) in Selected Plant and Bee Matrices, DACO: 7.3
3004633	2019, Magnitude of Residues of BAS 450 I in Field Rotational Crops Following Applications of BAS 450 00 I, DACO: 7.4.4
2827896	2016, 14C-MCI-8007 in BAS 450 00 I - Study of the dermal penetration in rats, DACO: 5.8,IIIA 7.6.1
2828009	2017, Use Site Description for Teraxxa and Teraxxa F4, DACO: 10.2.2,5.2,IIIA 3.3.1
3.0	Environment
2828123	2016, Hydrolysis of [14C]MCI-8007 at pH 4, 7 and 9, DACO: 8.2.3.2,IIA 2.9.1,IIA 7.5
2828126	2017, Direct aqueous photodegradation of [14C]MCI-8007 (also known as [14C]Broflanilide or [14C]BAS 450 I), DACO: 8.2.3.3.2,IIA 2.9.2,IIA 7.6
2828128	2017, Direct aqueous photodegradation of [14C]Broflanilide (also known as MCI-8007 and BAS 450 I) in pH 5 and pH 9 buffer, DACO: 8.2.3.3.2,IIA 2.9.2,IIA 7.6
2828280	2017, Aerobic soil metabolism of 14C-Broflanilide (MCI-8007 or BAS 450 I), DACO: 8.2.3.4.2,IIA 7.1.1,IIA 7.2.1
2828282	2017, Anaerobic soil metabolism of 14C-Broflanilide (MCI-8007 or BAS 450 I), DACO: 8.2.3.4.4,IIA 7.1.2,IIA 7.2.4
2828284	2017, Photodegradation of [14C] Broflanilide, also known as [14C] MCI-8007 and [14C] BAS 450 I in/on soil by artificial sunlight, DACO: 8.2.3.3.1,IIA 7.1.3
2828286	2017, Atmospheric degradation of Broflanilide (BAS 450 I or MCI-8007) by reaction with hydroxyl radicals and ozone: Structure-activity relationship calculations using AOPWIN v1.92, DACO: 8.2.3.3.3,IIA 7.10
2828290	2017, Aerobic soil metabolism of 14C-Broflanilide (MCI-8007 or BAS 450 I) in intact soil cores and processed soils, DACO: 8.2.3.4.2,IIA 7.2.1
2828292	2017, Terrestrial field dissipation of the insecticide Broflanilide (BAS 450 I or MCI-8007) following broadcast applications of BAS 450 00 I (SC), DACO: 8.3.2,IIA 7.3.1
2828295	2017, Outdoor aerobic soil metabolism of 14C-BAS 450 I on bare soil in California and Georgia, USA, DACO: 8.3.2,IIA 7.3.2
2828297	2017, Soil adsorption/desorption of [14C]MCI-8007 (also known as [14C]Broflanilide or [14C]BAS 450 I) by the batch equilibrium method, DACO: 8.2.4.2,IIA 7.4.1
2828299	2017, Soil adsorption coefficient of DM-8007, DACO: 8.2.4.2, IIA 7.4.2
2828300	2017, Soil adsorption coefficient of S(PFP-OH)-8007, DACO: 8.2.4.2,IIA 7.4.2
2828301	2017, Adsorption/desorption of [14C]DC-DM-8007 in US soils, DACO: 8.2.4.2,IIA 7.4.2
2828302	2017, Adsorption/desorption of [14C]DC-8007 in US soils, DACO: 8.2.4.2,IIA 7.4.2

2828303	2017, Aerobic aquatic metabolism of [14C]Broflanilide, also known as [14C]MCI-8007 and [14C]BAS 450 I, in two test systems, DACO:
	8.2.3.5.2,8.2.3.5.4,IIA 7.8.1
2828305	2017, Anaerobic aquatic metabolism of [14C]Broflanilide, also known as [14C]MCI-8007 and [14C]BAS 450 I, DACO: 8.2.3.5.5,8.2.3.5.6,IIA 7.8.2
2828307	2016, Northern bobwhite (Colinus virginianus) acute oral toxicity test (LD50) with BAS 450 I, DACO: 9.6.2.1,9.6.2.2,9.6.2.3,IIA 8.1.1
2828309	2015, BAS 450 I (Reg.No. 5672774, MCI-8007) - Acute toxicity in the mallard duck (Anas platyrhynchos) after single oral administration (LD50), DACO: 9.6.2.1,9.6.2.2,9.6.2.3,IIA 8.1.1
2828311	2015, BAS 450 I (Reg.No. 5672774, MCI-8007) - Acute toxicity in the canary (Serinus canaria) after single oral administration (LD50), DACO: 9.6.2.1,9.6.2.2,9.6.2.3,IIA 8.1.1
2828314	2017, BAS 450 I (MCI-8007): A dietary LC50 study with the mallard, DACO: 9.6.2.4,9.6.2.5,IIA 8.1.2
2828317	2017, BAS 450 I (MCI-8007): A dietary LC50 study with the northern bobwhite, DACO: 9.6.2.4,9.6.2.5,IIA 8.1.2
2828319	2017, BAS 450 I (MCI-8007): A reproduction study with the mallard, DACO: 9.6.3.1,9.6.3.2,9.6.3.3,IIA 8.1.4
2828323	2017, BAS 450 I (MCI-8007): A reproduction study with the mallard, DACO: 9.6.3.1,9.6.3.2,9.6.3.3,IIA 8.1.4
2828321	2016, BAS 450 I (MCI-8007): A reproduction study with the northern bobwhite, DACO: 9.6.3.1,9.6.3.2,9.6.3.3,IIA 8.1.4
2828330	2016, BAS 450 I: A 96-hour toxicity test with the marine diatom (Skeletonema costatum), DACO: 9.4.2,9.4.3,9.4.4,IIA 8.11.1
2828332	2016, BAS 450 I: A 96-hour flow-through acute toxicity test with the saltwater mysid (Americanysis bahia), DACO: 9.4.2,9.4.3,9.4.4,IIA 8.11.1
2828334	2017, BAS 450 I - Acute toxicity test with eastern oyster (Crassostrea virginica) under flow-through conditions, DACO: 9.4.2,9.4.3,9.4.4,IIA 8.11.1
2828336	2017, BAS 450 I: A flow-through life-cycle toxicity test with the saltwater mysid (Americamysis bahia), DACO: 9.4.3,9.4.4,9.4.5,IIA 8.11.1
2828338	2016, BAS 450 I: A 96-hour flow-through acute toxicity test with the sheepshead minnow (Cyprinodon variegatus), DACO: 9.4.2,9.4.3,9.4.4,IIA 8.11.1
2828340	2017, BAS 450 I Metabolite (S (Br-OH)-8007): A 96-Hour Flow-Through Acute Toxicity: Test With The Saltwater Mysid (Americanysis bahia), DACO: 9.4.2,9.4.3,9.4.4,IIA 8.11.1
2828342	2017, BAS 450 I Metabolite (AB-oxa): A 96-Hour Flow-Through Acute Toxicity Test With The Saltwater Mysid (Americamysis bahia), DACO: 9.4.2,9.4.3,9.4.4,IIA 8.11.1
2828344	2017, BAS 450 I metabolite (MFBA): A 96-hour flow-through acute toxicity test with the saltwater mysid (Americamysis bahia), DACO: 9.4.2,9.4.3,9.4.4,IIA 8.11.1
2828347	2016, MCI-8007 technical (Broflanilide): A 96-hour static-renewal acute toxicity test with the rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss), DACO: 9.5.2.1,9.5.2.3,IIA 8.2.1.1
2828349	2016, MCI-8007 technical (Broflanilide): A 96-hour static-renewal acute toxicity test with the bluegill (Lepomis macrochirus), DACO: 9.5.2.2,9.5.2.3,IIA 8.2.1.2

2828351	2016, BAS 450 I: A 96-hour flow-through acute toxicity test with the fathead
2020252	minnow (Pimephales promelas), DACO: 9.5.2.2,9.5.2.3,IIA 8.2.1.2
2828353	2017, MCI-8007 technical (Broflanilide): A 96-hour static-renewal acute toxicity
	test with the common carp (Cyprinus carpio), DACO: 9.5.2.2,9.5.2.3,IIA 8.2.1.2
2828355	2017, BAS 450 I: An early life-stage toxicity test with the fathead minnow
	(Pimephales promelas), DACO: 9.5.3.1,IIA 8.2.4
2828357	2017, BAS 450 I: An early life-stage toxicity test with the sheepshead minnow
	(Cyprinodon variegatus), DACO: 9.4.3,9.4.4,9.5.3.1,IIA 8.11.1,IIA 8.2.4
2828359	2012, A flow-through bioconcentration screening test with the rainbow trout
	(Oncorhynchus mykiss) using 14C-MLP-9595 and 14C-MLP-8607, DACO:
2020252	9.5.6,IIA 8.2.6.1
2828362	2017, MCl-8007 (BAS 450 I, Broflanilide): Bioconcentration study in the
2020254	rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss), DACO: 6.4,9.5.6,IIA 6.2.5,IIA 8.2.6.1
2828364	2016, MCI-8007 (BAS 450 I): A 48-hour static-renewal acute toxicity test with
2020266	the Cladoceran (Daphnia magna), DACO: 9.3.2,IIA 8.3.1.1
2828366	2016, Acute immobilization test of MFBA with Daphnia magna, DACO:
2020260	9.3.2,IIA 8.3.1.1
2828368	2017, Chronic toxicity of BAS 450 I (MCI-8007) to Daphnia magna STRAUS in
2020270	a 21 days semi-static test, DACO: 9.3.3,IIA 8.3.2.1
2828370	2016, Reproduction test of MFBA with Daphnia magna, DACO: 9.3.3,IIA 8.3.2.1
2828372	2017, MCI-8007 (Broflanilide): A 72-hour toxicity test with the freshwater alga
2828374	(Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata), DACO: 9.8.2,9.8.3,IIA 8.4 2017, MCI-8007 (Broflanilide): A 96-hour toxicity test with the freshwater alga
	(Raphidocelis subcapitata), DACO: 9.8.2,9.8.3,IIA 8.4
2828376	2016, BAS 450 I: A 96-hour toxicity test with the Cyanobacteria (Anabaena flos-
2020370	aquae), DACO: 9.8.2,9.8.3,IIA 8.4
2828378	2016, BAS 450 I: A 96-hour toxicity test with the freshwater diatom (Navicula
2020370	pelliculosa), DACO: 9.8.2,9.8.3,IIA 8.4
2828380	2016, Growth inhibition test of MFBA with green algae (Pseudokirchneriella
2020200	subcapitata), DACO: 9.8.2,9.8.3,IIA 8.4
2828382	2016, BAS 450 I - 10-day toxicity test exposing midge (Chironomus dilutus) to a
	test substance applied to sediment under static-renewal conditions, DACO:
	9.9,IIA 8.5.1
2828384	2016, BAS 450 I - 10-day toxicity test exposing freshwater amphipods (Hyalella
	azteca) to a test substance applied to sediment under static-renewal conditions,
	DACO: 9.9,IIA 8.5.1
2828386	2016, BAS 450 I - 10-day toxicity test exposing estuarine amphipods
	(Leptocheirus plumulosus) to a test substance applied to sediment under static
	conditions, DACO: 9.9,IIA 8.5.1
2828388	2017, DC-8007 - 10-day toxicity test exposing midge (Chironomus dilutus) to a
	test substance applied to sediment under static-renewal conditions, DACO:
	9.9,IIA 8.5.1
2828390	2017, Life-cycle toxicity test exposing midges (Chironomus dilutus) to BAS 450 I
	applied to sediment under static-renewal conditions following EPA test methods,
	DACO: 9.9,IIA 8.5.2

2828392	2017, BAS 450 I - 42-day toxicity test exposing freshwater amphipods (Hyalella azteca) to a test substance applied to sediment under static-renewal conditions following EPA test methods DACO: 0.0 HA 8.5.2
2828394	following EPA test methods, DACO: 9.9,IIA 8.5.2 2017, BAS 450 I - 28-day toxicity test exposing estuarine amphipods (Leptocheirus plumulosus) to a test substance applied to sediment under static-
	renewal conditions following EPA test methods, DACO: 9.9,IIA 8.5.2
2828396	2016, BAS 450 I: A 7-day static-renewal toxicity test with Duckweed (lemna gibba G3), DACO: 9.8.5,IIA 8.6
2828398	2017, Determination of residues of BAS 450 00 I in pollen of corn after one infurrow soil application in a field residue study in Germany 2016, DACO: 9.2.4.2,IIA 8.7.1
2828400	2017, Determination of residues in pollen and nectar of oilseed rape grown as a succeeding crop in a corn field previously treated once with BAS 450 00 I as a soil in-furrow application, DACO: 9.2.4.2,IIA 8.7.1
2828406	2017, Determination of residues of BAS 450 I in leaves and flowers of canola (Brassica napus) after seed treatment use under greenhouse conditions (NON-GLP), DACO: 9.2.4.2,IIA 8.7.1
2828408	2015, Acute toxicity of MCI-8007 (BAS 450 I) to the honeybee Apis mellifera L. under laboratory conditions, DACO: 9.2.4.1,9.2.4.2,IIA 8.7.1,IIA 8.7.2
2828411	2015, Acute toxicity of BAS 450 I (MCI-8007) to the bumblebee Bombus terrestris L. under laboratory conditions, DACO: 9.2.4.1,9.2.4.2,IIA 8.7.1,IIA 8.7.2
2828414	2016, Reg.No. 5856361 (metabolite of BAS 450 I): Effects (acute contact and oral) on honey bees (Apis mellifera L.) in the laboratory, DACO: 9.2.4.1,9.2.4.2,IIA 8.7.1,IIA 8.7.2
2828417	2016, Reg.No. 5959598 (metabolite of BAS 450 I): Effects (acute contact and oral) on honey bees (Apis mellifera L.) in the laborator?, DACO: 9.2.4.1,9.2.4.2,IIA 8.7.1,IIA 8.7.2
2828420	2017, Acute toxicity of Reg. No. 5936907 (metabolite of BAS 450 I) to the honeybee Apis mellifera L. under laboratory conditions (Including amendment no. 1), DACO: 9.2.4.1,9.2.4.2,IIA 8.7.1,IIA 8.7.2
2828423	2017, Acute toxicity of Reg. No. 6065386 (metabolite of BAS 450 I) to the honeybee Apis mellifera L. under laboratory conditions, DACO: 9.2.4.1,9.2.4.2,IIA 8.7.1,IIA 8.7.2
2828426	2017, BAS 450 I (DC-DM-8007 Reg No. 5936906) - Apis mellifera acute laboratory, DACO: 9.2.4.1,9.2.4.2,IIA 8.7.1,IIA 8.7.2
2828429	2017, Reg.No. 6066332 (metabolite of BAS 450 I) - Acute oral and contact toxicity to the honey bee, Apis mellifera L. under laboratory conditions, DACO: 9.2.4.1,9.2.4.2,IIA 8.7.1,IIA 8.7.2
2828432	2015, Chronic toxicity of BAS 450 I (MCI-8007) to the honeybee (Apis mellifera L.) under laboratory condition, DACO: 9.2.4.1,IIA 8.7.3
2828434	2015, BAS 450 00 I (a.i. reg. no. 5672774): Toxicity of residues on foliage to the Honey bee, Apis mellifera, DACO: 9.2.4.1,IIA 8.7.3
2828436	2016, Acute toxicity of BAS 450 I (MCI 8007) to honeybee larvae Apis mellifera L. under laboratory conditions (in vitro), DACO: 9.2.4.3,IIA 8.7.4
2828438	2017, Repeated exposure of BAS 450 I (MCI-8007) to honey bee (Apis mellifera) larvae under laboratory conditions (in vitro), DACO: 9.2.4.3,IIA 8.7.4

2828440	2015, Acute toxicity of BAS 450 I (MCI-8007) to the earthworm Eisenia fetida in artificial soil with 10% peat, DACO: 9.2.3.1,IIA 8.9.1
2828442	2016, Reg.No. 5936907 (metabolite of BAS 450 I, DC-8007): Acute toxicity to
	the earthworm Eisenia fetida (Annelida, Lumbricidae) in artificial soil with 10% peat, DACO: 9.2.3.1,IIA 8.9.1
2828444	2017, Reg. No. 5936906 (Metabolite of BAS 450 I; DC-DM-8007): Acute
2020111	toxicity to the earthworm Eisenia fetida (Annelida, Lumbricidae) in artificial soil
	with 10 % peat, DACO: 9.2.3.1,IIA 8.9.1
2828447	2017, Sublethal toxicity of BAS 450 I (MCI-8007) to the earthworm Eisenia
2020 ,	fetida in artificial soil, DACO: 9.2.3.1,IIA 8.9.2
2827852	2016, Acute toxicity of BAS 450 00 I to the bumblebee Bombus terrestris L.
	under laboratory conditions, DACO: 9.2.8,IIIA 10.4.2.1,IIIA 10.4.2.2
2827855	2017, Acute toxicity of BAS 450 00 I to the honeybee - Apis mellifera L. under
	laboratory conditions, DACO: 9.2.8,IIIA 10.4.2.1,IIIA 10.4.2.2
2827856	2015, A rate-response laboratory test to determine the effects of BAS 450 00 I on
	the predatory mite Typhlodromus pyri (Acari: Phytoseiidae), DACO: 9.2.8,IIIA
	10.5.1
2827858	2015, A rate-response laboratory test to determine the effects of BAS 450 00 I on
	the parasitic wasp Aphidius rhopalosiphi (Hymenoptera, Braconidae), DACO:
	9.2.8,IIIA 10.5.1
2827860	2016, Effects of BAS 450 00 I on the predatory mite Typhlodromus pyri (Acari:
	Phytoseiidae) in an extended laboratory trial - dose response design, DACO:
	9.2.8,IIIA 10.5.2
2827862	2016, Effects of BAS 450 00 I on the parasitic wasp Aphidius rhopalosiphi
	(DeStephani-Perez) (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) in an extended laboratory trial -
	Dose response design, DACO: 9.2.8,IIIA 10.5.2
2827864	2015, Acute toxicity of BAS 450 00 I to the earthworm Eisenia fetida in artificial
2027070	soil with 10% peat, DACO: 9.2.8,IIIA 10.6.2
2827870	2016, BAS 450 00 I: A toxicity test to determine the effects on vegetative vigor of
2027072	ten species of plants, DACO: 9.8.6,IIIA 10.8.1.2
2827872	2017, BAS 450 00 I: A toxicity test to determine the effects on seedling
	emergence and seedling growth of ten species of plants, DACO: 9.8.6,IIIA
2827991	10.8.1.3 2017, Assessment of dust and abrasion particles from BROFLANILIDE-treated
2021991	seeds, DACO: 9.2.4.9
2827992	2016, Acute toxicity of BAS 450 01 I to the honeybee Apis mellifera L. under
2021992	laboratory conditions, DACO: 9.2.8,IIIA 10.4.2.1,IIIA 10.4.2.2
2827994	2016, BAS 450 01 I, Acute Toxicity to the Earthworm Eisenia fetida (Annelida,
2021777	Lumbricidae), in Artificial Soil with 10 % Peat, DACO: 9.2.8,IIIA 10.6.2
2827996	2016, Sublethal effects of BAS 450 01 I on the earthworm Eisenia andrei in
202700	artificial soil, DACO: 9.2.8,IIIA 10.6.3
2827998	2017, Potential effects of BAS 450 01 I on the reproduction of the soil mite
	Hypoaspis aculeifer in artificial soil with 5% peat, DACO: 9.2.8,IIIA 10.6.6
3027824	2019, Broflanilide Metabolite DC-8007: A 96-Hour Toxicity Test with the
	Freshwater Alga (Raphidocelis subcapitata), DACO: 9.8.2,9.8.3,IIA 8.4

3050587 2019, Amended final report: BAS 450 I metabolite (MFBA): A 96-hour flowthrough acute toxicity test with the saltwater mysid (Americamysis bahia), DACO: 9.4.2,9.4.3,9.4.4,IIA 8.11.1

4.0 Value

2827851 2017, BAS 450 06 I - Minor change reasoning, DACO: 10.6,3.7, IIIA 1.7 CBI 2017, Use Site Description: Cimegra for In-furrow Applications on Corn and 2827882 Potatoes, DACO: 10.2.2, 5.2, IIIA 3.3.1 2827885 2017, Petition for Application: CIMEGRA an insecticide for in-furrow use in potato and corn, DACO: 10.2.3.1, 10.2.3.2, 10.2.3.3, 10.2.3.4, 10.3.1, 10.3.2, 10.3.3, 10.4, 10.5.1, 10.5.2, 10.5.3, 10.6, IIIA 6.1.1, IIIA 6.1.2, IIIA 6.1.3, IIIA 6.1.4.1, IIIA 6.1.4.2, IIIA 6.1.4.3, IIIA 6.2.1, IIIA 6.2.2, IIIA 6.2.3, IIIA 6.2.4, IIIA 6.2.5, IIIA 6.2.6, IIIA 6.2.7, IIIA 6.2.8, IIIA 6.3, IIIA 6.4.1, IIIA 6.4.2, IIIA 6.5, IIIA 6.6, IIIA 6.7 2017, Field Data Trials, DACO: 10.2.3.4, 10.3.2,I IIA 6.1.3, IIIA 6.2.1 2827887 2017, Survey of Alternatives, DACO: 10.5.1, IIIA 6.4.1 2827888 2827929 2017, BAS 453 01 I Seed Treatment - Minor Change Reasoning, DACO: 10.6, 3.7, IIIA 1.7 CBI 2017, DACO 3.6- Teraxxa F4- Labelling of preservatives, DACO: 10.6, 3.7, IIIA 2827930 1.7 CBI 2827931 2017, Teraxxa F4 - Overview of SPSFs, DACO: 10.6,3.7,IIIA 1.7 CBI 2828008 2017, Dusting Off Study - Winter Wheat and Corn, DACO: 10.6, 5.14, IIIA 3.10 2828009 2017, Use Site Description for Teraxxa and Teraxxa F4, DACO: 10.2.2, 5.2, IIIA 3.3.1 2828012 2017, PART 10: 10.1 VALUE ASSESSMENT TEMPLATE, DACO: 10.2.3.1, 10.2.3.2, 10.2.3.3, 10.2.3.4, 10.3.1, 10.3.2, 10.3.3, 10.4, 10.5.1, 10.5.2, 10.5.3, 10.5.4, 10.6, IIIA 6.1.1, IIIA 6.1.2, IIIA 6.1.3, IIIA 6.1.4.1, IIIA 6.1.4.2, IIIA 6.1.4.3, IIIA 6.2.1, IIIA 6.2.2, IIIA 6.2.3, IIIA 6.2.4, IIIA 6.2.5, IIIA 6.2.6, IIIA 6.2.7, IIIA 6.2.8, IIIA 6.3, IIIA 6.4.1, IIIA 6.4.2, IIIA 6.4.3, IIIA 6.5, IIIA 6.6, **IIIA 6.7**

B. Additional Information Considered

i) Published Information

1.0 Human and Animal Health

- 2969539 Toshifumi Nakao, Shinichi Banba, 2015, Broflanilide: A meta-diamide insecticide with a novel mode of action, Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry; 24 (2016) 372-377
- 3025290 Robert E. Chapin, Dianne M. Creasy, 2012, Assessment of circulating hormones in regulatory toxicity studies II. Male reproductive hormones. Toxicologic Pathology; 40:1063–78.