

## **Evaluation Report for Category B, Subcategory 5.0 Application**



### **Background**

Abamectin is an insecticide/miticide which belongs to the avermectin class of chemicals and is a mixture of  $\geq 80\%$  avermectin B1a and  $\leq 20\%$  avermectin B1b. Members of the avermectin class are natural fermentation products of the soil bacterium *Streptomyces avermitilis*, which act by binding to gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA)-gated ion channels, resulting in an influx of chloride ions into neurons, with ensuing paralysis and death. A review on abamectin was conducted previously and is summarized in the Proposed Regulatory Decision Document PRDD2001-01 *Abamectin Raid Max Roach Bait*.

### **Purpose of Application**

The purpose of this application was to establish new import maximum residue limits (MRLs) and to amend existing MRLs for abamectin. Specifically to establish MRLs on/in cotton seed, avocado, papaya, celeriac, mint, Stone fruit (crop group (CG) 12), hops, strawberry, grapes, Leafy Vegetables (CG 4), Fruiting Vegetables (CG 8), Cucurbit Vegetables (CG 9), Tree Nuts (CG 14), Citrus (CG 10), Tuberous and Corm Vegetables (crop subgroup (CSG) 1C), and Herbs (CSG 19A (except chives)).

#### **Chemistry Assessment**

A chemistry assessment was not required for this application.

#### **Health Assessments**

#### **Toxicology Summary**

A detailed review of the toxicology database for abamectin was conducted previously and is summarized in the Proposed Regulatory Decision Document PRDD2001-01 *Abamectin Raid Max Roach Bait*. The database for abamectin included toxicity studies with abamectin, its components avermectin B1a and avermectin B1b, and the photolytic degradation products, including the delta 8,9-isomer of avermectin B1a. As summarized in PRDD2001-01, the primary target of abamectin toxicity is the nervous system, and the main toxicological endpoints, consistent across all species tested, were clinical signs of neurotoxicity (tremors, ataxia or lethargy) and death. In dogs, mydriasis was a common finding in all studies.



Malformations were a treatment-related finding in the fetuses of rabbits as well as CF-1 mice. A steep dose-response was demonstrated in many of the studies. At the time of registration, neurotoxicity studies were not available in the supporting toxicology database for abamectin.

As part of this application, acute and 90-day neurotoxicity studies, as well as two developmental neurotoxicity (DNT) studies, and a non-guideline study comparing maternal and pup systemic exposure, were submitted for review. In addition, a mouse local lymph node assay (LLNA), a 14 day oral gavage range-finding study in juvenile rats, and a 10-day/3-day oral gavage rangefinding study in adult rats were available. Recent US EPA reviews of several endocrine disruption screening studies were also taken into consideration in the current assessment. A developmental toxicity study in CD-1 mice with the delta 8,9-isomer of avermectin B1a was not reported previously in the abamectin regulatory documentation and was incorporated in this assessment. Finally, a rationale to support a reduction in uncertainty factors in the risk assessment was submitted. A summary of the findings in the above-noted information is presented below.

Abamectin was negative for skin sensitization when tested in the mouse LLNA. It is noteworthy that animals treated with a 1% concentration of test material were found dead or euthanized on day 2 or 3, illustrating abamectin's high acute toxicity.

In the acute oral gavage neurotoxicity study with abamectin in rats, decreased splay reflex was observed in both sexes. In addition, splayed and tip toe gait, as well as decreased total motor activity, were observed in females at higher doses. There were no treatment-related neuropathological findings.

In the subchronic oral gavage neurotoxicity study, rats in the highest dose group were euthanized during week 7 due to pronounced clinical signs of toxicity (e.g. shaking, irregular breathing, reduced righting and splay reflex, decreased fore- and hindlimb grip strength) and body weight loss. There was no evidence of systemic or neurotoxicity at lower dose levels.

In a 14-day oral gavage range-finding study in juvenile (21-day-old) rats, decreases/loss in body weight and clinical signs (including tremors) were noted early post-dosing. The severity of clinical signs resulted in early termination of animals in the high-dose group. In a 10-day (male) or 3-day (female) oral gavage range-finding study in adult rats that employed sequential dosing, clinical signs of toxicity (including tremors, convulsions, hypoactivity) were noted following administration of a dose approaching/sometimes exceeding the acute oral  $LD_{50}$  values, and all animals were euthanized in extremis.

The two DNT studies conducted via oral gavage both employed the same dose levels and strain of rat. The second, or follow-up, study was conducted due to procedural deficiencies in the original study that rendered the brain morphometric data uninterpretable. There was no evidence of maternal toxicity in either study. Pup body weights were reduced post-weaning in both studies; in the follow-up study this was observed down to the lowest dose level. Pup mortality was only observed at the high dose in the follow-up study, with deaths occurring after post-natal day (PND) 8. High-dose pups in this study were also small in size and displayed clinical signs of toxicity (e.g. tremors, dehydration). Sensitivity of the young animal compared to the adult animal to abamectin toxicity was demonstrated in both studies on the basis of the above findings. The

dose levels producing clinical signs of toxicity and mortality in pups in the DNT studies were consistent with those producing the same effects in the reproductive toxicity studies, which were previously reported in PRDD2001-01.

As noted above, due to processing errors, the brain morphometric data in the original study were deemed uninterpretable by the study authors. There were some challenges with respect to the interpretation of the brain morphometric data in the follow-up study. In this study, brain morphometric data were not available for high-dose pups due to early mortality. Initially, only tissues from the control and mid-dose groups were processed. At the mid dose, statistically significant reductions in measurements of several regions of the brain were noted in PND 12 and/or PND 63 animals. Consistently lower values for almost all brain region measurements were recorded for the low-dose group. These consistently lower values were thought to result from longer storage time in wax blocks compared to that of the control and the mid-dose group. In an attempt to address this issue, additional tissues were cut and assessed from all groups after an extended storage period and demonstrated that there was some effect of storage time on tissue shrinkage. In addition to the confounding effect of storage time, not all brain regions were examined in the additional morphometric investigations, making it difficult to directly compare the measurements for the controls with those in the treated groups. Consequently, the low dose level findings were of limited utility in assisting in the interpretation of potential adverse effects. In view of this, the morphometric findings noted in PND 12 and/or PND 63 animals are considered equivocal, and endpoints for risk assessment take into account margins to these findings. In determining the overall level of concern, it is important to note that there were no treatment-related effects on neurobehavioural assessments or brain pathology in either DNT study. Decreased pup brain weights were only noted in the initial DNT study and only at the highest dose.

A series of endocrine disruptor screening studies with abamectin included uterotrophic and Hershberger assays as well as pubertal female/male assays, and estrogen/androgen receptor binding assays. All assays were negative with the exception of the steroidogenesis assay using the human cell line, H295R, which demonstrated a decrease in estradiol production.

In a comparative study investigating maternal and pup exposure to avermectin B1a following dosing of the dams during gestation and lactation, pup plasma and brain levels were 2-fold and 6 fold higher, respectively, than corresponding levels in dams. Pup mortality increased with dose, with most deaths occurring between PND 6-8.

There was no evidence of maternal toxicity in a developmental toxicity study in CD-1 mice with the delta 8,9-isomer of avermectin B1a. There was a slightly increased incidence (on a fetal and litter basis) of cleft palate at the highest dose which fell just above the range of historical control values from a limited set of data. Since cleft palate was observed in fetuses of CF-1 mice and rabbits treated with abamectin, a possible relationship to treatment could not be ruled out and it was considered appropriate to ensure an adequate margin to this finding in the selection of toxicological endpoints.

A key feature of the abamectin toxicity database was the observation, in several studies, of pup mortality which typically began within the first week after birth. Studies in rats with ivermectin , (a member of the avermectin class), which included a cross-fostering study, suggested that the

early pup mortality was a result of exposure through the dam's milk. A rationale was submitted to address the issue of sensitivity of the young animal and subsequently support a reduction in uncertainty factors for human risk assessment. The claim was made that use of the rodent model in human health risk assessment was conservative, since the rodent fetus and neonate are susceptible to abamectin toxicity as a result of differences in P-glycoprotein ontogeny between rodents and humans. Members of the avermectin family, including abamectin, are substrates for P-glycoprotein. Other substrates include drugs such as steroids, statins, and antibiotics. Pglycoprotein is an adenosine triphosphate (ATP)-binding cassette (ABC) transporter, a protein that mediates the active transport of molecules across cellular membranes in an ATP-dependent manner. P-glycoprotein is expressed in adrenal, liver, and kidney cells, intestinal epithelium, and on the luminal surface of blood capillaries in the brain, testes, ovaries, and placenta in rodents and humans, as well other animals, and performs an efflux transporter function, limiting gut absorption, mediating excretion, and controlling entry of a wide range of chemicals to sensitive body compartments, such as the brain.

P-glycoprotein is encoded by the gene ABCB1 (also known as MDR1 or multi-drug resistance gene). The protein is encoded by one gene (MDR1) in humans and dogs and 2 genes (mdr1a and mdr1b, sharing common function) in mice. Spontaneous mutations of the mdr1 gene in the CF-1 strain of mice, as well as disruption of the MDR1 gene in dog breeds related to the collie, have been shown to produce a non-functional P-glycoprotein, resulting in susceptibility to toxicity from ivermectin, a member of the avermectin class. This has been demonstrated in the CF-1 mouse strain through differences in lethality and susceptibility to cleft palate, depending upon Pglycoprotein genotype. In addition, the developmental toxicity data from the CF-1 mice studies provided the overall lowest effect levels in the abamectin database. In humans, although gene disruption in the MDR1 gene encoding for P-glycoprotein is known, the scientific literature reports that a definitive, reproducible correlation between gene disruption and alterations in Pglycoprotein function has not been demonstrated. Having said that, there is a low likelihood that humans will have compromised P-glycoprotein functionality similar to that of the sensitive CF-1 mouse.

In rodents, the blood-brain barrier is not completely developed in the fetus/neonate. In addition, P-glycoprotein is not expressed until approximately PND 7, and not fully developed to adult levels until around PND 28. For these reasons, newborn rodent pups have an increased susceptibility to abamectin toxicity compared to adult rodents. Human infants, on the other hand, are born with an intact blood-brain barrier, and P-glycoprotein is fully expressed before birth. Brain P-glycoprotein expression has been detected in fetuses as early as week 8 of pregnancy and expression of P-glycoprotein in placenta is highest during early pregnancy (60 days), decreasing as gestation progresses.

Evidence in the abamectin database consistent with the timing of P-glycoprotein expression in the developing rodent included the pup mortality occurring in the early postnatal period in the reproductive toxicity and DNT studies, and results from the ivermectin cross-fostering study in rats. The fact that pup mortality did not always occur at the same dose level in these studies is not unexpected given normal biological variability in kinetics and in the timing of P-glycoprotein development, as well the steep dose-response curve for abamectin. Pups exposed to avermectin B1a through their mother's milk exhibited higher brain concentrations of test substance during the postnatal period compared to the maternal animal, consistent with the

ontogeny of P-glycoprotein expression in the blood-brain barrier.

The overall information suggests that rodents are more sensitive than humans to abamectin toxicity as a result of differences in the gene(s) encoding P-glycoprotein, and that the rodent neonate is more sensitive than the human neonate on account of differences in the ontogeny of P-glycoprotein expression as well as differences in the timing of blood-brain barrier development. Notwithstanding the above, the possibility that humans may have compromised P-glycoprotein function cannot be ruled out. For this reason, the results of toxicity testing in rodents were considered relevant for hazard characterization and risk assessment. However, the likelihood that humans will have compromised P-glycoprotein functionality similar to that of the sensitive CF-1 mouse strain is low, suggesting that use of toxicity data from studies conducted with the CF-1 mouse is overly conservative. For this reason, data from the CF-1 mouse were used to inform hazard characterization, but not used for toxicology endpoint selection.

As a result of the submission and review of new data, a re-examination of the endpoints previously selected for dietary risk assessment was undertaken. The re-examination of the toxicological database resulted in an amendment to the no observable adverse effect level (NOAEL) for parental toxicity in the 2-generation reproductive toxicity study with abamectin. This NOAEL, which was previously set at 0.05 mg/kg bw/day based on reduced body weight gains during lactation, was amended to 0.4 mg/kg bw/day (the highest dose tested) since the changes in body weight were not considered to be adverse.

Table 1 of Appendix I contains the amended results of the reproductive toxicity study as well as the results of the newly submitted studies discussed above. In addition, data not previously summarized in PRDD2001-01 are also documented in Table 1. Toxicological endpoints selected for the human health risk assessment are found in Table 2 of Appendix I.

## **PCPA Hazard Characterization**

For assessing risks from potential residues in food or from products used in or around homes or schools, the *Pest Control Products Act* requires the application of an additional 10-fold factor to threshold effects to take into account completeness of the data with respect to the exposure of, and toxicity to, infants and children, and potential prenatal and postnatal toxicity. A different factor may be determined to be appropriate on the basis of reliable scientific data.

With respect to the completeness of the toxicity database as it pertains to the toxicity to infants and children, extensive data were available for abamectin, as outlined in PRDD2001-01 and above. The database included reproductive toxicity, developmental toxicity, and DNT studies, as well as studies assessing the comparative exposure (plasma and brain levels) of the young versus the adult animal, and several published scientific papers discussing effects in the young animal.

With respect to potential prenatal and postnatal toxicity, the findings in the abamectin toxicology database demonstrated evidence of sensitivity of the young in several studies as well as a steep dose-response. Acute oral toxicity data indicated that the neonatal rat  $LD_{50}$  was 6 to 7-fold lower than that in the adult rat. In pups in the reproductive toxicity and DNT studies, decreased body weight, as well as clinical signs and mortality beginning shortly after birth, occurred in the absence of any effects in maternal animals. A cross-fostering study with ivermectin demonstrated that the early pup mortality observed in these studies was a result of post-natal exposure via the milk, rather than exposure in utero. Although a NOAEL for offspring toxicity was not established in the follow-up DNT study, the LOAEL was based on a reduction in pup body weight, which is not considered a serious effect. A comparative study in rat dams and pups demonstrated higher levels of avermectin B1a in pup plasma and brains compared to dams.

In the developmental toxicity studies, treatment resulted in fetal malformations, including cleft palate in rabbits, CF-1 mice, and possibly CD-1 mice. With the exception of the findings in the rabbit, these serious effects were observed in the absence of maternal toxicity. Equivocal brain morphometric findings in the follow-up DNT study were noted in the absence of maternal toxicity. As noted earlier, there were no treatment-related effects on the neurobehavioural assessments in either DNT study and decreased pup brain weights were only noted in the initial DNT study and only at the highest dose.

Although serious endpoints (pup mortality, malformations) were observed in the abamectin database in the absence of maternal toxicity, concern for these findings is tempered by the fact that the rodent neonate is more sensitive than the human neonate with respect to abamectin toxicity due to differences in the ontogeny of P-glycoprotein expression and blood-brain barrier development. As noted above, the possibility of compromised P-glycoprotein function in humans cannot be ruled out, and any subsequent impact early in gestation, and particularly during critical periods of fetal development, is unclear. For these reasons, there remains uncertainty with respect to sensitivity of the young. This uncertainty was reflected through the use of a PCPA factor of 3 fold in the risk assessment. The use of a 3-fold PCPA factor also takes into account the steep dose-response and provides adequate margins to endpoints of concern in the database.

#### **Acute Reference Dose (ARfD)**

To estimate acute dietary risk (1 day), the acute neurotoxicity study in rats with a NOAEL of 0.5 mg/kg bw was selected for risk assessment. At the LOAEL of 1.5 mg/kg bw, decreased splay reflex was observed. Selection of this study and NOAEL is supported by the results in the 12 week dog study for which a NOAEL of 0.5 mg/kg bw/day was established. At the LOAEL of 1.0 mg/kg bw/day, mydriasis was observed in the first week of dosing. The specific timing of this observation was not clear; however, examination of the collective results of the dog studies indicated that at higher doses, mydriasis was observed within 24 hours of initial treatment. Therefore, the possibility that mydriasis may have resulted following a single dose at 1.0 mg/kg bw/day could not be ruled out, and for this reason it was considered supportive evidence for the acute risk assessment. Standard uncertainty factors of 10-fold for interspecies extrapolation and 10-fold for intraspecies variability were applied. As discussed in the PCPA Hazard Characterization section, a PCPA factor of 3-fold was considered appropriate. The composite assessment factor (CAF) is thus 300.

The ARfD is calculated according to the following formula:

$$
ARfD = \frac{NOAEL}{CAF} = \frac{0.5 \text{ mg/kg bw}}{300} = 0.0017 \text{ mg/kg bw of abamectin}
$$

The ARfD provides margins of 118 to the equivocal brain morphometric findings, 588 to the

NOAEL for malformations in the rabbit developmental toxicity study, and 882 to the NOAEL for cleft palate in the CD-1 mouse developmental toxicity study. The ARfD is considered protective of all populations, including females of child-bearing age and nursing infants.

## **Acceptable Daily Intake (ADI)**

To estimate risk of repeated dietary exposure, the results of the DNT studies in rats were considered. The offspring NOAEL of 0.12 mg/kg bw/day from the initial DNT study was selected for risk assessment. At the LOAEL of 0.2 mg/kg bw/day, decreased pup body weight was observed. The selection of this study was supported by the findings of a supplemental 1 generation reproduction toxicity study with avermectin B1a in rat, in which spastic movements in pups were observed at 0.2 mg/kg bw/day, with no adverse findings recorded at 0.1 mg/kg bw/day. Standard uncertainty factors of 10-fold for interspecies extrapolation and 10-fold for intraspecies variability were applied. For the reasons outlined in the PCPA Hazard Characterization section, a PCPA factor of 3-fold was considered appropriate. The composite assessment factor (CAF) is thus 300.

The ADI is calculated according to the following formula:

$$
ADI = \frac{NOAEL}{CAF} = \frac{0.12 \text{ mg/kg} \text{ bw/day}}{300} = 0.0004 \text{ mg/kg} \text{ bw/day of abamectin}
$$

The ADI provides a margin of 500 to the lowest NOAEL for pup mortality in the database, to the NOAEL for reduced fertility in the abamectin 2-generation reproductive toxicity study, and to the equivocal brain morphometric findings in the developmental neurotoxicity study. It also provides a margin of 300 to the offspring LOAEL for reduced pup body weight in the follow-up DNT study. The ADI is considered protective of all populations, including females of child-bearing age and nursing infants.

## **Cancer Risk Assessment**

There was no evidence of carcinogenicity and therefore, a cancer risk assessment was not necessary.

## **Food Residues Exposure Assessment**

Residue data for abamectin in papaya, avocado, celeriac, basil, cotton, mint, stone fruits, leafy vegetables, fruiting vegetables, cucurbit vegetables, and citrus fruits were submitted to support the maximum residue limits on various imported commodities. In addition, previously reviewed residue data from field trials conducted in/on citrus fruits, leafy vegetables, strawberries, fruiting vegetables, tree nuts, potatoes, grapes, and hops were reassessed in the framework of this petition. In addition, processing studies in treated dried prunes; mint oil; cottonseed hulls, meal, and refined oil; tomato paste and puree, and citrus fruit oil were reviewed or reassessed to determine the potential for concentration of residues of abamectin into processed commodities.

## **Maximum Residue Limits**

The recommendation for MRLs for abamectin was based upon the file and submitted field trial data, and the guidance provided in the **OECD MRL Calculator**. MRLs to cover residues of avermectin  $B_1$  and the 8,9-Z isomer in/on imported crops and processed commodities are proposed as shown in Table 1. Residues in processed commodities not listed in Table 1 are covered under the proposed MRLs for the raw agricultural commodities (RACs).





Г

٦

LAFT = lowest average field trial; HAFT = highest average field trial;  $n/a$  = not applicable<br>\* Total residues of avermectin B<sub>1</sub> (avermectin B<sub>1a</sub> and avermectin B<sub>1b</sub>) and the 8,9-Z isomer

 $\frac{1}{2}$  Replace the currently established MRLs of 0.005 ppm in/on almond nuts, English walnuts, and black walnuts.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Although there is currently a MRL established for residues of abamectin in/on "citrus fruits" and no new MRLs for this crop group are being recommended, the previously established MRL of 0.02 ppm for "citrus fruits" will be replaced by MRLs of 0.02 ppm for each commodity listed under Crop Group 10 –Citrus Fruits (DIR98-02) to reflect the current terminology for these commodities.

 $3$  Replaces the current established MRLs of 0.05 ppm in/on head lettuce and celery.

 $4$  Replaces the current established MRLs of 0.01 ppm in/on tomatoes and peppers.

 $<sup>5</sup>$  Replaces the current established MRL of 0.005 ppm in/on cucumbers.</sup>

 $6$  Potatoes are excluded as a 0.01 ppm MRL is already established for the commodity in Canada.

#### **Environmental and Value Assessments**

Environmental and value assessments were not required for this application to amend MRLs.

#### **Conclusion**

Following the review, MRLs as proposed in Table 1 are recommended to cover residues of abamectin. Residues in these crops at the proposed MRLs will not pose an unacceptable risk to any segment of the population, including infants, children, adults and seniors.

#### **List of Abbreviations**





## **Appendix I Tables and Figures**

## **Table 1 Newly Submitted/Amended Toxicity Studies with Abamectin Technical (see also PRDD2001-01)**

(Effects are known or assumed to occur in both sexes unless otherwise noted; in such cases, sex-specific effects are separated by semi-colons. Organ weight effects reflect both absolute organ weights and relative organ to bodyweights unless otherwise noted)



























 $1$  CAF (composite assessment factor) refers to a total of uncertainty and PCPA factors for dietary assessments

## **References**

# **A. List of Studies/Information Submitted by Registrant**

## **PMRA**







## **B. Additional Information Considered**

## **i) Published Information**

#### **1.0 Human and Animal Health**

- 2554310 Lankas, G.R., et al, 1998, Placental P-Glycoprotein Deficiency Enhances Susceptibility To Chemically Induced Birth Defects In Mice, Reproductive Toxicology, Vol. 12, No. 4, pp. 457-463., DACO: 4.5.2
- 2557845 2015, US EPA EDSP Weight of Evidence Analysis of Potential Interaction with the Estrogen, Androgen or Thyroid Pathways – Abamectin., DACO 12.5.4

ISSN: 1911-8082

#### **8 Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Canada, represented by the Minister of Public Works and Government Services Canada 2016**

All rights reserved. No part of this information (publication or product) may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical photocopying, recording or otherwise, or stored in a retrieval system, without prior written permission of the Minister of Public Works and Government Services Canada, Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0S5.